STATE OF	SOUTH CAROLINA)	IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIO WARRANT NO:2014A2610200461, 464	14
COUNTY	OF HORRY)	2014A2611000021, 26, 27	
STATE OF	SOUTH CAROLINA)		
VS.)	MOTION FOR AN ORDER PROHIBIT EXTRAJUDICIAL STATEMENTS AT RELEASE OF DOCUMENTS TO TH	VD
		`	MEDIA	07
TAMMY (DEFENDA	CAISON MOORER NT)) .)	CLERN OF	שויין ווא און ווי

Now comes the State with notice to the defendant, by and through counsel for defendant, M. Gregory McCollum, Esquire, that the State of South Carolina moves to for an Order prohibiting extrajudicial statements, discussion or comments either directly or indirectly about this case to the media nor release of discoverable documents to the media by the defense attorney, the defendant, the State and agents of the State in the above captioned matter.

The State recognizes that this case has received and is expected to continue to receive local and possible national media interest. The State submits that extrajudicial statements to the media, by either party could jeopardize the fair administration of justice in this case.

The State requests a pretrial Order forbidding public comment or the dissemination of discoverable documents in regards to this pending criminal case by the attorneys, defendants and agents thereto. This request has been held valid under the First, Fifth and Sixth Amendments to the United States Constitution. See Levine v. U.S. District Court for Cent. Dist of California, 764 F.2d. 590 (9th Cir. 1985); In re Russell, 726 F.2d 1007 (4th Cir. 1984); Hamilton v. Municipal Court for Berkley-Albany Judicial Dist., 270 Cal. App. 2d 797 (1st Dist. 1969). It is not objectionable for a Court to issue an Order governing matters such as extrajudicial statements and limitations placed upon lawyers, litigants and officials directly affected by court proceedings such limitations may be made at the Court's discretion for good cause to assure fair trials.

Respectfully Submitted

Donna E Kder

Schior Assistant Solicitor