	Page 1
1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT, CITY OF ST. LOUIS
	TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	DOE 1,
)
4	Plaintiff,)
)
5	vs.)
)
6	Archdiocese of St. Paul and)
	Minneapolis, Diocese of)
7	Winona and Thomas Adamson,)
)
8	Defendants.)
9	
10	
11	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON
12	Taken on behalf of Plaintiff
13	May 23, 2014
14	(Starting time of the deposition: 10:11 a.m.)
15	**CONFIDENTIAL**
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Page 2
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2		
3	Page	
4	Questions by Mr. Anderson 8	
5		
6	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
7	Exhibit No. 296 (Letter) 6	
	Exhibit No. 297 (Meeting Minutes) 6	
8	Exhibit No. 239 (Deposition Transcript) 6	
	Exhibit No. 299 (Letter of Assignment) 6	
9	Exhibit No. 301 (Memo) 6	
	Exhibit No. 302 (Memo) 6	
10	Exhibit No. 303 (Memo) 6	
	Exhibit No. 319 (Letter) 6	
11	Exhibit No. 304 (Memo) 6	
	Exhibit No. 101 (Newspaper Article) 6	
12	Exhibit No. 305 (Memo) 6	
	Exhibit No. 275 (Memo) 6	
13	Exhibit No. 276 (Letter) 6	
	Exhibit No. 282 (Memo) 6	
14	Exhibit No. 245 (Memo) 6	
	Exhibit No. 250 (Memo) 6	
15	Exhibit No. 246 (Letter) 6	
	Exhibit No. 247 (Memo) 6	
16	Exhibit No. 33 (Memo) 6	
	Exhibit No. 251 (Letter) 6	
17	Exhibit No. 252 (Memo) 6	
	Exhibit No. 293 (Memo) 6	
18	Exhibit No. 133 (Memo) 6	
	Exhibit No. 260 (Memo) 6	
19		
20		
	(The original exhibits were retained by the	
21	court reporter, to be attached to Mr. Anderson's	
	transcript.)	
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
Page 3
                 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT, CITY OF ST. LOUIS
 1
                      TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
                            STATE OF MISSOURI
 2
 3
     DOE 1,
 4
               Plaintiff,
 5
     vs.
 6
    Archdiocese of St. Paul and
    Minneapolis, Diocese of
     Winona and Thomas Adamson,
 8
               Defendants.
 9
10
               VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WITNESS, ARCHBISHOP
     ROBERT CARLSON, produced, sworn, and examined on the
11
     23rd day of May, 2014, between the hours of nine
12
13
     o'clock in the forenoon and six o'clock in the evening
14
     of that day, at the offices of Chackes Carlson, LLP,
15
     906 Olive Street, Suite 200, St. Louis, Missouri
     63101, before BRENDA ORSBORN, a Certified Court
16
17
     Reporter within and for the State of Missouri, in a
     certain cause now pending before the Circuit Court of
18
19
     the City of St. Louis, Missouri, Twenty-Second
     Judicial Circuit, State of Missouri, wherein Doe 1 is
20
     the Plaintiff and Archdiocese of St. Paul and
21
22
    Minneapolis, Diocese of Winona and Thomas Adamson are
23
     the Defendants.
24
25
```

		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	
2	For the Plaintiff:	
3	Mr. Jeff Anderson	
	Ms. Trusha Patel	
4	Jeff Anderson & Associates P.A.	
	366 Jackson Street, Suite 100	
5	St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	
	(651) 227-9990	
6	Jeff@AndersonAdvocates.com	
	Trusha@AndersonAdvocates.com	
7		
8	For the Defendant Archdiocese of St. Paul	
	and Minneapolis:	
9	Mr. Thomas B. Wieser	
10	Meier, Kennedy & Quinn	
	Bremer Tower, Suite 2200	
11	445 Minnesota Street	
	St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	
12	twieser@mkqlaw.com	
13		
14	For the Defendant Archdiocese of Winona:	
15	Mr. Thomas R. Braun	
	Restovich Braun	
16	117 E. Center Street	
	Rochester, Minnesota 55904	
17	(507) 2289-4840	
	thomas@restovichlaw.com	
18		
19	Also Present:	
20	Mr. Tom Buckley	
	Mr. Scott Browning	
21	Mr. Patrick Wall	
	Ms. Christa Robertson	
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED: 2 The Court Reporter: 3 Ms. Brenda Orsborn, RPR/CSR/CCR Missouri CCR No. 914 4 Illinois CSR No. 084-003460 Midwest Litigation Services 5 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 6 (314) 644-2191 7 8 The Videographer: 9 MR. David Doell Midwest Litigation Services 10 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 11 (314) 644-2191 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25			Page 5
Ms. Brenda Orsborn, RFR/CSR/CCR Missouri CCR No. 914 Illinois CSR No. 084-003460 Midwest Litigation Services 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 644-2191 The Videographer: MR. David Doell Midwest Litigation Services 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 644-2191 (314) 644-2191 (314) 644-2191 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
Missouri CCR No. 914 4 Illinois CSR No. 084-003460 Midwest Litigation Services 5 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 6 (314) 644-2191 7 8 The Videographer: 9 MR. David Doell Midwest Litigation Services 10 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 11 (314) 644-2191 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2	The Court Reporter:	
## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	3	Ms. Brenda Orsborn, RPR/CSR/CCR	
Midwest Litigation Services 5		Missouri CCR No. 914	
5 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 6 (314) 644-2191 7 8 The Videographer: 9 MR. David Doell Midwest Litigation Services 10 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 11 (314) 644-2191 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	4	Illinois CSR No. 084-003460	
St. Louis, Missouri 63101 6 (314) 644-2191 7 8 The Videographer: 9 MR. David Doell Midwest Litigation Services 10 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 11 (314) 644-2191 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		Midwest Litigation Services	
6 (314) 644-2191 7 8 The Videographer: 9 MR. David Doell Midwest Litigation Services 10 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 11 (314) 644-2191 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	5	711 North Eleventh Street	
7 8 The Videographer: 9 MR. David Doell Midwest Litigation Services 10 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 11 (314) 644-2191 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		St. Louis, Missouri 63101	
8 The Videographer: 9 MR. David Doell Midwest Litigation Services 10 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 11 (314) 644-2191 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	6	(314) 644-2191	
9 MR. David Doell Midwest Litigation Services 10 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 11 (314) 644-2191 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	7		
Midwest Litigation Services 10 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 11 (314) 644-2191 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	8	The Videographer:	
10 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 11 (314) 644-2191 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	9	MR. David Doell	
St. Louis, Missouri 63101 11 (314) 644-2191 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		Midwest Litigation Services	
11 (314) 644-2191 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	10	711 North Eleventh Street	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		St. Louis, Missouri 63101	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	11	(314) 644-2191	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	12		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	13		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	14		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	15		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	16		
19 20 21 22 23 24	17		
 20 21 22 23 24 	18		
21222324	19		
222324	20		
23 24	21		
24	22		
	23		
25	24		
	25		

Page 6

- 1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and
- 2 between counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for
- 3 Defendants, that the VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
- 4 ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON may be taken in shorthand by
- 5 Brenda Orsborn, a Certified Court Reporter, and
- 6 afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the
- 7 signature of the witness is expressly not waived.
- 8 * * * * *
- 9 [Exhibit Nos. 33, 101, 133, 239, 245, 246,
- 10 247, 250, 251, 252, 260, 275, 276, 282, 293, 296, 297,
- 11 299, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 319 were marked prior to
- 12 the deposition.]
- 13 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record.
- 14 Today's date is May the 23rd, 2014. The time is
- 15 approximately 10:11 a.m. This is the videotaped
- 16 deposition of Archbishop Robert Carlson in the matter
- 17 of Doe 1 versus Archdiocese of St. Paul, et al., in
- 18 the Circuit Court of the City of St. Louis. This
- 19 deposition is being held at the law offices of Chackes
- 20 Carlson. The reporter's name is Brenda Orsborn. My
- 21 name is David Doell, and I'm a legal videographer, and
- 22 we are here with Midwest Litigation Services.
- 23 Will the attorneys present please introduce yourselves
- 24 and the parties you represent?
- MR. ANDERSON: For Doe 1, Jeff Anderson.

Page 7

- 1 Also appearing with me is Trusha Patel, and observing
- 2 is Patrick Wall.
- 3 MR. GOLDBERG: Good morning. My name is
- 4 Charles Goldberg, and I'm appearing here today
- 5 strictly for the witness, Archbishop Carlson, whose
- 6 deposition has been noticed in the Doe 1 case. I
- 7 should also note that we have agreed to -- at Mr.
- 8 Anderson's request, we have agreed to have Archbishop
- 9 Carlson present for not only this deposition, but for
- 10 a related -- for a different case in St. Louis. We
- 11 have agreed informally on breaking up the time so that
- 12 each of depositions are fully completed today. And
- 13 the Archbishop, as you know, has to leave by 5:00 p.m.
- 14 I should also note for the record that
- 15 Mr. Anderson has deposed Archbishop Carlson before in
- 16 different cases, and the Archbishop has testified in
- 17 1985 in a case, the Riedle case and in another case on
- 18 three other occasions that his depositions were taken
- 19 and then, of course, at trial in 1990. So hopefully
- 20 we don't have to go over that ground again, but we're
- 21 prepared to take it a question at a time. Thank you.
- 22 MR. WIESER: Tom Wieser representing the
- 23 Archdiocese of St. Paul/Minneapolis.
- 24 MR. BRAUN: Thomas Braun on behalf of the
- 25 Archdiocese of Winona.

		Page 8
1	MS. ROBERTSON: And Christa Robertson on	
2	behalf of the Archdiocese of St. Paul/Minneapolis.	
3	MR. ANDERSON: Also present is?	
4	MR. BROWNING: Scott Browning.	
5	MR. BUCKLEY: Tom Buckley.	
6	VIDEOGRAPHER: If the reporter would please	
7	swear in the witness, you may proceed.	
8	ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON,	
9	of lawful age, being produced, sworn and examined on	
10	behalf of the Plaintiff, deposes and says:	
11	EXAMINATION	
12	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:	
13	Q. Archbishop, good morning. Would you please	
13 14	Q. Archbishop, good morning. Would you please state your full name for the record?	
14	state your full name for the record?	
14 15	state your full name for the record? A. My full name is Robert James Carlson.	
14 15 16	state your full name for the record? A. My full name is Robert James Carlson. Q. You have been through this process before.	
14 15 16 17	state your full name for the record? A. My full name is Robert James Carlson. Q. You have been through this process before. You understand that you are under oath?	
14 15 16 17	state your full name for the record? A. My full name is Robert James Carlson. Q. You have been through this process before. You understand that you are under oath? A. I do.	
14 15 16 17 18	state your full name for the record? A. My full name is Robert James Carlson. Q. You have been through this process before. You understand that you are under oath? A. I do. Q. And the answers you give today are being	
14 15 16 17 18 19	state your full name for the record? A. My full name is Robert James Carlson. Q. You have been through this process before. You understand that you are under oath? A. I do. Q. And the answers you give today are being recorded both by transcription and by a videotape?	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	state your full name for the record? A. My full name is Robert James Carlson. Q. You have been through this process before. You understand that you are under oath? A. I do. Q. And the answers you give today are being recorded both by transcription and by a videotape? A. I do.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	state your full name for the record? A. My full name is Robert James Carlson. Q. You have been through this process before. You understand that you are under oath? A. I do. Q. And the answers you give today are being recorded both by transcription and by a videotape? A. I do. Q. By my review of the records, it appears you	

- 1 Binz?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. It also appears that when you look at your
- 4 years as an Auxiliary Bishop, as Bishop in two
- 5 different dioceses and now as Archdiocese of St. Paul
- 6 -- of St. Louis, it appears that you have been in some
- 7 capacity as an Auxiliary Bishop or Archbishop for 30
- 8 years. Does that sound right?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. In preparation for today, have you reviewed
- 11 any materials, documents or depositions or testimony
- 12 either given by you or others?
- 13 A. I have not.
- 14 Q. Archbishop, you have been installed as the
- 15 Archbishop here in St. Louis since what date?
- 16 A. June of 2009.
- 17 Q. I'd like to go back to the period of time
- 18 when you were in the Archdiocese of St. Paul/
- 19 Minneapolis and you were appointed by then the
- 20 Archbishop Roach to be on the Personnel Board of the
- 21 Archdiocese. Do you recall what years you were on
- 22 that board?
- 23 A. I do not.
- Q. The records seem to reflect that you were on
- 25 that board in 1973 and at least through 1977. Do you

- have any reason to dispute that?
- 2 A. I don't remember, but I really can't say.
- 3 Q. You were assigned in various capacities in
- 4 the Archdiocese of St. Paul/Minneapolis as an
- 5 Associate Pastor, as Vice Chancellor, Chancellor,
- 6 Auxiliary Bishop and in other official capacities by
- 7 the then Archbishop Roach, correct?
- 8 A. Correct. I had a number of
- 9 responsibilities.
- 10 Q. Okay. When, as a priest of the Archdiocese
- of St. Paul/Minneapolis, did you first receive any
- 12 information from any source that a priest had been
- 13 accused or suspected of having abused a child?
- 14 A. I -- it would have been more than 30 years
- 15 ago, and I really can't remember with any accuracy.
- 16 Q. Do you have any estimates of the time that
- 17 you were in the Archdiocese of St. Paul/Minneapolis
- 18 how many different reports concerning different
- 19 clerics suspected of having abused were made to you?
- 20 A. I don't remember.
- Q. When is the first time a priest by the name
- of Tom Adamson came onto your radar?
- 23 A. I don't remember with any accuracy when that
- 24 would have been. There may be a document that would
- 25 indicate that.

- 1 Q. What do you remember about how he came onto
- your radar while you were in the Archdiocese of St.
- 3 Paul/Minneapolis?
- 4 A. People can come in many different ways. I
- 5 don't remember what the first contact was.
- 6 Q. You did realize at that time that he was a
- 7 priest that had come from the Diocese of Winona?
- 8 A. As I remember, no information came to me
- 9 about him that I could say with any accuracy.
- 10 Q. In the mid-1970s, it is correct to say that
- 11 the arch -- the Personnel Board was constituted by the
- 12 then Archbishop to help the Archbishop make
- 13 assignments and advise the Archbishop of making
- 14 assignments of priests, among other things?
- 15 A. The Personnel Board never made assignments.
- 16 It was only recommendations to the Archbishop.
- 17 Q. Ultimately, it was the Archbishop's decision
- 18 as to who to assign and when and where?
- 19 A. As the chief pastor of the diocese, the
- 20 Archbishop would always have the responsibility who to
- 21 assign when and where.
- 22 Q. And the Personnel Board was simply advisory,
- 23 could make recommendations, in other words, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. And at some point in time, is it correct

- 1 also to say that you became the person the Archbishop
- 2 looked to for handling and investigating reports of
- 3 sexual abuse by priests in the Archdiocese?
- 4 A. I was not the only one. I was one of them.
- Q. And who else was charged with that?
- 6 A. In some cases, the Personnel Director could
- 7 have been charged. Other cases, the Vicar General,
- 8 Vice Chancellor, whoever.
- 9 Q. Your role was then to be among -- and
- 10 perhaps in conjunction with others, first is to get
- 11 the reports and to investigate them and advise the
- 12 Archbishop about what could or should be done?
- 13 MR. GOLDBERG: I'm going to object to the
- 14 form of the question. You need to put a time frame as
- 15 to the word "then."
- 16 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) When you were first
- 17 assigned to be the investigator for the Archdiocese
- 18 and the Archbishop in connection with reports made of
- 19 sexual abuse.
- 20 A. I was never formally appointed to be an
- 21 investigator.
- 22 Q. But when you took on that role or were asked
- 23 to take on that role, when was that?
- A. I'm confused, because you're mentioning
- 25 several different dates. You mentioned 1973. What

- 1 date are you talking about?
- Q. Well, I guess I want to know when you took
- 3 on the role of having to investigate these matters of
- 4 sexual abuse or complaints of sexual abuse by priests?
- 5 A. If I had taken on that responsibility, there
- 6 would be a document, which I would be glad to look at,
- 7 but I don't remember with any accuracy any particular
- 8 date.
- 9 Q. You did investigate complaints, correct?
- 10 A. There were times when I investigated
- 11 complaints, as did others.
- 12 Q. And how many did you investigate?
- 13 A. I have no memory of how many.
- 14 Q. What priest did you investigate?
- 15 A. The one that comes to mind is Thomas
- 16 Adamson.
- 17 Q. Any others?
- 18 A. Not that I remember. I may have, but there
- 19 would be a document, because I took notes on
- 20 everything.
- Q. And what did you do pertaining to Thomas
- 22 Adamson?
- 23 A. I don't remember exactly what I did, but,
- 24 again, there would be a document that would spell that
- 25 out.

- 1 Q. Tell me what you do remember having done.
- 2 A. It was 30 years ago, and I don't remember
- 3 with any accuracy.
- Q. Could you tell us today, remember anything
- 5 that you did pertaining to a report or complaint or
- 6 investigation concerning Adamson or any action taken
- 7 by you?
- 8 A. Again, there would be a document that could
- 9 refresh my memory.
- 10 Q. Well, I want to know what you remember about
- 11 what you did. So my question for you at the moment is
- 12 tell me what you do remember.
- 13 A. You're asking me to tell you under oath what
- 14 I did 32 or 30 years ago, and it would be impossible
- 15 for me to do that with any accuracy, especially when
- 16 you have documents that would spell that out.
- Q. Well, there are matters that aren't
- documented, so my question is first tell me what you
- 19 remember. Do you remember reporting to the police?
- 20 A. I have very little memory, but anything I
- 21 did would be in the documents you possess and for
- 22 which I have taken depositions on three or four
- 23 occasions.
- Q. Did you ever, while in the Archdiocese of
- 25 St. Paul/Minneapolis ever report any cleric accused of

- sexual abuse to law enforcement?
- 2 A. On several occasions, again, as you would
- 3 see in the documents, I encouraged the people, if they
- 4 wanted to, to report it to the police.
- 5 Q. Who did you encourage?
- A. I could tell you with some accuracy if you
- 7 show me the documents, but at least in one case it was
- 8 the parents of a young man whose name I can't remember
- 9 who came to see me.
- 10 Q. Did you ever personally make such a report?
- 11 A. I did not personally make such a report.
- 12 Q. Did you ever personally order any of the
- 13 officials of the Archdiocese to make such a report?
- 14 A. Again, it would be in the documents that
- 15 would show exactly what I did. Over the years, of
- 16 course, I made many suggestions. I don't remember.
- 17 Q. You were assigned so be the Bishop of --
- 18 appointed to be the Bishop of Sioux Falls in 1984,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. 1994.
- Q. Excuse me, 1994. And you worked
- 22 continuously in the Archdiocese of
- 23 St. Paul/Minneapolis except in the time you were in
- 24 Rome studying canon law?
- 25 A. I studied canon law at Catholic University

- 1 of America.
- Q. Excuse me. In Washington, D.C.?
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. You mentioned that you did encourage
- 5 some people or persons to report to law enforcement.
- 6 What do you remember about the person or persons you
- 7 encouraged to report abuse by priests to law
- 8 enforcement?
- 9 A. The one incident that I remember, and,
- 10 again, there's a document in the file, where parents
- 11 who came concerned about their child.
- 12 Q. And what was your title and/or role then?
- 13 A. I would have to have my memory refreshed as
- 14 to what year you're speaking about.
- 15 Q. And tell me what you remember having been
- 16 told by the parents that caused you to advise them to
- 17 go to police?
- 18 A. I don't remember exactly what they said, but
- 19 I know there's a document that would refresh my
- 20 memory.
- Q. Was it a mom and a dad?
- 22 A. I don't remember.
- 23 Q. Do you have any memory of -- what else do
- 24 you remember about that event, other than the fact you
- 25 advised them to go to law enforcement?

Page 17

- 1 A. I remember that there were a parent, two
- 2 parents -- I don't remember -- who, as I call to mind
- 3 today, I suggested that they would call the police.
- 4 Again, I think there's a document that would show
- 5 that.
- 6 Q. Any other instance, while a priest from 1970
- 7 to 1994 of the Archdiocese, where you received
- 8 information that caused you to advise somebody to go
- 9 to the police?
- 10 A. If someone was advised by me, there would be
- 11 a document which would say so, or the people would
- 12 tell you.
- 13 Q. That you recall, Archbishop, that you recall
- 14 having told somebody, "Report this to the police."
- 15 A. Again, it was 30 years, and I don't remember
- 16 with any accuracy.
- 17 Q. Do you remember the parents that did make
- 18 the report to you, that you did advise who the priest
- was that was the subject of the complaint?
- 20 A. It would say so in the document, which I'd
- 21 have to review, but going back 30 years, I would want
- 22 to look at it so I'm not making a mistake about
- 23 anything.
- Q. Well, what do you remember about who the
- 25 priest was?

- 1 A. Well, I talk to many people, so I'll make an
- 2 assumption, but I couldn't do it with any accuracy
- 3 unless I saw the documents.
- Q. Well, Archbishop, I mean, it is fair to say
- 5 that a priest abusing a child and that information
- 6 coming to you was not a daily occurrence in the two,
- 7 almost -- I guess it was 1970 to '94 time frame,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Well, first of all, you're talking about a
- 10 very wide time frame.
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 A. Many of those years in which I was not in
- 13 the chancery, so I'm confused as to what particular
- 14 dates you're talking about.
- 15 Q. Well, you're telling me that you're not able
- 16 to remember anything about such an event having
- 17 happened, other than a report was made to you by
- 18 parents, and you advised them to go to the police,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. That's not correct. What I'm telling you is
- 21 that it was 30 years ago, and I know that when reports
- 22 were made, I prepared a document and sent it to the
- 23 Archbishop, whatever period of time that was. I know
- 24 that I testified in depositions and in court, and I
- 25 would want to look at the documents so that I can be

- 1 accurate in what I say to you.
- 2 Q. Can you tell me today that you have no
- 3 memory of ever having advised anybody to report to the
- 4 police, other than the mom and dad that you just
- 5 described?
- 6 MR. GOLDBERG: Just a minute. I'm going to
- 7 register an objection to that question. As I
- 8 mentioned at the outset, when I was introducing
- 9 myself, you personally, Mr. Anderson, have deposed
- 10 Archbishop Carlson on June 21st, 1985; March 30th,
- 11 1987; April 2nd, 1987; and May 4th, 1987 about each of
- 12 these matters in some detail of which you had over 30
- 13 exhibits marked in those depositions, and I think in
- 14 fairness to the Archbishop, if you want to ask him
- 15 about these things and get specific answers, he needs
- 16 to see these documents, because no human being can be
- 17 expected to remember, regardless of how outrageous
- 18 some of these matters may have appeared, to explain in
- 19 detail those things to you without a reference to
- 20 these depositions 25 to 30 years ago.
- 21 MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Goldberg, just give me a
- 22 legal objection, please.
- 23 MR. GOLDBERG: That's my objection. You
- 24 have it.
- MR. ANDERSON: What was the objection?

Page 20

- 1 MR. GOLDBERG: I'm not going to argue with
- 2 you on the record. You have my statement.
- 3 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) The question to you,
- 4 Archbishop, is your memory, first. Do you have
- 5 anything that impedes your ability to remember? Any
- 6 illness? Any disorder? Any condition that in some
- 7 way impedes your ability to remember events?
- 8 A. Obviously, I can't make either a
- 9 psychological or a physical diagnosis, other than to
- 10 say I have had seven cancer surgeries. Each time I
- 11 received some kind of chemical to put me out for that.
- 12 If that's impeded my memory or not, I have no idea.
- 13 My concern is that what I say to you would be
- 14 accurate, and I would be happy to respond to your
- 15 question if you could show me a document, but with 30
- 16 some years, I would -- I would -- I consider this
- 17 matter so important, I would not want to respond
- 18 unless I can see what I said.
- 19 Q. And I want you to answer questions first
- 20 based on what you do remember and have you tell me as
- 21 accurately as is possible what you do remember. And
- 22 to remember something and say that you don't, you
- 23 would agree is not truthful, correct?
- 24 A. What I'm saying is --
- Q. Would you agree with that first?

- 1 A. What I'm saying and I've said several times,
- 2 I would like to answer your question with accuracy,
- 3 and, therefore, I'd like to refresh my memory.
- Q. I understand what you'd like, but I need you
- 5 to listen to the questions asked. First, would you
- 6 agree to say that you don't remember something when
- you do, in fact, have a memory of it is not telling
- 8 the truth?
- 9 MR. GOLDBERG: I'm going to object to that
- 10 question. It's argumentative.
- 11 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Would you agree with that,
- 12 Archbishop?
- MR. GOLDBERG: The same objection. It's
- 14 argumentative and inappropriate.
- 15 A. I don't understand your question.
- 16 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Would you agree that the
- assertion that you don't remember an event, when, in
- 18 fact, you do remember something about it, is not
- 19 telling the truth?
- 20 MR. GOLDBERG: I object to the form of that
- 21 question. It's argumentative, and it assumes facts
- 22 that aren't in evidence. You're talking about some 30
- 23 some years.
- A. I responded several times, and, in fact,
- 25 I'll quote you from a previous deposition where you

- 1 told me, of course, you can't remember something two
- 2 or three or four years ago exactly. And it's my
- 3 concern that I would share in this deposition what
- 4 took place, and, therefore, if I could review a
- 5 document, I could tell you with great accuracy,
- 6 because I know what is in the documents I wrote.
- 7 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) So what I'm going to -- I
- 8 asked you first is the things you do remember and the
- 9 truth of the things that you do remember, okay, and
- 10 pertinent to this case, and have you tell me the truth
- 11 about what you do remember.
- 12 MR. GOLDBERG: Is that a statement or a
- 13 question?
- MR. ANDERSON: No.
- 15 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) I'm going to just ask you
- 16 to tell me what you do remember, and then we'll have
- an opportunity to review documents when necessary to
- 18 help you refresh recollection to the extent they
- 19 exist. There's some of these things that there are no
- documents, so we need to know what you remember about
- 21 these events. Okay?
- 22 A. As I shared before, there was a parent or
- 23 couple. I don't remember whether it was one or two, I
- 24 don't remember their child's name, but I do remember
- 25 inviting them, if they wanted to, to call the police.

- 1 There may have been others.
- 2 Q. And did you report that to the Archbishop?
- 3 A. I reported everything to the Archbishop that
- 4 ever crossed my desk, and it would have been in a memo
- 5 form, so you would have that.
- 6 Q. And there were instances where you had
- 7 verbal conversations with the Archbishop where you did
- 8 not put it in memo form, correct?
- 9 A. I don't remember whether there was or
- 10 wasn't.
- 11 Q. Beyond the incident in which the mom and/or
- 12 dad made the report to you and you advised them to go
- 13 to the police, are there any other times in which you
- 14 received information where you advised another to go
- 15 to the police with the information pertaining to
- 16 sexual abuse?
- 17 A. I assume that there was.
- 18 Q. And when or what happened?
- 19 A. Again, I told you I don't remember with any
- 20 accuracy any particular dates.
- Q. And do you have any memory of any other
- 22 instances in which -- other than what you have
- 23 described in that one?
- 24 A. I don't, but there may be something in the
- 25 memos.

- Q. Do you have any -- any times in which you
- 2 advised any of the officials or your colleagues in the
- 3 Archdiocese to make a report of suspicions of sexual
- 4 abuse by a cleric to police?
- 5 A. Again, there are several memos that tell you
- 6 what I did. I don't remember with any accuracy.
- 7 Q. So do you have any memory of having done
- 8 that at all?
- 9 A. With any accuracy, I can't say I remember
- 10 something that happened 30 years ago.
- 11 Q. Is a report of abuse by a priest to you as
- 12 another priest and an official in the Archdiocese the
- 13 kind of thing that's out of the ordinary that you
- 14 would think you'd have some memory of today?
- 15 A. Over the course of the years, I've handled
- 16 many things in four different dioceses, and, again, I
- 17 want to be as accurate as possible, so I really can't
- 18 comment unless I can see what I said, and I know there
- 19 are many documents in which I quoted what I said.
- 20 Q. Beyond Tom Adamson, can you give me the name
- 21 of any priest who was reported to you while in the
- 22 Archdiocese of St. Paul/Minneapolis as having
- 23 offended?
- 24 A. The reports on people could come to many
- 25 different people, and I don't remember which ones were

- 1 reported to me or may have been reported to somebody
- 2 else.
- 3 Q. Did you, at any time between 1970 and your
- 4 appointment as Bishop of Sioux Falls in '94 and
- 5 particularly during the time you were an official of
- 6 the Archdiocese, ever meet with any priest who had
- 7 been accused of offending in the course of your
- 8 investigation of it?
- 9 A. Well, first of all, I wasn't in the Chancery
- 10 between 1970 and 1976 when I became Vocation Director,
- 11 so certainly during that period of time, I wouldn't
- 12 have met with anyone.
- 13 Q. So let's limit the question from '76 to 94.
- 14 A. In 1976, I was Vocation Director,
- 15 technically, in the Chancery, but I was at the
- 16 seminary, and then from '77 to '79, I was at the
- 17 Catholic University of America.
- 18 Q. Well, you appeared to be on the Priest
- 19 Personnel Board in 1973?
- 20 A. I was representing the junior clergy of the
- 21 diocese at that time.
- 22 **Q. So --**
- 23 A. I would talk to them, and we were involved
- 24 in moves.
- Q. So in 1973 to '76, what was -- what was your

- 1 assignment?
- A. In 1973, I think I was still at St. Margaret
- 3 Mary's in Golden Valley.
- Q. So you were in the geographical area of the
- 5 Archdiocese?
- 6 A. Since the time I was ordained, I was in
- 7 geographical area of the Archdiocese until late 1993,
- 8 when I moved, or early 1994.
- 9 Q. Didn't you live in D.C. when you studied
- 10 canon law?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. So you were in the geographical limits of
- 13 the Archdiocese except for when you were studying
- 14 canon law in D.C.?
- 15 A. Or went on vacation. It all kind of depends
- 16 on other things.
- Q. Okay. So between '73, when you were at
- 18 St. Margaret Mary in Golden Valley, and during the
- 19 time that you were on the Priest Personnel Board and
- 20 then Vice Chancellor and then later became Chancellor
- 21 and then Auxiliary Bishop, during that time frame, did
- you meet with any priest accused of sexual abuse and
- 23 interview him as a part of your responsibilities to
- 24 investigate?
- A. And the time frame, again, is?

- 1 Q. 1973 to 1994.
- 2 A. I've already mentioned to you that I did
- 3 note somebody, Thomas Adamson, who I met with, and I
- 4 think there may be other documents. There may be
- 5 others, but I don't remember with any accuracy.
- 6 Q. And how many times did you meet with Thomas
- 7 Adamson?
- 8 A. I don't remember.
- 9 Q. And why did you meet with Thomas Adamson?
- 10 A. I met with him either at the request of the
- 11 Archbishop or the Vicar General. There would be a
- 12 memo that would explain to you exactly what I -- why I
- 13 met with him. But I would say no from the trial in
- 14 which I testified that he was involved in sexual
- 15 abuse, but what that particular case was, I don't
- 16 remember.
- 17 Q. And my question to you is, directing your
- 18 attention to the meeting or meetings you personally
- 19 had with him, what can you tell us about the
- 20 circumstances of that meeting and what was said?
- 21 A. I cannot tell you with any accuracy what was
- 22 said or not said. It's my assumption it was over the
- 23 issue of sexual abuse, since that's what I testified
- 24 in the trial.
- 25 Q. And can you tell us today if you met with

- 1 Adamson concerning the sexual abuse allegations more
- 2 than once?
- 3 A. As I remember, I'm sure it was more than
- 4 once, but, again, there would be documents that would
- 5 tell you.
- 6 Q. And how many times do you believe you met
- 7 with him?
- 8 A. I don't remember.
- 9 Q. Where did you meet with him?
- 10 A. I assume the meetings were at the Chancery
- 11 Office.
- 12 Q. Your office?
- 13 A. Or boardroom. I'm not sure. I don't
- 14 remember.
- 15 Q. Was anybody else present in the meeting or
- 16 meetings with Tom Adamson that you do remember?
- 17 A. I don't remember who was or wasn't present.
- 18 Q. Can you tell me what action, if any, you
- 19 took responsive to the meeting or meetings you had
- 20 with Tom Adamson?
- 21 A. The one thing I can say clearly is whatever
- 22 action I took, I always reported it to the Archbishop.
- Q. And when you did, what was the Archbishop's
- 24 response to you?
- 25 A. I don't remember. Of course, if I presented

- 1 many different times, it could have been different
- 2 responses, but I don't remember, but there would be
- 3 something in a memo, I would think.
- 4 Q. Do you have any memory of any occasion in
- 5 which you brought the information obtained in a
- 6 meeting with Tom Adamson to the Archbishop and what
- 7 you said to the Archbishop about that meeting?
- 8 A. I don't remember, but I would put it in a
- 9 memo.
- 10 Q. Do you have any memory of what the
- 11 Archbishop said to you responsive to the information
- 12 you gave him?
- 13 A. Again, I assume that's in a memo. I don't
- 14 remember.
- 15 Q. How many meetings would you estimate you had
- 16 with the Archbishop where the issue was sexual abuse
- 17 by Tom Adamson or another priest and the question was
- 18 how to handle it?
- 19 A. I don't remember how many meetings I had
- 20 with the Archbishop on this topic or any others.
- 21 Q. Can you give any estimate at all?
- 22 A. I don't remember. Any estimate would be a
- 23 simple guess of a number I would pull out of the air.
- Q. Did you discuss the topic of sexual abuse by
- either Tom Adamson or by any other priest who had been

- 1 accused or had offended with any other official
- besides -- other than the Archbishop?
- 3 A. I don't remember with any accuracy, but
- 4 there would be a memo if I did.
- 5 Q. And do you have any memory of having met
- 6 with any other official on how to handle either
- 7 Adamson or any other priest accused of abuse?
- 8 A. I'm sure that if I did meet with somebody,
- 9 it would have been somebody on the Chancery staff, but
- 10 I don't remember who I met with or didn't meet with 32
- 11 years ago.
- 12 Q. You made reference to one time there may
- 13 have been a meeting with the Vicar General present.
- 14 Who do you think that would have been?
- 15 A. It would depend what year you're talking
- 16 about.
- Q. Do you have a memory of who the Vicar
- 18 General was that was involved in some way either with
- 19 Adamson or another priest accused?
- 20 A. During the time -- what would be the years?
- 21 Because there were a couple of different Vicar
- 22 Generals.
- Q. Well, you're the one that made reference to
- 24 the Vicar General, so I'm trying to determine who
- 25 you're referring to when you said the Vicar General

- 1 may have been there.
- 2 A. I could answer your question if you give me
- 3 a year.
- 4 Q. My question is what Vicar Generals, if any,
- 5 were involved with you in investigating or handling
- 6 allegations of sexual abuse?
- 7 A. I don't remember if any of the Vicar
- 8 Generals were involved. I may have made a report.
- 9 During the time I was in the Chancery, there were two,
- 10 Monsignor Ambrose Hayden and Father Michael O'Connell.
- 11 Q. And any other officials besides Ambrose
- 12 Hayden and Michael O'Connell and, of course, the
- 13 Archbishop, to whom you all answered, that had
- 14 responsibility for investigating and handling
- 15 allegations of sexual abuse?
- 16 A. If there was, I assume that there's a memo
- 17 that talks about it. The personnel director may have
- 18 been involved.
- 19 Q. And who are you referring to there?
- 20 A. It depends on the year.
- Q. Do you remember what personnel directors
- were involved in the sexual abuse allegation
- 23 investigations?
- 24 A. I don't remember with any accuracy if they
- 25 were involved in sexual abuse allegations. I do

Page 32

- 1 remember who the various personnel directors were.
- 2 Q. Who were they?
- 3 A. In 1973, it was Father Bill Kinney. I
- 4 believe, but could be mistaken, when I came back from
- 5 studying canon law, that at some point Michael
- 6 Kennedy. He was replaced by Michael Korf.
- 7 Q. Any others?
- A. There may be others. I don't remember.
- 9 Those are the ones I remember.
- 10 Q. What were the dates that Kennedy would have
- 11 been a personnel director?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- 13 Q. Dates on Korf?
- 14 A. I don't know, but he replaced Kennedy, I
- 15 think, or vice-versa. Those are the people.
- 16 MR. GOLDBERG: Just so we're clear, all of
- 17 your questions up to this point are strictly
- 18 St. Paul/Minneapolis?
- 19 MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
- 20 MR. GOLDBERG: Okay. Since he's been other
- 21 places, I just wanted to make sure we were all sure on
- 22 that.
- 23 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Archbishop, because you
- 24 have some memories of having been involved with
- Adamson, then I am going to ask you to tell me what

- 1 you do remember about information coming to you
- 2 concerning him and what involvement you had and/or
- 3 what action you took responsive to that information.
- 4 MR. GOLDBERG: I'm going to object to the
- 5 form of the question. It's compound. If you
- 6 understand it, you can answer it.
- 7 A. Okay. As I shared with you before, I very
- 8 carefully documented everything. I've been in four
- 9 different dioceses. I don't remember with any
- 10 accuracy, but as I shared with you just a few minutes
- 11 ago, I do remember Thomas Adamson. I know it involved
- 12 sexual abuse because I testified in a trial.
- 13 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) So then tell me what you
- 14 do remember today about what interactions you had or
- 15 actions you took or anything you did or were involved
- in concerning Tom Adamson, what you can remember
- 17 today.
- 18 A. I don't remember with any accuracy what I
- 19 did or didn't do, but there are memos that would
- 20 explain that.
- 21 Q. Is your memory completely blank concerning
- 22 Adamson and what your involvement was in that?
- 23 A. My memory is not blank. You're asking to
- 24 remember with accuracy what I said or didn't say 30
- 25 years ago.

- Q. No. I'm asking you to tell me what you do
- 2 remember.
- 3 A. Well, then, I don't understand your
- 4 question.
- 5 Q. What do you remember about Tom Adamson and
- 6 what your involvement with him was?
- 7 A. I remember he was accused of sexual abuse.
- 8 That's the trial I participated in. I remember at one
- 9 point in the trial, you highlighted the fact that
- 10 Archbishop Roach and I disagreed over how it should be
- 11 handled, but I don't remember how that -- you know,
- 12 how that was exactly. Those are probably the two
- 13 things that come to mind. And as I've already shared,
- 14 I do remember that I asked one couple that I remember
- 15 that they should go to the police, and I may have
- 16 asked others.
- 17 Q. You do have some memory of the trial, and
- 18 that trial happened in 1990. What do you remember,
- 19 other than having met with the parents or something
- 20 having to do with Adamson and the parents between that
- 21 meeting and the trial in 1990? What do you remember
- about that time frame? We're talking about '73 to
- 23 1990 and anything that you did or any interactions you
- 24 had or any action you took, anything you remember
- 25 about Adamson and what was done and your involvement

- 1 in it.
- 2 A. Well, first of all, there would have been
- 3 nothing between 1973 and 1976, because when I was on
- 4 the Personnel Board, I was representing younger
- 5 priests, as I remember. After that time, while I
- 6 Vocation Director and Vice Chancellor, I took care of
- 7 marriage papers, and that was pretty much the extent
- 8 of what I did. And other than that, I was at Vocation
- 9 Office, which was located at the St. Paul Seminary.
- 10 Following that, I went to Catholic
- 11 University, so to about 1979, August of '79, when I
- 12 came back. And at some point, I don't remember the
- 13 date. I met with Thomas Adamson. What exactly was
- 14 said or who it was that the trial was about, I don't
- 15 remember.
- 16 Q. And is there anything else that you do
- 17 remember that you did, said or were involved with
- 18 concerning Adamson between '73 and '90?
- 19 A. Again, I would say it would have to have
- 20 been after 1979, because I don't think there was
- 21 anything before that that I can remember.
- 22 Q. I'm going to refer to an exhibit, and
- 23 perhaps that will help. I'm going to place before you
- 24 what we marked for purposes of identification an
- 25 exhibit, Archbishop. We marked it 297. And this is

- 1 an exhibit that came from the file of Tom Adamson, and
- 2 at the top of it, you can see it is "Personnel Board
- 3 Meeting, Friday, February 6, 1976. Do you recognize
- 4 this as the kind of thing that the Personnel Board
- 5 kept, then, as a part of their minutes?
- A. I'm not sure there were ever minutes kept,
- 7 but maybe there were. It looks to me like it's a
- 8 report because of what's at the top of the page from
- 9 Father Kennedy.
- 10 Q. And there's a number of the part after
- 11 Father Kennedy that has been blacked out or whited
- 12 out, but at the bottom, what has not been pertains to
- 13 Father Thomas Adamson. Let me read it and then ask
- 14 you a question about that. Okay? And if you'd like
- 15 to read along, you can, but I'll read it. And it
- 16 says, "Father Thomas Adamson, priest from Winona
- 17 Diocese." First, do you remember in 1976, while on
- 18 the Personnel Board, that Tom Adamson had come from
- 19 the dioceses of Winona and was a priest at the Diocese
- 20 of Winona?
- 21 A. I have no memory, and, in fact, I am
- 22 surprised at the date.
- 23 Q. It goes on to state, "Archbishop Roach
- 24 referred a letter to the Board from Bishop Watters of
- 25 Winona in which he asked if Father Adamson could be

- 1 assigned here one year or possibly two years." Do you
- 2 remember receiving that information, either as a
- 3 member of the Personnel Board or from other sources?
- 4 A. I do not.
- 5 MR. WIESER: I'm going to register a
- 6 continuing objection, lack of foundation, objection as
- 7 to form with regard to this exhibit.
- 8 MR. BRAUN: I concur.
- 9 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) It goes on to state, "He
- 10 is a very good priest, has been a pastor, is engaged
- 11 in marriage encounter work and is ready for assignment
- 12 March 1st." Do you have memory of that Archbishop?
- 13 A. I don't.
- 14 O. You'll see that this exhibit is dated
- 15 **February 6, 1976?**
- 16 A. I see the date, yes.
- Q. And you also see that Archbishop Roach, it's
- 18 being recorded that he's referring to a letter. I'll
- 19 now direct you to what's been marked as Exhibit 296,
- and we will put that before you. And Exhibit 296 is
- 21 dated February 5th, 1976, the day before the note I
- 22 just read you in Exhibit 297, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And it's addressed to the Most Reverend John
- 25 R. Roach, then the Archbishop, correct?

- 1 A. Correct, that's what it states.
- Q. And it's on the stationery of the Diocese of
- 3 Winona, correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And you then knew the Bishop of the Diocese
- 6 of Winona to be Loras Watters?
- 7 A. I assume I did.
- 8 Q. And you will see it's signed. It's not a
- 9 very clear signature, but it is signed by Watters,
- 10 identified as the Bishop of Winona?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And you'll see in the lower left-hand
- 13 corner, it's copied to the Personnel Board. Do you
- 14 see that?
- 15 A. I see that.
- 16 Q. The letter states, "Dear Archbishop Roach,
- 17 As you recall from our telephone conversation of two
- 18 weeks ago, Reverend Thomas Adamson completed his work
- 19 at the University of Minnesota and is now available
- 20 for full-time pastoral work." Does that information
- 21 copied to the Personnel Board refresh your
- 22 recollection about the status of Adamson at that time?
- 23 A. First of all, I have no memory of ever
- 24 seeing this letter, and it would not be the policy of
- 25 the personnel director to get copies of all those

- 1 letters. He may have seen it, but I don't think we
- 2 ever saw it.
- 3 Q. Well, the Personnel Board note says that at
- 4 Exhibit 297, it says, "Archbishop Roach referred a
- 5 letter to the Board from Watters."
- 6 MR. WIESER: Before -- excuse me. Are you
- 7 done with your question?
- 8 MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
- 9 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) So do you have --
- MR. ANDERSON: No, I'm not done.
- MR. WIESER: Okay.
- 12 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Do you have memory of this
- 13 letter being referred to the Board at that time?
- 14 MR. WIESER: Excuse me. Before you answer,
- 15 Archbishop, I'm just going to again interpose an
- 16 objection. Again, lack of foundation based upon the
- 17 Archbishop's testimony; a continuing objection on the
- 18 basis of form as well.
- MR. ANDERSON: You can have a continuing
- 20 objection on form. Just don't keep making --
- 21 MR. WIESER: I'll make my objections as
- 22 necessary. Thank you.
- MR. ANDERSON: Well, do you want to continue
- 24 or not?
- MR. WIESER: Again, I'm just going to do

- 1 this based upon the exhibit that you're introducing at
- 2 this point, so --
- 3 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) At the second paragraph,
- 4 it says -- excuse me, at the second sentence of the
- 5 first paragraph, it says, "I and Father Adamson are
- 6 both grateful to you for your willingness to have
- 7 Father Pierre and Father Kinney discuss possible
- 8 assignments with him."
- 9 Archbishop, do you remember learning that
- 10 Adamson was seeing Father Ken Pierre?
- 11 A. I do not remember that.
- 12 Q. Do you remember meeting with Ken Pierre
- 13 concerning Adamson?
- 14 A. I may have, but I have no memory of a
- 15 meeting.
- 16 Q. You knew Ken Pierre then to be a priest at
- 17 the Archdiocese of St. Paul/Minneapolis and also a
- 18 psychologist at the Consultation Services Center?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. The second paragraph -- I'll read it and
- 21 then ask you a question. It states "Our Priests'
- 22 Personnel Board and I have been in close contact with
- 23 Father Adamson, and all of us agree that for at least
- 24 a year or two, he needs to be engaged in pastoral work
- 25 outside the Diocese of Winona."

- 1 My having read that to you, Archbishop, does
- 2 that refresh your recollection of the circumstances
- 3 that the Personnel Board agreed that Adamson needed to
- 4 be outside the Diocese of Winona for at least a year
- 5 or two?
- A. Again, I don't ever remember ever seeing
- 7 this letter, and I don't remember the discussion at
- 8 the Personnel Board, and I don't know what was
- 9 presented to the Personnel Board.
- 10 O. The letter concludes at the second to the
- 11 last paragraph in the second sentence by Watters,
- 12 stating to Roach, "Our brother priests do need help
- 13 from time to time. I am grateful to you for your
- 14 understanding in the case of Father Adamson."
- 15 My question to you is do you remember you
- and the Archbishop and the Personnel Board trying to
- 17 help Adamson and any of those circumstances?
- 18 A. Again, if assignments were suggested, we
- 19 didn't see this letter, so I don't know what
- 20 information we did have.
- Q. When you say "We didn't see this letter,"
- 22 who's the "we" who didn't see this letter?
- 23 A. Usually those things would go to the
- 24 personnel director, but at least, as I remember, and
- 25 as I've shared with you, it was a long time ago. I

- 1 don't remember letters like this being shared with the
- 2 members of the Board.
- 3 Q. Are you denying that as a member of the
- 4 Personnel Board in 1973, that as the note reflects,
- 5 that this letter was shared with the Board, or are you
- 6 saying you just don't remember having --
- 7 A. I don't remember the letter. I have no
- 8 reason to doubt this statement in Exhibit 297. I just
- 9 don't remember.
- 10 Q. Okay. And so my question, then, is today
- 11 you don't remember having seen the letter, but you
- 12 don't dispute that -- if the notes reflect that it was
- shared with the Board, you don't dispute that?
- 14 A. I don't remember. Maybe I wasn't at the
- 15 meeting. I just don't remember.
- 16 Q. Does this refresh your recollection about
- 17 the fact that Adamson had come from Winona, and the
- 18 Bishop of Winona was urging him to stay in the
- 19 Archdiocese of St. Paul/Minneapolis and continue to
- 20 see a psychologist? Does that have any resonance with
- 21 you?
- 22 A. My first memory, and this does refresh that
- 23 somewhat, is that he was there taking some course at
- 24 the University of Minnesota, but that's all I
- 25 remember.

- 1 Q. And why was he at the University of
- 2 Minnesota?
- 3 A. I think if he was studying family life or
- 4 something.
- Q. And at the time that you learned that he was
- 6 at the University of Minnesota in coursework, did you
- 7 also receive information that he had problems in the
- 8 Diocese of Winona preceding his attendance at the U?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Why did you think he was at the University
- of Minnesota and in the Archdiocese in
- 12 St. Paul/Minneapolis, having been a priest at the
- 13 Diocese of Winona?
- 14 A. It was not uncommon for dioceses to send
- 15 priests from many different places to study at the
- 16 University of Minnesota, so I actually didn't think
- 17 much of it.
- 18 Q. And so at any time, were you informed that
- 19 Adamson could not go back to the Diocese of Winona?
- 20 A. I may have been, but if I was, there'd be a
- 21 document that would say.
- Q. Do you remember that?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. At any time, were you informed that Adamson
- was seeing Ken Pierre for problems?

- 1 A. I may have been, but I don't remember when.
- 2 Q. And do you have any memory of having been
- 3 informed of the fact that he was seeing Ken Pierre?
- 4 A. I don't have any memory. There might be a
- 5 document.
- 6 Q. Do you have any memory of ever having asked
- 7 Adamson why he was in the Archdiocese of St. Paul/
- 8 Minneapolis and/or couldn't go back to Winona?
- 9 A. As I sit here today, I don't remember asking
- 10 that question. If I did, there would be a document
- 11 that would say so.
- 12 Q. Did you ever ask Adamson if he had sexually
- 13 abused kids?
- 14 A. I assume I did, but if I did, there'd be a
- 15 document that would state that.
- 16 Q. Do you have any memory of having asked him
- 17 the question?
- 18 A. Again, I assume I did. I don't remember
- 19 what I said or what he said, but there would be a
- 20 document that would say so.
- Q. So I don't want you to assume. I just want
- you to tell me what your best recollection is today
- 23 and answer it this way. What is your best
- 24 recollection today of having asked Adamson if he had
- 25 sexually abused any kids?

- 1 A. Again, if I did, there would be a document
- 2 that would say so.
- 3 Q. But I'm talking about what you remember
- 4 today.
- 5 A. I don't remember asking him the question.
- 6 Q. Is it fair to say that you were kind of the
- 7 point guy for handling sex abuse claims on behalf of
- 8 the Archdiocese and the Archbishop in the 1980s?
- 9 A. That would not be accurate. There were
- 10 several, I believe. I, certainly, and I believe
- 11 Father McDonough.
- 12 Q. And at some point Father Korf and O'Connell
- 13 became involved in that as well?
- 14 A. I don't remember with any accuracy, but I --
- 15 as I -- I vaguely remember that they did.
- 16 MR. GOLDBERG: Do you mind if we take a
- 17 break for a minute or two?
- 18 MR. ANDERSON: Do you want to take a break?
- 19 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:12. We're off
- 20 the record.
- 21 (Whereupon a break was taken.)
- 22 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:21. We are
- 23 now back on the record.
- 24 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Archbishop, when it comes
- 25 to the events concerning Tom Adamson and your

- 1 involvement in them, why do you think your memory is
- 2 so lacking when it comes to what happened and your
- 3 involvement in it?
- 4 MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, argumentative.
- 5 There's no evidence that his memory is lacking.
- 6 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Well, why do you think
- 7 that you have told me so many times that you don't
- 8 remember any events concerning Adamson that occurred
- 9 in the '70s?
- 10 A. Well, Jeff, accuracy is very important to
- 11 me, and I know that the memos would accurately reflect
- 12 what I said or did, and you're asking me to remember
- 13 something that was 32 years ago, and I can't remember
- 14 that with any accuracy. I think this is a very
- 15 important discussion, and I want to make sure we have
- 16 the facts as they were then.
- 17 Q. You do recall that in 1985, I took your
- 18 deposition in the matter of Greg Riedle?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And asked you questions concerning what you
- 21 knew back then about that matter?
- 22 A. I didn't remember he was the one, but I do
- 23 remember Greg Riedle.
- Q. The record reflects that was there was a
- 25 deposition taken of you on June 21st, 1985. You have

- 1 no reason to dispute that; do you?
- 2 A. I don't.
- 3 Q. Did Bishop Watters have discussions with you
- 4 about your testimony and his imminent, upcoming
- 5 deposition following the deposition you gave in June
- 6 of 1985?
- 7 A. That may have happened. I don't remember
- 8 with any accuracy. I have no reason to doubt it
- 9 didn't -- it did.
- 10 Q. What do you remember about discussions with
- 11 Bishop Watters?
- 12 A. At some point, and I don't remember the
- 13 date, I went to the Archbishop, and I said, "You know,
- 14 I don't understand what's going on." And he may have
- 15 met with him, or we both met with him. I don't
- 16 remember.
- 17 Q. And what made you say you didn't understand
- 18 what was going on?
- 19 A. As I shared before, I always thought he was
- 20 studying at the University of Minnesota. At least
- 21 that's what I was led to believe.
- 22 Q. And then you received information otherwise
- 23 that caused you to discuss it with the Archbishop.
- 24 What was that?
- 25 A. Well, you had brought to mind the name the

ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON **CONFIDENTIAL** 5/23/2014

Page 48

- 1 Greg Riedle, and I know I met with him. And he said
- 2 that he had been abused by Father Adamson.
- 3 Q. Is Greg Riedle now the name of the parents
- 4 that you advised to go to the police?
- 5 A. That, I don't remember. There could have
- 6 been others.
- 7 Q. In any case, after having given a deposition
- 8 in June of 1985, there were discussions with yourself
- 9 and Archbishop Roach about the Adamson matter?
- 10 A. I assume there were. I have no reason to
- 11 say there weren't.
- 12 Q. There were discussions between yourself and
- 13 Archbishop Watters, and he called you, did he not, to
- 14 discuss it?
- 15 A. That, I don't remember. The discussion
- 16 could have been with Archbishop Roach.
- Q. Do you recall him, Archbishop Watters,
- 18 calling you in advance of his scheduled deposition to
- 19 ask you about how it went and what it was like and
- what to expect?
- 21 A. I don't remember that.
- Q. Do you recall advising him how to testify?
- 23 A. I don't remember the conversation.
- 24 Q. I'm going to show you an exhibit that we
- 25 have marked as Exhibit 239. And this is the

- 1 deposition taken in the matter of the Riedle vs.
- 2 Adamson, Archdiocese, et al. The file date of it is
- 3 February 12, 1987. As you can see on the cover sheet,
- 4 it is the deposition taken of Loras Watters,
- 5 examination by me, and I will direct your attention to
- 6 Page 55 of the deposition, Archbishop. And the
- 7 numbers are in the upper right-hand corner?
- 8 MR. GOLDBERG: Excuse me. Before we get
- 9 into this, may I simply ask you a question? I notice
- 10 this is not signed, and I notice there's no
- 11 certification behind it. Can you represent that this
- is an accurate copy of the deposition?
- 13 MR. ANDERSON: I do.
- MR. GOLDBERG: All right. But there is an
- 15 original signed somewhere?
- MR. ANDERSON: There is.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Did he make any edits or
- 18 corrections to this?
- 19 MR. ANDERSON: I can't -- I can't address
- 20 that at the moment. I can only say that this is a
- 21 deposition that has been signed, certified, filed with
- 22 the court and speaks for itself.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Well, but it's not signed, is
- 24 my point, but you're saying there is another one
- 25 that's been signed.

- 1 MR. ANDERSON: It is, correct.
- 2 MR. GOLDBERG: But you don't know whether
- 3 it's been amended or not by errata sheets?
- 4 MR. ANDERSON: Well, I can't answer that
- 5 question to you today.
- 6 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) I direct your attention to
- 7 Page 55, Archbishop, and go to Page 54. And at Line
- 8 25, the question is, "Other than Mr. Blahnik, your
- 9 attorney, co-counsel, when did you discuss it with
- 10 Father Adamson?"
- Answer: "Well, we have been in contact, oh,
- 12 perhaps every two weeks. The last time was probably
- 13 ten days ago."
- 14 Question: "Okay. I will get back and ask
- 15 you about that a little later. Have you discussed it
- 16 with anybody else in preparation for this deposition
- 17 today, knowing that you were going to be asked
- 18 questions about it?"
- 19 Answer: "I guess Bishop Carlson, after I
- 20 received his deposition. I said, 'Is that as tough as
- 21 it looks like, you know?'"
- 22 Question: "Is it?"
- 23 Answer: "He said, the best thing you can
- 24 say is 'I don't remember.'"
- 25 Is that what you told Bishop Watters to do

- 1 in his deposition?
- 2 A. I have no knowledge of the discussion. I
- 3 would simply say I don't think I ever said that.
- 4 Q. So do you say it's a coincidence that he
- 5 testified that you told him to -- that the best thing
- 6 he could say is to not remember and that today you
- 7 don't remember?
- 8 A. I never --
- 9 MR. GOLDBERG: Just a minute. I'm going to
- 10 object to the form of that question. It's
- 11 argumentative. There's no foundation, and it's
- 12 hearsay, obviously.
- 13 A. I don't remember having this discussion. I
- 14 don't think I ever said that.
- 15 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) What discussion did you
- 16 have with him?
- 17 A. Again, I don't remember with any accuracy.
- 18 Q. Well, how can you deny having that
- 19 discussion if you can't testify to what discussion you
- 20 did have?
- 21 A. Because I don't think he would have ever
- 22 called me.
- 23 Q. Is it your testimony you never had a
- 24 conversation with Watters?
- 25 A. I don't remember at this time ever having

- 1 any conversation with Watters. If I did, there's a
- 2 document that said so, and if I had this conversation,
- 3 there would be a document that would say so.
- 4 Q. You would document that you had advised him
- 5 to testify under oath that he didn't remember, and
- 6 that's the best thing for him to do?
- 7 A. I don't think we ever had that discussion.
- 8 Q. Would you agree, Archbishop, that if you had
- 9 advised him to testify that he didn't remember things
- 10 that he did remember would be advising him to be less
- 11 than truthful and not tell the truth under oath?
- 12 A. The only advice I would have given -- would
- 13 give anybody is to talk to your attorney.
- 14 Q. I'm going to direct your attention to
- 15 Exhibit 299, and this is for a time reference for your
- 16 use now. And you'll see that 299 is the assignment of
- 17 Tom Adamson by then Archbishop Roach. I'll read the
- 18 first paragraph. It says, "Dear Father Adamson. Upon
- 19 the recommendation of the Personnel Board, I am
- 20 pleased to offer you an assignment in the Archdiocese
- 21 of St. Paul/Minneapolis as an associate pastor of the
- 22 Church of St. Thomas Aquinas at St. Paul Park."
- 23 That's what it says, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And so this would be the official letter of

- 1 assignment by the Archbishop to Adamson at that
- 2 parish, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. You were on the Personnel Board then; were
- 5 you not?
- 6 A. I believe I was.
- 7 Q. The second sentence -- the first -- the
- 8 second paragraph, the first sentence says, "This
- 9 appointment will become effective on Tuesday, June 15,
- 10 1976 at noon. I would ask that you report to the
- pastor, Father Keller, before noon."
- 12 Do you have a recollection of Keller having
- 13 been at that parish and as a member of the Personnel
- 14 Board, Adamson being assigned there?
- 15 A. I don't remember him being assigned there.
- 16 Q. I'm going to direct your attention to
- 17 another exhibit. It's 301, Archbishop. And Exhibit
- 18 301 is dated November 25th, 1980. It's a memo to the
- 19 file of Father Thomas Adamson, and it's from you,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. I'm going to read a portion of it and then
- 23 ask you a question about it. You write, "On Monday,
- 24 November 24th, 1980 Father Korf and I met with Father
- 25 Adamson to discuss the report that Father Wajda

- 1 brought to the Chancery." What can you tell me about
- 2 that meeting with Father Adamson concerning the
- 3 report?
- 4 A. I don't remember the meeting. It took place
- 5 a long time ago, but I have no reason to doubt that
- 6 what I said here was accurate.
- 7 Q. So you have no independent recollection of
- 8 having met with Adamson at the time of this document?
- 9 A. I believe what I wrote at that time to be
- 10 true, but I don't remember the meeting at this time by
- 11 memory.
- 12 Q. It goes on to state, "He admitted the sexual
- 13 contact with Blank." That is the name of the kid is
- 14 blacked out. My question to you is, do you remember
- 15 Adamson admitting to you and Father Korf that he had
- abused this kid when you met with him?
- 17 A. I don't remember it, but if it's here, I
- 18 believe it to be true.
- 19 Q. Well, a priest admitting to you that he had
- abused a kid is a pretty shocking thing; isn't it?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. It's not an ordinary event in the course of
- 23 your official duties in the Archdiocese as a priest,
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Right.

- 1 Q. And it's also correct to say that you have
- 2 no memory of the meeting itself. Is that what you're
- 3 telling me?
- 4 A. I have no memory of the meeting, but as it's
- 5 stated here, it would have been truthful at the time I
- 6 wrote it.
- 7 Q. After the name of the kid that he had
- 8 admitted the sexual contact with, it states, "And I
- 9 pointed out that this activity reflects a pattern
- 10 which is both a gross case of mismanagement on his
- 11 part, and it destroys his long-term effectiveness in
- 12 the Archdiocese." Those were your words as recorded,
- 13 correct?
- 14 MR. WIESER: Actually, you've misstated one
- 15 of the terms in that sentence you just got done
- 16 reading.
- MR. ANDERSON: I'll reread it, then.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) After the name of the
- 19 victim is blacked out on this document, I'll read it
- 20 again and then ask the question. It states, "And I
- 21 pointed out that this activity reflects a pattern
- 22 which is both a gross case of misjudgment on his part
- 23 and it destroys his long-term effectiveness in the
- 24 Archdiocese." Those were your words recorded then,
- 25 correct?

- 1 A. These are my words, which by the typing, you
- 2 can tell I also typed it.
- 3 Q. Yeah. So you did your own memos back then?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. So when you use the term "his admission" to
- 6 you and Father Korf that he had sexually abused the
- 7 kid, and you point out that this activity, sexual
- 8 abuse, reflects a pattern, what was the pattern that
- 9 was known to you that you recorded to be the case on
- 10 November 25th, 1980?
- 11 A. Again, I don't remember why I put that word
- 12 down, but if I put it here, this is what I said.
- 13 Q. You wouldn't put down there was a pattern
- unless there was a pattern, right?
- 15 A. I believe that would be true.
- 16 Q. And you're talking about sexual abuse here,
- so there was a pattern of sexual abuse you're now
- 18 making a record of for the benefit of the file and the
- 19 Archbishop, I trust, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. And as you testify here today, you're not
- able to tell us, or at least remember what the pattern
- 23 was that you recorded in this document?
- A. I don't remember why I used that word, but I
- 25 have no reason to doubt that if I used it, there was

- 1 something.
- Q. And something would be a pattern of sexual
- 3 abuse by Adamson, correct --
- 4 A. I believe that to be true.
- 5 Q. -- of kids --
- A. Well, of people.
- 7 Q. You went on to state, "This behavior cannot
- 8 be tolerated, " correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. It then -- you then wrote, "I told him that
- 11 the Archbishop had asked me to accept his resignation,
- or if he did not give it, to suspend him." Did you
- 13 write that?
- 14 A. I believe the memo to be accurate, yes.
- 15 Q. Do you remember that?
- 16 A. I don't remember it, no.
- 17 Q. Do you remember having ever taken action
- 18 like this or recommending action like this to the
- 19 Archbishop before the date of this memo, November of
- 20 1980?
- 21 A. No, I don't.
- 22 Q. You go on to write, "He asked if another
- 23 course of action was possible, and I spoke with the
- 24 Archbishop." Tell me, do you remember speaking with
- 25 the Archbishop?

- 1 A. I do not.
- 2 Q. Do you remember Adamson asking you to
- 3 consider options, other than a resignation?
- 4 A. I don't.
- 5 Q. You then write, "It was agreed that we would
- 6 meet again on Tuesday in the Archbishop's office at
- 7 10:30 a.m." Do you remember that?
- 8 A. I don't remember the meeting, but I have no
- 9 reason to doubt it didn't take place.
- 10 Q. Okay. And then I think you record, "At this
- 11 meeting, the Archbishop spoke with Father, and it was
- 12 agreed that." So there are six items that you
- 13 recorded there, correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And as you read this memo that you prepared,
- is it fair to say that you attended that meeting, and
- 17 then you made this recording of what was done or said
- 18 at that meeting?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And so when you write, "At this
- 21 meeting, the Archbishop spoke with Father," that means
- 22 with Adamson in your presence, correct?
- 23 A. I'm not sure I was present. I just don't
- 24 know, but obviously spoke with Adamson.
- Q. Well, how else would you know that these six

- 1 points were put down unless you were there?
- 2 A. He could have told me. I don't -- I just
- 3 don't remember, but I have no reason to doubt that
- 4 these are not the points.
- 5 Q. And you don't dispute that you were -- you,
- 6 along with Korf, at this time were in charge. It was
- 7 your responsibility to basically investigate and
- 8 handle this and report to the Archbishop?
- 9 A. I don't think there was anything that
- 10 formal, but, obviously, I was involved in this case.
- 11 Q. So as you read this memo, you don't dispute
- 12 that you were at the meeting. Are you just saying you
- 13 don't remember it?
- 14 A. I don't remember being there, but whatever I
- 15 wrote there would be truthful.
- Q. Okay. So when you write Point No. 1, "It
- was agreed that, number one, Father Adamson would
- 18 begin an immediate evaluation with Father Pierre as to
- 19 the final treatment." You recorded that. Do you
- 20 remember it?
- 21 A. No, but I have no reason to doubt it wasn't
- 22 said.
- Q. Two, you write, "This report will be given
- 24 to the Archdiocese ASAP." Did you write that?
- 25 A. I have no reason to doubt I didn't.

- Q. This connotes a -- some sense of urgency;
- 2 does it not?
- 3 A. As it's printed there, I believe it does.
- Q. Item 3. You wrote, "Father would see his
- 5 Bishop in Winona when the evaluation is finished." Do
- 6 you remember that?
- 7 A. I don't, but, again, I have no reason to
- 8 doubt that was what was said.
- 9 Q. No. 4, you wrote, "Father Carlson would meet
- 10 with Father Adamson and Wajda." Did you write that?
- 11 A. I -- obviously, I wrote it. It's my
- 12 typewriting.
- 13 Q. Okay. Do you remember Father Wajda had
- 14 brought the report of Adamson having abused a kid to
- you that precipitated this meeting?
- 16 A. That's what it says at the top of the memo,
- 17 ves.
- 18 Q. And when it says and you record that you
- 19 would meet with Adamson and Wajda, did you meet with
- 20 Adamson and Wajda?
- 21 A. I assume I did. I don't remember.
- Q. And if you did do as is recorded here, would
- you have made notes of that meeting?
- 24 A. I think I would have.
- Q. Was your practice then to make handwritten

- 1 notes during a meeting and then type them up later?
- 2 A. Yes, usually.
- 3 Q. You wouldn't type during meetings with the
- 4 Archbishop, I trust?
- 5 A. No, I wouldn't. I type like this, so I
- 6 wouldn't.
- 7 Q. I kind of figured. Well, what would you do
- 8 with the handwritten notes?
- 9 A. I would have transcribed them to a typed
- 10 memo, because nobody can read my writing.
- 11 Q. And then what happened to the handwritten
- 12 notes? Were they destroyed?
- 13 A. They would have been shredded or thrown out,
- 14 or I don't know.
- 15 Q. Have any of those notes pertaining to this
- 16 matter at that time or any others like it been
- 17 retained by you, the handwritten notes?
- 18 A. I have no handwritten notes at all, nor
- 19 would I have ever retained them.
- 20 Q. So your practice was to write down the notes
- in the meeting, type it out, or peck it out, as you
- 22 say, and then destroy the handwritten note and keep
- 23 the typewritten copy for the file?
- 24 A. The handwritten notes would have been of no
- 25 use to nobody. Sometimes it wasn't even of any use to

- $1 \quad \text{me.}$
- Q. Item No. 5 is "Father Adamson," it looks
- 3 like "would" -- maybe it's misspelled -- "cease all
- 4 youth involvement." Did I read that correctly?
- 5 A. I believe that's true. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you remember that he was to cease all
- 7 youth involvement?
- 8 A. If it's there, obviously that's what the
- 9 Archbishop told me.
- 10 Q. In your experience, is it really possible
- 11 for a priest to be in a parish and not have some
- 12 involvement with youth?
- 13 A. I don't know. Some do and some don't.
- 14 Q. Have you ever seen a priest, or have you
- 15 ever known a priest to be able to be in a parish where
- 16 they could not have some involvement with youth?
- 17 A. There may be some. I think it would be very
- 18 difficult.
- 19 Q. Is it even possible?
- 20 A. Oh, sure. It's possible.
- Q. How could it be possible?
- 22 A. We have senior priests in parishes that have
- 23 no contact with youth, other than if they're at Mass.
- Q. What could you tell us about the reason
- 25 No. 5 is being imposed, that he was to cease all youth

- 1 involvement?
