hosting an Annual General Meeting to which my client had received approval. Each reference to my client seemed to be calculated to hold it up to public ridicule. The tone and content of the words uttered were being said with the intention to, and did in fact, subject my client to embarrassment and shame before the membership.

5. Notwithstanding the aforementioned public display, my client sought clarity on the identity and indications of the purported representative and made efforts to established whether the claim of the alleged breach was meritorious. My client's efforts revealed that not only was the interpretation flawed and irreconcilable but other credit unions would have found themselves similarly situated with the Office of the Commissioner of Co-operative Development acquiescing their mode of operation. Noteworthy, the following table outlines credit unions whose Notice of Annual General Meeting came prior to the signing off of their Auditor's Report:

Credit Union	**AGM Date**	**Notice Issued**	**Financials Signed**
CCULTT	April 23, 2023	March 3, 2023	March 8, 2023
TATECO (POS)	April 2, 2023	March 7, 2023	March 29, 2023 with errata sheet presented with updated information
Progressive	March 27, 2021	March 11, 2021	March 19, 2021
Mt Pleasant Credit Union	May 7, 2023	Prior to April 24, 2023	September 27, 2022

6. Having considered the aforementioned information, legal advice, the stage at which the Annual General Meeting had progressed, the mode of communication of the Office of the Commissioner of Co-operative Development and the financial implications, the membership of my client moved, seconded and passed motions to continue the meeting.

In light of the aforementioned circumstances, I have advised my client as follows: