GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2025

H 2

HOUSE BILL 789 Committee Substitute Favorable 4/29/25

Short Title: M	litigating Factor/Pretrial Use of IID.	(Public)
Sponsors:		
Referred to:		
	April 8, 2025	
WITH IMPA VEHICLE V The General Ass SEC (1) (2) (3) SEC "(e) Mitiguider subsection the defendant. T	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED TABLISH A MITIGATING FACTOR FOR CERTAIN PLANED DRIVING WHO VOLUNTARILY EQUIP AND COUTH AN IGNITION INTERLOCK SYSTEM PRIOR TO embly of North Carolina enacts: FION 1. The purpose of this act is to do all of the following interlock system prior to the individual's trial to serve as factor at sentencing. Encourage responsible behavior and compliance wing system requirements. Reduce instances of repeat impaired driving offenses intervention measures. FION 2. G.S. 20-179(e) reads as rewritten: Cating Factors to Be Weighed. — The judge shall also determine (f) of this section whether any of the mitigating factors the judge shall weigh the degree of mitigation of each instances of the case. The factors are:	DPERATE A MOTOR D'TRIAL. ing: g to install an ignition a potential mitigating ith ignition interlock by introducing early nine before sentencing listed below apply to
(6a) (6b)	Completion of a substance abuse assessment, or recommendations, and simultaneously maintaining 60 abstinence from alcohol consumption, as proven by monitoring system. The continuous alcohol monitoring type approved by the Division of Community Supervision Department of Adult Correction. Prior to trial, the defendant voluntarily equipped a designated with a functioning ignition interlock system of a type Commissioner, operated only the designated vehice interlock system for a minimum of six months, and satisfactory to the judge that the defendant did not state alcohol concentration greater than 0.02 or commit any be considered violations of the interlock policies estable for use of an ignition interlock system or a violation of factor set forth in this subdivision only applies to a defendence of the following requirements: a. The defendant was charged with an offense under the community of the subdivision of the subdivision only applies to a defendence of the following requirements: a. The defendant was charged with an offense under the community of the subdivision of the subdivision of the subdivision of the subdivision of the following requirements: a. The defendant was charged with an offense under the community of the subdivision of the sub	O days of continuous a continuous a continuous alcohol g system shall be of a con and Reentry of the dignated motor vehicle gipe approved by the le with the ignition d produced evidence at the vehicle with an other acts that would dished by the Division of G.S. 20-17.8A. The fendant who meets all



- 1 The vehicle being operated by the defendant was not involved at the b. 2 time of the offense in a crash resulting in the serious injury or death of 3 a person. 4 At the time of the offense, the defendant held either a valid driver's <u>c.</u> 5 license or a license that had been expired for less than one year. At the time of the offense, the defendant did not have an additional 6 d. unresolved pending charge involving impaired driving, or an 7 8 additional conviction of an offense involving impaired driving within 9 the five years preceding the date of the offense. At the time of the offense the person did not have an alcohol 10 <u>e.</u> 11 concentration of 0.15 or more. The defendant equipped the designated motor vehicle with an ignition 12 <u>f.</u> interlock system no later than 45 days after being charged with the 13 14 15 The defendant only operated the designated motor vehicle with a g. 16 limited driving privilege that is valid in this State or during a time 17 when the defendant's driver's license was not revoked or suspended. 18 Any other factor that mitigates the seriousness of the offense.

Except for the factors in subdivisions (4), (6), (6a), (6b), and (7) of this subsection, the conduct constituting the mitigating factor shall occur during the same transaction or occurrence as the impaired driving offense."

SECTION 3. G.S. 20-179.5 reads as rewritten:

"§ 20-179.5. Affordability of ignition interlock system.

- Payment of Costs. The costs incurred in order to comply with the ignition interlock requirements imposed by the court or the Division pursuant to this Chapter, including costs for installation and monitoring of the ignition interlock system, shall be paid by the person ordered to install the system. The costs incurred from voluntarily installing an ignition interlock system, including costs for monitoring the ignition interlock system, shall be paid by the person voluntarily installing the system. Costs for installation and monitoring of the ignition interlock system shall be collected under terms agreed upon by the ignition interlock system vendor and the person required to install install, or voluntarily installing, the ignition interlock system.
- Waiver. A person who is ordered by a court, or required by statute, to install an ignition interlock system in order to lawfully operate a motor vehicle, but who is unable to afford the cost of an ignition interlock system, may apply to an authorized vendor for a waiver of a portion of the costs of an ignition interlock system. Additionally, a person meeting the requirements set forth in sub-subdivisions a. through f. of subdivision (6b) of subsection (e) of G.S. 20-179 who is unable to afford the cost of an ignition interlock system may apply to an authorized vendor for a waiver of a portion of the costs of an ignition interlock system.

...."

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

SECTION 4. This act becomes effective December 1, 2025, and applies to offenses committed on or after that date.