- 2 A. Well, this is what -- obviously what the
- 3 Archbishop said. We're talking about a report that
- 4 Father Wajda gave at the top of the page, so I assume
- 5 it has to do with that report.
- 6 Q. And -- but other than what is written,
- 7 again, no memory of it?
- 8 A. I have no memory of what Father Wajda said
- 9 with any accuracy, but if he did tell me, I wrote it
- 10 down.
- Q. Item No. 6, you write, "If it gets out any
- 12 further, Father would have to leave." That's correct?
- 13 A. I have no reason to doubt it, that that's
- 14 what the Archbishop said.
- 15 Q. And is the concern there about scandal?
- 16 A. Obviously, I'm sure the Archbishop would be
- 17 concerned about scandal, but what his thinking was,
- 18 other than this statement, I don't know.
- 19 Q. When you recorded it, under the circumstance
- 20 at the time, why would the -- why would the
- 21 information about him having abused getting out any
- 22 further have to cause him to have to leave?
- 23 A. I don't remember. It's what the Archbishop
- 24 would have said, because that's what I wrote.
- 25 Q. There was a concern about the scandal;

- wasn't there? Was there not by the Archbishop?
- 2 A. Again, I don't know what his thinking was.
- 3 I assume that's what it was.
- Q. I'm going to direct your attention to
- 5 Exhibit 302, Archbishop, and it is dated a little
- 6 over, I guess, nine days after this Exhibit 301. And
- 7 the date of this is December 4, 1980. It's a memo to
- 8 the file of Father Adamson from yourself, Robert J.
- 9 Carlson. The subject is Father Adamson/
- 10 Do you remember him to have been the kid who Adamson
- 11 admitted having abused?
- 12 A. I would like to read the memo just to see
- 13 what it says.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Take a minute.
- 15 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Let me just ask you. I
- 16 put it before you to see if it refreshes your memory,
- 17 and I guess I just want to have you listen to that
- 18 question first. Do you actually remember that?
- 19 MR. GOLDBERG: He's got to read it to find
- 20 out if he remembers it.
- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Well, no, I'm asking what
- you remember, and I'll ask you some questions about
- 23 it, but I'm not going to keep you from reading it, but
- 24 I need you to ask [sic] what you remember first.
- 25 A. I know I met with Joe Wajda. I couldn't

- 1 tell you with any accuracy the name of the person,
- 2 but, again, I'll read the memo.
- 3 Q. I think the memo, you know, does reflect
- 4 that there's a report from a Father here, and this is
- 5 your memo prepared by you, and I presume you made some
- 6 handwritten notes and then typewrote this, correct?
- 7 A. I assume that's what I did.
- 8 Q. Okay. There's also a -- the December 1, '80
- 9 notes that you record here concerning and
- 10 Wajda, and then there's the December 4th, '80, a
- 11 Sister Patrice Neuberger. Do you remember engaging
- 12 with her concerning Adamson and all -- and who is she?
- 13 A. Well, Sister Patrice Neuberger was my
- 14 kindergarten teacher.
- 15 **Q.** Okay.
- 16 A. And she's now deceased, but we -- I saw her
- 17 often.
- 18 Q. And so what you wrote was accurate, then.
- 19 The question is do you remember anything about the
- 20 events here?
- 21 A. No, but I would be glad to read it.
- Q. Well, if -- if you think you need it and it
- will refresh your recollection or something, it's
- 24 worth it to have you read it, but -- if you choose to.
- 25 A. I'd like to read it.

- 1 Q. Sure.
- 2 A. Okay. I read it.
- 3 Q. Okay. Is it correct that you recorded that
- 4 -- does your reading it refresh your recollection
- 5 about the events?
- 6 A. It doesn't, but as written, it would have
- 7 been what happened.
- 8 Q. Okay. And so what happened, then, is that
- 9 after the restriction of no youth contact was imposed
- 10 on him by the Archbishop, as recorded by you on
- 11 November 25th, 1980, he's continued to have youth
- 12 contact in violation of that restriction and as is
- 13 reflected in your memo of December 4th, 1980, correct?
- 14 A. My confusion is it says there's a report
- 15 from Father Wajda. That's not here and on this one,
- 16 and so --
- 17 Q. There's an earlier report in the document
- 18 from Wajda.
- 19 A. Well, this is what it is.
- 20 Q. Okay. Do you remember what action you took
- 21 or advice given to the Archbishop pertaining to the
- 22 information in Exhibit 302?
- 23 A. I don't. I assume I sent this to him.
- Q. Yeah. When you prepared it for the file,
- 25 you also prepared it for the Archbishop's eyes. That

- was your practice?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. The records reflect that at some point in
- 4 time -- let's go to Exhibit 303. This one is
- 5 December 9, a few days after 302. The year is 1980,
- 6 and this is a memo to Archbishop Roach from you
- 7 regarding Thomas Adamson. And it begins by -- you
- 8 write, "I have concluded the investigation concerning
- 9 Father Thomas Adamson." So it's correct to say that
- 10 you were charged with the investigation of Adamson,
- 11 correct?
- MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form of that
- 13 question.
- 14 A. Well, I know what I wrote was truthful at
- 15 the time. Whether it was a formal investigation or I
- 16 was asked to do it, so whether it was formal or not, I
- don't know, but I was asked to investigate.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) And you go on to write,
- 19 "Concerning Father Thomas Adamson and the very serious
- 20 charges brought against him by Blank." What are those
- 21 charges?
- 22 A. I don't remember, but I'm sure they were of
- 23 a sexual nature.
- Q. Criminal sexual conduct to minors; wasn't
- 25 it?

- 1 A. I can't make a decision as to what it is in
- 2 law, but it was serious sexual abuse.
- 3 Q. You go on to write, "I have spoken to Father
- 4 Wajda, the Associate Pastor of Immaculate Conception
- 5 of Columbia Heights; Sister Patrice, the parish worker
- 6 at Immaculate Conception; Blank, which would be a
- 7 victim or father or a parent." And then you write,
- 8 "All of these people requested to see me." Do you
- 9 remember those people requesting to see you?
- 10 A. No, but I have no doubt that they did.
- 11 Q. You then write "On Friday, December 5th,
- 12 1980, I met with Father Ken Pierre concerning his
- 13 evaluation of the session with Father Adamson." It's
- 14 correct that you record that you knew that Ken Pierre
- 15 had been evaluating and seeing Adamson, and you had
- 16 permission to meet with him, and you did, correct?
- 17 A. I assume I did. It says I did.
- 18 Q. Go to the fourth paragraph. I'm going to
- 19 read it and then ask you a question concerning what
- 20 you wrote. You write, "I have discussed the incident
- 21 with Blank, and Father Adamson was as truthful as he
- 22 had to be." What did you mean by that?
- 23 A. I think exactly what it says. If he thought
- 24 I knew something, he said something.
- 25 Q. And you write -- go on to write, "Father

- 1 Pierre also indicated to me that there have been other
- 2 incidents of this nature." What does that refers to?
- 3 A. I think it refers back to the sexual abuse.
- 4 Q. And what do you remember about how many
- 5 other incidents Father Pierre related to you there had
- 6 been of sexual abuse by Adamson?
- 7 A. I don't remember.
- 8 Q. Do you remember what action was taken at
- 9 that time responsive to this by the Archbishop?
- 10 A. Only because I turned the page. It must
- 11 have been inpatient therapy.
- 12 O. But that's reflective of the next
- 13 document -- excuse me -- the next page. But my
- 14 question to you is do you have any memory, independent
- 15 memory of that?
- 16 A. I don't.
- 17 Q. You do know that he ultimately was
- 18 transferred to another parish; do you not, by the
- 19 Archbishop?
- 20 A. I believe that happened. I can't tell you
- 21 what parish.
- 22 Q. The records reflect it was Risen Savior.
- 23 Does that refresh anything for you?
- A. (No response.)
- Q. Before Adamson was assigned to Risen Savior,

- 1 and after he was removed from Immaculate Conception,
- 2 and during that time, do you have any memory of
- 3 yourself or any official of the Archdiocese having
- 4 reported what's reflected in the memos prepared by you
- 5 and the meetings held being reported to law
- 6 enforcement?
- 7 MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form of the
- 8 question. It's compound.
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Do you recall being
- 11 concerned or the Archbishop or any other official
- 12 involved with Adamson at that time, being concerned
- 13 about him being criminally prosecuted, that being
- 14 public and there being scandal concerning it?
- MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form of that
- 16 question.
- 17 MR. WIESER: Join in the objection.
- 18 A. I think anytime you're dealing with
- 19 something like this, there's always a concern about
- 20 scandal. I assume it existed in this case. I don't
- 21 remember.
- 22 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) There is a former priest
- 23 from the Diocese of Winona who was then administrator
- 24 at the Guardian Angels. His name is Jim Fitzpatrick.
- 25 Do you remember Jim Fitzpatrick?

- 1 A. The name's familiar.
- Q. He has reported to us that you placed a call
- 3 to Ken LaVan at Guardian Angels. Do you remember Ken
- 4 LaVan?
- 5 A. I remember Ken LaVan.
- 6 Q. He's also reported that the call to Ken
- 7 LaVan was overheard by him, and you were trying to
- 8 find a parish to place Adamson in and asked LaVan to
- 9 take Adamson. My question to you is do you recall
- 10 having made such a call to Ken LaVan?
- 11 MR. GOLDBERG: I object to the form of the
- 12 question. I'm not sure what report has been made to
- 13 you or what you're referring to, but --
- MR. ANDERSON: I'm asking the witness what
- 15 he knows.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Well, you're referencing a
- 17 report, sir, that hasn't been produced.
- 18 A. It would be very unusual for me to be making
- 19 calls to parishes for placement. Usually that would
- 20 be the Personnel Board Director. I don't remember
- 21 making the call.
- 22 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) And so do you recall
- 23 making an effort to find another parish where Adamson
- 24 could be in the Archdiocese?
- 25 A. No. And I think someplace there's a

ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON **CONFIDENTIAL** 5/23/2014

Page 72

- 1 document where I was removed from the case because I
- 2 opposed him being moved to another parish.
- 3 Q. What do you remember about that?
- 4 A. I remember I was no longer involved, and
- 5 Father Korf took it over.
- 6 Q. Who removed you from the case?
- 7 A. The Archbishop. I believe I testified to
- 8 that.
- 9 Q. Why were you opposed to him being moved to a
- 10 parish, another parish?
- 11 A. Very obvious. There's reports of sexual
- 12 abuse of young people.
- 13 Q. Fitzpatrick has also indicated that he
- 14 reported to Ken LaVan and thus to you that if Adamson
- 15 was placed at that parish, he would resign, because he
- 16 knew that Adamson had abused kids back in Winona and
- 17 had reported it to Fitzgerald. Do you have any memory
- 18 of having received that information either from LaVan
- 19 or Fitzgerald at that time?
- 20 MR. GOLDBERG: I object to the form of the
- 21 question. There's no time frame as to "at that time."
- 22 There's no foundation that the Archbishop has ever
- 23 spoken with Mr. Fitzpatrick or has a memory of it.
- 24 And if you have a document, it should be produced to
- 25 the witness.

- 1 A. I was never working at the Chancery when
- 2 Bishop Fitzgerald was alive.
- 3 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Okay. But I'm talking
- 4 about having been told by Ken LaVan, "We can't take
- 5 him here, because Jim Fitzpatrick will resign and make
- 6 a stink."
- 7 A. Again, I -- I don't know whether that
- 8 conversation took place or not, but I believe it would
- 9 have been with the personnel director, not me.
- 10 Q. Sometime later, did you follow up -- did you
- 11 make a call to Jim Fitzpatrick after the Adamson case
- 12 became quite public in 1987, '88 and making a call to
- 13 Jim Fitzpatrick's and having a meeting with him at
- 14 Jack's Cafe?
- 15 A. No, but it would be a great place to have
- 16 lunch.
- 17 Q. He thought it was. He said you ordered the
- 18 steak sandwich, the top of the menu. Do you remember
- 19 **that?**
- 20 A. That was before I had to deal with
- 21 cholesterol.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any memory at all,
- 23 Archbishop, of having had a meeting with Jim
- 24 Fitzpatrick after the Adamson thing became quite
- 25 public and a discussion with him about his knowledge

- of it and what he might do or not do?
- 2 MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form of that
- 3 question.
- 4 A. I have no reason to say I did or didn't. I
- 5 liked Jim Fitzpatrick, as I can kind of remember.
- 6 I've had no contact with him for 20 or 25 years, but
- 7 as I think about it, if he had some information, I
- 8 think I would have told him to go to the police, but I
- 9 don't remember.
- 10 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) I'm going to show you
- 11 Exhibit 319. And Exhibit 319, Archbishop, is dated
- 12 February 2, 1981. It's a letter from Archbishop
- 13 Roach. It's copied to you, to Adamson, and it says,
- 14 "I am pleased to appoint you as an Associate Pastor of
- 15 the Church of the Risen Savior, Apple Valley,
- 16 effectively immediately." You obviously received
- 17 this, correct?
- 18 A. It says at the bottom that I was copied.
- 19 Q. And do you remember receiving this?
- 20 A. I don't remember receiving it, but I have no
- 21 reason to doubt I didn't.
- 22 Q. Do you remember being ticked off when you
- 23 saw that he had been assigned to another parish
- 24 after -- after what you had recorded and learned?
- 25 A. I don't remember if this was the time. I do

ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON **CONFIDENTIAL** 5/23/2014

Page 75

- 1 remember being very upset and at some point was
- 2 removed from everything.
- 3 Q. Who did you express your upset to?
- 4 A. Well, if I was removed, I must have
- 5 expressed it to the Archbishop, but I don't remember
- 6 doing it.
- 7 Q. Why were you upset?
- 8 A. I was upset for a couple of different
- 9 reasons. One, as I think about it, when he went to a
- 10 counselor, I thought the counselor would say, you
- 11 know, he can't continue. But in those days, in my
- 12 opinion, I think counselors did a disservice. I don't
- 13 think they know what they were dealing with. Do I
- 14 remember that particularly? No, but it's a feeling I
- 15 have. Do you know what I mean? It's not a memory.
- 16 It's a feeling, and he was obviously reassigned.
- 17 Q. And you were also upset because you knew the
- 18 Archbishop was making a choice to put kids at risk?
- 19 A. I don't remember that, but that was
- 20 certainly part of it. I was involved with the youth
- 21 ministry at one time.
- 22 Q. This assignment letter, the third paragraph
- 23 states, "This appointment will not be published in the
- 24 'Catholic Bulletin' at this time." Now, that means
- 25 that it would not be publicly known that he was being

- 1 assigned there. Do you remember why the choice was
- 2 being made by the Archbishop and copied to you that
- 3 this would not be published?
- 4 A. There are two possibilities.
- 5 Q. But do you remember? I'm not asking you to
- 6 speculate.
- 7 A. No, I don't remember.
- 8 Q. Okay. Would you agree that this document
- 9 reflects an effort by the Archbishop to keep the
- 10 assignment under the radar because of the history
- already known to the Archdiocese?
- MR. WIESER: Object to the form. It calls
- 13 for speculation.
- 14 MR. GOLDBERG: I'll join in that objection.
- 15 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) You can answer.
- 16 A. Again, there would be two reasons. One, it
- 17 could be a very temporary assignment, and I don't
- 18 remember whether it was or wasn't; and secondly, for
- 19 whatever reason, the Archbishop didn't want it known.
- Q. If it's a temporary assignment, he's
- 21 assigned as an administrator, right?
- 22 A. No. He's assigned at an associate pastor,
- 23 and that's often a temporary assignment.
- Q. Yeah, but it's a temporary assignment that
- 25 says temporary assignment as associate pastor; doesn't

ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON **CONFIDENTIAL** 5/23/2014

Page 77 1 it? 2 MR. WIESER: Objection, foundation. 3 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) In your experience? Sometimes yes and sometimes no. Α. It doesn't say "temporary" here? Q. It doesn't have to. Α. 7 It doesn't look like it; does it? Q. I don't remember, but it is what it is. 8 Α. Okay. But if it's not a temporary Q. 10 assignment, it's really, then, an effort to make sure 11 the people -- it's an effort to keep this thing under 12 the radar and to avoid scandal; isn't it? MR. GOLDBERG: I'm going to object to the 13 form of that question, speculation. 14 15 As I shared, there's two reasons. Α. 16 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) I'm going to refer you to 17 Exhibit 304, Archbishop. And this one is dated June 29th, 1984, and it's a memo to Archbishop John R. 18 19 Roach from you, then Bishop Carlson, on the subject of 20 Father Tom Adamson. And you write, "On June 28 [sic], 21 1984, I was contacted by Mr. Paul Ringsmuth, 22 Vocational Rehab Counselor at St. Cloud Reformatory to 23 inform me that one of the inmates, Gregory Riedel, serving 13 months for rape, was the victim of sexual 24 25 abuse from the age of 14 to 16 ending in 1982 by

- 1 Thomas Adamson." You wrote that, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 MR. GOLDBERG: Excuse me. For the record,
- 4 you misstated the date. It's June 29, 1984, so we
- 5 don't get confused.
- 6 MR. ANDERSON: I stand corrected. Thank
- 7 you.
- 8 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) So do you remember this?
- 9 A. I don't remember the meeting, but I have no
- 10 reason to doubt this.
- 11 Q. You go on to write, "This began when he was
- 12 a priest serving in St. Paul Park and continued at IC,
- 13 Columbia Heights." IC stands for Immaculate
- 14 Conception, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. You go on to write, "Some of the incidents
- 17 took place while he was seeing Father Pierre and while
- 18 we had him in counseling with Dr. Gendron." That's
- 19 what you wrote, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Does this refresh your recollection that he
- 22 had not only been required to see Pierre, but he also
- 23 had seen Dr. Gendron at St. Mary's?
- 24 A. It does refresh my memory. I did send him
- 25 to Dr. Gendron.

- Q. And you had some kind of relationship that
- you knew Gendron from having worked with other
- 3 priests?
- 4 A. I'm not sure if it was having worked with
- 5 other priests. We were on the same board, because I
- 6 was on the St. Mary's Board for a while.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do you remember why you selected
- 8 Gendron to send Adamson to?
- 9 A. No, unless, you know, I knew him.
- 10 Q. The last -- you'll recall that Adamson was
- 11 to not have contact with youth in the earlier memos,
- 12 and this clearly is -- well, let me ask you this.
- 13 Look at the last paragraph in this memo, and I'll read
- 14 it and then ask you a question. You write, "The
- 15 statute of limitations does not run out for two and a
- 16 half years." You wrote that, correct?
- 17 A. I wrote it.
- 18 Q. Why did you calculate the criminal statute
- 19 of limitations?
- 20 MR. GOLDBERG: Just a moment. I'm going to
- 21 object to form. There's no foundation to that
- 22 question whatsoever.
- 23 A. The way I would write these memos, I would
- 24 write what people told me, so I assume they said that.
- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Who told you that criminal

- statute of limitations would run out in two and a half
- 2 years?
- 3 A. I believe it was the parents.
- 4 Q. You go on to write, "The mother and father
- 5 are considering reporting this to the police." What
- 6 makes you think that the parents told you that and not
- 7 some official or attorney for the Archdiocese?
- 8 A. Because I would have written down what the
- 9 parents told me. If somebody else told me that, I
- 10 would have written that down.
- 11 Q. So you don't know -- did you do the
- 12 calculation of two and a half years on the statute of
- 13 limitations?
- 14 A. No. I believe that's what the parents told
- 15 me.
- 16 Q. Why do you believe that? Do you have a
- 17 memory of that?
- 18 A. No, because I write down on things -- what
- 19 people tell me, and that's what it says.
- Q. Well, the way this is written, first, the
- 21 statute of limitations does not run out for two and a
- 22 half years, which means this guy, if reported, could
- 23 be prosecuted, right?
- MR. GOLDBERG: Objection. There's no
- 25 foundation for that.

- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Isn't that what it means,
- 2 Archbishop?
- 3 MR. GOLDBERG: It could be -- there are many
- 4 statutes of limitations, as you well know,
- 5 civil/criminal.
- 6 MR. ANDERSON: This is criminal statute of
- 7 limitations.
- 8 A. I'm just stating what the parents told me.
- 9 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Let's just talk about what
- 10 you wrote. Okay? When you write, "The statute of
- 11 limitations does not run out for two and a half
- 12 years," what that means as you wrote it then was that
- 13 there were two and a half years in which this guy,
- 14 Adamson, could be prosecuted for the crime against
- 15 Riedle as reported above, correct?
- MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form, no
- 17 foundation.
- 18 A. Again, this is what the parents told me.
- 19 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Is that a fair reading of
- what you wrote, though?
- 21 A. I don't remember. I just wrote what they
- 22 said, and I have no reason to doubt that's what they
- 23 said.
- Q. Well, you do not attribute the first
- 25 sentence to the parents here; do you?

- 1 A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. So you have no memory of what the
- 3 parents told you; do you?
- 4 A. Perhaps that's what Paul Ringsmuth said.
- 5 Q. Okay. So let's get to what you do remember.
- 6 You don't remember how you had learned that statute of
- 7 limitations was two and a half years?
- 8 A. Somebody told me.
- 9 Q. Okay. And you recorded that, but you don't
- 10 know who told you that, correct?
- 11 A. Well, in reading the memo, whoever told me,
- 12 this is what was said. Whether it was this
- 13 Mr. Ringsmuth or the parents, that I don't remember.
- 14 Q. Okay. I just want to know if you know -- if
- 15 you calculated that, and if you didn't, if you can
- 16 remember who calculated it that led you to record it
- 17 as it is written here, and your answer to that
- 18 question is "I don't know who did the calculation that
- 19 caused me to record it as I did, " correct?
- 20 MR. WIESER: Objection, misstates the
- 21 witness' testimony.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Join in the objection and
- 23 object to the form.
- 24 A. What I wrote is what I was told. As I read
- 25 through the memo, obviously having not seen it for a

- 1 long time, I thought I was recording the parents, but
- 2 in your redirecting me to the first paragraph, it
- 3 could have been this Mr. Ringsmuth.
- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Okay.
- 5 A. I don't remember.
- 6 Q. Yeah, and that's what I'm getting to. You
- 7 really don't know who told you the statute of
- 8 limitations of a criminal prosecution was two and a
- 9 half years?
- 10 A. Well, I'm assuming it's either Ringsmuth or
- 11 the parents, and it appears now, as I reread it, it
- 12 looks like it was Ringsmuth.
- 13 Q. But you don't have a memory of that?
- 14 A. I have no memory.
- 15 Q. Okay. And the information contained in this
- 16 memo obviously was shared with Archbishop Roach at
- 17 that time. Who else?
- 18 A. I don't remember, other than he's listed, so
- 19 I assume it went to him.
- 20 Q. Okay. And he also named Paul Ringsmuth and
- 21 Janet and John Riedle at the bottom. Do you know if
- 22 it was sent to them or you just put that there for
- 23 contact information?
- A. I don't remember. I don't think I sent it,
- 25 because if I sent it, there would be something up

- 1 above.
- Q. Okay. That's what appeared to me, but I
- 3 wanted to ask you. You go on to write, "The mother
- 4 and father are considering reporting this to the
- 5 police." What led you to write that?
- 6 A. Either the parents or Mr. Ringsmuth told me
- 7 that.
- 8 Q. And did you report this to the police?
- 9 A. I did not.
- 10 Q. Why not?
- 11 A. It didn't occur to me it was my
- 12 responsibility. This was a counselor from the prison.
- Q. Did you consider yourself to be a mandatory
- 14 reporter?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did you ever consider yourself to be a
- 17 mandated reporter while in the Archdiocese of
- 18 St. Paul/Minneapolis?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 MR. GOLDBERG: Is this a good time to just
- 21 check in with you to see how you're doing, what your
- 22 time is?
- MR. ANDERSON: Sure. We've been going quite
- 24 a while. Why don't we take a lunch break? Can you
- 25 give me some idea as to how --

ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON **CONFIDENTIAL** 5/23/2014

Page 85

- 1 MR. ANDERSON: Well, let's take a break and
- 2 talk about it.
- 3 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:20. We are
- 4 now off the record.
- 5 (Whereupon a break was taken.)
- 6 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:29. We are now
- 7 back on the record.
- 8 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) All right. Archbishop,
- 9 right before we went on the record, you had -- your
- 10 counsel had asked the last question be read back, and
- 11 it was, and then you said you wanted to respond to the
- 12 last question asked before the break. What was it you
- wanted to respond to and/or say?
- 14 A. When you asked the question, I was still
- 15 thinking of the earlier time period. I can't tell you
- 16 a date, but there was a time when all priests became
- 17 mandatory reporters, and the only reason I remember
- 18 that is because there was a lot of discussion about
- 19 priests and Bishop relationships and things, but I
- 20 don't remember when it was, so there was something.
- Q. So do you know when it was you yourself were
- 22 considered to be a mandatory reporter under Minnesota
- 23 law?
- 24 A. I don't remember the date.
- 25 Q. Do you know if it was before or after you

- 1 prepared the exhibits that we identified earlier as
- 2 304, 319 and 301 in the 1980s?
- 3 A. I don't remember.
- Q. Did you ever get training after you became a
- 5 mandatory reporter on what constitutes a report, a
- 6 requirement under law?
- 7 A. I don't remember any training that I had.
- 8 The first thing that comes to mind was when the
- 9 Bishops met in 1996 and talked about sexual abuse.
- 10 There could have been something before that, but I
- 11 don't remember.
- 12 Q. Was that the Minnesota Bishops or the
- 13 Catholic Conference of Bishops?
- 14 A. Conference of Bishops.
- 15 Q. And that was at the annual meeting in '96?
- 16 A. I think it was 1996.
- 17 Q. You also attended a meeting of the Catholic
- 18 Conference of Bishops in Collegeville at St. John's,
- 19 actually, in 1985, because you were then an auxiliary,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. I don't remember that meeting. Perhaps I
- 22 went. I did not go to all the Bishops' meetings.
- Q. Well, you had been installed as an Auxiliary
- 24 Bishop in 19 --
- 25 A. January of '84.

- 1 Q. -- '84. That meeting was in '85 at
- 2 Collegeville, St. John's. Do you have any memory of
- 3 that meeting at all?
- 4 A. No. The one I remember was 1996. I thought
- 5 there was a meeting.
- 6 Q. And what do you remember being discussed
- 7 about sexual abuse, reporting requirements and the
- 8 like at the '96 meeting?
- 9 A. What I remember is some discussion about the
- 10 challenge that therapists had in understanding what,
- 11 you know, sex abuse was and the recidivism rate, which
- 12 is what we, of course, took as our advice in those
- 13 days. There may have been other things. I'm sure
- 14 there was, but I don't remember.
- 15 Q. But in 1996, you already knew, however, by
- 16 reason of your experience and the work you had done
- 17 with victims, offenders and the like, that sexual
- 18 abusers and the recidivism rate was very high, and
- 19 that was a disease or a disorder that really couldn't
- 20 be cured?
- 21 A. I did not know that.
- 22 Q. When -- go ahead.
- 23 A. I did not know that, but as a pastor, I was
- 24 becoming increasingly concerned.
- Q. When do you think you first came to the

- 1 realization or the knowledge that, you know, sexual
- 2 abuse, adults abusing kids, be it priests or adults,
- 3 was a disorder that one couldn't be cured, if you did?
- 4 A. I don't know if I ever knew it as a disorder
- 5 that couldn't be cured, but certainly by the '90s
- 6 there were more and more instances, and, in fact, I
- 7 remember one therapist who we had used before, in
- 8 fact, may even have been an expert witness -- I don't
- 9 know whether he was for us or against us, but he said
- 10 that therapists themselves didn't know in the early --
- 11 the late '70s, early '80s, but I don't have a date on
- 12 that.
- 13 Q. All right. I have a date that might help
- 14 you with that by reason of -- let's look at Exhibit
- 15 101. I'm putting before you Exhibit 101, and, first,
- 16 as you can see, it's a newspaper article. It's dated
- 17 February 16, 1987, and you're quoted in it, and on the
- 18 topic of cures and disabilities, the second page. Let
- 19 me direct you to a portion of it, and there's some
- 20 statements attributable to you, and then I'll ask you
- 21 about it. First, by way of the background of this, do
- you remember this article appearing in the news?
- 23 A. I don't.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Counsel, do you have a better
- 25 copy of Page 1?

ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON **CONFIDENTIAL** 5/23/2014

Page 89 1 MR. ANDERSON: No. 2 MR. GOLDBERG: Because it's illegible. 3 MR. ANDERSON: I know. I don't have a 4 better copy. 5 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) The headline of it is "A Cover-up of Priest Sex Misconduct denied." There's a 6 7 picture of you. You denied at that time there had 8 been a cover-up of sexual abuse pertaining to Adamson, 9 correct? 10 Do you know what the date was? Α. 11 Yeah, February '87. Q. 12 I don't remember the article, but --13 Q. Do you remember issuing a public denial of a 14 cover-up? 15 Α. No. 16 Q. Had there been a cover-up? 17 Well, I think what we just discussed about Adamson, he was certainly moved, but, again, they were 18 taking the word of counselors that this problem could 19 be cured. So, in essence, I don't think there was a 20 21 cover-up, but I don't think people had the knowledge 22 at the time, and in many ways, we were the victims of those we sent people to for treatment, and I didn't 23 24 like that, but that's the way it was. 25 You did have the knowledge in '87, though; Q.

- 1 didn't you?
- 2 MR. GOLDBERG: Knowledge of what? Object to
- 3 the form of the question.
- 4 A. I don't know if I knew the recidivism rate
- 5 was that high then, but I found out at some point.
- 6 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Let's look at the second
- 7 page of this article, and in the first column of the
- 8 second paragraph, let me read it, and you're quoted,
- 9 and I'll ask you a question. It says, "Acting under
- 10 the determination that the incident was, quote,
- 11 'inappropriate behavior,' unquote and not sexual
- 12 abuse, Carlson said the Archdiocese decided to ask
- 13 Adamson to sign a written agreement that he would have
- 14 no contact with young people." My first question is
- do you remember telling the "Pioneer Press" that?
- 16 A. I don't.
- 17 O. Is that true?
- 18 MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form of the
- 19 question. Is what true? His statement or that he
- 20 told them that?
- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Is that statement, as
- 22 reported, true?
- 23 A. True that I said it?
- Q. That you said it or that you did what you
- 25 said?

- 1 A. I don't remember saying it, so I don't know
- 2 whether it's true or not.
- 3 Q. You go on to be quoted as saying, "'If there
- 4 had been a case of sexual abuse at that time, rather
- 5 than just inappropriate behavior, I think we would
- 6 have removed him, ' unquote, Carlson said." Did you
- 7 say that?
- 8 A. I don't remember saying it.
- 9 Q. Do you deny saying it?
- 10 A. I just don't remember. I can't confirm or
- 11 deny.
- 12 O. That statement belies the earlier documents
- 13 we looked at about him having admitted to criminal
- 14 sexual conduct; doesn't it?
- MR. GOLDBERG: I'm going to object to the
- 16 form. It's argumentative, and, secondly, you're
- 17 building off a Page 1 of the document that's
- 18 illegible, so --
- 19 MR. ANDERSON: Well, Page 2 isn't, and
- 20 that's what I'm reading from.
- 21 MR. GOLDBERG: Oh, I understand you're
- 22 reading from Page 2, but it relates to the article.
- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Doesn't this public
- 24 statement made by you in 1987 contradict the documents
- 25 we reviewed in 1980 and '84?

- 1 A. My difficulty is I don't remember what I
- 2 said, and there's been enough instances in my life
- 3 where what I was quoted as saying was not what I
- 4 actually said to the reporter, so I can't say with any
- 5 accuracy.
- 6 Q. Let's go to the second column of this Page
- 7 2, Archbishop, and I will read what is quoted here,
- 8 and it looks like in quotation marks attributable to
- 9 you. "'It's our policy today that there really is no
- 10 cure for someone with the disease of pedophilia, but
- only a chance for some recovery, 'unquote, Carlson
- 12 said." So is that something you said?
- 13 A. It's possible. I don't remember saying it.
- Q. Was that the policy, as represented in this
- 15 article and made to the people by the Archdiocese
- 16 through you and others in 1987?
- 17 A. I don't remember what I said to the
- 18 reporter, so I don't remember whether I'm quoted
- 19 accurately or not. But certainly as I sit here today,
- 20 I would agree with that statement.
- Q. Okay. And was it the policy?
- 22 A. I don't remember any policy.
- Q. When do you remember there was first a
- 24 policy implemented in the Archdiocese of St. Paul/
- 25 Minneapolis that prohibited the Archbishop and his

- 1 officials from continuing any priest in ministry that
- 2 had been credibly accused of abuse?
- 3 A. Again, I thought there was something in
- 4 1996, but I don't --
- 5 Q. But you're not sure?
- 6 A. Not sure.
- 7 Q. If this quotation attributed to you is made
- 8 in quotes, it would appear -- and this is Clark
- 9 Morphew, who is a religion reporter. Do you remember
- 10 him?
- 11 A. Uh-uh.
- 12 Q. Well, in any case, you don't deny that there
- 13 was such a policy if you made this statement. You're
- 14 just saying you don't remember having made it today,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. I don't remember having made it. I also had
- 17 enough experiences with being misquoted, I can't
- 18 attest that that's exactly what I said or not.
- 19 Q. Did you intend, at the time you were
- 20 interviewed by Clark Morphew and this article was
- 21 written, to try to assure the parishioners that you,
- 22 an official of the Archdiocese, and the Archbishop
- wanted people to believe that their kids were safe?
- A. I don't remember any intention that I
- 25 attributed to this. I have no memory of that.

- Q. Did you make a representation on behalf of
- 2 the Archdiocese and the Archbishop that there were no
- 3 priests in ministry who had offended?
- 4 MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form. What
- 5 period of time are you talking about?
- 6 MR. ANDERSON: 1987.
- 7 A. I don't remember doing so.
- 8 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Were there priests in
- 9 ministry who had offended in 1987, that you knew to
- 10 have offended and continued in ministry?
- 11 A. I don't remember, because I don't remember
- 12 whether Tom Adamson was still in ministry or not.
- 13 Q. But other than Adamson, any that you knew
- 14 **of?**
- 15 A. Nothing comes to mind right now, but --
- 16 Q. So you obviously had -- if the statement is
- 17 attributable to you, you did have some information
- 18 about "It's our policy today that there really is no
- 19 cure for someone with the disease of pedophilia, but
- 20 only a chance for some recovery." Let's assume that
- 21 statement was made by you. Let's say it's correct and
- 22 quoted by you. Thus, if it is so, it means you got
- 23 that from somebody. From whom would you have learned
- 24 that in 1987 there really is no cure for someone with
- 25 the disease of pedophilia?

- 1 A. I don't remember. I can't attribute it to
- 2 anyone.
- Q. Let's go to Exhibit 305. Exhibit 305,
- 4 Archbishop, is dated July 9, 1984. It's a memo to
- 5 Archbishop Roach from you, as Bishop Carlson. The
- 6 subject is Father Thomas Adamson, and this is a memo
- 7 you've seen before and was prepared by you, correct?
- 8 A. Correct, it was prepared by me.
- 9 Q. And it was prepared in much the same way you
- 10 had prepared the other memos, where you took some
- 11 notes and then typed it out yourself or had it typed
- 12 by somebody else or what?
- 13 A. I don't remember whether I typed it or
- 14 somebody else, because it doesn't quite look like the
- 15 same typewriter.
- 16 Q. It looks like a little better typing.
- 17 A. Yeah, probably somebody else. And I would
- 18 guess that the notes were mine, and if Kevin McDonough
- 19 was there, he may have made notes, too. I don't
- 20 remember.
- Q. At the top, Archbishop, it says "Strictly
- 22 Confidential, "underlined. What did that then mean
- 23 and for whose eyes was this intended to be used and
- 24 seen only?
- 25 A. It would have gone only to the Archbishop.

- 1 Q. That's what that means?
- 2 A. That what I would intend it to mean.
- 3 Q. And then at the second page, there's a
- 4 little bit of an initial there. Is that yours?
- 5 A. It is.
- 6 Q. So you prepared the memo?
- 7 A. I may have prepared it. Father McDonough
- 8 may have had some input into it. I don't remember.
- 9 Q. Fair enough. I could probably -- I could
- 10 ask you about the July '84 thing and what happened,
- 11 and your answer would be --
- 12 A. As I read it, this would probably be what
- 13 happened.
- 14 Q. Okay. Yeah, but first, independent of
- 15 reading this, your answer would be what happened on
- 16 that date?
- 17 MR. GOLDBERG: Are you asking him not to
- 18 look at it?
- MR. ANDERSON: Yeah.
- 20 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) First I want to see if you
- 21 have an independent memory of the memo.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Well, he can't tell you
- 23 unless he reads it.
- MR. ANDERSON: No.
- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Before you read it, I want

- 1 to ask you if you remember what happened at that time
- 2 and/or what you did about it. And if you say, "I
- 3 don't remember it," then we'll look at the memo.
- 4 A. I don't remember it.
- 5 Q. Fair enough. Let's look at the memo. It
- 6 states, "On Friday, July 6, 1984, Father Kevin
- 7 McDonough and I met with the Reverend Thomas Adamson
- 8 concerning certain charges which had been made by Greg
- 9 Riedle, which currently is an inmate at the St. Cloud
- 10 Reformatory."
- 11 So this reflects in your own hand that you
- 12 had a meeting on this date with then Kevin McDonough,
- 13 who is one of the officials helping you advise the
- 14 Archbishop in how to handle the sexual abuse
- 15 allegations made against Adamson, correct?
- 16 A. Well, it says we were both there, so
- 17 obviously we were both there.
- 18 Q. And tell me what you remember about that
- 19 meeting with yourself, Kevin McDonough and Tom
- 20 Adamson.
- 21 A. Outside of the memo, and I have no reason to
- 22 believe this isn't inaccurate, I have no other memory.
- Q. The second paragraph, you write, "In the
- 24 counseling process at St. Cloud, it has become evident
- 25 that Gregory was also sexually abused from 1978 to

- 1 1982." That's something you recorded then?
- 2 A. It's something I recorded for this memo,
- 3 yes.
- 4 Q. And that does not refresh any additional
- 5 recollection of this meeting?
- 6 A. It does not.
- 7 Q. You then go on to state, "I asked Father
- 8 Adamson about this, and he admitted, and, in fact, he
- 9 had abused the boy during that period of time. " Did I
- 10 read that correctly?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. So you and McDonough asked Adamson if he had
- 13 abused Greg Riedle, and he admitted having done the
- 14 crime against the kid, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And you so record that?
- 17 A. As I record it is how I would have been
- 18 told.
- 19 Q. You write, "I did not go into the sexual
- 20 activity, but Father Adamson agreed that it probably
- 21 would be first degree sexual contact."
- 22 That's what you wrote?
- 23 A. That's what I wrote.
- 24 Q. And you also knew that when first degree
- 25 criminal sexual conduct is written and recorded, that

- is the most serious of the sex crimes against a child.
- 2 You know that?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And he admitted that to you and Kevin
- 5 McDonough?
- 6 A. I don't remember if Kevin asked the question
- 7 or I did. If I wrote it, that's what was said at the
- 8 time.
- 9 Q. And when he admitted having done this to
- 10 this kid, did you ask Adamson about his sexual history
- 11 and whether he had committed sexual -- criminal sexual
- 12 conduct against other kids?
- 13 A. It's -- I don't remember whether I asked
- 14 that question or not, but it's not recorded here, so I
- 15 assume I didn't.
- 16 Q. Isn't that something you would have wanted
- 17 to know?
- 18 A. I don't remember the conversation, so it's
- 19 impossible to say what I was thinking at the time.
- 20 Q. Well, if he's admitting to having abused
- 21 this kid and it's crim sex, first degree crim sexual
- 22 conduct, and you did not record that you asked him the
- 23 question on this admission, did you at any time ever
- 24 ask Adamson his sexual history and if he had admitted
- 25 to having abused any other kids since he had been a

- 1 priest, ordained in '58, and continued into the
- 2 Archdiocese until the '80s?
- 3 A. I don't remember whether I did or didn't.
- 4 Q. Don't you think that's something some
- 5 official of the Archdiocese should have asked this
- 6 priest?
- 7 A. At the time, I don't know whether someone
- 8 did or didn't, but what's here is what I did.
- 9 Q. Because it's not in the document, you're
- saying you didn't ask the question and, therefore,
- 11 didn't get the answer. Why wasn't that question asked
- 12 by you then, upon his admission that he had committed
- 13 criminal sex?
- MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form of the
- 15 question. It calls for speculation.
- 16 A. Well, obviously, this was 30 years ago, so I
- 17 really don't remember the conversation.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Oftentimes we don't ask
- 19 questions we don't want to know answers to,
- 20 Archbishop. Do you think that's the reason we didn't
- 21 want -- the Archdiocese really didn't want to know how
- 22 bad it was?
- 23 MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form.
- A. I think, given the status of things in '84
- 25 with counselors and other people telling us things

- 1 were okay, I don't know if it occurred to me.
- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) You since learned that he
- 3 had a long trail of victims that predated Greg
- 4 Riedle's abuse; haven't you?
- 5 A. Certainly at the time of the trial or after
- 6 that.
- 7 Q. The trial revealed that there had been a
- 8 long stream of victims going back to the early '60s;
- 9 didn't it?
- 10 A. I don't remember what it revealed. I
- 11 remember there were other victims.
- 12 O. And it also revealed that there was abuse
- 13 after 1984; wasn't there?
- 14 A. I don't remember that, but --
- 15 Q. When you learned at the trial there had been
- 16 a long history of abuse by him in '84, did you ever
- ask yourself the question, "Why didn't I ask when I
- 18 learned this," and "Why didn't I do more?"
- 19 A. I think in everything we do, once we've
- 20 experienced it, we reflect on our actions and we ask
- 21 what we can do better. Certainly as I look at Sioux
- 22 Falls and other places, I think we did a pretty good
- 23 job.
- 24 Q. I'm looking at '84 now. Do you think that
- 25 there was a practice at that time that there was a

- 1 concern about scandal that sometimes made the
- 2 officials or the Archbishops have a tendency to err on
- 3 the side of protecting the priests and avoiding
- 4 scandal?
- 5 A. Well, in this memo, for instance, it's the
- 6 counselor that's talking about reporting it.
- 7 Apparently, he didn't, but he was talking about it. I
- 8 don't know why he didn't either.
- 9 Q. The fourth paragraph is, "I asked Father
- 10 Adamson to see Dr. Gendron and recommended to him that
- 11 he obtain the criminal history." And you recommended
- 12 Mr. Ted Collins or Mr. Andrew Eisenzimmer as lawyers
- 13 for him; did you not?
- 14 A. Again, if I said I did, I probably did. I
- 15 don't remember doing it.
- 16 Q. And the Archdiocese paid for his lawyers;
- 17 did they not?
- 18 A. I don't remember that we did or didn't.
- 19 Q. The fifth paragraph, you write, "Further, I
- 20 told Father Adamson that I will be in contact with him
- 21 after I visited with the Archbishop and Bishop
- 22 Watters." So you recorded here that you intend to
- visit with both the Archbishop and Bishop Watters,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. That's what it says. And I have no reason

- 1 to doubt it if it's written here.
- 2 Q. So how many meetings did you actually have
- 3 with Bishop Watters?
- 4 A. I don't have any remembrance of any
- 5 meetings, but obviously I did if it's here.
- 6 Q. When I confronted you with the statement
- 7 that Bishop Watters made at his deposition that he
- 8 attributed to you that you advised him that the best
- 9 thing he could do in a deposition is not remember, you
- 10 said you never had any conversations with Watters. In
- 11 fact, this reflects that you had not only
- 12 conversations, but you had meetings with him, correct?
- 13 A. Based on my memory, I said that I didn't
- 14 remember having any conversations with him.
- 15 Obviously, this says that I did.
- 16 Q. And today, do you remember pertaining to
- 17 this memo, as you see it, what came in the meeting
- 18 with Watters and Roach?
- 19 MR. WIESER: Objection, misstates the
- 20 evidence in the Exhibit 305.
- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Well, that you intended to
- 22 have. Do you recall having such a meeting?
- 23 A. I do not recall having such a meeting. I
- 24 assume from the document that I reported what I had
- 25 been told.

- Q. The second page under "Recommendation," this
- 2 is a recommendation you are making as an Auxiliary
- 3 Bishop to the then presiding Archbishop Roach,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And under "Recommendation," you write, "It
- 7 is my recommendation, given the seriousness of our
- 8 exposure, that the Archdiocese posture itself in such
- 9 a way that any publicity will be minimized." First,
- 10 those are your words; weren't they?
- 11 A. If they're written here, they're my words.
- 12 Q. And that was your recommendation?
- 13 A. Apparently at the time, that was my
- 14 recommendation.
- 15 Q. How does that make you feel reading that and
- 16 the recommendation you made to the Archbishop at that
- 17 time?
- 18 MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form of the
- 19 question. We're not here to ascertain his opinion,
- 20 his feelings. We're here to get the facts. You can
- 21 answer if you wish.
- 22 A. Obviously, based on some 25 years later, I
- 23 would do it differently.
- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Don't you think you should
- 25 have done it differently then?

- 1 A. I did what I did.
- 2 Q. Don't you think the Archdiocese made a
- 3 grievous mistake in trying to minimize publicity and
- 4 posture itself in the way it did?
- 5 A. I think counselors made mistakes. I think
- 6 people in general made mistakes. I think the
- 7 Archdiocese made mistakes.
- 8 Q. Don't you think that the Archdiocese has to
- 9 take responsibility for its mistakes, because if it
- 10 doesn't, it's destined to repeat them?
- 11 A. I know that several times people -- I, as a
- 12 Bishop, have been told in many different places, you
- 13 know, if you call it best practices, and I think it's
- 14 in our best interest to do that.
- 15 Q. This recommendation about given the
- 16 seriousness of our exposure, when you use the term the
- 17 seriousness of our exposure, that means yours and the
- 18 Archdiocese's, correct?
- 19 A. I don't remember what I was thinking, but as
- 20 I read it now, I think I'm focusing on the Archbishop
- 21 and the Archdiocese.
- Q. Is that exposure to go to jail? Were you
- 23 concerned about being sent to jail for you -- for
- 24 yours and the Archdiocese's failures at that time?
- 25 A. I never remember being concerned about going

- 1 to jail.
- Q. Well, when somebody says given the
- 3 seriousness of our exposure, they're referring to
- 4 something. Exposure to what? It's not exposure to
- 5 climate change. It's exposure to some consequence.
- 6 Is the consequence jail or publicity or scandal or
- 7 what?
- 8 MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form of that
- 9 question.
- 10 A. Well, obviously, it would be scandal and
- 11 also publicity.
- 12 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Did you have concerns back
- 13 then, Archbishop, that as you wrote this, that
- 14 Archdiocesan officials could face some criminal
- 15 liability for their role in being complicit in
- 16 covering up or concealing the crimes by Adamson?
- 17 A. No, I don't remember I ever did that.
- 18 Q. Did you or any of the Archdiocesan officials
- 19 consult criminal lawyers about your exposure at this
- 20 **time?**
- 21 MR. WIESER: Object to the form of the
- 22 question to the extent that it's a compound question.
- 23 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Did you seek legal counsel
- 24 on whether --
- 25 A. I did not seek any. I'm not aware of

- 1 anybody who did.
- 2 Q. Ted Collins, the lawyer you referred Adams
- 3 to -- Adamson to did end up representing Adamson. Do
- 4 you recall that?
- 5 A. I don't.
- 6 Q. Okay. The next sentence is, you write, "I
- 7 would recommend that in cooperation with Bishop
- 8 Watters, that Father Adamson be sent to the Paracletes
- 9 in Albuquerque or to the House of Affirmation." Those
- 10 are both treatment centers you knew to exist?
- 11 A. At the time, they were both.
- 12 Q. And they were often -- they were treatment
- 13 centers used to send up clerics with problems, not
- 14 just sexual abuse, but problems dealing with celibacy,
- 15 chemical dependency and other things; is that correct?
- 16 A. I think and also emotional issues.
- 17 O. And were these both treatment centers that
- 18 had an affiliate with the Catholic Treatment Centers,
- 19 funded by Bishops?
- 20 A. I don't believe they were funded by Bishops,
- 21 but they were Catholic Treatment Centers.
- 22 Q. Did you also utilize St. Luke's back then?
- 23 A. I don't remember if we did or didn't.
- 24 Q. You had earlier said that you felt that the
- 25 Archdiocese made mistakes in the handling of this

- 1 priest and others, but you seem to attribute more
- 2 responsibility on the therapist. Do you think that
- 3 the therapist, upon which you relied, either at the
- 4 Service of Paracletes, Ken Pierre, Gendron or others
- 5 that appear in this record, bear as much or more
- 6 responsibility than the Archdiocesan officials who
- 7 made the choices they did?
- 8 A. I think if you go back in history, I think
- 9 the whole culture did not know what they were dealing
- 10 with. I think therapists didn't. I don't think we
- 11 fully understood. I don't think public school
- 12 administrators understood it. I don't think we
- 13 realized it was the serious problem it is.
- 14 Q. Well, mandatory reporting laws went into
- 15 effect across the nation in 1973, Archbishop.
- 16 MR. GOLDBERG: I'm going to object to the
- 17 form of that question.
- 18 MR. ANDERSON: Let me finish the question.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Go ahead. I'm sorry.
- 20 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) And you knew at all times,
- 21 while a priest, having been ordained in 1970, it was a
- 22 crime for an adult to engage in sex with a kid. You
- 23 knew that, right?
- 24 MR. GOLDBERG: I'm going to object to the
- 25 form of that question now. You're talking about

Page 109 mandatory reporting.

- 2 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. I'll -- if you don't
- 3 like the question, I'll ask another question.
- 4 MR. GOLDBERG: Well, you've asked a
- 5 conjunctive question. One doesn't --
- 6 MR. ANDERSON: Objection heard. I'll ask
- 7 another question. Okay?

1

- 8 MR. GOLDBERG: Go ahead.
- 9 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Archbishop, you knew it
- 10 was a crime for an adult to engage in sex with a kid?
- 11 A. I'm not sure whether I knew it was a crime
- 12 or not. I understand today it's a crime.
- 13 Q. When did you first discern that it was a
- 14 crime for an adult to engage in sex with a kid?
- 15 A. I don't remember.
- 16 Q. When did you first discern that it was a
- 17 crime for a priest to engage in sex with a kid who he
- 18 had under his control?
- 19 A. I don't remember that either.
- 20 Q. Do you have any doubt in your mind that you
- 21 knew that in the '70s?
- 22 A. I don't remember if I did or didn't.
- Q. In 1984, you are a Bishop in the -- an
- 24 Auxiliary Bishop in the Archdiocese of St. Paul/
- 25 Minneapolis. You knew it was a crime then, right?

- 1 A. I'm not sure if I did or didn't.
- Q. Well, you're talking about criminal sexual
- 3 conduct in 1980, and you're talking about it again in
- 4 1984, so you knew that to be correct, right?
- 5 A. What I said, I said, and if I -- if I wrote
- 6 it, I said it.
- 7 Q. Do you know what other treatment centers
- 8 were utilize by the Archdiocese besides the House of
- 9 Affirmation, Dr. Gendron, Ken Pierre Consultation
- 10 Services Center or the Service of Paracletes, to treat
- 11 priests who had offended against kids?
- 12 A. There may have been others, but I don't
- 13 remember.
- 14 Q. That funding was done by the Archdiocese as
- a part of a substantive evaluation; is that correct?
- 16 MR. WIESER: Object, foundation, if you
- 17 know.
- 18 MR. GOLDBERG: Let me just ask you for
- 19 clarification. I'm not sure. Are you talking about
- 20 the cost of treatment that was paid by the diocese?
- MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Are you talking about
- 23 supporting the institution that was providing the
- 24 treatment?
- MR. ANDERSON: The cost of treatment.

Page 111 MR. GOLDBERG: Did you understand the 1 2 question that way? 3 THE WITNESS: No. I thought we were supporting the --4 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Let me clarify the 5 question. 6 7 (By Mr. Anderson) The funding for the Q. 8 treatment of the priests that was sent, in this case, to House of Affirmation, the Service of Paracletes, 10 St. Luke's or any of the institutions where priests 11 who had offended or abused kids and they were sent for 12 treatment, is it correct to say the Archdiocese paid 13 for that treatment? 14 MR. WIESER: And before you answer, 15 Archbishop, it would be helpful just to have a time frame on this question as well. 16 17 MR. ANDERSON: We're in the 1984 time frame 18 now. A. I don't remember whether we did or didn't. 19 I was never involved with the financial aspects of 20 21 things. 22 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) You did get involved in 23 meeting with the counselors, however, to help to determine whether or not an offender such as Adamson 24 25 could be returned to ministry, and you understood that

- 1 Adamson had given a waiver of his privilege for you
- and other officials to consult with them?
- 3 MR. WIESER: Is that a question?
- 4 MR. ANDERSON: No. It was a bad question,
- 5 so I'm going to ask a good question.
- 6 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Did you understand that
- you had access, as an official of the Archdiocese, to
- 8 his medical care providers, such as Gendron, Pierre,
- 9 Service of Paracletes?
- 10 A. I would talk to them, but usually if there
- 11 was something written, it was sent to the Archbishop.
- 12 Q. And did you ever meet with them personally
- 13 concerning Adamson?
- 14 A. I remember meeting with Dr. Gendron, and the
- 15 reason I remember meeting with him is it was in a
- 16 parking garage, and he died like that week later, so
- 17 it was just -- that kind of thing sticks in your
- 18 memory.
- 19 Q. Sure. So now that we've reviewed some
- documents and explored some issues pertaining to
- 21 sexual abuse, what you knew and how you viewed it, let
- 22 me see if there's anything that has come to you in the
- 23 time we spent together that changes your answer to
- 24 this question.
- 25 At any time, Archbishop, while you were a

- 1 priest, a chancellor, an Auxiliary Bishop or an
- official to the Archdiocese from 1970 to '94, did you
- 3 ever report any suspicions of sexual abuse by a priest
- 4 to any law enforcement agency directly?
- 5 A. The first report I remember is in South
- 6 Dakota.
- 7 Q. And so the answer to that question I just
- 8 gave you pertaining to your tenure in the Archdiocese
- 9 would have been, "No, I never reported it," correct?
- 10 A. I don't remember.
- 11 Q. And did you, during your tenure in the
- 12 Archdiocese of St. Paul/Minneapolis from '70 to '94,
- 13 ever order or advise any of your colleagues or
- 14 subordinates or other officials to report suspicions
- of sexual abuse to law enforcement agencies?
- 16 A. I know I told parents. I may have told
- 17 others. There may be examples that you're aware of,
- 18 but I don't know. But if someone came to me and had
- 19 information, firsthand information, I'm pretty sure I
- 20 would tell them to report if they wanted to.
- Q. But your answer to the question today is you
- 22 have no memory of having ever told anybody to report
- 23 to law enforcement or advised them to do so, correct?
- MR. GOLDBERG: I'm going to object. I think
- 25 that mischaracterizes his testimony given earlier this

- 1 morning.
- 2 MR. ANDERSON: Well, let me ask the question
- 3 so that there's no ambiguity about it.
- 4 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Did you, from 1970 to
- 5 1994, ever advise or order any of your colleagues or
- 6 other officials to make a report to law enforcement of
- 7 suspicions of sexual abuse by one of the clerics?
- 8 A. I know I told parents. I think there's some
- 9 memos we didn't see.
- 10 Q. I'm talking about colleagues and officials.
- 11 Now, priests and officials.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Well, that's a different
- 13 question.
- MR. ANDERSON: No, it's not. I asked
- 15 colleagues and officials.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Well, I thought you --
- 17 MR. ANDERSON: If you didn't understand the
- 18 question, I'm going to get him to understand it, and
- 19 then you listen to the question, too. Okay?
- 20 MR. GOLDBERG: You were talking about "or
- 21 anyone."
- 22 MR. ANDERSON: No, I said -- listen. Let's
- 23 get on the same page. It happens. Don't worry about
- 24 it.
- 25 MR. GOLDBERG: I'm not worried about it.

- 1 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Okay. The question is
- 2 from 1970 to 1994, did you ever order or advise any of
- 3 the Archdiocesan officials or priests to report
- 4 suspicions of sexual abuse?
- 5 A. I don't remember if I did or didn't. I
- 6 think I would have advised people that had firsthand
- 7 information to call the police if they wanted to or to
- 8 get the facts.
- 9 Q. My question is, do you remember ever having
- 10 done it? Yes or no?
- 11 A. As I said, I don't remember whether I did or
- 12 didn't.
- 13 Q. Well, if you did, isn't that the kind of
- 14 thing you would remember, just like you remembered
- 15 Gendron's death --
- MR. GOLDBERG: Objection.
- 17 A. -- and the conversation you had with him
- 18 about a week before?
- 19 MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form of the
- 20 question. It's argumentative.
- 21 A. I think there may have been people I did. I
- 22 can't call to mind a name right now.
- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Have you discussed -- have
- 24 you discussed the current litigation or the handling
- of -- other than with your lawyers, the handling of

- 1 the whole matter of the sexual abuse in the
- 2 Archdiocese with any of your former colleagues for the
- 3 Archdiocese? That would be Kevin McDonough, now
- 4 Chancellor Eisenzimmer or anybody else?
- 5 MR. WIESER: Misstates the facts with regard
- 6 to your representation about Chancellor Eisenzimmer.
- 7 MR. ANDERSON: Oh, former Chancellor
- 8 Eisenzimmer. Sorry.
- 9 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) My question is have you
- 10 discussed the whole matter of this litigation and the
- 11 controversy surrounding that with anybody?
- 12 A. With the people you just mentioned, no.
- 13 Q. Who have you discussed it with besides the
- 14 lawyers?
- 15 A. My sisters called me when it was in the
- 16 paper.
- 17 Q. And anybody from the Archdiocese --
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. -- or affiliated with them?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Nobody other than family, correct?
- 22 A. (Witness nodding head.)
- Q. Correct?
- 24 A. Correct, family.
- Q. No conversation -- what about Harry Flynn?

Page 117

- 1 Ever have a conversation with him about how sexual
- 2 abuse was to be handled or had been handled?
- 3 A. The only communication I've had with Harry
- 4 Flynn was inviting him to be on a committee three
- 5 years ago, and he never came.
- 6 Q. Okay. What about Kevin McDonough? Any
- 7 conversations following your departure from the
- 8 Archdiocese in '94, on the topic?
- 9 A. We may have had conversations. Nothing
- 10 particular comes to mind.
- 11 Q. Anything recent?
- 12 A. No.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Counsel, just for
- 14 clarification purposes, when was this case filed? I'm
- 15 not sure he knows. You keep referring to controversy
- 16 around this case, and I am not sure when this case was
- 17 filed.
- MR. WIESER: May.
- MR. GOLDBERG: May of what year?
- 20 MR. ANDERSON: 2013.
- 21 MR. GOLDBERG: Oh, okay. So his questions
- 22 are relating since May of 2013.
- 23 A. Only my sisters.
- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) When you take a memo like
- 25 that marked "strictly confidential," and you said it

- 1 was for the Archbishop's eyes only, where would that
- 2 memo go once you prepared it for the Archbishop's eyes
- only, having marked it "strictly confidential"?
- 4 A. Since you have it, I assume he sent it to
- 5 the file.
- 6 Q. Okay. And it would be sealed in the file?
- 7 A. Not necessarily.
- 8 Q. Was there a separate secret file maintained
- 9 for confidential and scandalous material?
- 10 A. At one time, there was. I -- I don't know
- 11 when that stopped.
- 12 Q. That was my next question. When did that
- 13 practice stop?
- 14 A. I don't know.
- 15 Q. It was in place while you were a Chancellor;
- 16 was it not?
- 17 A. It was.
- 18 Q. And was it still in place while you were
- 19 auxiliary?
- 20 A. I wouldn't have known, because I wasn't
- 21 Chancellor anymore. I think Kevin McDonough came in
- 22 to replace me.
- 23 Q. As Chancellor, what was the protocol for
- 24 scandalous material, and in what file was scandalous
- 25 material kept?

Page 119

- 1 A. Depending on what it was, in the old days,
- 2 years ago, I think anything that was considered
- 3 scandalous would be sent to the secret archives. In
- 4 my time, other than memos marked strictly
- 5 confidential, it would go to the normal file.
- 6 Q. Under seal?
- 7 A. No, just --
- 8 Q. Just like that?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. Okay. So when you say a secret archive,
- 11 where was the secret archive, and who had access to
- 12 it?
- 13 A. It was in the same file room, I think.
- 14 Q. In the vault?
- 15 A. In the vault on the main floor.
- 16 Q. Of the Chancery?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And that secret archive, who had access to
- 19 **that?**
- 20 A. The Archbishop had a key, and the Chancellor
- 21 had a key.
- 22 Q. And so the Archbishop Roach and you, as
- 23 Chancellor, would have access to that --
- 24 A. Or chancellors before me or after.
- Q. Did you, at any time while a Bishop of the

- 1 Archdiocese, ever become aware of a compilation of a
- list of offenders who had been accused or credibly
- 3 accused in the Archdiocese of St. Paul/Minneapolis?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Did -- to your knowledge, did anybody ever
- 6 try to compile such a list?
- 7 A. I think lists may have been compiled in
- 8 dioceses at the time of the John Jay study. I'm not
- 9 aware of -- I am not aware of any list in the
- 10 Archdiocese, and I'm not aware of any list anywhere
- 11 before that.
- 12 Q. So nothing before 2002 in any case?
- 13 A. Whenever that was.
- 14 Q. Okay. Actually, the charter was 2002. You
- 15 attended the meeting of the Catholic Conference of
- 16 Bishops in 2002. They made a promise, and then as a
- 17 result of that, John Jay was commissioned, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And then some data was supplied to them, and
- 20 then some lists were compiled sometime after that, as
- 21 you understand it?
- 22 A. In the Archdiocese of Sioux Falls, that's
- 23 what we did.
- 24 Q. In the mid-'80s, let just say the '82, '83,
- 25 '84 time frame, Archbishop, how much time do you think

- was devoted to handling and dealing with allegations
- 2 of sexual abuse that were surfacing at that time in
- 3 your capacity?
- 4 A. I would -- just guessing. This is an
- 5 estimate. I have no -- I didn't keep a record. It
- 6 would be well less than 10 percent of my time. Maybe
- 7 less than five percent.
- 8 Q. And after you protested the assignment of
- 9 Adamson to another parish to Archbishop Roach and he
- 10 was assigned anyway, were you taken off the handling
- of all sexual abuse cases, or just that one?
- 12 A. For a while, it may have been all of them.
- 13 If I was back on, there would be a document like this.
- 14 I don't know how long that was, though. Certainly off
- 15 of Adamson.
- 16 Q. Okay. So you can't be sure today whether
- 17 you were allowed to continue on other cases. You were
- 18 taken off that one?
- 19 A. For sure, I was taken off that one and maybe
- 20 others. I was not very popular.
- 21 Q. Who besides the Archbishop with whom -- who
- 22 else were you not popular with?
- 23 A. I don't know. You would have to ask them.
- MR. GOLDBERG: You mean present company
- 25 excluded?

- 1 MR. ANDERSON: No, no.
- 2 A. That I'm aware of, I was a priest, remember,
- 3 and then I was auxiliary. Maybe some of the
- 4 auxiliaries. I don't know. Maybe the Vicar Generals.
- 5 I don't know. I was just out of the loop for a time.
- 6 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) I'm going to go through --
- 7 there's a couple of exhibits. One is 275, and I'm
- 8 going to show it to you, and on it there's a stamp
- 9 that I'm going to ask you about and have you tell me
- 10 about what that means.
- 11 And I'm handing you Exhibit 275. It's dated
- 12 April 7, 1992. It's a memo to Bishop Carlson, Father
- 13 McDonough from Archbishop Roach. At the top, there's
- 14 a stamp that says "File, location, sex abuse. Date,
- 15 4/7/92 by" -- whose initial is that? That would be --
- 16 A. I don't know.
- 17 Q. So what is the stamp, and what does this
- 18 "Location, sexual abuse" file refer to here?
- 19 A. I assume a file. I've never seen this stamp
- 20 before, so whoever used it, I don't know.
- 21 Q. Do you know in '92, was there a separate sex
- 22 abuse file being maintained somewhere?
- 23 A. I don't know. I was out of the loop at that
- 24 time.
- Q. There's a little handwriting up at the

- 1 right-hand corner of the stamp, and I can't read it on
- 2 the copy that I have. Can you identify that
- 3 handwriting?
- 4 A. I can't.
- 5 Q. Do you know who wrote, under "location,"
- 6 "sex abuse"? Because it's a different handwriting
- 7 than the upper right-hand quadrant.
- 8 A. I don't.
- 9 Q. Look at Exhibit 276, and as she's handing
- 10 that to you, we've got the same stamp, and this one's
- dated April 7, 1992, and it's a letter from Archbishop
- 12 Roach. The recipient is blocked out, as we say,
- 13 redacted, but the stamp, the same stamp appears. At
- 14 the top, somebody writes in hand, "sex abuse." Whose
- 15 handwriting is that?
- 16 A. I have no idea.
- 17 Q. And then again we see a "location, sex
- 18 abuse," and it's kind of the same thing of the earlier
- 19 document. Can you illuminate me on -- on what the
- 20 stamp is or where this file is and what this means?
- 21 A. I never saw the stamp, so I don't know. I
- 22 also was not Chancellor at this time.
- Q. Let's go back to 275 for a moment. It's to
- you from Archbishop Roach and to also Father
- 25 McDonough, and it says, "I met Blank on April 6, 1992.

- 1 They received [sic] with me the long history of their
- 2 family association with Michael Kolar, Ken LaVan, Bob
- 3 Kapoun, Jim Finnegan, Joe Wajda, Tom Adamson and
- 4 Sister Sue Ahmiller." Now, tell me what that long
- 5 history was and what you know about that.
- 6 MR. WIESER: Just note it for the record
- 7 that that was a misstated -- the first line of that
- 8 paragraph.
- 9 MR. ANDERSON: Did I read it wrong?
- 10 MR. GOLDBERG: The word "reviewed."
- 11 MR. ANDERSON: Oh, okay. I'll read it
- 12 again. I don't -- it was inadvertent.
- 13 MR. WIESER: He can -- the witness can
- 14 obviously read it.
- MR. ANDERSON: Is it okay?
- MR. WIESER: Yeah.
- 17 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) So the question is what
- 18 can you tell me about this?
- 19 A. I have absolutely no memory of ever
- 20 receiving this.
- 21 Q. Do you remember taking any action responsive
- 22 to this at all?
- 23 A. I was involved with parishes in St. Paul at
- 24 the time.
- Q. This is '92. You're an Auxiliary Bishop.

Page 125

- 1 A. By that time, we had been divided into three
- 2 regions.
- 3 Q. You had a vicariate.
- 4 A. I had a vicariate sample.
- 5 Q. But you had a history with Mike Kolar. You
- 6 had been a year behind him and had known him a long
- 7 time.
- 8 A. He was my spiritual director at one time.
- 9 Q. You also were a year behind him at the
- 10 seminary, right? A close friend.
- 11 A. No, not a close friend. He was my spiritual
- 12 director.
- 13 **Q.** Okay.
- 14 A. You don't usually choose close friends as
- 15 your spiritual director.
- 16 Q. And you know Kevin LaVan?
- 17 A. I followed him into a parish.
- 18 Q. And you had known Bob Kapoun?
- 19 A. He was another associate with me at
- 20 St. Raphael's.
- Q. And Jim Finnegan, how did you know him?
- 22 A. I think I taught him in the seminary, one
- 23 course on marriage.
- 24 Q. And what do you know about his -- what
- 25 history he had that's being referred to here?

Page 126

- 1 A. I have no idea.
- 2 Q. So you know nothing about this document or
- 3 the history being referred to here?
- A. Based on the stamp, I don't think I ever
- 5 received it.
- 6 Q. Well, it's sent to you, though. Why would
- 7 you say you didn't receive it?
- 8 A. I have no memory of receiving it. I don't
- 9 believe I did receive it. I don't know why my name's
- 10 on it.
- 11 Q. But the other document I showed you, you
- said you had no memory of receiving it either?
- 13 A. I didn't prepare this one.
- 14 Q. In here, Kapoun is mentioned. I'm going to
- ask you some questions about him. In 1971 to '73 -- I
- 16 think you just mentioned this -- you were at
- 17 St. Raphael's with him in Crystal?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And did it come to your attention that
- 20 Kapoun had abused?
- 21 A. I was informed after I left the parish by
- 22 the family of one person. I'm not sure if he's the
- 23 one that came forward or not.
- 24 Q. And what were you told?
- 25 A. I was told by the parents after he had been

Page 127

- 1 removed from the parish that their son had been abused
- 2 by him.
- 3 Q. And what did you do?
- 4 A. They said they had called the diocese. I
- 5 didn't do anything.
- 6 Q. Did you call the police?
- 7 A. I did not.
- 8 Q. What year was that?
- 9 A. I don't remember.
- 10 Q. Was it while you were at St. Raphael's or
- after you had been at St. Raphael's?
- 12 A. It was after I had left St. Raphael's in
- 13 1972.
- 14 Q. How long after you left St. Raphael's --
- actually, I think you left St. Raphael's in '73;
- 16 didn't you?
- 17 A. I thought it was '72.
- 18 Q. In any case, how long after you left St.
- 19 Raphael's did you get this report?
- 20 A. Well, I don't remember, because I was a
- 21 social friend of the parents at some point.
- Q. What led you to believe that it had been
- 23 reported to the Archdiocese?
- A. I believe they told me, but I'm not sure
- 25 that -- they told me it had been reported, but I'm not

- 1 sure if they're the ones that reported it or didn't.
- 2 I just don't remember.
- 3 Q. Do you recall, Archbishop, that, in fact,
- 4 Kapoun was still in the parish, and it was the family
- 5 that was so upset because their son had been so -- had
- 6 been abused, and they were insisting that he be
- 7 removed? Do you remember that?
- 8 A. I don't remember if that was the family that
- 9 talked to me.
- 10 Q. Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 282. It's
- dated April 12, 1984. It's a memo to Archbishop John
- 12 R. Roach. It's from you. That means it was prepared
- 13 by you, correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. "Subject: Father Robert Kapoun." It
- 16 states, "Bishop Bullock and I met with Father Kapoun
- on Wednesday, April 11, 1984 to discuss his
- 18 relationship with Blank and the Blank family." Do you
- 19 remember that meeting?
- 20 A. I don't remember it, but if I wrote it here,
- 21 it's what happened.
- Q. Okay. It goes on to state, "Blank is saying
- 23 that Father Kapoun invited him to the house rather
- 24 often. They would give each other back rubs for a
- 25 period of about two years. Father would give Blank

- 1 haircuts. He had the boy strip down to his underwear
- 2 shorts, and Father Kapoun was nude. After the
- 3 haircut, they would take showers together, washing
- 4 each other's back, and Blank said that Father Kapoun
- 5 would masturbate himself." This is a report of sexual
- 6 abuse; isn't it?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And do you remember receiving this
- 9 information?
- 10 A. I don't remember it, but if I wrote it, it
- 11 happened.
- 12 Q. Do you remember Father Kapoun's explanation
- 13 for his conduct?
- 14 A. I don't.
- 15 Q. Let's look at the next paragraph. You
- 16 write, "Father Kapoun states that masturbation never
- 17 took place and that he has no problem with
- 18 masturbation. The boy described it as a flow of
- 19 mucous." Do you remember Kapoun trying to wiggle his
- 20 way out of that one?
- 21 A. I don't.
- Q. It goes on to state, "The boy stated that on
- 23 several occasions he and Father would sleep in the
- same bed, and Father Kapoun had to be very close to
- 25 him. This would happen even when there were other

- empty beds in the same room." Do you remember that?
- 2 A. If it's here -- if this what was said to me,
- 3 I don't remember it.
- 4 Q. You go on to write, "Father Kapoun would
- 5 take Blank to racquetball clubs and insist that they
- 6 take a sauna together in the nude." It sounds a lot
- 7 like what Adamson had been doing, huh?
- 8 A. There seems to be a similarity.
- 9 Q. On recommendation, this would be a
- 10 recommendation to the, again, Archbishop Roach,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. You write, "The family insists that Father
- 14 Kapoun move. If this does not happen, they will go to
- 15 county sheriff. The father of the boy stated this to
- 16 Bishop Bullock." You recorded that, correct?
- 17 A. I did.
- 18 Q. And so Kapoun was moved; wasn't he?
- 19 A. I believe he resigned the parish and went
- 20 into treatment.
- 21 Q. And when he resigned the parish, the people
- in the parish were not told the real reason for his
- 23 resignation; were they?
- A. I can't say for sure, because the case I
- 25 talked about was another person who --

- O. So it wasn't even this one. It was a
- 2 different one?
- 3 A. Okay.
- Q. And the one you were talking about was
- 5 before this?
- 6 A. I don't know if it was before or after.
- 7 Q. Well, this is '84. This is about ten years
- 8 after you left St. Raphael's, so --
- 9 A. I don't remember.
- 10 Q. In any case, it was not reported to the
- 11 police because the Archdiocese moved Kapoun out of the
- 12 parish at the request of the father and in lieu of a
- 13 report, correct?
- 14 A. I've sent this to the archbishop. I wasn't
- 15 involved after that.
- 16 Q. It was the Archbishop that made the choice
- 17 to move him out, the conscious choice to move him out?
- 18 A. I don't know who made the final choice. The
- 19 Archbishop is the only one that can act on the choice.
- Q. But you made the recommendation.
- 21 A. I made these recommendations, yes.
- O. Let's look at Exhibit 245. This one is
- 23 dated April 13, 1984. It's a memo to Bishop Carlson,
- 24 CC'd to Korf from Archbishop Roach regarding Kapoun.
- 25 You received this, I trust?

- 1 A. I'm just in the process of reading it. I
- 2 don't remember receiving it.
- Q. Okay. It is written, in any case, to you,
- 4 and in the third paragraph, it states, "I'm asking
- 5 Bishop Carlson to call the father to assure him that
- 6 Father Kapoun is moving and ask the father now to drop
- 7 the whole situation." Did you do that?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. How can you assert to me that you didn't do
- 10 that when you can't remember anything about this event
- 11 until you made that assertion?
- 12 A. Because I have no memory of asking anybody
- 13 to drop anything. In fact, I encouraged them to call
- 14 the police.
- 15 Q. Whose little initial is that at the bottom
- 16 of that?
- 17 A. Archbishop Roach.
- 18 Q. Exhibit 250 reflects that Kapoun was then
- 19 assigned by the Archbishop to other parishes.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Can we have that?
- MR. ANDERSON: If you want to.
- 22 MR. GOLDBERG: Well, I don't -- it's not --
- 23 it's your deposition, but you're referencing that.
- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) The records reflect that
- 25 he was assigned to a parish in Heidelberg and

- 1 Lexington. My question to you is did the Archdiocese
- 2 make any -- or any official of the Archdiocese make
- 3 any disclosure to the parishioners at Heidelberg or
- 4 Lexington or any other parish where Kapoun worked
- 5 about the history now known to the Archdiocese and the
- 6 reason for his transfer?
- 7 A. I don't know. Other than the initial
- 8 involvement, I don't think I was involved, other than
- 9 the initial menu -- memo, and this was not in my
- 10 region, so I wouldn't have been involved in handling
- 11 anything.
- 12 Q. My question to you, then, is more global.
- 13 At any time, to your knowledge, at any time when a
- 14 priest was moved or transferred by reason of sexual
- abuse, was there ever a public disclosure made to the
- 16 parish where he had been now newly assigned about the
- 17 history of abuse known to the Archdiocese, in your
- 18 experience?
- 19 MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form. There's
- 20 no time frame.
- 21 MR. ANDERSON: At any time.
- 22 MR. GOLDBERG: You're talking about during
- 23 his entire tenure in Minneapolis/St. Paul?
- MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Archbishop or what?

- 1 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah.
- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Was there ever a
- 3 disclosure of sexual abuse to the parishioners where a
- 4 priest was assigned who had a history?
- 5 A. It's a difficult question to answer for this
- 6 reason, because we've done so much of that in the
- 7 other three dioceses where I've been in, and I don't
- 8 remember when it all started and when it all ended.
- 9 But we were most aggressive in the number of dioceses
- 10 I've been in in reporting people who had been abused
- 11 and going out to all the parishes. We even went back
- 12 to a case in 1941 or something.
- 13 Q. That was in Sioux Falls you're talking
- 14 about.
- 15 A. But I remember -- I can't sort it all out.
- 16 Q. Okay. I have to direct your attention now
- 17 to the Archdiocese of St. Paul/Minneapolis, you know,
- 18 which brings you up to 1994. In that time,
- 19 Archbishop, can you think of any instance where the
- 20 Archdiocese made a disclosure to a parish and those in
- 21 it concerning a priest being assigned to that parish
- 22 who had a history of sexual abuse, such as Kapoun or
- 23 Adamson or anybody else?
- 24 A. I wasn't involved in any of those actual
- 25 assignments, so I don't know. I do know that I went

Page 135

- 1 out to parishes before I left the Archdiocese, at
- 2 least one or two cases, and I had told them that the
- 3 priest had abused.
- 4 Q. When was that?
- 5 A. I don't remember the year, but it was the
- 6 parish by the Mendota Bridge.
- 7 Q. What priest?
- 8 A. I think it was Turner.
- 9 Q. Any others in which you either made a
- 10 disclosure to any of the parishioners or, to your
- 11 knowledge, the Archdiocese made any disclosure to
- 12 parishioners who are now being -- having a priest
- 13 assigned to a parish who had a history of having
- 14 offended?
- 15 A. The one I mentioned comes to mind. No
- 16 others do.
- 17 Q. In the case of Kapoun, look at Exhibit 246.
- 18 It's dated 1987. And this is a letter to you from
- 19 St. Luke's or St. Bernardine Clinic from Frank
- 20 Valcour; is it not?
- 21 A. I don't remember ever seeing this, so I'd
- 22 have to read it to see, or I'll check the name at the
- 23 end.
- Q. Okay. Well, it's addressed to you, in any
- 25 case?

Page 136

- 1 A. I see that.
- 2 Q. Do you remember sending Kapoun to see
- 3 Valcour?
- 4 A. I don't.
- 5 Q. Beyond what we have covered, do you have any
- 6 independent memory of how you handled Kapoun or what
- you did or recommended to the Archbishop to do beyond
- 8 what has been covered?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Do you remember that Kapoun was diagnosed
- 11 with ephebophilia?
- 12 A. I do not.
- 13 Q. Do you remember he was identified as a risk
- 14 of harm to children?
- 15 A. I don't remember that either.
- 16 Q. Do you remember the Archbishop permitting
- 17 him to continue in parishes without warning or
- 18 disclosure to the parishioners about the diagnosis or
- 19 the risks known?
- 20 A. I don't.
- Q. Do you know what ephebophilia is?
- 22 A. Ephebophilia, I believe, is the sexual
- 23 attraction to teenagers.
- Q. Ephebophilia and pedophilia are often
- interchanged in nomenclature; aren't they?

Page 137

- 1 A. I don't think ephebophilia is very well
- 2 understood.
- 3 Q. It's more in the medical community?
- A. Uh-huh.
- 5 **Q. Yes?**
- 6 MR. GOLDBERG: What? I didn't --
- 7 MR. ANDERSON: The medical community.
- 8 MR. WIESER: Foundation. Objection,
- 9 foundation.
- 10 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) I'll direct your attention
- 11 to Exhibit 247, Archbishop. It's dated -- as she
- 12 pulls it out -- June 1st, 1987. It's a memo to
- 13 Archbishop Roach from you, Bishop Carlson, regarding
- 14 Reverend Robert Kapoun. It begins by stating, "After
- 15 confirmation at Heidelberg yesterday, I met with
- 16 Father Bob Kapoun concerning his report from the
- 17 St. Luke Institute in Maryland." That's the report I
- 18 just referred you to.
- 19 A. Okay.
- Q. And do you remember this?
- 21 A. I don't.
- 22 Q. Okay. Look at Item No. 2. You write to
- 23 Archbishop Roach, "Father Kapoun will meet with me
- 24 every three months." Were you required to do that?
- 25 A. I don't remember if the Archbishop told me

- 1 or not, but it's there.
- 2 Q. Did you do that?
- 3 A. I don't remember.
- Q. And was that to do -- to supervise him, to
- 5 keep him from re-offending?
- A. I assume the Archbishop asked me to do this.
- 7 I don't remember what he had in mind.
- 8 Q. In 1996, the case of Dale Scheffler vs. the
- 9 Archdiocese of St. Paul/Minneapolis went to trial.
- 10 Did you testify in that?
- 11 A. I don't think I did.
- 12 Q. I'm going to ask you some questions about
- 13 Father Ken LaVan, who is another priest mentioned in
- 14 that document.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Which document?
- 16 MR. ANDERSON: It was an earlier document
- 17 where there was a number of priests listed. Ken
- 18 LaVan --
- 19 MR. GOLDBERG: Oh, 275?
- MR. ANDERSON: Yeah.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Okay.
- 22 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Did it come to your
- 23 attention in 1988 that he had abused two teenaged
- 24 girls?
- 25 A. I don't believe so.

- Q. Did you ever learn that he had abused kids?
- 2 A. I don't believe I ever did, but, again,
- 3 there may be a memo.
- 4 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 33, and it is dated
- 5 later in time, but makes a reference back in time, and
- 6 the exhibit, just for the purposes of brevity, let me
- 7 represent to you is to Archbishop Flynn, Pates, Sister
- 8 Dominica, Andrew Eisenzimmer from Kevin McDonough.
- 9 Now, it's dated November 3rd, 2005, but it refers back
- 10 to a history now being referred to, so you may know
- 11 something about, so let me direct your attention to
- 12 that. In the middle of the second paragraph, it is
- 13 written by McDonough, "It embarrasses me to
- 14 acknowledge once again a lapse in memory on my own
- 15 part. Although I have dealt with LaVan for many years
- about his boundary violations with adult females, I
- 17 had forgotten that there were two allegations in the
- 18 late 1980s concerning sex involvement with teen-aged
- 19 **girls."**
- 20 My question to you is do you know anything
- 21 about LaVan's sexual involvement in the mid-'80s with
- 22 teen-aged girls that Kevin McDonough is referring to
- 23 here?
- A. Kevin is referring to the late '80s. I have
- 25 no knowledge.

- Q. Okay. Do you recall sending LaVan to
- 2 Gendron?
- 3 A. I don't.
- 4 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 251. This would be a
- 5 letter from Joe Gendron dated February 14, to you,
- 6 "The Most Reverend Bishop Robert Carlson" and
- 7 regarding Father Kenneth LaVan, and begins by stating,
- 8 "Dear Bishop Carlson, I saw Father Kenneth LaVan for
- 9 psychiatric evaluation and had Dr. Paul Arnold see
- 10 him for psychological testing." You got this; didn't
- 11 you?
- 12 A. I don't remember getting it.
- 13 Q. Do you have any memory of, or did you ever
- 14 take any action concerning LaVan, either by sending
- 15 him to St. Luke's or returning him to ministry?
- 16 A. I don't remember doing that. I notice this
- 17 is with an adult woman. Before you were talk about
- 18 two teen-aged girls, so I'm a little bit confused.
- 19 Q. Yes. Kevin McDonough is referring to him
- 20 having had a history with -- inappropriate conduct
- 21 with adult women, but also the two teen-age girls.
- 22 This one refers to adult women, correct?
- 23 A. It says that, yes.
- Q. Do you recall him being identified as
- 25 dangerous?

- 1 A. I don't.
- 2 O. Look at Exhibit 252. It's dated
- 3 February 25, 1986, a memo to Archbishop Roach, Father
- 4 Michael O'Connell and Father Bill Kenney from Bishop
- 5 Carlson. Subject: Report from Dr. Gendron. The last
- 6 sentence -- and that's your initial there; isn't it?
- 7 A. It is.
- 8 Q. The last sentence, you write, "Given the
- 9 liability it involves and the fact that this report
- 10 puts on notice, I think we will have to treat this as
- 11 a rather serious case."
- 12 What can you tell me about what you're
- 13 writing and why you're writing it and what's going on
- 14 here?
- MR. GOLDBERG: Object to the form of the
- 16 question.
- 17 A. I don't remember what went into writing it,
- 18 but I can say whatever I wrote was what the facts
- 19 were, and that's what I said, but I don't remember
- 20 anything more.
- 21 Q. (By Mr. Anderson) What about Father Hedrick?
- 22 Father Hedrick is a priest you knew because you had
- 23 been assigned as a co-pastor with him at some point in
- 24 time?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 142 1 Q. And where was that parish at? 2 Α. St. Margaret Mary's in Golden Valley. 3 Q. And what period of time? I was there, I think -- I thought it was 4 Α. 5 1972 to 1975 or '76. 6 Well, '72 would have put you at St. Q. 7 Raphael's. 8 Α. Well, we moved in the summertime. 9 Okay. So did you go from St. Raphael's to Q. 10 St. Margaret Mary? Α. 11 Yes. 12 Q. And you were there with Hedrick? 13 Α. I was. 14 Q. What did you -- did you learn that he had 15 abused? 16 Α. I don't believe I learned that when I was 17 there. 18 Q. When did you learn it? 19 Α. I believe I was told when I came to do his 20 funeral. 21 Q. And what year was that? 22 A. I don't remember. Whatever year he died. 23 Q. And before his death, it's your testimony 24 that you never received any information, reports or 25 had any knowledge that he, in fact, had abused youth?

Page 143

- 1 A. I don't believe I did.
- 2 Q. And what did you learn after he died about
- 3 his history, and from whom?
- 4 A. I don't remember who it was from, but when I
- 5 was coming to do his funeral, they said we've got to
- 6 know this exists, and I thought it was somebody he
- 7 abused at the state training school. That's what I
- 8 remember.
- 9 Q. There was a training -- for boys?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And that's all you knew or heard?
- 12 A. That's what I heard, and it's the kind of
- 13 thing you hear sacristy as you're getting ready to say
- 14 Mass.
- 15 Q. I'll direct your attention to Father Kern,
- 16 Jerome Kern. You knew him to be a priest of the
- 17 Archdiocese?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And did you learn that he had been reported
- 20 to have abused two boys in 1969?
- 21 A. I don't remember that.
- 22 Q. Did you ever hear or learn that he had been
- 23 reported to have abused minors?
- 24 A. I don't remember hearing that, but I would
- 25 have written a memo if there was.

- Q. Let's look at Exhibit 293. Archbishop, this
- one is dated June 15, 1987. It's from Michael J.
- 3 O'Connell. The subject is an "An Incident of Alleged
- 4 Sexual Abuse of Minors by Father Jerome Kern." And it
- 5 begins by stating "On May 4, 1987, Bishop Robert J.
- 6 Carlson and Father Michael J. O'Connell met with
- 7 Blank." And presumably the victims or the families of
- 8 the victims' names have been taken out of there to
- 9 protect their identity. It goes on to state, "They
- 10 asked to meet with us because of the publicity around
- 11 Father Adamson's sexual abuse of children case in the
- 12 Blank, brought the Blank events back. They are not
- 13 vindictive about their motives. Rather, they felt
- 14 they were never given any information by the
- 15 Archdiocese after Father Kern was moved from Blank,
- and they wanted to know if he had been given any
- 17 treatment or had any follow-up after he was moved to
- 18 Edina in 1969." My question to you is what can you
- 19 tell me about this?
- 20 A. I have no memory of this at all. It says I
- 21 was there, so I guess I was there, but I don't
- 22 remember.
- Q. The third paragraph down says, "Blank
- 24 indicated that he was picked up by Father Kern
- allegedly to help him to swim, although Blank

- 1 indicated that he could swim very well without
- 2 assistance. Blank alleges that Father Kern slipped
- 3 his hand inside his tight cut-off jeans and, in fact,
- 4 touched his genitals." You knew that to be sexual
- 5 abuse?
- 6 A. I don't remember even hearing that.
- 7 Q. But in any case, at least as described in
- 8 this memo, to which you are at least in attendance,
- 9 sexual abuse is being described here, correct?
- 10 A. That's that I would assume, given the period
- 11 that Father O'Connell handled it from there. I don't
- 12 remember.
- 13 Q. And the last sentence of the next paragraph,
- 14 it goes on to state, "And touched his genitals on a
- 15 number of occasions." You knew that to be a crime?
- 16 A. I don't remember him saying it.
- 17 Q. But you knew a priest touching the genitals
- 18 of a kid to be a crime; did you not?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. The second page, the top of it, it states,
- 21 "Father Kern did not deny that he did these things to
- 22 the boys." Do you remember that?
- 23 A. I don't.
- 24 Q. The third paragraph down says, "On June 5th,
- 25 1987, Bishop Robert Carlson, Father Michael O'Connell

- and Father Jerome Kern met in Father O'Connell's
- 2 office." Do you remember that?
- 3 A. I don't.
- 4 Q. The next paragraph says, "When Father
- 5 O'Connell asked him if his motives and intentions were
- 6 as inappropriate as the events seemed to describe, he
- 7 admitted that his actions were totally inappropriate."
- 8 Do you remember that?
- 9 A. I don't.
- 10 Q. Did you or to your knowledge any official of
- 11 the Archdiocese report any of this as included in this
- memo to any law enforcement agency?
- 13 A. I did not report it, and after this meeting,
- 14 I wasn't involved.
- 15 Q. The last paragraph -- the second-to-last
- 16 paragraph says, "Bishop Carlson told Father Kern that
- 17 he saw Father Kern enter a part of Loring Park in
- 18 Minneapolis at a time of night when a high degree of
- 19 homosexual soliciting takes place." What can you tell
- 20 me about what you saw in that connection and what this
- 21 refers to?
- 22 A. We were coming from a gathering of clergy of
- 23 St. Olaf's, and at the time I was at a parish in South
- 24 Minneapolis, and I forget the street that you drive
- 25 around the park to get to, but it's where the Guthrie

Page 147

- 1 used to be, and then you head south.
- Q. So what did -- what did you see?
- 3 A. I saw him walking into the park.
- Q. I'm going to refer to Exhibit 133, and it is
- 5 a 1987 -- excuse me, 1993, the file of Jerome Kern
- 6 from Kevin McDonough. The subject is "A Report of
- 7 Abuse by Alan Michaud." And you'll see at the second
- 8 page, you are copied on this. Today, do you remember
- 9 having seen it?
- 10 A. No, I don't.
- 11 Q. Okay. This document reports sexual abuse,
- 12 and McDonough, given the fact that there's some
- 13 publicity, makes a statement to the parish. Did you
- 14 become aware of statements being made to the parish at
- 15 that time?
- 16 A. No. This would have been Bishop Sharon's
- 17 area, so I wouldn't have been involved.
- 18 Q. Alan Michaud made a complaint similar to
- 19 that that was read before that Kern put his hand on
- 20 his genitals. Did you become aware that Archbishop
- 21 Roach and Kevin McDonough represented to the parish
- 22 that there had been no earlier accusations concerning
- 23 Kern?
- 24 A. I did not.
- 25 Q. Did you become aware that they led the

- 1 people in the parish in 1993 to believe that Kern had
- been wrongfully accused by Al Michaud?
- 3 A. I did not.
- Q. So you didn't know anything about the
- 5 Michaud matter and Kern?
- 6 A. No. It was not in my area. I wouldn't have
- 7 been involved.
- 8 Q. What about Jeub? Did you get involved with
- 9 Jeub and allegations of abuse against him?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Unless there's a document that says I did.
- 12 Exhibit 260. Let's look at that for a moment, and
- 13 Exhibit 260 is a memo dated April 11, 1991, to
- 14 Archbishop John Roach, Bishop Robert Carlson and
- 15 Father Michael O'Connell. So here we have a memo,
- 16 right?
- 17 A. We do.
- 18 Q. And the topic, it's from McDonough, and it's
- 19 "Another alleged victim of Father Richard Jeub." Do
- 20 you remember this, Archbishop?
- 21 A. I do not.
- 22 Q. You don't dispute that the memo was sent to
- you; do you?
- 24 A. I don't dispute the fact that it lists that
- 25 the memo was sent to me, but I have no memory of it.

- 1 Q. In the second paragraph, it says, "First
- 2 this woman was a childhood friend and associate of
- 3 another young woman who, as a teenager, was also the
- 4 victim of some sexual misconduct on the part of Father
- 5 Jeub." Does that refresh your recollection?
- 6 A. It does not.
- 7 Q. On the second page, in the middle of the
- 8 paragraph, the third paragraph begins by stating,
- 9 "This is the first allegation I have heard that the
- 10 Archbishop had prior knowledge that Jeub exhibited
- 11 abusive or exploitive behavior. Clearly, if such
- 12 knowledge could be demonstrated, it would indicate a
- 13 serious problem with our dealing with him in the late
- 14 1960s or early 1970s." Does that refresh your
- 15 recollection about this?
- 16 A. It does not.
- 17 Q. Do you recall that Jeub -- and then the
- 18 third paragraph, at the end of the last sentence, it
- 19 states, "I am afraid, however, that Jeub's
- 20 recollection will be just as idyllic and inaccurate.
- 21 And it leads me to question the progress that he has
- 22 made in therapy."
- 23 So it's indicating here that Jeub is, like,
- denying the abuse. In your experience from 1970 to
- 25 1994, serving the Archdiocese and even to today, is it

- 1 your experience, Archbishop, that these offenders,
- when confronted, usually deny the abuse?
- 3 A. Not all.
- Q. But more often than not?
- 5 A. Many did. Many did.
- 6 Q. Yeah. And you also met with a lot of
- 7 victims too; haven't you?
- 8 A. I have, and continue to meet with victims.
- 9 Q. And in that experience, Archbishop, is it
- 10 fair to say that it's painful for you and painful for
- 11 the victims to even be discussing this?
- 12 A. It's painful for both of us.
- 13 Q. And is it also your experience, informed by
- 14 the many years in ministry and various -- when victims
- 15 come forward, oftentimes they don't report the abuse
- 16 at the time of it, that it takes them years to do so?
- 17 A. I have no sense of that in any, you know,
- 18 organized or official way. I think it's different for
- 19 different people, but I don't know.
- Q. How many reports have you received at any
- 21 time where the abuse was recent? That means within
- 22 the last year or two.
- 23 A. Well, we had one in this Archdiocese about a
- 24 year ago.
- 25 Q. But other than that one, were there any

- 1 reports made to you where the abuse was recent in time
- 2 in contrast to all the others where the abuse was back
- 3 in time, and now the survivor was --
- A. The one I mentioned, but I just don't
- 5 remember.
- 6 MR. GOLDBERG: I wonder is this a good time
- 7 to --
- 8 MR. ANDERSON: Sure.
- 9 MR. GOLDBERG: Well, you know, I don't want
- 10 you running out of time. That's most important.
- MR. ANDERSON: Oh, no. I think we should
- 12 take a break.
- 13 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:00 p.m. We are
- 14 off the record.
- 15 (Whereupon a break was taken.)
- 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:10. We are
- 17 back on the record.
- MR. ANDERSON: Archbishop, I have no further
- 19 questions. Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 21 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:10. We are off
- 22 the record. This concludes today's deposition of
- 23 Archbishop Carlson.
- MR. WIESER: I have no questions for the
- 25 Archdiocese.

		Page 152
1	MR. BRAUN: And none for the Diocese of	
2	Winona.	
3	MR. WIESER: E-tran. Condensed. Send the	
4	page to me.	
5	MR. BRAUN: I'll take the same.	
6	MR. ANDERSON: Everything. We want the E.	
7	We want the condensed. We want the regular and HD	
8	DVD. (Signature not	
9	waived.)	
10	(WHEREIN, the deposition was concluded at 3:00 p.m.)	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page 153			
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER				
2					
3	I, Brenda Orsborn, a Certified Court				
4	Reporter (MO CCR No. 914) and Certified Shorthand				
5	Reporter (IL CSR No. 084-003460), do hereby certify				
6	that the witness whose testimony appears in the				
7	foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the				
8	testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best				
9	of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting				
10	under my direction; that I am neither counsel for,				
11	related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the				
12	action in which this deposition was taken, and				
13	further, that I am not a relative or employee of any				
14	attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto,				
15	nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome				
16	of the action.				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	Brenda Orsborn				
22					
23					
24					
25					

		Page 154
-1	COVIDE NEWS	1 age 134
1	COURT MEMO	
	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT, CITY OF ST. LOUIS	
2	TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	
	STATE OF MISSOURI	
3		
	DOE 1)	
4	vs.	
	ARCHDIOCESE OF ST. PAUL AND)	
5	MINNEAPOLIS, DIOCESE OF WINONA)	
	and THOMAS ADAMSON)	
6		
	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICER AND STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES	
7	(Rule 57.03(g)(2)(a) & Sec. 492.590 RSMO 1985.)	
	DEPOSITION OF ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON	
8	MAY 23, 2014	
9	Name and address of person or firm having custody of the	
	original transcript: Mr. Jeff Anderson	
10	Jeff Anderson & Associates P.A.	
	366 Jackson Street, Suite 100	
11	St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	
12	TAXED IN FAVOR OF: Mr. Jeff Anderson	
	TOTAL: \$	
13	TAXED IN FAVOR OF: Mr. Thomas B. Wieser	
	TOTAL: \$	
14	TAXED IN FAVOR OF: Mr. Thomas R. Braun	
	TOTAL: \$	
15		
	Upon delivery of transcript, the above charges had not yet	
16	been paid. It is required that all charges will be paid	
10	in the normal course of business.	
17	In the normal course of pastness.	
/	MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES	
18	711 N. 11th Street	
18		
	St. Louis, Missouri 63101	
20		
21		
22		
23	NOTARY PUBLIC	
24	My Commission Expires:	
25		

		Page 155
1	MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES	
2	June 5, 2014	
3	Mr. Thomas B. Wieser	
	Meier, Kennedy & Quinn	
4	Bremer Tower, Suite 2200	
	445 Minnesota Street	
5	St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	
6	IN RE: DOE 1 vs. ARCHDIOCESE OF ST. PAUL AND	
	MINNEAPOLIS, DIOCESE OF WINONA and THOMAS	
7	ADAMSON	
8	Dear Mr. Wieser:	
9	Please find enclosed your copies of the deposition of ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON taken on May 23, 2014 in the	
10	above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original	
	signature page and errata sheets.	
11		
	Please have the witness read your copy of the	
12	transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections	
	desired on the errata sheets, and sign the signature	
13	page before a notary public.	
14		
15	Please return the errata sheets and notarized	
16	signature page to Jeff Anderson for filing prior to	
17	trial date.	
18		
19	Sincerely,	
20		
21		
22	Ms. Brenda Orsborn, RPR/CSR/CCR	
23		
24	Enclosures	
25		

		Page 156
1	ERRATA SHEET	
	Witness Name: ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON	
2	Case Name: DOE 1 vs. ARCHDIOCESE OF ST. PAUL AND	
	MINNEAPOLIS, DIOCESE OF WINONA and THOMAS	
3	ADAMSON	
	Date Taken: MAY 23, 2014	
4		
	Page # Line #	
5	Should read:	
6	Reason for change:	
7		
8	Page # Line #	
9	Should read:	
10	Reason for change:	
11		
12	Page # Line #	
13	Should read:	
14	Reason for change:	
15		
16	Page # Line #	
17	Should read:	
18	Reason for change:	
19		
20	Page # Line #	
21	Should read:	
22	Reason for change:	
23		
24	Witness Signature:	
25		

		Page 157			
1	STATE OF)				
2					
3	COUNTY OF)				
4					
5	I, ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON, do hereby certify:				
6	That I have read the foregoing deposition;				
7	That I have made such changes in form				
8	and/or substance to the within deposition as might				
9	be necessary to render the same true and correct;				
10	That having made such changes thereon, I				
11	hereby subscribe my name to the deposition.				
12	I declare under penalty of perjury that the				
13	foregoing is true and correct.				
14	Executed this,				
15	20, at				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20	ARCHBISHOP ROBERT CARLSON				
21					
22					
23	NOTARY PUBLIC				
24	My Commission Expires:				
25					

	I	I	l	l
A	134:10 135:3	101:20 146:7	130:7 134:23	affiliated 116:19
ability 20:5,7	138:23 139:1	activity 55:9,21	154:5 155:7	Affirmation
153:9	142:15,25	56:7 98:20	156:3	107:9 110:9
able 18:15 56:22	143:7,20,23	actual 134:24	Adamson's	111:9
62:15	abusers 87:18	Adams 107:2	144:11	afraid 149:19
above-referenc	abusing 18:5	Adamson 1:7 3:7	Adamson/Tom	age 8:9 77:25
155:10	88:2	3:22 10:22	64:9	agencies 113:15
absolutely	abusive 149:11	13:16,22 14:6	additional 98:4	agency 113:4
124:19	accept 57:11	24:20 27:3,7,9	address 49:19	146:12
abuse 12:3,19	access 112:7	28:1,16,20 29:6	154:9	aggressive 134:9
13:4,4 15:1	119:11,18,23	29:17,25 30:7	addressed 37:24	ago 10:15 14:2
16:7 23:16 24:4	accuracy 10:15	30:19 32:25	135:24	14:14 18:21
24:11 26:22	10:23 11:9 13:7	33:11,16,22	administrator	19:20 22:2
27:15,23 28:1	14:3,15 15:6	34:5,20,25	70:23 76:21	24:10 30:11
29:16,24 30:7	17:16 18:2 21:2	35:13,18 36:1	administrators	33:11,25 38:18
31:6,15,22,25	22:5 23:20 24:6	36:13,16,18,25	108:12	41:25 46:13
33:12 34:7 45:7	24:9 27:5,21	38:18,22 40:5	admission 56:5	50:13 54:5
56:8,16,17 57:3	30:3 31:24	40:10,13,23	99:23 100:12	100:16 117:5
68:2 69:3,6	33:10,18,24	41:3,14,17	admitted 54:12	119:2 150:24
72:12 77:25	45:14 46:10,14	42:17 43:19,24	55:8 64:11	agree 20:23,25
86:9 87:7,11	47:8 51:17 63:9	44:7,12,24	91:13 98:8,13	21:6,11,16
88:2 89:8 90:12	65:1 92:5	45:25 46:8 48:2	99:4,9,24 146:7	40:23 52:8 76:8
91:4 93:2 97:14	accurate 19:1	48:9 49:2 50:10	admitting 54:15	92:20
101:4,12,16	20:14 24:17	52:17,18 53:1	54:19 99:20	agreed 6:1 7:7,8
107:14 112:21	45:9 49:12 54:6	53:14,19,25	adult 108:22	7:11 41:3 58:5
113:3,15 114:7	57:14 65:18	54:2,8,15 57:3	109:10,14	58:12 59:17
115:4 116:1	accurately 20:21	58:2,22,24	139:16 140:17	98:20
117:2 121:2,11	46:11 92:19	59:17 60:10,14	140:21,22	agreement 90:13
122:14,18,22	accusations	60:19,20 62:2	adults 88:2,2	ahead 87:22
123:6,14,18	147:22	64:8,10 65:12	advance 48:18	108:19 109:8
129:6 133:15	accused 10:13	67:7,9,10,19	advice 52:12	Ahmiller 124:4
133:17 134:3	14:25 25:7	68:13,15,21	66:21 87:12	air 29:23
134:22 144:4	26:22 30:1,7,19	69:6,25 70:12	advise 11:13	al 6:17 49:2
144:11 145:5,9	34:7 93:2 120:2	71:8,9,23 72:14	12:11 16:16	148:2
147:7,11 148:9	120:3 148:2	72:16 73:11,24	17:8,18 97:13	Alan 147:7,18
149:24 150:2	acknowledge	74:13 77:20	113:13 114:5	Albuquerque
150:15,21	139:14	78:1 79:8,10	115:2	107:9
151:1,2	act 131:19	81:14 89:8,18	advised 16:25	alive 73:2
abused 10:13,19	Acting 90:9	90:13 94:12,13	17:10 18:18	allegation 31:22
44:13,25 48:2	action 14:6 28:18	95:6 97:7,15,20	19:3 23:12,14	149:9
54:16,20 56:6	28:22 33:3	98:8,12,20	24:2 48:4 52:4	allegations 28:1
60:14 63:21	34:24 57:17,18	99:10,24	52:9 103:8	31:6,15,25
64:11 72:16	57:23 66:20	102:10,20	113:23 115:6	97:15 121:1
97:25 98:9,13	69:8 124:21	106:16 107:3,3	advising 48:22	139:17 148:9
99:20,25	140:14 153:12	107:8 111:24	52:10	alleged 144:3
111:11 126:20	153:16	112:1,13 121:9	advisory 11:22	148:19
127:1 128:6	actions 33:15	121:15 124:3	affiliate 107:18	allegedly 144:25
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

			•	
alleges 145:2	132:21,24	5:1	57:11,19,24,25	108:6 115:3
allowed 121:17	133:21,24	appeared 19:18	58:11,21 59:8	Archdiocese 1:6
ambiguity 114:3	134:1,2 137:7	25:18 84:2	61:4 62:9 63:3	3:6,21 4:8,14
Ambrose 31:10	137:10 138:16	appearing 7:1,4	63:14,16,23	6:17 7:23,25
31:11	138:20,22	88:22	64:1,5 66:10,21	8:2 9:5,18,21
amended 50:3	141:21 151:8	appears 8:22 9:3	67:6 69:9,19	10:4,10,17 11:2
America 16:1	151:11,18	9:6 83:11	70:11 72:7,22	12:3,17 14:24
25:17	152:6 154:9,10	123:13 153:6	73:23 74:11,12	15:13,22 17:7
Anderson 2:4 4:3	154:12 155:16	Apple 74:15	75:5,18 76:2,9	24:3,12,22 25:6
4:4 6:25,25	Anderson's 2:21	appoint 74:14	76:19 77:17,18	26:5,7,13 40:17
7:15 8:3,12	7:8	appointed 9:19	81:2 83:16 85:8	42:19 43:11
12:16 19:9,21	Andrew 102:12	12:20 15:18	92:7,25 93:22	44:7 45:8 49:2
19:25 20:3	139:8	appointment	94:2 95:4,5,21	52:20 54:23
21:11,16 22:7	and/or 16:12	25:4 53:9 75:23	95:25 97:14	55:12,24 59:24
22:14,15 32:19	23:11 33:2 44:8	approximately	100:20 102:21	70:3 71:24
32:23 33:13	85:13 97:2	6:15	102:23 104:3	76:11 80:7
37:9 39:8,9,10	155:12 157:8	April 19:11	104:16 105:20	84:17 90:12
39:12,19,23	Angels 70:24	122:12 123:11	106:13 108:15	92:15,24 93:22
40:3 45:18,24	71:3	123:25 128:11	109:9 111:15	94:2 100:2,5,21
46:6 49:13,16	annual 86:15	128:17 131:23	112:11,25	102:16 104:8
49:19 50:1,4,6	answer 20:19	148:13	119:20,22	105:2,7,8,21
51:15 55:17,18	21:2 31:2 33:6	Aquinas 52:22	120:25 121:9	107:25 109:24
64:15,21 67:18	39:14 44:23	arch 11:11	121:21 122:13	110:8,14
70:10,22 71:14	50:4,11,19,23	archbishop 1:11	123:11,24	111:12 112:7
71:22 73:3	76:15 82:17	3:10 6:4,16 7:5	128:3,11	113:2,8,12
74:10 76:15	96:11,15	7:8,13,15,16	130:10 131:14	116:2,3,17
77:3,16 78:6,8	100:11 104:21	8:8,13,25 9:7	131:16,19,24	117:8 120:1,3
79:25 81:1,6,9	111:14 112:23	9:14,15,20 10:7	132:17,19	120:10,22
81:19 83:4	113:7,21 134:5	11:12,12,13,16	133:25 134:19	127:23 131:11
84:23 85:1,8	answered 31:13	11:20 12:1,12	136:7,16	133:1,2,5,17
89:1,3,5 90:6	answers 8:19	12:18 17:13	137:11,13,23	134:17,20
90:21 91:19,23	19:15 100:19	18:4,23 19:10	137:25 138:6	135:1,11 138:9
94:6,8 96:19,20	anybody 19:3	19:14 20:4	139:7 141:3	143:17 144:15
96:24,25	28:15 50:16	21:12 23:2,3,7	144:1 147:20	146:11 149:25
100:18 101:2	52:13 107:1	27:11 28:22	148:14,20	150:23 151:25
103:21 104:24	113:22 116:4	29:6,7,11,16,20	149:10 150:1,9	154:4 155:6
106:12,23	116:11,17	30:2 31:13	151:18,23	156:2
108:18,20	120:5 132:12	32:23 34:10	154:7 155:9	Archdiocese's
109:2,6,9	134:23	35:25 36:23	156:1 157:5,20	105:18,24
110:21,25	anymore 118:21	37:12,17,25	Archbishops	archive 119:10
111:5,7,17,22	anytime 70:18	38:16 39:4,15	102:2	119:11,18
112:4,6 114:2,4	anyway 121:10	40:9 41:1,16	Archbishop's	archives 119:3
114:14,17,22	Apparently	45:8,24 47:13	11:17 28:23	area 26:4,7
115:1,23 116:7	102:7 104:13	47:23 48:9,13	39:17 58:6	147:17 148:6
116:9 117:20	appear 93:8	48:16,17 49:6	66:25 118:1,2	argue 20:1
117:24 122:1,6	108:5	50:7 52:8,17	Archdiocesan	argumentative
124:9,11,15,17	APPEARANC	53:1,17 56:19	106:14,18	21:10,14,21
	I	I	I	I

46:4 51:11	assignments	attributable	126:4	25:4 26:21
91:16 115:20	11:13,14,15	88:20 92:8	basically 59:7	36:24 38:5,10
Arnold 140:9	40:8 41:18	94:17	basis 39:18	42:18 47:3,11
article 2:11 88:16	134:25	attribute 81:24	bear 108:5	50:19,25 60:5
88:22 89:12	assistance 145:2	95:1 108:1	becoming 87:24	73:2 77:19
90:7 91:22	associate 10:5	attributed 93:7	bed 129:24	85:19 86:24
92:15 93:20	52:21 68:4	93:25 103:8	beds 130:1	95:5 102:21,23
ASAP 59:24	74:14 76:22,25	August 35:11	began 78:11	103:3,7 104:3
ascertain 104:19	125:19 149:2	auxiliaries 122:4	begins 67:7	105:12 107:7
asked 12:22 21:5	Associates 4:4	auxiliary 9:4,7	137:14 140:7	109:23,24
22:8 34:14,16	154:10	10:6 26:21	144:5 149:8	113:1 119:25
36:25 44:6,16	association 124:2	86:19,23 104:2	behalf 1:12 7:24	122:12 124:25
44:24 46:20	assume 23:17	109:24 113:1	8:2,10 45:7	128:16 130:16
50:17 57:11,22	28:10 29:13	118:19 122:3	94:1	131:23 132:5
67:16,17 71:8	31:16 38:7	124:25	behavior 57:7	137:13 140:6,8
85:10,12,14	44:14,18,21	available 38:19	90:11 91:5	141:4 144:5
98:7,12 99:6,13	48:10 60:21	avoid 77:12	149:11	145:25 146:16
99:22 100:5,11	63:4 64:3 65:7	avoiding 102:3	belies 91:12	147:16 148:14
102:9 109:4	66:23 68:17	aware 106:25	believe 28:6 32:4	Bishops 86:9,12
114:14 138:6	70:20 79:24	113:17 120:1,9	45:10,10 47:21	86:13,14,18,22
144:10 146:5	83:19 94:20	120:9,10 122:2	53:6 54:9,18	107:19,20
asking 14:13	99:15 103:24	147:14,20,25	56:15 57:4,14	120:16
33:23 34:1 44:9	118:4 122:19	a.m 1:14 6:15	60:3 62:5 69:20	bit 96:4 140:18
45:5 46:12 58:2	138:6 145:10	58:7	72:7 73:8 80:3	blacked 36:11
64:21 71:14	assumes 21:21		80:14,16 93:23	54:14 55:19
76:5 96:17	assuming 83:10	$\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{B}}$	97:22 107:20	Blahnik 50:8
132:4,12	assumption 18:2	B 2:6 4:9 154:13	126:9 127:22	blank 33:21,23
aspects 111:20	27:22	155:3	127:24 130:19	54:13 67:20
assert 132:9	assure 93:21	back 9:17 17:21	136:22 138:25	68:6,21 123:25
assertion 21:17	132:5	32:4 35:12	139:2 142:16	128:18,18,22
132:11	attached 2:21	43:19 44:8	142:19 143:1	128:25 129:4
assign 11:18,21	attendance 43:8	45:23 46:21	148:1	130:5 144:7,12
assigned 10:3	145:8	50:14 56:3 69:3	benefit 56:18	144:12,15,23
12:17 15:17	attended 58:16	72:16 85:7,10	Bernardine	144:25 145:2
37:1 53:14,15	86:17 120:15	101:8 106:12	135:19	blocked 123:12
69:25 74:23	attention 27:18	107:22 108:8	best 44:22,23	board 9:20,22,25
76:1,21,22	49:5 50:6 52:14	121:13 123:23	50:23 51:5 52:6	11:11,15,22
121:10 132:19	53:16 64:4	128:24 129:4	103:8 105:13	25:19 26:19
132:25 133:16	126:19 134:16	134:11 139:5,9	105:14 153:8	35:4 36:2,4,18
134:4,21	137:10 138:23	144:12 151:2	better 88:24 89:4	36:24 37:3
135:13 141:23	139:11 143:15	151:17	95:16 101:21	38:13,21 39:3,5
assignment 2:8	attest 93:18	background 88:21	beyond 23:11	39:13 40:22
26:1 37:11	attorney 50:9	bad 100:22 112:4	24:20 136:5,7	41:3,8,9,16
52:16,20 53:1	52:13 80:7	based 20:20	Bill 32:3 141:4	42:2,4,5,13
75:22 76:10,17	153:14	39:16 40:1	Binz 9:1	52:19 53:4,14
76:20,23,24,25	attorneys 6:23	103:13 104:22	Bishop 9:4,4,7	71:20 79:5,6
77:10 121:8	attraction 136:23	105.15 104.22	10:6 15:17,18	boardroom
	1	ı	1	1

	l	<u> </u>	ı	<u> </u>
28:13	calculated 82:15	117:14,16,16	94:20	Church 52:22
Bob 124:2	82:16	120:12 127:18	chancellor 10:5,5	74:15
125:18 137:16	calculation 80:12	130:24 131:10	12:8 26:20,20	Circuit 1:1,1 3:1
bottom 36:12	82:18	132:3 134:12	35:6 113:1	3:1,18,20 6:18
74:18 83:21	call 17:2,3 22:25	135:17,25	116:4,6,7	154:1,2
132:15	71:2,6,10,21	138:8 141:11	118:15,21,23	circumstance
boundary 139:16	73:11,12	144:11 145:7	119:20,23	63:19
boy 98:9 129:1	105:13 115:7	155:10 156:2	123:22	circumstances
129:18,22	115:22 127:6	cases 7:16 12:6,7	chancellors	27:20 41:2,17
130:15	132:5,13	121:11,17	119:24	City 1:1 3:1,19
boys 143:9,20	called 48:13	135:2	chancery 18:13	6:18 154:1
145:22	51:22 116:15	Catholic 15:25	25:9,15 28:10	civil/criminal
Braun 4:15,15	127:4	25:17 35:10	30:9 31:9 54:1	81:5
7:24,24 37:8	calling 48:18	75:24 86:13,17	73:1 119:16	claims 45:7
152:1,5 154:14	calls 71:19 76:12	107:18,21	change 106:5	clarification
break 45:17,18	100:15	120:15	156:6,10,14,18	110:19 117:14
45:21 84:24	cancer 20:10	cause 3:18 63:22	156:22	clarify 111:5
85:1,5,12	canon 15:24,25	caused 16:16	changes 112:23	Clark 93:8,20
151:12,15	26:10,14 32:5	17:8 47:23	155:12 157:7	clear 32:16 38:9
breaking 7:11	capacities 10:3,6	82:19	157:10	clearly 28:21
Bremer 4:10	capacity 9:7	CCR 5:3 153:4	charge 59:6	79:12 149:11
155:4	121:3	CC'd 131:24	charged 12:5,7	clergy 25:20
Brenda 3:16 5:3	care 35:6 112:8	cease 62:3,6,25	67:10	146:22
6:5,20 153:3,21	carefully 33:8	celibacy 107:14	charges 67:20,21	cleric 14:25 24:4
155:22	Carlson 1:11	Center 4:16	97:8 154:6,15	clerics 10:19
brevity 139:6	3:11,14 6:4,16	40:18 110:10	154:16	107:13 114:7
Bridge 135:6	6:20 7:5,9,15	centers 107:10	Charles 7:4	climate 106:5
brings 134:18	8:8,15 19:10	107:13,17,18	charter 120:14	Clinic 135:19
brother 41:12	50:19 60:9 64:9	107:21 110:7	check 84:21	close 40:22
brought 29:5	77:19 90:12	certain 3:18 97:8	135:22	125:10,11,14
47:25 54:1	91:6 92:11 95:5	certainly 25:11	chemical 20:11	129:24
60:14 67:20	122:12 131:23	45:10 75:20	107:15	Cloud 77:22 97:9
144:12	132:5 137:13	88:5 89:18	chief 11:19	97:24
Browning 4:20	140:6,8 141:5	92:19 101:5,21	child 10:13 16:11	clubs 130:5
8:4,4	144:6 145:25	121:14	18:5 99:1	coincidence 51:4
Buckley 4:20 8:5	146:16 148:14	CERTIFICATE	childhood 149:2	colleagues 24:2
8:5	151:23 154:7	153:1 154:6	children 136:14	113:13 114:5
building 91:17	155:9 156:1	certification	144:11	114:10,15
Bulletin 75:24	157:5,20	49:11	child's 22:24	116:2
Bullock 128:16	case 7:6,10,17,17	certified 3:16 6:5	choice 75:18 76:1	Collegeville
130:16	7:17 15:7 22:10	49:21 153:3,4	131:16,17,18	86:18 87:2
business 154:16	27:15 41:14	certify 153:5	131:19	Collins 102:12
	48:7 55:10,22	157:5	choices 108:7	107:2
<u> </u>	56:9 59:10	Chackes 3:14	cholesterol 73:21	Columbia 68:5
C 4:1	70:20 72:1,6	6:19	choose 65:24	78:13
Cafe 73:14	73:11 91:4	challenge 87:10	125:14	column 90:7 92:6
calculate 79:18	93:12 111:8	chance 92:11	Christa 4:21 8:1	come 11:4,7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

24:24 34:13	87:24 105:23	connotes 60:1	conversations	120:17 126:18
36:18 42:17	105:25	conscious 131:17	23:7 103:10,12	128:13,14
112:22 126:19	concerning 10:18	consequence	103:14 117:7,9	129:7 130:11
138:22 150:15	14:6 28:1 33:2	106:5,6	cooperation	130:12,16
comes 13:15	33:16,21 35:18	consider 20:16	107:7	131:13 140:22
45:24 46:2 86:8	40:13 45:25	58:3 84:13,16	copied 38:13,21	143:10 145:9
94:15 117:10	46:8,20 54:2	considered 85:22	74:13,18 76:2	157:9,13
135:15	65:9,12 67:8,19	119:2	147:8	corrected 78:6
coming 18:6 33:1	68:12,19 70:14	considering 80:5	copies 38:25	corrections
143:5 146:22	97:8 112:13	84:4	155:9	49:18 155:12
comment 24:18	134:21 137:16	constituted 11:11	copy 49:12 61:23	correctly 62:4
Commission	139:18 140:14	constitutes 86:5	88:25 89:4	98:10
154:24 157:24	147:22	consult 106:19	123:2 155:11	cost 110:20,25
commissioned	concerns 106:12	112:2	corner 38:13	counsel 6:2,2
120:17	concluded 67:8	Consultation	49:7 123:1	85:10 88:24
committed 99:11	152:10	40:18 110:9	correct 8:24 9:2	106:23 117:13
100:12	concludes 41:10	contact 11:5	9:9 10:7,8	153:10,14
committee 117:4	151:22	40:22 50:11	11:10,23,24,25	counseling 78:18
communication	concur 37:8	54:13 55:8	13:9 15:19 16:3	97:24
117:3	condensed 152:3	62:23 66:9,12	18:8,19,20	counselor 75:10
community	152:7	74:6 79:11	20:23 23:8	75:10 77:22
137:3,7	condition 20:6	83:23 90:14	26:11 37:22,23	84:12 102:6
company 121:24	conduct 67:24	98:21 102:20	37:25 38:1,3,4	counselors 75:12
compilation	91:14 98:25	contacted 77:21	38:11 50:1	89:19 100:25
120:1	99:12,22 110:3	contained 83:15	52:23 53:2,3,20	105:5 111:23
compile 120:6	129:13 140:20	continue 39:23	53:21 54:21	county 130:15
compiled 120:7	Conference	42:19 75:11	55:1,13,25 56:4	157:3
120:20	86:13,14,18	121:17 136:17	56:19,20 57:3,8	couple 22:23
complaint 14:5	120:15	150:8	57:9 58:13,14	30:21 34:14
17:19 147:18	confidential 1:15	continued 5:1	58:19,22 63:12	75:8 122:7
complaints 13:4	95:22 117:25	66:11 78:12	65:6 66:3,13	course 7:19
13:9,11	118:3,9 119:5	94:10 100:1	67:2,9,11 68:14	15:16 22:1
completed 7:12	confirm 91:10	continuing 37:6	68:16 74:17	24:15 25:7
38:18	confirmation	39:17,19 93:1	78:1,2,14,15,19	28:25 31:12
completely 33:21	137:15	continuously	78:20 79:16	42:23 54:22
complicit 106:15	confronted 103:6	15:22	81:15 82:10,19	57:23 87:12
compound 33:5	150:2	contradict 91:24	86:20 89:9	125:23 154:16
70:8 106:22	confused 12:24	contrast 151:2	93:15 94:21	coursework 43:6
concealing	18:13 78:5	control 109:18	95:7,8 97:15	court 1:1 2:21
106:16	140:18	controversy	98:11,14,15	3:1,16,18 5:2
Conception 68:4	confusion 66:14	116:11 117:15	99:3 102:24	6:5,18 18:24
68:6 70:1 78:14	conjunction	conversation	103:12 104:4,5	49:22 153:3
concern 20:13	12:10	38:17 48:23	105:18 107:15	154:1,1
22:3 63:15,25	conjunctive	51:24 52:1,2	110:4,15	cover 49:3
70:19 102:1	109:5	73:8 99:18	111:12 113:9	covered 136:5,8
concerned 16:11	connection 12:18	100:17 115:17	113:23 116:21	covering 106:16
63:17 70:11,12	146:20	116:25 117:1	116:23,24	cover-up 89:6,8
	l	l	l	l

	 I	<u> </u>	 I	 I
89:14,16,21	36:22 37:16	degree 98:21,24	destroys 55:11	directing 27:17
co-counsel 50:9	47:13 49:2	99:21 146:18	55:23	direction 153:10
co-pastor 141:23	57:19 64:7 78:4	delivery 154:15	detail 19:12,19	directly 113:4
credibly 93:2	85:16,24 88:11	demonstrated	determination	director 12:6
120:2	88:13 89:10	149:12	90:10	25:10,14 31:17
crim 99:21,21	96:16 97:12	denial 89:13	determine 30:24	32:11 35:6
crime 81:14	122:14 155:17	denied 89:6,7	111:24	38:25 41:24
98:14 108:22	156:3	deny 51:18 91:9	devoted 121:1	71:20 73:9
109:10,11,12	dated 37:14,21	91:11 93:12	diagnosed	125:8,12,15
109:14,17,25	53:18 64:5	145:21 150:2	136:10	directors 31:21
145:15,18	74:11 77:17	denying 42:3	diagnosis 20:9	32:1
crimes 99:1	88:16 95:4	149:24	136:18	disabilities 88:18
106:16	122:11 123:11	departure 117:7	died 112:16	disagreed 34:10
criminal 67:24	128:11 131:23	depend 30:15	142:22 143:2	discern 109:13
79:18,25 81:6	135:18 137:11	dependency	different 7:10,16	109:16
83:8 91:13	139:4,9 140:5	107:15	9:5 10:18,18	disclosure 133:3
98:25 99:11	141:2 144:2	Depending 119:1	11:4 12:25	133:15 134:3
100:13 102:11	148:13	depends 26:15	24:16,25 29:1,1	134:20 135:10
106:14,19	dates 12:25 18:14	31:20	30:21 33:9	135:11 136:18
110:2	23:20 32:10,13	deposed 7:15	43:15 75:8	discuss 29:24
criminally 70:13	David 5:9 6:21	19:9	105:12 114:12	40:7 47:23
crossed 23:4	day 3:12,14	deposes 8:10	123:6 131:2	48:14 50:9
Crystal 126:17	37:21 157:14	deposition 1:11	150:18,19	53:25 128:17
CSR 5:4 153:5	days 50:13 64:6	1:14 2:8 3:10	differently	discussed 50:15
culture 108:9	67:5 75:11	6:3,12,16,19	104:23,25	68:20 87:6
cure 92:10 94:19	87:13 119:1	7:6,9 21:25	difficult 62:18	89:17 115:23
94:24	deal 73:20	22:3 46:18,25	134:5	115:24 116:10
cured 87:20 88:3	dealing 70:18	47:5,5 48:7,18	difficulty 92:1	116:13
88:5 89:20	75:13 107:14	49:1,4,6,12,21	diocese 1:6 3:6	discussing
cures 88:18	108:9 121:1	50:16,20 51:1	3:22 11:7,19	150:11
current 115:24	149:13	103:7,9 132:23	25:21 36:17,19	discussion 41:7
currently 97:9	dealt 139:15	151:22 152:10	38:2,5 40:25	46:15 48:15
custody 154:9	Dear 38:16 52:18	153:7,12 154:6	41:4 43:8,13,19	51:2,13,15,19
cut-off 145:3	140:8 155:8	154:7 155:9	70:23 110:20	51:19 52:7
	death 115:15	157:6,8,11	127:4 152:1	73:25 85:18
<u>D</u>	142:23	depositions 7:12	154:5 155:6	87:9
D 2:1,6	deceased 65:16	7:18 9:11 14:22	156:2	discussions 47:3
dad 16:21 19:4	December 64:7	18:24 19:13,20	dioceses 9:5	47:10 48:8,12
23:12	65:8,10 66:13	describe 146:6	24:16 33:9	disease 87:19
daily 18:6	67:5 68:11	described 19:5	36:19 43:14	92:10 94:19,25
Dakota 113:6	decided 90:12	23:23 129:18	120:8 134:7,9	disorder 20:6
Dale 138:8	decision 11:17	145:7,9	direct 37:19 49:5	87:19 88:3,4
dangerous	68:1	desired 155:12	50:6 52:14	dispute 10:1
140:25	declare 157:12	desk 23:4	53:16 64:4	42:12,13 47:1
data 120:19	Defendant 4:8,14	destined 105:10	88:19 134:16	59:5,11 148:22
date 6:14 9:15	Defendants 1:8	destroy 61:22	137:10 139:11	148:24
13:1,8 35:13	3:8,23 6:3	destroyed 61:12	143:15	disservice 75:12
	l		l	l

	 I	 I	Ī	 I
divided 125:1	drive 146:24	enclosed 155:9	evidence 21:22	existed 70:20
document 10:24	drop 132:6,13	155:10	46:5 103:20	exists 143:6
13:6,19,24 14:8	duly 153:7	Enclosures	evident 97:24	expect 48:20
16:10,19 17:4	duties 54:23	155:24	exactly 13:23	expected 19:17
17:11,20 18:22	DVD 152:8	encounter 37:11	15:15 16:18	experience 62:10
20:15 22:5	D.C 16:2 26:9,14	encourage 15:5	22:2 27:12	77:3 87:16
43:21 44:5,10		16:4	34:12 35:13	133:18 149:24
44:15,20 45:1	E	encouraged 15:3	68:23 93:18	150:1,9,13
52:2,3,4 54:8	E 2:1,1,6,6 4:1,1	16:7 132:13	examination	experienced
55:19 56:23	4:16 152:6	ended 134:8	8:11 49:5	101:20
66:17 69:13	earlier 66:17	enforcement	examined 3:11	experiences
72:1,24 76:8	79:11 85:15	15:1 16:5,8,25	8:9	93:17
91:17 100:9	86:1 91:12	70:6 113:4,15	examples 113:17	expert 88:8
103:24 121:13	107:24 113:25	113:23 114:6	excluded 121:25	Expires 154:24
123:19 126:2	123:18 138:16	146:12	excuse 15:21	157:24
126:11 138:14	147:22	engage 108:22	16:2 39:6,14	explain 19:18
138:15,16	early 26:8 88:10	109:10,14,17	40:4 49:8 69:13	27:12 33:20
147:11 148:11	88:11 101:8	engaged 37:10	78:3 147:5	explanation
documented	149:14	40:24	Executed 157:14	129:12
14:18 33:8	Edina 144:18	engaging 65:11	exhibit 2:7,7,8,8	exploitive 149:11
documents 9:11	edits 49:17	enter 146:17	2:9,9,10,10,11	explored 112:20
14:16,21 15:3,7	effect 108:15	entire 133:23	2:11,12,12,13	exposure 104:8
15:14 18:3,25	effective 53:9	ephebophilia	2:13,14,14,15	105:16,17,22
19:16 22:6,17	effectively 74:16	136:11,21,22	2:15,16,16,17	106:3,4,4,5,19
22:20 24:19	effectiveness	136:24 137:1	2:17,18,18 6:9	express 75:3
27:4 28:4 91:12	55:11,23	err 102:2	35:22,25 36:1	expressed 75:5
91:24 112:20	effort 71:23 76:9	errata 50:3	37:7,14,19,20	expressly 6:7
Doe 1:3 3:3,20	77:10,11	155:10,12,15	37:22 39:4 40:1	extent 22:18 35:7
6:17,25 7:6	Eisenzimmer	156:1	42:8 48:24,25	106:22
154:3 155:6	102:12 116:4,6	especially 14:15	52:15 53:17,17	eyes 66:25 95:23
156:2	116:8 139:8	essence 89:20	64:5,6 66:22	118:1,2
Doell 5:9 6:21	either 9:12 20:8	estimate 29:15	67:4 74:11,11	E-tran 152:3
doing 75:6 84:21	27:10 29:25	29:21,22 121:5	77:17 88:14,15	
94:7 102:15	30:6,18 37:2	estimates 10:16	95:3,3 103:20	F
130:7 140:16	72:18 83:10	et 6:17 49:2	122:11 123:9	F 2:1,6
Dominica 139:8	84:6 102:8	evaluating 68:15	128:10 131:22	face 106:14
doubt 42:8 47:8	108:3 109:19	evaluation 59:18	132:18 135:17	fact 16:24 21:7
54:5 56:25 58:9	126:12 135:9	60:5 68:13	137:11 139:4,6	21:18,24 34:9
59:3,21,25 60:8	136:15 140:14	110:15 140:9	140:4 141:2	36:21 42:17
63:13 68:10	Eleventh 5:5,10	evening 3:13	144:1 147:4	44:3 88:6,8
74:21 78:10	embarrasses	event 16:24	148:12,13	98:8 103:11
81:22 103:1	139:13	18:16 21:17	exhibited 149:10	128:3 132:13
109:20	emotional 107:16	54:22 132:10	exhibits 2:20	141:9 142:25
Dr 78:18,23,25	employed 153:11	events 20:7 22:21	19:13 86:1	145:3 147:12
102:10 110:9	153:14	45:25 46:8	122:7	148:24
112:14 140:9	employee 153:13	65:20 66:5	exist 22:19	facts 21:21 46:16
141:5	empty 130:1	144:12 146:6	107:10	104:20 115:8

116:5 141:18	141:21,22	11:5 12:10,16	72:20 74:2	funeral 142:20
failures 105:24	143:15 144:4,6	14:18 18:9 20:4	76:12 77:14	143:5
fair 18:4 45:6	144:11,15,24	20:19,25 21:5	79:21 81:16	further 63:12,22
58:16 81:19	145:2,11,21,25	22:8 25:9 35:2	82:23 90:3,18	102:19 151:18
96:9 97:5	146:1,1,4,16,17	36:17 38:23	91:16 94:4	153:13
150:10	148:15,19	40:5 42:22	100:14,23	
fairness 19:14	149:4	52:18 53:7,8	104:18 106:8	G
Falls 15:18 25:4	FAVOR 154:12	64:18,24 80:20	106:21 108:17	garage 112:16
101:22 120:22	154:13,14	81:24 83:2 86:8	108:25 115:19	gathering 146:22
134:13	February 36:3	87:25 88:15,21	133:19 141:15	Gendron 78:18
familiar 71:1	37:15,21 49:3	90:7,14 92:23	157:7	78:23,25 79:2,8
families 144:7	74:12 88:17	96:14,20 98:21	formal 59 :10	102:10 108:4
family 43:3	89:11 140:5	98:24 99:21	67:15,16	110:9 112:8,14
116:21,24	141:3	104:9 109:13	formally 12:20	140:2,5 141:5
124:2 126:22	feel 104:15	109:16 113:5	former 70:22	Gendron's
128:4,8,18	feeling 75:14,16	124:7 149:1,9	116:2,7	115:15
130:13	feelings 104:20	firsthand 113:19	forward 126:23	general 12:7
father 31:10 32:3	felt 107:24	115:6	150:15	27:11 30:13,18
36:9,11,13,16	144:13	Fitzgerald 72:17	found 90:5	30:24,25 105:6
36:25 40:5,7,7	females 139:16	72:19 73:2	foundation 37:6	Generals 30:22
40:10,23 41:14	fifth 102:19	Fitzpatrick	39:16 51:11	31:4,8 122:4
45:11,12 48:2	figured 61:7	70:24,25 72:13	72:22 77:2	genitals 145:4,14
50:10 52:18	file 16:10 36:1	72:23 73:5,11	79:21 80:25	145:17 147:20
53:11,19,24,24	49:2 53:19	73:24 74:5	81:17 110:16	geographical
53:25 54:2,15	56:18 61:23	Fitzpatrick's	137:8,9	26:4,7,12
56:6 58:11,21	64:8 66:24	73:13	four 14:22 22:2	getting 63:21
59:17,18 60:4,9	118:5,6,8,24	five 121:7	24:16 33:8	83:6 140:12
60:10,13 62:2	119:5,13	floor 119:15	fourth 68:18	143:13
63:4,8,12 64:8	122:14,18,19	flow 129:18	102:9	girls 138:24
64:9 65:4 66:15	122:22 123:20	Flynn 116:25	frame 12:14 18:7	139:19,22
67:9,19 68:3,7	147:5	117:4 139:7	18:10 26:21,25	140:18,21
68:12,13,21,25	filed 49:21	focusing 105:20	34:22 72:21	give 8:19 19:21
69:5 72:5 77:20	117:14,17	follow 73:10	111:16,17	24:20 29:21
78:17 80:4 84:4	filing 155:16	followed 125:17	120:25 133:20	31:2 52:13
95:6 96:7 97:6	final 59:19	following 35:10	Frank 135:19	57:12 84:25
98:7,20 102:9	131:18	47:5 117:7	Friday 36:3	128:24,25
102:20 107:8	financial 111:20	follow-up 144:17	68:11 97:6	given 9:12 48:7
122:12 123:24	financially	foregoing 153:7	friend 125:10,11	52:12 59:23
128:15,16,23	153:15	157:6,13	127:21 149:2	66:21 100:24
128:25 129:2,4	find 64:19 71:8	forenoon 3:13	friends 125:14	104:7 105:15
129:12,16,23	71:23 155:9	forget 146:24	full 8:14,15	106:2 112:1
129:24 130:4	finish 108:18	forgotten 139:17	fully 7:12 108:11	113:25 141:8
130:13,15	finished 60:5	form 12:14 21:20	full-time 38:20	144:14,16
131:12 132:5,6	Finnegan 124:3	23:5,8 33:5	funded 107:19	145:10 147:12
132:6 137:16	125:21	37:7 39:18,20	107:20	glad 13:6 65:21
137:23 138:13	firm 154:9	51:10 67:12	funding 110:14	global 133:12
140:7,8 141:3,4	first 10:11,21	70:7,15 71:11	111:7	go 7:20 9:17
		l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

16:17,25 17:8	50:2 51:9 64:14	29:23 50:19	happy 20:14	110:8 111:9
18:18 23:12,14	64:19 67:12	64:6,17 95:18	harm 136:14	128:23
34:15 41:23	70:7,15 71:11	144:21	Harry 116:25	huh 130:7
43:19 44:8 48:4	71:16 72:20	guessing 121:4	117:3	human 19:16
50:7 57:22 67:4	74:2 76:14	Guthrie 146:25	Hayden 31:10,12	
67:18 68:3,18	77:13 78:3	guy 45:7 80:22	HD 152:7	<u> </u>
68:25 74:8	79:20 80:24	81:13	head 116:22	IC 78:12,13
78:11,16 80:4	81:3,16 82:22		147:1	idea 20:12 84:25
84:3 86:22	84:20 88:24	H	headline 89:5	123:16 126:1
87:22 91:3 92:6	89:2 90:2,18	H 2:6	hear 143:13,22	identification
95:3 98:7,19	91:15,21 94:4	haircut 129:3	heard 109:6	35:24
105:22 108:8	96:17,22	haircuts 129:1	143:11,12	identified 38:10
108:19 109:8	100:14,23	half 79:16 80:1	149:9	86:1 136:13
118:2 119:5	104:18 106:8	80:12,22 81:11	hearing 143:24	140:24
122:6 123:23	108:16,19,24	81:13 82:7 83:9	145:6	identify 123:2
130:4,14 142:9	109:4,8 110:18	hand 97:11	hearsay 51:12	identity 144:9
goes 36:23 37:9	110:22 111:1	123:14 145:3	Hedrick 141:21	idyllic 149:20
54:12 128:22	113:24 114:12	147:19	141:22 142:12	IL 153:5
129:22 144:9	114:16,20,25	handing 122:11	Heidelberg	illegible 89:2
145:14	115:16,19	123:9	132:25 133:3	91:18
going 12:13	117:13,19,21	handle 29:18	137:15	Illinois 5:4
17:21 19:6 20:1	121:24 124:10	30:6 59:8 97:14	Heights 68:5	illness 20:6
21:9 22:7,15	132:20,22	handled 24:15	78:13	illuminate
32:25 33:4	133:19,22,25	34:11 117:2,2	held 6:19 70:5	123:19
35:22,23 37:5	137:6 138:15	136:6 145:11	help 11:12 22:18	Immaculate 68:4
39:15,25 47:14	138:19,21	handling 12:2	35:23 41:12,17	68:6 70:1 78:13
47:18 48:24	141:15 151:6,9	31:5,14 45:7	88:13 111:23	immediate 59:18
50:17 51:9	Golden 26:3,18	107:25 115:24	144:25	immediately
52:14 53:16,22	142:2	115:25 121:1	helpful 111:15	74:16
64:4,23 68:18	good 7:3 8:13	121:10 133:10	helping 97:13	imminent 47:4
74:10 77:13,16	37:10 84:20	handwriting	high 87:18 90:5	impeded 20:12
79:20 84:23	101:22 112:5	122:25 123:3,6	146:18	impedes 20:5,7
91:15 101:8	151:6	123:15	highlighted 34:9	implemented
105:25 108:16	grateful 40:6	handwritten	history 76:10	92:24
108:24 112:5	41:13	60:25 61:8,11	99:10,24	important 20:17
113:24 114:18	great 22:5 73:15	61:17,18,22,24	101:16 102:11	46:10,15
122:6,8,9	Greg 46:18,23	65:6	108:8 124:1,5	151:10
126:14 134:11	48:1,3 97:8	happen 129:25	125:5,25 126:3	imposed 62:25
138:12 141:13	98:13 101:3	130:14	133:5,17 134:4	66:9
147:4	Gregory 77:23	happened 18:17	134:22 135:13	impossible 14:14
Goldberg 7:3,4	97:25	23:18 24:10 34:18 46:2 47:7	139:10 140:20	99:19
12:13 19:6,21	grievous 105:3	61:11 66:7,8	143:3	inaccurate 97:22 149:20
19:23 20:1 21:9	gross 55:10,22	69:20 96:10,13	homosexual	inadvertent
21:13,20 22:12	ground 7:20	96:15 97:1	146:19	124:12
32:16,20 33:4	Guardian 70:24	128:21 129:11	hopefully 7:19	inappropriate
45:16 46:4 49:8	71:3	happens 114:23	hours 3:12	21:14 90:11
49:14,17,23	guess 13:2 18:7	nappens 117.23	house 107:9	21.17 70.11
	1	1	1	·

	<u> </u>			
91:5 140:20	insisting 128:6	invited 128:23	Jerome 143:16	64:23 76:9
146:6,7	insists 130:13	inviting 22:25	144:4 146:1	77:11 117:15
incident 16:9	installed 9:14	117:4	147:5	121:5 138:5
23:11 68:20	86:23	involved 25:23	Jeub 148:8,9,19	Keller 53:11,12
90:10 144:3	instance 17:6	27:14 30:18	149:5,10,17,23	Ken 40:10,12,16
incidents 69:2,5	102:5 134:19	31:5,8,18,22,25	Jeub's 149:19	43:25 44:3
78:16	instances 23:6,22	32:24 33:11,15	Jim 70:24,25	68:12,14 71:3,3
included 146:11	88:6 92:2	35:17 45:13	73:5,11,13,23	71:5,6,10 72:14
increasingly	Institute 137:17	59:10 70:12	74:5 124:3	73:4 108:4
87:24	institution	72:4 75:20	125:21	110:9 124:2
independent	110:23	111:20,22	job 101:23	138:13,17
54:7 69:14	institutions	124:23 131:15	Joe 64:25 124:3	Kennedy 4:10
96:14,21 136:6	111:10	133:8,10	140:5	32:6,10,14 36:9
indicate 10:25	intend 93:19	134:24 146:14	John 37:24 77:18	36:11 155:3
149:12 155:12	96:2 102:22	147:17 148:7,8	83:21 120:8,17	Kenneth 140:7,8
indicated 69:1	intended 95:23	involvement 33:2	128:11 148:14	Kenney 141:4
72:13 144:24	103:21	33:22 34:6,25	John's 86:18	kept 36:5,6
145:1	intention 93:24	46:1,3 62:4,7	87:2	118:25
indicating	intentions 146:5	62:12,16 63:1	join 70:17 76:14	Kern 143:15,16
149:23	interactions	133:8 139:18	82:22	144:4,15,24
inform 77:23	33:14 34:23	139:21	Judicial 1:1 3:1	145:2,21 146:1
informally 7:11	interchanged	involves 141:9	3:20 154:2	146:16,17
information	136:25	issue 27:23 29:16	July 95:4 96:10	147:5,19,23
10:12 11:8 17:8	interest 105:14	issues 107:16	97:6	148:1,5
18:5 23:14,15	interested 153:15	112:20	June 9:16 19:10	Kevin 95:18 97:6
29:5,11 33:1,3	interpose 39:15	issuing 89:13	46:25 47:5 48:8	97:12,19 99:4,6
37:2 38:20	interview 26:23	Item 60:4 62:2	53:9 77:18,20	116:3 117:6
41:20 43:7	interviewed	63:11 137:22	78:4 137:12	118:21 125:16
47:22 63:21	93:20	items 58:12	144:2 145:24	139:8,22,24
66:22 72:18	introduce 6:23		155:2	140:19 147:6
74:7 83:15,23	introducing 19:8	J	junior 25:20	147:21
94:17 113:19	40:1	J 64:8 144:2,5,6		key 119:20,21
113:19 115:7	investigate 12:11	Jackson 4:4	K	kid 54:13,16,20
129:9 142:24	13:3,9,12,14	154:10	Kapoun 124:3	55:7 56:7 60:14
144:14	26:24 59:7	Jack's 73:14	125:18 126:14	64:10 98:14
informed 43:18	67:17	jail 105:22,23	126:20 128:4	99:10,21
43:24 44:3	investigated	106:1,6	128:15,16,23	108:22 109:10
126:21 150:13	13:10	James 8:15	129:2,4,16,19	109:14,17
initial 96:4	investigating	Janet 83:21	129:24 130:4	145:18
122:15 132:15	12:2 31:5,14	January 86:25	130:14,18	kids 44:13,25
133:7,9 141:6	investigation	Jay 120:8,17	131:11,24	57:5 72:16
inmate 97:9	14:6 25:8 67:8	jeans 145:3	132:6,18 133:4	75:18 88:2
inmates 77:23	67:10,15	Jeff 4:3,4 6:25	134:22 135:17	93:23 99:12,25
inpatient 69:11	investigations	46:10 154:9,10	136:2,6,10	110:11 111:11
input 96:8	31:23	154:12 155:16	137:14,16,23	139:1
inside 145:3	investigator	Jeff@Anderso	Kapoun's 129:12	kind 20:11 24:13
insist 130:5	12:17,21	4:6	keep 39:20 61:22	26:15 36:4 45:6

	 [[I	 I
61:7 74:5 79:1	118:14 121:14	124:2 125:16	140:5	123:17
112:17 115:13	121:23 122:4,5	138:13,18	letters 39:1 42:1	long 41:25 54:5
123:18 143:12	122:16,20,21	139:15 140:1,7	let's 25:13 67:4	83:1 101:3,8,16
kindergarten	122:23 123:5	140:8,14	81:9 82:5 85:1	121:14 124:1,4
65:14	123:21 124:5	LaVan's 139:21	88:14 90:6 92:6	125:6 127:14
Kinney 32:3 40:7	125:16,21,24	law 6:19 15:1,24	94:20,21 95:3	127:18
knew 38:5 40:16	126:2,9 131:6	15:25 16:5,7,25	97:5 114:22	longer 72:4
46:21 68:14,24	131:18 133:7	26:10,14 32:5	123:23 128:10	long-term 55:11
72:16 75:17	134:17,25,25	68:2 70:5 85:23	129:15 131:22	55:23
79:2,9 87:15	136:21 139:10	86:6 113:4,15	139:4 140:4	look 9:3 13:6
88:4 90:4 94:9	139:20 143:6	113:23 114:6	144:1 148:12	17:22 18:25
94:13 98:24	144:16 148:4	146:12	Lexington 133:1	77:7 79:13
107:10 108:20	150:17,19	lawful 8:9	133:4	88:14 90:6
108:23 109:9	151:9	laws 108:14	liability 106:15	95:14 96:18
109:11,21,25	knowing 50:17	lawyer 107:2	141:9	97:3,5 101:21
110:4 112:21	knowledge 51:2	lawyers 102:12	lieu 131:12	123:9 128:10
141:22 143:11	73:25 88:1	102:16 106:19	life 43:3 92:2	129:15 131:22
143:16 145:4	89:21,25 90:2	115:25 116:14	liked 74:5	135:17 137:22
145:15,17	120:5 133:13	leads 149:21	limit 25:13	139:4 140:4
know 7:13 13:2	135:11 139:25	learn 139:1	limitations 79:15	141:2 144:1
14:10 16:19	142:25 146:10	142:14,18	79:19 80:1,13	148:12
18:21,23 22:6	149:10,12	143:2,19,22	80:21 81:4,7,11	looked 12:2
22:20 24:18	known 56:9	learned 43:5	82:7 83:8	91:13
32:12,14 33:11	62:15 75:25	74:24 82:6	limits 26:12	looking 101:24
34:11 41:8,19	76:11,19	94:23 101:2,15	line 50:7 124:7	looks 36:7 50:21
46:11 47:13	118:20 125:6	101:18 142:16	156:4,8,12,16	62:2 83:12 92:8
48:1 50:2,21	125:18 133:5	learning 40:9	156:20	95:16
58:24,25 61:14	133:17 136:19	leave 7:13 63:12	list 120:2,6,9,10	loop 122:5,23
62:13 63:18	knows 71:15	63:22	listed 83:18	Loras 38:6 49:4
64:2,25 65:3	117:15	led 47:21 82:16	138:17	Loring 146:17
67:14,17 69:17	Kolar 124:2	84:5 127:22	listen 21:5 64:17	lot 85:18 130:6
73:7 75:11,13	125:5	147:25	114:19,22	150:6
75.7 75.11,13 75:15 79:9	Korf 32:6,13	left 126:21	lists 120:7,20	Louis 1:1 3:1,15
80:11 81:4	45:12 53:24	127:12,14,15	148:24	3:19 5:5,10
	54:15 56:6 59:6	127:12,14,13		6:18 7:10 9:6
82:10,14,14,18			litigation 5:4,9	
83:7,21 85:21	72:5 131:24	135:1	6:22 115:24	9:15 154:1,19
85:25 87:11,21		left-hand 38:12	116:10 154:17	lower 38:12
87:23 88:1,4,9	lack 37:6 39:16	legal 6:21 19:22	155:1	Luke 137:17
88:10 89:3,10	lacking 46:2,5	106:23	little 14:20 50:15	Luke's 107:22
90:4 91:1 99:2		letter 2:7,8,10,13	64:5 95:16 96:4	111:10 135:19
99:17 100:7,19	lapse 139:14 late 26:7 88:11	2:15,16 36:24	122:25 132:15	140:15
100:21 101:1		37:18 38:16,24	140:18	lunch 73:16
102:8 105:11	139:18,24	39:5,13 41:7,10	live 26:9	84:24
105:13 108:9	149:13	41:19,21,22	LLP 3:14	
110:7,17	LaVan 71:3,4,5,7	42:5,7,11 52:25	located 35:9	
113:16,18	71:8,10 72:14	74:12 75:22	location 122:14	M 2:1
114:8 118:10	72:18 73:4	123:11 135:18	122:18 123:5	main 119:15
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	l

	1	-	i	-
maintained	45:11 95:18	28:10,16,19	64:16 69:14,15	middle 139:12
118:8 122:22	96:7 97:7,12,19	29:15,19 61:3	70:2 72:17,23	149:7
making 11:13	98:12 99:5	70:5 86:22	73:22 75:15	Midwest 5:4,9
17:22 39:20	116:3 117:6	103:2,5,12	78:24 80:17	6:22 154:17
56:18 71:18,21	118:21 122:13	Meier 4:10 155:3	82:2 83:13,14	155:1
71:23 73:12	123:25 139:8	member 37:3	87:2 93:25	mid-1970s 11:10
75:18 104:2	139:13,22	42:3 53:13	96:21 97:22	Mike 125:5
man 15:8	140:19 147:6	members 42:2	103:13 112:18	
mandated 84:17	147:12,21	memo 2:9,9,10	113:22 124:19	mind 13:15 17:2
mandatory 84:13	148:18	2:11,12,12,13	126:8,12	34:13 45:16
85:17,22 86:5	mean 18:4 68:22	2:14,14,15,16	132:12 136:6	47:25 86:8
108:14 109:1	75:15 95:22	2:17,17,18,18	139:14 140:13	94:15 109:20
March 19:10	96:2 121:24	23:4,8 27:12	144:20 148:25	115:22 117:10
37:12	means 58:21	29:3,9,13 30:4	memos 23:25	135:15 138:7
Margaret 26:2	75:24 80:22	31:16 53:18	24:5 33:19	mine 95:18
26:18 142:2,10	81:1,12 94:22	57:14,19 58:15	46:11 56:3 70:4	minimize 105:3
marked 6:11	96:1 105:17	59:11 60:16	79:11,23 95:10	minimized 104:9
19:13 35:24,25	122:10 123:20	61:10 64:7,12	114:9 119:4	ministry 75:21
37:19 48:25	128:12 150:21	65:2,3,5 66:13	Mendota 135:6	93:1 94:3,9,10
117:25 118:3	medical 112:8	67:6 77:18	mentioned 12:25	94:12 111:25
119:4	137:3,7	79:13 82:11,25	16:4 19:8 27:2	140:15 150:14
marks 92:8	meet 25:6 26:22	83:16 95:4,6	116:12 126:14	Minneapolis 1:6
marriage 35:7	27:6,9 28:9	96:6,21 97:3,5	126:16 135:15	3:6,22 4:8 9:19
37:11 125:23	30:8,10 58:6	97:21 98:2	138:13 151:4	44:8 92:25
Mary 26:18	60:9,19,19	102:5 103:17	mentioning	109:25 146:18
142:10	68:16 112:12	117:24 118:2	12:24	146:24 154:5
Maryland	137:23 144:10	122:12 128:11	menu 73:18	155:6 156:2
137:17	150:8	131:23 133:9	133:9	Minneapolis/St
Mary's 26:3	meeting 2:7	137:12 139:3	met 25:12 27:3	133:23
78:23 79:6	27:18,20 28:15	141:3 143:25	27:10,13,25	Minnesota 4:5,11
142:2	28:19 29:6,7	145:8 146:12	28:6 30:5,10	4:11,16 38:19
Mass 62:23	30:13 34:21	148:13,15,22	34:19 35:13	42:24 43:2,6,11
143:14	36:3 40:12,15	148:25 154:1	47:15,15 48:1	43:16 47:20
masturbate	42:15 54:2,4,10	memories 32:24	53:24 54:8,16	85:22 86:12
129:5	55:2,4 58:8,11	memory 13:13	64:25 68:12	154:11 155:4,5
masturbation	58:16,18,21	14:9,20 16:13	86:9 97:7	minors 67:24
129:16,18	59:12 60:15,23	16:20,23 19:3	123:25 128:16	143:23 144:4
material 118:9	61:1,21 73:13	20:4,12 21:3,7	137:15 144:6	minute 19:6
118:24,25	73:23 78:9	23:21 24:7,14	146:1 150:6	45:17 51:9
materials 9:11	86:15,17,21	29:4,10 30:5,17	Michael 31:10,12	64:14
matter 6:16	87:1,3,5,8	33:21,23 34:17	32:5,6 124:2	minutes 2:7
20:17 46:18,21	97:12,19 98:5	36:21 37:12	141:4 144:2,6	33:10 36:5,6
48:9 49:1 61:16	103:17,22,23	38:23 39:12	145:25 148:15	mischaracterizes
116:1,10 148:5	111:23 112:14	40:14 42:22	Michaud 147:7	113:25
matters 13:3	112:15 120:15	44:2,4,6,16	147:18 148:2,5	misconduct 89:6
14:17 19:12,18	128:19 146:13	46:1,5 54:11	mid 120:24	149:4
McDonough	meetings 27:18	55:2,4 63:7,8	139:21	misjudgment
	I	I	ı	I

	1	1	1	1
55:22	N 2:1,1,1,6 4:1	noon 53:10,11	113:24 115:19	official 10:6
mismanagement	154:18	normal 119:5	133:19 141:15	24:12 25:5 30:1
55:10	name 6:20,21 7:3	154:16	objection 19:7,22	30:6 52:25
misquoted 93:17	8:14,15 10:21	North 5:5,10	19:23,25 21:13	54:23 70:3,11
Missouri 1:2 3:2	15:8 22:24	Nos 6:9	37:6,6 39:16,17	80:7 93:22
3:15,17,19,20	24:20 47:25	notarized 155:15	39:20 46:4	100:5 112:7
5:3,5,10 154:2	48:3 54:13 55:7	notary 154:23	70:17 76:14	113:2 133:2
154:19	55:18 65:1	155:13 157:23	77:2 80:24	146:10 150:18
misspelled 62:3	70:24 115:22	note 7:7,14 27:3	82:20,22	officials 15:13
misstated 55:14	135:22 154:9	37:21 39:3 42:4	103:19 109:6	24:2 31:11 93:1
78:4 124:7	156:1,2 157:11	61:22 124:6	115:16 137:8	97:13 102:2
misstates 82:20	named 83:20	notes 13:19 42:12	objections 39:21	106:14,18
103:19 116:5	names 144:8	60:23 61:1,8,12	observing 7:1	108:6 112:2
mistake 17:22	name's 71:1	61:15,17,18,20	obtain 102:11	113:14 114:6
105:3	126:9	61:24 65:6,9	obtained 29:5	114:10,11,15
mistaken 32:4	nation 108:15	95:11,18,19	obvious 72:11	115:3
mistakes 105:5,6	nature 67:23	notice 49:9,10	obviously 20:8	oftentimes
105:7,9 107:25	69:2	140:16 141:10	51:12 58:24	100:18 150:15
MO 153:4	necessarily 118:7	noticed 7:6	59:10 60:11	oh 50:11 62:20
mom 16:21 19:4	necessary 22:17	November 53:18	62:8 63:2,16	91:21 116:7
23:11	39:22 157:9	53:24 56:10	74:16 75:16	117:21 124:11
moment 14:11	need 12:14 21:4	57:19 66:11	82:25 83:16	138:19 151:11
49:20 79:20	22:20 41:12	139:9	94:16 97:17	okay 10:10 16:4
123:23 148:12	64:24 65:22	nude 129:2 130:6	100:16 103:5	22:9,21 26:17
Monday 53:23	needed 41:3	number 10:8	103:15 104:22	32:20 33:7
Monsignor 31:10	needs 19:15	29:23 36:10	106:10 124:14	36:14 39:11
months 77:24	40:24	59:17 134:9	occasion 29:4	42:10 50:14
137:24	neither 153:10	138:17 145:15	occasions 7:18	58:10,20 59:16
morning 7:3 8:13	Neuberger 65:11	numbers 49:7	14:23 15:2	60:13 65:8,15
114:1	65:13		129:23 145:15	66:2,3,8,20
Morphew 93:9	never 11:15	0	occur 84:11	73:3,22 76:8
93:20	12:20 51:8,23	O 2:1,1,6	occurred 46:8	77:9 79:7 81:10
mother 80:4 84:3	73:1 103:10	oath 8:17 14:13	101:1	82:2,5,9,14
motives 144:13	105:25 111:20	52:5,11	occurrence 18:6	83:4,15,20 84:2
146:5	113:9 117:5	object 12:13 21:9	offended 24:23	92:21 96:14
move 130:14	122:19 123:21	21:20 33:4	30:1 94:3,9,10	101:1 107:6
131:17,17	129:16 142:24	51:10 67:12	110:11 111:11	109:2,7 111:5
moved 26:8 72:2	144:14	70:7,15 71:11	135:14	114:19 115:1
72:9 89:18	newly 133:16	72:20 74:2	offender 111:24	117:6,21 118:6
130:18 131:11	news 88:22	76:12 77:13	offenders 87:17	119:10 120:14
133:14 142:8	newspaper 2:11	79:21 81:16	120:2 150:1	121:16 124:11
144:15,17	88:16	82:23 90:2,18	offending 25:7	124:15 125:13
moves 25:24	night 146:18	91:15 94:4	offer 52:20	128:10,22
moving 132:6	nine 3:12 64:6	100:14,23	office 28:11,12	131:3 132:3
mucous 129:19	nodding 116:22	104:18 106:8	35:9 58:6 146:2	134:16 135:24
	nomenclature	106:21 108:16	OFFICER 154:6	137:19,22
N	136:25	108:24 110:16	offices 3:14 6:19	138:21 140:1
		l	I	

Ol-fl-146.22		107.01	120.20	120-21 124-10
Olaf's 146:23	<u>P</u>	127:21	pastoral 38:20 40:24	130:21 134:10
old 119:1 Olive 3:15	P 4:1,1	parish 53:2,13		148:1 150:19
	page 2:3 36:8	62:11,15 68:5	Patel 4:3 7:1	percent 121:6,7
once 28:2,4	49:6 50:7,7	69:18,21 71:8	Pates 139:7	period 9:17
101:19 118:2	63:4 69:10,13	71:23 72:2,10	Patrice 65:11,13	18:23 25:11
139:14	88:18,25 90:7	72:10,15 74:23	68:5	85:15 94:5 98:9
ones 24:25 32:9	91:17,19,22	121:9 125:17	Patrick 4:21 7:2	128:25 142:3
128:1	92:6 96:3 104:1	126:21 127:1	pattern 55:9,21	145:10
one's 123:10	114:23 145:20	128:4 130:19	56:8,8,13,14,17	perjury 157:12
opinion 75:12	147:8 149:7	130:21,22	56:22 57:2	permission 68:16
104:19	152:4 155:10	131:12 132:25	Paul 1:6 3:6,21	permitting
opportunity	155:13,16	133:4,16	4:5,8,11 6:17	136:16
22:17	156:4,8,12,16	134:20,21	9:5,18 35:9	person 12:1 16:6
opposed 72:2,9	156:20	135:6,13 142:1	44:7 52:22	65:1 126:22
options 58:3	paid 102:16	146:23 147:13	77:21 78:12	130:25 154:9
ordained 8:25	110:20 111:12	147:14,21	82:4 83:20	personally 15:10
26:6 100:1	154:16,16	148:1	92:24 109:24	15:11,12 19:9
108:21	painful 150:10	parishes 62:22	124:23 133:23	27:18 112:12
order 15:12	150:10,12	71:19 124:23	140:9 154:4,11	personnel 9:20
113:13 114:5	paper 116:16	132:19 134:11	155:5,6 156:2	11:11,15,22
115:2	papers 35:7	135:1 136:17	Paul/Minneap	12:6 25:19
ordered 73:17	Paracletes 107:8	parishioners	7:23 8:2 10:4	26:19 31:17,21
ordinary 24:13	108:4 110:10	93:21 133:3	10:11,17 11:3	32:1,11 35:4
54:22	111:9 112:9	134:3 135:10	14:25 15:23	36:2,4,18 37:3
organized 150:18	paragraph 40:3	135:12 136:18	24:22 32:18	38:13,21,25
original 2:20	40:5,20 41:11	park 52:22 78:12	40:17 42:19	39:3 40:22 41:3
49:15 154:9	52:18 53:8	146:17,25	43:12 52:21	41:8,9,16,24
155:10	68:18 75:22	147:3	84:18 113:12	42:4 52:19 53:4
Orsborn 3:16 5:3	79:13 83:2 90:8	parking 112:16	120:3 134:17	53:13 71:20
6:5,20 153:3,21	97:23 102:9,19	part 26:23 36:5	138:9	73:9
155:22	124:8 129:15	36:10 55:11,22	peck 61:21	persons 16:5,6
other's 129:4	132:4 139:12	75:20 110:15	pedophilia 92:10	pertaining 13:21
outcome 153:15	144:23 145:13	139:15 146:17	94:19,25	14:5 23:15
outrageous 19:17	145:24 146:4	149:4	136:24	61:15 66:21
outset 19:8	146:15,16	participated 34:8	penalty 157:12	89:8 103:16
outside 40:25	149:1,8,8,18	particular 13:7	pending 3:18	112:20 113:8
41:4 97:21	parent 17:1	18:13 23:20	people 11:4 15:3	pertains 36:12
overheard 71:7	22:22 68:7	27:15 117:10	16:5 17:11 18:1	pertinent 22:10
o'clock 3:13,13	parents 15:8	particularly 25:5	24:24,25 32:15	physical 20:9
O'Connell 31:10	16:10,16 17:2	75:14	57:6 68:8,9	picked 144:24
31:12 45:12	17:17 18:18	parties 6:24	72:12 77:11	picture 89:7
141:4 144:3,6	34:19,20 48:3	153:11,14	79:24 80:19	Pierre 40:7,10,12
145:11,25	80:3,6,9,14	pastor 10:5 11:19	89:21,23 90:14	40:16 43:25
146:5 148:15	81:8,18,25 82:3	37:10 52:21	92:15 93:23	44:3 59:18
O'Connell's	82:13 83:1,11	53:11 68:4	100:25 105:6	68:12,14 69:1,5
146:1	84:6 113:16	74:14 76:22,25	105:11 115:6	78:17,22 108:4
	114:8 126:25	87:23	115:21 116:12	110:9 112:8
Ī	114.8 120:23	l 5 <u>-</u> 5	110.21 110.12	110.7 112.0

	l	l	l	l
Pioneer 90:15	possibilities 76:4	26:22 29:17,25	prosecuted 70:13	22:13 25:13
place 22:4 35:23	possible 20:21	30:7,19 36:16	80:23 81:14	27:17 29:17
54:4 58:9 71:8	24:17 40:7	36:19 37:10	prosecution 83:8	31:2,4 33:5
73:8,15 78:17	57:23 62:10,19	40:16 43:12	protect 144:9	34:4 36:14 39:7
118:15,18	62:20,21 92:13	54:19,23 62:11	protecting 102:3	40:21 41:15
129:17 146:19	possibly 37:1	62:14,15 70:22	protested 121:8	42:10 44:10,17
placed 71:2	posture 104:8	78:12 89:6 93:1	protocol 118:23	45:5 49:9 50:5
72:15	105:4	100:1,6 108:1	providers 112:8	50:8,14,22
placement 71:19	practice 60:25	108:21 109:17	providing 110:23	51:10 53:23
places 32:21	61:20 67:1	113:1,3 122:2	psychiatric 140:9	54:14 55:20
43:15 101:22	101:25 118:13	133:14 134:4	psychological	64:18 65:19
105:12	practices 105:13	134:21 135:3,7	20:9 140:10	67:13 68:19
Plaintiff 1:4,12	preceding 43:8	135:12 138:13	psychologist	69:14 70:8,16
3:4,21 4:2 6:2	precipitated	141:22 143:16	40:18 42:20	71:9,12 72:21
8:10	60:15	145:17	public 70:14	74:3 77:14
please 6:23 8:6	predated 101:3	priests 11:14	73:12,25 89:13	79:14,22 82:18
8:13 19:22	preparation 9:10	12:3 13:4 16:7	91:23 108:11	85:10,12,14
155:9,11,15	50:16	35:5 40:21	133:15 154:23	90:3,9,14,19
pleased 52:20	prepare 126:13	41:12 43:15	155:13 157:23	99:6,14,23
74:14	prepared 7:21	62:22 79:3,5	publicity 104:9	100:10,11,15
point 11:25 32:5	18:22 58:15	85:16,19 88:2	105:3 106:6,11	101:17 104:19
32:17 34:9	65:5 66:24,25	94:3,8 102:3	144:10 147:13	106:9,22,22
35:12 40:2 45:7	70:4 86:1 95:7	110:11 111:8	publicly 75:25	108:17,18,25
45:12 47:12	95:8,9,10 96:6	111:10 114:11	published 75:23	109:3,3,5,7
49:24 56:7	96:7 118:2	115:3 138:17	76:3	111:2,6,16
59:16 67:3 75:1	128:12	printed 60:3	pull 29:23	112:3,4,5,24
90:5 127:21	presence 58:22	prior 6:11 149:10	pulls 137:12	113:7,21 114:2
141:23	present 4:19 6:23	155:16	purposes 35:24	114:13,18,19
pointed 55:9,21	7:9 8:3 28:15	prison 84:12	117:14 139:6	115:1,9,20
points 59:1,4	28:17 30:13	privilege 112:1	put 12:14 20:11	116:9 118:12
police 14:19 15:4	58:23 121:24	probably 34:12	23:8 29:8 37:20	124:17 133:1
16:17 17:3,9,14	presented 28:25	50:12 95:17	56:11,12,13	133:12 134:5
18:18 19:4	41:9	96:9,12 98:20	59:1 64:16	139:20 141:16
22:25 23:13,15	presiding 104:3	102:14	75:18 83:22	144:18 149:21
24:4 34:15 48:4	Press 90:15	problem 89:19	142:6 147:19	questions 2:4
74:8 80:5 84:5	presumably	108:13 129:17	puts 141:10	8:12 20:19 21:5
84:8 115:7	144:7	149:13	putting 88:15	32:17 46:20
127:6 131:11	presume 65:5	problems 43:7,25	P.A 4:4 154:10	50:18 64:22
132:14	pretty 35:7 54:20	107:13,14	p.m 7:13 151:13	100:19 117:21
policy 38:24 92:9	101:22 113:19	proceed 8:7	152:10	126:15 138:12
92:14,21,22,24	previous 21:25	process 8:16		151:19,24
93:13 94:18	priest 8:23 10:10	97:24 132:1	Q	Quinn 4:10
popular 121:20	10:12,21 11:7	produced 3:11	quadrant 123:7	155:3
121:22	13:14 17:6,18	8:9 71:17 72:24	question 7:21	quite 73:12,24
portion 53:22	17:25 18:5	progress 149:21	12:14 14:11,18	84:23 95:14
88:19	24:11,12,21	prohibited 92:25	19:7 20:3,15	quotation 92:8
possess 14:21	25:6,18 26:19	promise 120:16	21:2,10,15,21	93:7
	l	l	l	l

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
quote 21:25	ready 37:11	74:19,20	98:2,25 99:14	41:2 42:16,22
90:10	143:13	124:20 126:8	102:22 130:16	65:23 66:4
quoted 24:19	real 130:22	126:12 129:8	recording 58:17	69:23 78:21,24
88:17 90:8 91:3	realization 88:1	132:2	83:1	98:4 149:5,14
92:3,7,18 94:22	realize 11:6	recidivism 87:11	records 8:22 9:24	refreshed 16:13
quotes 93:8	realized 108:13	87:18 90:4	67:3 69:22	refreshes 64:16
	really 10:2,15	recipient 123:12	132:24	regard 37:7
R	24:17 62:10	recognize 36:3	recovery 92:11	116:5
R 4:1,15 37:25	77:10 83:7	recollection	94:20	regarding 67:7
77:18 128:12	87:19 92:9	22:18 38:22	redacted 123:13	131:24 137:13
154:14	94:18,24	41:2 42:16	redirecting 83:2	140:7
racquetball	100:17,21	44:22,24 53:12	reduced 153:9	regardless 19:17
130:5	reason 10:1 42:8	54:7 65:23 66:4	refer 35:22 77:16	region 133:10
radar 10:22 11:2	47:1,8 48:10	78:21 98:5	122:18 147:4	regions 125:2
76:10 77:12	54:5 56:25 58:9	149:5,15,20	reference 19:19	register 19:7
rape 77:24	59:3,21,25 60:7	recommend	30:12,23 52:15	37:5
Raphael's 125:20	62:24 63:13	107:7	139:5	regular 152:7
126:17 127:10	74:4,21 76:19	recommendation	referencing	Rehab 77:22
127:11,12,14	78:10 81:22	52:19 104:1,2,6	71:16 132:23	related 7:10 69:5
127:15,19	85:17 87:16	104:7,12,14,16	referred 36:24	153:11
131:8 142:7,9	88:14 97:21	105:15 130:9	39:4,13 107:2	relates 91:22
rate 87:11,18	100:20 102:25	130:10 131:20	125:25 126:3	relating 117:22
90:4	112:15 130:22	recommendati	137:18 139:10	relationship 79:1
read 36:13,15,15	133:6,14 134:6	11:16,23	referring 30:25	128:18
37:22 40:20	156:6,10,14,18	131:21	31:19 37:18	relationships
41:1 52:17	156:22	recommended	71:13 106:3	85:19
53:22 55:19	reasons 75:9	102:10,11	117:15 139:22	relative 153:13
58:15 59:11	76:16 77:15	136:7	139:24 140:19	relied 108:3
61:10 62:4	reassigned 75:16	recommending	refers 69:2,3	religion 93:9
64:12,19 65:2	recall 9:21 17:13	57:18	139:9 140:22	remember 10:2
65:21,24,25	17:13 38:17	record 6:13 7:14	146:21	10:15,20,23
66:2 68:19	46:17 48:17,22	8:14 20:2 45:20	reflect 9:24 42:12	11:1,5,8 13:7
79:13 82:24	70:10 71:9,22	45:23 46:24	46:11 65:3 67:3	13:18,23 14:1,2
85:10 90:8 92:7	79:10 103:22	56:18 58:10	69:22 101:20	14:4,10,12,19
96:12,25 98:10	103:23 107:4	60:18 65:9	132:24	14:19 15:8,16
105:20 123:1	128:3 140:1,24	68:14 78:3	reflected 66:13	16:6,9,15,18,22
124:9,11,14	149:17	82:16,19 85:4,7	70:4	16:24 17:1,2,15
135:22 147:19	receive 10:11	85:9 98:16,17	reflective 69:12	17:17,24 18:16
155:11 156:5,9	43:7 126:7,9	99:22 108:5	reflects 42:4	19:17 20:5,7,20
156:13,17,21	received 17:7	121:5 124:6	46:24 55:9,21	20:21,22 21:6
157:6	20:11 23:14	151:14,17,22	56:8 76:9 97:11	21:17,18 22:1,8
reading 55:16	47:22 50:20	recorded 8:20	103:11 132:18	22:9,11,16,20
64:23 66:4	72:18 74:16	37:18 55:12,24	Reformatory	22:23,24,24
81:19 82:11	124:1 126:5	56:9,23 58:13	77:22 97:10	23:9,19 24:6,9
91:20,22 96:15	131:25 142:24	59:19 60:22	refresh 14:9	24:25 27:5,8,16
104:15 132:1	150:20	63:19 66:3,10	16:19 21:3	28:3,8,14,16,17
reads 96:23	receiving 37:2	74:24 82:9 98:1	22:18 38:21	28:25 29:2,8,14
		77.27 02.7 70.1	22.10 30.21	20.23 27.2,0,14

				1
29:19,22 30:3	91:1,8,10 92:1	128:7	18:21 24:24	124:21
30:10 31:7,21	92:13,17,18,22	render 157:9	72:11 142:24	Restovich 4:15
31:24 32:1,8,9	92:23 93:9,14	repeat 105:10	147:11 150:20	restriction 66:9
33:1,9,11,14,16	93:16,24 94:7	replace 118:22	151:1	66:12
33:18,24 34:2,5	94:11,11 95:1	replaced 32:6,14	represent 6:24	result 120:17
34:7,8,11,14,14	95:13,20 96:8	report 14:5,25	49:11 139:7	retained 2:20
34:18,21,24	97:1,3,4,18	15:4,10,11,13	representation	61:17,19
35:5,12,15,17	99:6,13,18	16:5,7 17:14,18	94:1 116:6	return 155:15
35:21 36:17	100:3,17	18:17 19:3 23:2	represented	returned 111:25
37:2 40:9,11,12	101:10,11,14	23:12 24:3,11	92:14 147:21	returning 140:15
41:6,7,15,24	102:15,18	31:8 36:8 53:10	representing	revealed 101:7
42:1,6,7,9,11	103:9,14,16	53:25 54:3 59:8	7:22 25:20 35:4	101:10,12
42:14,15,25	105:19,25	59:23 60:14	107:3	Reverend 37:24
43:22 44:1,9,18	106:17 107:23	63:3,5 65:4	request 7:8 27:10	38:18 97:7
45:3,5,14,15	109:15,19,22	66:14,17 71:12	131:12	137:14 140:6
46:8,12,13,22	110:13 111:19	71:17 84:8 86:5	requested 68:8	review 8:22
46:23 47:7,10	112:14,15	113:3,5,14,20	requesting 68:9	17:21 22:4,17
47:12,16 48:5	113:5,10 115:5	113:22 114:6	required 78:22	reviewed 9:10
48:15,21,23	115:9,11,14	115:3 127:19	137:24 154:16	91:25 112:19
50:24 51:6,7,13	122:2 124:21	129:5 131:13	requirement	124:10
51:17,25 52:5,9	127:9,20 128:2	137:16,17	86:6	re-offending
52:10 53:15	128:7,8,19,20	141:5,9 146:11	requirements	138:5
54:4,10,14,17	129:8,10,12,19	146:13 147:6	87:7	Richard 148:19
56:11,22,24	130:1,3 131:9	150:15	reread 55:17	Riedel 77:23
57:15,16,17,24	132:2,10 134:8	reported 23:3	83:11	Riedle 7:17 46:18
58:2,7,8 59:3	134:15 135:5	24:21 25:1,1	resign 72:15 73:5	46:23 48:1,3
59:13,14,20	135:21 136:2	28:22 70:4,5	resignation	49:1 81:15
60:6,13,21 62:6	136:10,13,15	71:2,6 72:14,17	57:11 58:3	83:21 97:9
63:23 64:10,18	136:16 137:20	80:22 81:15	130:23	98:13
64:22,24 65:11	137:25 138:3,7	90:22 103:24	resigned 130:19	Riedle's 101:4
65:19 66:20	140:12,16	113:9 127:23	130:21	right 9:8 49:14
67:22 68:9 69:4	141:17,19	127:25 128:1	resonance 42:20	54:24,25 56:14
69:7,8 70:21,25	142:22 143:4,8	131:10 143:19	respond 20:14,17	76:21 80:23
71:3,5,20 72:3	143:21,24	143:23	85:11,13	85:8,9 88:13
72:4 73:18 74:5	144:22 145:6	reporter 2:21	responded 21:24	94:15 108:23
74:9,19,20,22	145:12,16,22	3:17 5:2 6:5 8:6	response 28:24 69:24	109:25 110:4
74:25 75:1,5,14	146:2,8 147:8	84:14,17 85:22	****	115:22 125:10
75:19 76:1,5,7 76:18 77:8 78:8	148:20 151:5	86:5 92:4,18	responses 29:2	148:16
78:9 79:7 81:21	remembered 115:14	93:9 153:1,4,5 reporters 85:17	responsibilities 10:9 26:23	right-hand 49:7 123:1,7
82:5,6,13,16	remembers	reporter's 6:20		Ringsmuth 77:21
83:5,18,24	64:20	reporter \$ 6:20	responsibility 11:20 13:5	82:4,13 83:3,10
85:17,20,24	remembrance	80:5 84:4 87:7	31:14 59:7	83:12,20 84:6
86:3,7,11,21	103:4	102:6 108:14	84:12 105:9	Risen 69:22,25
87:4,6,9,14	removed 70:1	102.0 108.14	108:2,6	74:15
88:7,22 89:12	72:1,6 75:2,4	reports 10:18	responsive 28:19	risk 75:18 136:13
89:13 90:15	91:6 127:1	12:2,11,18	29:11 33:3 69:9	risks 136:19
07.13 70.13	71.0 12/.1	12.2,11,10	27.11 33.3 07.7	110110 100.17

	1		•	
Roach 9:20 10:7	sandwich 73:18	88:18 90:6,8	83:22,24,25	56:16,17 57:2
34:10 36:23	sauna 130:6	92:6 96:3 97:23	89:23 105:23	67:23,24 68:2
37:17,25 38:16	Savior 69:22,25	104:1 139:12	107:8 111:8,11	69:3,6 72:11
39:4 41:12 48:9	74:15	145:20 147:7	112:11 118:4	77:24 86:9 87:7
48:16 52:17	saw 18:3 39:2	149:1,7	119:3 126:6	87:17 88:1 89:8
67:6 74:13	65:16 74:23	secondly 76:18	131:14 148:22	90:11 91:4,14
77:19 83:16	123:21 140:8	91:16	148:25	97:14 98:19,21
95:5 103:18	146:17,20	second-to-last	sentence 40:4	98:25 99:10,11
104:3 119:22	147:3	146:15	41:11 53:7,8	99:11,21,24
121:9 122:13	saying 20:24	secret 118:8	55:15 81:25	107:14 110:2
123:12,24	21:1 42:6 49:24	119:3,10,11,18	107:6 141:6,8	112:21 113:3
128:12 130:10	59:12 91:1,3,8	see 15:3,9 19:16	145:13 149:18	113:15 114:7
131:24 132:17	91:9 92:3,13	20:18 24:18	separate 118:8	115:4 116:1
137:13,23	93:14 100:10	36:2 37:14,16	122:21	117:1 121:2,11
141:3 147:21	128:22 145:16	37:17 38:8,12	serious 67:19	122:18 129:5
148:14	says 8:10 36:16	38:14,15 41:19	68:2 99:1	133:14 134:3
Robert 1:11 3:11	39:3,4 40:4,5	41:21,22 42:20	108:13 141:11	134:22 136:22
6:4,16 8:8,15	52:18,23 53:8	49:3 52:16 60:4	149:13	139:21 144:4
64:8 128:15	60:16,18 64:13	64:12,16 68:8,9	seriousness	144:11 145:4,9
137:14 140:6	66:14 68:17,23	78:22 84:21	104:7 105:16	147:11 149:4
144:5 145:25	74:13,18 76:25	88:16 96:20	105:17 106:3	sexually 44:12,25
148:14 154:7	80:19 90:9	102:10 103:17	Service 108:4	56:6 97:25
155:9 156:1	95:21 97:16	112:22 114:9	110:10 111:9	share 22:3
157:5,20	102:25 103:15	123:17 135:22	112:9	shared 22:22
Robertson 4:21	106:2 122:14	136:1,2 140:9	Services 5:4,9	33:7,10 34:13
8:1,1	123:25 140:23	147:2,7	6:22 40:18	41:25 42:1,5,13
Rochester 4:16	144:20,23	seeing 38:24	110:10 154:17	47:19 77:15
role 12:9,22,23	145:24 146:4	40:10 41:6	155:1	83:16
13:3 16:12	146:16 148:11	43:25 44:3	serving 77:24	Sharon's 147:16
106:15	149:1	68:15 78:17	78:12 149:25	sheet 49:3 156:1
Rome 15:24	scandal 63:15,17	135:21	session 68:13	sheets 50:3
room 119:13	63:25 70:14,20	seek 106:23,25	seven 20:10	155:10,12,15
130:1	77:12 102:1,4	seen 39:1 42:11	sex 45:7 87:11	sheriff 130:15
RPR/CSR/CCR	106:6,10	62:14 78:23	89:6 99:1,21	shocking 54:20
5:3 155:22	scandalous 118:9	82:25 95:7,24	100:13 108:22	shorthand 6:4
RSMO 154:7	118:24,24	122:19 147:9	109:10,14,17	153:4
rubs 128:24	119:3	selected 79:7	122:14,21	shorts 129:2
Rule 154:7	scheduled 48:18	seminary 25:16	123:6,14,17	show 15:7,15
run 79:15 80:1	Scheffler 138:8	35:9 125:10,22	139:18	17:4 20:15
80:21 81:11	school 108:11	send 43:14 78:24	sexual 12:3,19	48:24 74:10
running 151:10	143:7	79:8 107:13	13:4,4 15:1	122:8
S	Scott 4:20 8:4	152:3	23:16 24:3	showed 126:11
$\frac{S}{S : 6 : 4:1}$	seal 119:6	sending 136:2	26:22 27:14,23	showers 129:3
sacristy 143:13	sealed 118:6	140:1,14	28:1 29:16,24	shredded 61:13
sacristy 143.13 safe 93:23	Sec 154:7	senior 62:22	31:6,15,22,25	sic 64:24 77:20
sane 93.23 sample 125:4	second 40:3,4,20	sense 60:1 150:17	33:12 34:7	124:1
Sample 123.4	41:10,11 53:7,8	sent 18:22 66:23	54:12 55:8 56:7	side 102:3
	1	<u> </u>	1	1

sign 90:13	sources 37:3	154:11,19	statutes 81:4	110:23 111:4
155:12	south 113:5	155:5,6 156:2	stay 42:18	sure 28:3,13 30:8
signature 6:7	146:23 147:1	staff 30:9	steak 73:18	32:21,21 36:6
38:9 152:8	speaking 16:14	stamp 122:8,14	sticks 112:17	46:15 58:23
155:10,12,16	57:24	122:17,19	stink 73:6	62:20 63:16
156:24	speaks 49:22	123:1,10,13,13	STIPULATED	66:1 67:22
signed 38:8,9	specific 19:15	123:20,21	6:1	71:12 77:10
49:10,15,21,23	speculate 76:6	126:4	stop 118:13	79:4 84:23
49:25	speculation	stand 78:6	stopped 118:11	87:13 93:5,6
similar 147:18	76:13 77:14	stands 78:13	stream 101:8	109:11 110:1
similarity 130:8	100:15	started 134:8	street 3:15 4:4,11	110:19 112:19
simple 29:23	spell 13:24 14:16	Starting 1:14	4:16 5:5,10	113:19 117:15
simply 11:22	spent 112:23	state 1:2 3:2,17	146:24 154:10	117:16 121:16
49:9 51:3	spiritual 125:8	3:20 8:14 36:23	154:18 155:4	121:19 126:22
Sincerely 155:19	125:11,15	37:9 44:15	strictly 7:5 32:17	127:24 128:1
Sioux 15:18 25:4	spoke 57:23	54:12 57:7 98:7	95:21 117:25	130:24 151:8
101:21 120:22	58:11,21,24	128:22 129:22	118:3 119:4	surfacing 121:2
134:13	spoken 68:3	143:7 144:9	strip 129:1	surgeries 20:10
sir 71:17	72:23	145:14 154:2	studied 15:25	surprised 36:22
Sister 65:11,13	St 1:1,6 3:1,6,15	157:1	26:9	surrounding
68:5 124:4	3:19,21 4:5,8	stated 55:5	study 43:15	116:11
139:7	4:11 5:5,10	129:22 130:15	120:8	survivor 151:3
sisters 116:15	6:17,18 7:10,23	statement 20:2	studying 15:24	suspected 10:13
117:23	8:2 9:5,6,15,18	22:12 42:8	26:13 32:5 43:3	10:19
sit 44:9 92:19	10:4,11,17 11:2	63:18 90:19,21	47:20	suspend 57:12
situation 132:7	14:25 15:23	91:12,24 92:20	subject 17:19	suspicions 24:3
six 3:13 58:12,25	24:22 26:2,18	93:13 94:16,21	64:9 77:19 95:6	113:3,14 114:7
sleep 129:23	32:18 35:9	103:6 147:13	128:15 141:5	115:4
slipped 145:2	40:17 42:19	154:6	144:3 147:6	swear 8:7
social 127:21	43:12 44:7	statements 88:20	subordinates	swim 144:25
soliciting 146:19	52:21,22,22	147:14	113:14	145:1
somebody 17:8	77:22 78:12,23	states 38:1,16	subscribe 157:11	sworn 3:11 8:9
17:14 25:1 27:3	79:6 84:18	40:21 55:8,20	substance 157:8	153:7
30:8,9 80:9	86:18 87:2	75:23 97:6	substantive	
82:8 94:23	92:24 97:9,24	128:16 129:16	110:15	T
95:12,14,17	107:22 109:24	132:4 145:20	Sue 124:4	T 2:1,6
106:2 123:14	111:10 113:12	149:19	suggested 17:3	take 7:21 12:23
143:6	120:3 124:23	stating 41:12	41:18	45:16,18 58:9
someplace 71:25	125:20 126:17	81:8 137:14	suggestions	64:14 71:9 73:4
somewhat 42:23	127:10,11,12	140:7 144:5	15:16	84:24 85:1
son 127:1 128:5	127:14,15,18	149:8	Suite 3:15 4:4,10	105:9 117:24
sorry 108:19	131:8 134:17	stationery 38:2	154:10 155:4	129:3 130:5,6
116:8	135:19,19	status 38:22	summertime	140:14 151:12
sort 134:15	137:17 138:9	100:24	142:8	152:5
sound 9:8	140:15 142:2,6	statute 79:15,18	supervise 138:4	taken 1:12 6:4
sounds 130:6	142:9,10	80:1,12,21 81:6	supplied 120:19	7:18 13:5 14:6
Soulius 150.0	/	, ,		14:22 45:21

46:25 49:1,4	57:24 62:24	77:11 86:8	64:2 85:15	63:20 67:4,15
57:17 69:8 85:5	63:9 65:1 69:20	96:10 103:9	99:19 105:19	69:9 70:2,12
121:10,18,19	80:19 85:15	112:17 115:14	third 75:22 132:4	72:19,21,21
144:8 151:15	96:22 97:18	123:18 143:13	144:23 145:24	74:25 75:21,24
153:8,12 155:9	113:20 122:9	things 11:14	149:8,18	83:1,17 84:20
156:3	124:4,18	19:15,19 22:8,9	Thomas 1:7 3:7	84:22 85:3,6,15
takes 146:19	141:12 144:19	22:19 24:16	3:22 4:9,15	85:16 89:7,22
150:16	146:19	26:16 34:13	7:24 13:15,21	91:4 93:19 94:5
talk 18:1 25:23	telling 18:15,20	41:23 52:9	27:3,6,9 33:11	97:1 98:9 99:8
52:13 81:9 85:2	21:7,19 55:3	80:18 85:19	35:13 36:13,16	99:19,23 100:7
112:10 140:17	90:15 100:25	87:13 100:24	38:18 52:22	101:5,25
talked 86:9 128:9	temporary 76:17	100:25 107:15	53:19 67:7,9,19	104:13,17
130:25	76:20,23,24,25	111:21 145:21	78:1 95:6 97:7	105:24 106:20
talking 13:1 18:9	77:5,9	think 17:4 19:13	154:5,13,14	107:11 111:15
18:14 21:22	ten 50:13 131:7	24:14 26:2 27:4	155:3,6 156:2	111:17 112:23
30:15 34:22	tendency 102:2	29:3 30:14	thomas@resto	112:25 118:10
45:3 56:16 63:3	tenure 113:8,11	32:15 35:20	4:17	119:4,25 120:8
73:3 94:5 102:6	133:23	39:1 43:3,10,16	thought 47:19	120:25,25
102:7 108:25	term 56:5 105:16	46:1,6,14 51:3	68:23 73:17	121:2,6 122:5
110:2,3,19,22	terms 55:15	51:14,21 52:7	75:10 83:1 87:4	122:24 123:22
114:10,20	testified 7:16	58:10 59:9	93:3 111:3	124:24 125:1,7
131:4 133:22	18:24 27:14,23	60:24 62:17	114:16 127:17	125:8 133:13
134:13	33:12 51:5 72:7	65:3,22 68:23	142:4 143:6	133:13,20,21
talks 31:17	testify 48:22	69:3 70:18	three 7:18 14:22	134:18 139:5,5
taught 125:22	51:19 52:5,9	71:25 74:7,8	22:2 117:4	141:24 142:3
TAXED 154:12	56:21 138:10	75:9,12,13 80:6	125:1 134:7	146:18,23
154:13,14	testimony 9:11	83:24 86:16	137:24	147:15 150:16
teacher 65:14	39:17 47:4	87:25 89:17,20	thrown 61:13	150:21 151:1,3
technically 25:15	51:23 82:21	89:21 91:5	ticked 74:22	151:6,10,13,16
Ted 102:12 107:2	113:25 142:23	100:4,20,24	tight 145:3	151:21
teenaged 138:23	153:6,8	101:19,22,24	time 1:14 6:14	times 13:10 21:1
teenager 149:3	testing 140:10	104:24 105:2,5	7:11,21 9:17	21:24 23:13
teenagers 136:23	Thank 7:21	105:5,6,8,13,20	10:16,21 11:6	24:1 27:6 28:6
teen-age 140:21	39:22 78:6	107:16 108:2,8	11:25 12:14	29:1 46:7
teen-aged 139:18	151:19,20	108:8,10,10,11	15:23 18:7,10	105:11 108:20
139:22 140:18	therapist 88:7	108:12 113:24	18:23 20:10	title 16:12
telephone 38:17	108:2,3	114:8 115:6,21	25:3,5,11,21	today 7:4,12 8:19
tell 14:1,4,12,13	therapists 87:10	118:21 119:2	26:6,19,21,25	9:10 14:4 17:3
14:18 15:6	88:10 108:10	119:13 120:7	30:12,20 31:9	19:2 24:14
16:15 17:12	therapy 69:11	120:25 125:22	34:22 35:5	27:25 33:14,17
19:2 20:20 22:5	149:22	126:4,16	38:22 39:13	42:10 44:9,22
22:10,16 24:5	thereon 157:10	127:15 133:8	41:13,13,25	44:24 45:4 50:5
27:19,21,25	thereto 153:14	134:19 135:8	43:5,18,24	50:17 51:6
28:5,18 32:25	thing 24:13	137:1 138:11	45:19,22 50:12	56:21 92:9,19
33:13 34:1	28:21 36:4	141:10 142:4	51:25 52:15	93:14 94:18
44:22 52:11	50:23 51:5 52:6	150:18 151:11	54:5,8,9,10	103:16 109:12
54:1 56:2,22	54:20 73:24	thinking 63:17	55:5 59:6 61:16	113:21 121:16
	I	I	I	I

	ı	ı	ı	ı
147:8 149:25	transcribed 6:6	Turner 135:8	120:21	vicariate 125:3,4
today's 6:14	61:9	Twenty-Second	understanding	Vice 10:5 12:8
151:22	transcript 2:8,21	1:1 3:1,19	41:14 87:10	26:20 35:6
told 16:16 17:14	154:9,15	154:2	understood	vice-versa 32:15
22:1 23:19 46:7	155:12	twieser@mkql	108:11,12	victim 55:19 68:7
50:25 51:5	transcription	4:12	111:25 137:2	77:24 148:19
57:10 59:2 62:9	8:20	two 9:4 17:1 18:6	underwear 129:1	149:4
73:4 74:8 79:24	transfer 133:6	22:1,23 31:9	University 15:25	victims 87:17
79:25 80:6,9,9	transferred	34:12 37:1	25:17 35:11	89:22 101:3,8
80:14 81:8,18	69:18 133:14	38:17 40:24	38:19 42:24	101:11 144:7,8
82:3,8,10,11,24	treat 110:10	41:5 45:17	43:1,6,10,16	150:7,8,11,14
83:7 84:6 90:20	141:10	50:12 59:23	47:20	videographer 5:8
98:18 102:20	treatment 59:19	76:4,16 77:15	unquote 90:11	6:13,21 8:6
103:25 105:12	89:23 107:10	79:15 80:1,12	91:6 92:11	45:19,22 85:3,6
113:16,16,22	107:12,17,18	80:21 81:11,13	unusual 71:18	151:13,16,21
114:8 126:24	107:21 110:7	82:7 83:8	upcoming 47:4	videotape 8:20
126:25 127:24	110:20,24,25	128:25 135:2	upper 49:7 123:7	videotaped 1:11
127:25 130:22	111:8,12,13	138:23 139:17	upset 75:1,3,7,8	3:10 6:3,15
135:2 137:25	130:20 144:17	140:18,21	75:17 128:5	viewed 112:21
142:19 146:16	trial 7:19 27:13	143:20 150:22	urgency 60:1	vindictive 144:13
tolerated 57:8	27:24 33:12	type 61:1,3,5,21	urging 42:18	violation 66:12
Tom 4:20 7:22	34:8,9,17,18,21	typed 56:2 61:9	use 52:16 56:5	violations 139:16
8:5 10:22 24:20	35:14 101:5,7	95:11,11,13	61:25,25	visit 102:23
28:16,20 29:6	101:15 138:9	typewriter 95:15	105:16	visited 102:21
29:17,25 33:16	155:17	typewriting 6:6	usually 41:23	Vocation 25:10
34:5 36:1,18	true 54:10,18	60:12 153:9	61:2 71:19	25:14 35:6,8
45:25 52:17	56:15 57:4 62:5	typewritten	112:10 125:14	Vocational 77:22
77:20 94:12	90:17,19,22,23	61:23	150:2	vs 1:5 3:5 49:1
97:19 124:3	91:2 157:9,13	typewrote 65:6	utilize 107:22	138:8 154:4
top 36:2,8 60:16	Trusha 4:3 7:1	typing 56:1	110:8	155:6 156:2
63:4 73:18	Trusha@Ande	95:16		
95:21 122:13	4:6		V	W
123:14 145:20	trust 56:19 61:4	U	vacation 26:15	waived 6:7 152:9
topic 29:20,24	131:25	U 43:8	vaguely 45:15	waiver 112:1
88:18 117:8	truth 21:8,19	Uh-huh 137:4	Valcour 135:20	Wajda 53:25
148:18	22:9,10 52:11	Uh-uh 93:11	136:3	60:10,13,19,20
TOTAL 154:12	truthful 20:23	ultimately 11:17	Valley 26:3,18	63:4,8 64:25
154:13,14	52:11 55:5	69:17	74:15 142:2	65:10 66:15,18
totally 146:7	59:15 67:14	uncommon	various 10:3 32:1	68:4 124:3
touched 145:4,14	68:21	43:14	150:14	walking 147:3
touching 145:17	try 93:21 120:6	underlined 95:22	vault 119:14,15	Wall 4:21 7:2
tough 50:20	trying 30:24	understand 8:17	verbal 23:7	want 13:2 14:10
Tower 4:10	41:16 71:7	21:4,15 33:6	versus 6:17	17:21 18:25
155:4	105:3 129:19	34:3 47:14,17	Vicar 12:7 27:11	19:14 20:17,19
trail 101:3	Tuesday 53:9	91:21 109:12	30:13,17,21,24	24:17 39:23
training 86:4,7	58:6	111:1 112:6	30:25 31:4,7	44:21,21 45:18
143:7,9	turned 69:10	114:17,18	122:4	46:15 64:17
,				

76:19 82:14	57:7 75:9 83:19	witness 3:10 6:7	130:13 137:22	17:15,21 18:12
96:20,25	85:9 86:22	7:5 8:7 71:14	141:8	18:21 19:20
100:19,21,21	108:14 130:19	72:25 82:21	writes 123:14	20:16 21:23
132:21 151:9	134:11,25	88:8 111:3	writing 61:10	22:2 24:10,15
152:6,7,7	138:9 141:17	116:22 124:13	141:13,13,17	30:11,20 33:25
wanted 15:4	weren't 48:11	151:20 153:6,8	written 63:6 66:6	37:1 46:13 74:6
22:25 32:21	104:10	155:11 156:1	80:8,10,20	79:16 80:2,12
84:3 85:11,13	we'll 22:16 97:3	156:24	82:17 90:13	80:22 81:12,13
93:23 99:16	we're 7:20 32:16	woman 140:17	93:21 98:25	82:7 83:9
113:20 115:7	34:22 45:19	149:2,3	103:1 104:11	100:16 104:22
144:16	63:3 104:19,20	women 140:21	112:11 132:3	117:5 119:2
warning 136:17	111:17	140:22	139:13 143:25	128:25 131:7
washing 129:3	we've 84:23	wonder 151:6	wrong 124:9	139:15 150:14
Washington 16:2	101:19 112:19	word 12:15	wrongfully 148:2	150:16
wasn't 23:10	123:10 134:6	56:11,24 89:19	wrote 22:6 54:9	yesterday 137:15
25:9 28:17	143:5	124:10	55:6 57:10	young 15:8 72:12
42:14 59:21	whatsoever	words 11:23	59:15 60:4,9,11	90:14 149:3
61:25 64:1	79:22	55:12,24 56:1	63:9,24 65:18	younger 35:4
67:24 76:18	whited 36:11	104:10,11	67:14 68:20	youth 62:4,7,12
100:11 101:13	wide 18:10	work 37:11	78:1,19 79:16	62:16,23,25
118:20 130:18	Wieser 4:9 7:22	38:18,20 40:24	79:17 81:10,12	66:9,11 75:20
131:1,14	7:22 37:5 39:6	87:16	81:20,21 82:24	79:11 142:25
134:24 146:14	39:11,14,21,25	worked 15:21	98:22,23 99:7	
Watters 36:24	55:14 70:17	79:2,4 133:4	106:13 110:5	0
38:6,9 39:5	76:12 77:2	worker 68:5	123:5 128:20	084-003460 5:4
41:11 47:3,11	82:20 103:19	working 73:1	129:10 141:18	153:5
48:13,17 49:4	106:21 110:16	worried 114:25		1
50:25 51:24	111:14 112:3	worry 114:23	X	$\frac{1}{11222220617}$
52:1 102:22,23	116:5 117:18	worth 65:24	X 2:1,1,6,6	1 1:3 3:3,20 6:17
103:3,7,10,18	124:6,13,16	wouldn't 25:11	Y	6:25 7:6 59:16
107:8	137:8 151:24	56:13 61:3,5,6		65:8 88:25
way 20:7 30:18	152:3 154:13	118:20 133:10	Yeah 56:3 66:24	91:17 154:3
44:23 79:23	155:3,8	147:17 148:6	76:24 83:6	155:6 156:2
80:20 88:21	wiggle 129:19	write 53:23 57:13	89:11 95:17	1st 37:12 137:12
89:24 95:9	willingness 40:6	57:22 58:5,20	96:14,19 119:9	1:29 85:6
104:9 105:4	Winona 1:7 3:7	59:16,23,24	124:16 134:1	10 121:6
111:2 129:20	3:22 4:14 7:25	60:10 61:20	138:20 150:6	10:11 1:14 6:15
150:18	11:7 36:16,19	63:11 67:8,18	year 16:14 30:15	10:30 58:7
ways 11:4 89:22	36:20,25 38:3,6	68:3,7,11,20,25	31:3,20 37:1	100 4:4 154:10
Wednesday	38:10 40:25	68:25 77:20	40:24 41:4 67:5	101 2:11 6:9
128:17	41:4 42:17,18	78:11,16 79:14	117:19 125:6,9 127:8 135:5	88:15,15 11 128:17 148:13
week 112:16	43:8,13,19 44:8	79:23,24 80:4		11 128:17 148:13 11th 154:18
115:18	60:5 70:23	80:18 81:10	142:21,22 150:22,24	11th 154:18 11:12 45:19
weeks 38:18	72:16 152:2	84:3,5 97:23	years 8:23 9:4,8	11:12 45:19 11:21 45:22
50:12	154:5 155:6	98:19 102:19	9:21 10:14 14:2	11:21 45:22 117 4:16
went 26:15 35:10	156:2	104:6 107:6	14:14 15:15	12 49:3 128:11
47:13 48:19	wish 104:21	129:16 130:4	14.14 13.13	1447.3 148.11
		l	l	l

	1		I	I
12:20 85:3	1985 7:17 19:10	155:9 156:3	152:10	55904 4:16
13 77:24 131:23	46:17,25 47:6	23rd 3:12 6:14	3:10 151:16,21	57.03(g)(2)(a)
133 2:18 6:9	48:8 86:19	239 2:8 6:9 48:25	30 9:7 10:14 14:2	154:7
147:4	154:7	24th 53:24	14:14 17:15,21	58 100:1
14 77:25 140:5	1986 141:3	245 2:14 6:9	18:21 19:12,20	
15 53:9 144:2	1987 19:11,11,11	131:22	20:15 21:22	6
16 77:25 88:17	49:3 73:12	246 2:15 6:9	24:10 33:24	6 2:7,7,8,8,9,9,10
19 86:24	88:17 91:24	135:17	100:16	2:10,11,11,12
1941 134:12	92:16 94:6,9,24	247 2:15 6:10	30th 19:10	2:12,13,13,14
1960s 149:14	135:18 137:12	137:11	301 2:9 6:11	2:14,15,15,16
1969 143:20	144:2,5 145:25	25 19:20 50:8	53:17,18 64:6	2:16,17,17,18
144:18	147:5	74:6 104:22	86:2	2:18 36:3 37:15
1970 8:25 17:6	1988 138:23	141:3	302 2:9 6:11 64:5	63:11 97:6
18:7 25:3,10	1990 7:19 34:18	25th 53:18 56:10	66:22 67:5	123:25
108:21 113:2	34:21,23	66:11	303 2:10 6:11	60s 101:8
114:4 115:2	1991 148:13	250 2:14 6:10	67:4	63101 3:16 5:5
149:24	1992 122:12	132:18	304 2:11 6:11	5:10 154:19
1970s 149:14	123:11,25	251 2:16 6:10	77:17 86:2	644-2191 5:6,11
1971 126:15	1993 26:7 147:5	140:4	305 2:12 6:11	651 4:5
1972 127:13	148:1	252 2:17 6:10	95:3,3 103:20	7
142:5	1994 15:20,21	141:2	314 5:6,11	
1973 9:25 12:25	17:7 26:8 27:1	260 2:18 6:10	319 2:10 6:11	7 122:12 123:11
25:19,25 26:2	114:5 115:2	148:12,13	74:11,11 86:2	70 113:12
27:1 32:3 35:3	134:18 149:25	275 2:12 6:10	32 14:14 30:10	70s 46:9 88:11
42:4 108:15	1996 86:9,16	122:7,11	46:13	109:21
1975 142:5	87:4,15 93:4	123:23 138:19	33 2:16 6:9 139:4	711 5:5,10
1976 25:10,14	138:8	276 2:13 6:10	366 4:4 154:10	154:18
35:3 36:3,17		123:9		72 127:17 142:6
37:15,21 53:10	2	28 77:20	4	73 26:17 34:22
1977 9:25	2 74:12 91:19,22	282 2:13 6:10	4 60:9 64:7 144:5	35:18 126:15
1978 97:25	92:7 137:22	128:10	4th 19:11 65:10	127:15
1979 35:11,20	2nd 19:11	29 78:4	66:13	76 25:13,25
1980 53:18,24	20 74:6 157:15	29th 77:18	4/7/92 122:15	142:5
56:10 57:20	200 3:15	293 2:17 6:10	44 8:23	77 25:16
64:7 66:11,13	2002 120:12,14	144:1	445 4:11 155:4	79 25:16 35:11
67:5 68:12	120:16	296 2:7 6:10	492.590 154:7	8
91:25 110:3	2005 139:9	37:19,20	5	82:4
1980s 45:8 86:2	2009 9:16	297 2:7 6:10		80 65:8,10
139:18	2013 117:20,22	35:25 37:22	5 62:2,25 155:2	80 s 88:11 100:2
1981 74:12	2014 1:13 3:12	39:4 42:8	5th 37:21 68:11	120:24 139:21
1982 77:25 98:1	6:14 154:8	299 2:8 6:11	145:24	139:24
1984 15:18 77:18	155:2,9 156:3	52:15,16	5:00 7:13	82 120:24
77:21 78:4 95:4	21st 19:10 46:25		507 4:17	83 120:24
97:6 101:13	2200 4:10 155:4	3	54 50:7	84 86:25 87:1
109:23 110:4	227-9990 4:5	3 60:4	55 49:6 50:7	91:25 96:10
111:17 128:11	2289-4840 4:17	3rd 139:9	55101 4:5,11	100:24 101:16
128:17 131:23	23 1:13 154:8	3:00 151:13	154:11 155:5	100.24 101.10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>

101:24 120:25 131:7 85 87:1 87 89:11,25 88 73:12 9 967:5 95:4 90 35:18 90s 88:5 906 3:15 914 5:3 153:4 92 122:21 124:25 94 18:7 25:4,13 113:2,12 117:8 96 86:15 87:8