## INTERIM COMPLAINT

STATE OF ARIZONA	Date November 5th, 2025
vs.	Court Justice Pima County, State of Arizona
Arnulfo Ebeneser Mendoza	No. + 2
Defendant's Name (Please Print)	
Precinct No. 2	<b>BOND RECOMMENDATION</b>
Arresting Agency Pima County Sheriff	High Bond X Low Bond
Agency Case No. 250904142	No Recommendation
Arresting Officer	Hold Without Bond
4.1, Arizona Rules of	ere necessary to comple with the provides of Rule Criminal Procedure.  ARGING INFORMATION
The undersigned, having appeared personally and	d having been duly sorn does, upon information and
belief, accuse Arnulfo Ebeneser Mendoza	of the crime(s)
of First degree Murder (F1)	
committed on the 4th day of 3 ten	nber
A.R.S. Section 13-1103 (F1)	
11-06-25 Offense Time/Date: 12:21 69/01	Statute(s)
Offense bagation	Complaining Witness
Arrest Time/Date	61-0184 76
Arrest Location	Name and Agency (Please Print)
34-year-old see, had be a shet multiple times in front of his property Details from the west form since and a Black 2022 Toyota Taco nearby surveillant series, the truck is observed driving westbound of	at occurred on September 4th 2025. The victim, Marcus Javier, a y at within Pima County.  Some had circled Marcus's neighborhood twice just prior to the shooting. On on Wyatt from Masterson, then 5 gunshots are heard out of view of the e early morning of September 4th, 2025. It had been taken from the
November 5th, 2025	Est Commission of Moxi page
Date	Reporting Officer / Badge No.
This court has found reasonable cause to belied defendant committed it.	eve that such offense was committed and that the
	10
Date	Justice of the Peace / Magistrate

5

Arnulfo Ebeneser Mendoza Defendant's Name: 250904142 Agency Case No. Statement of Probable Cause continued: The stolen vehicle on September 5th, 2025, in the area of property and was concealed by a blue tarp. It was discovered that there was a second stolen vehicle found on the same property. When Officers arrived, the truck was found on the There had been a surveillance camera on the property in front of initially arriving on the property on September 4th, 2025, in the morning. A male got out of the driver's side of the vehicle who The surveillance was observed, and it showed the Truck appeared to be approximately 6ft, thin in stature wearing a black tank top, black shorts and white shoes. The truck leaves and later In later videos the next morning, a male with matching characteristics of the one who was seen driving the into the red Toyota Camry and then leaving in that vehicle. During the search for the red Toyota Camry served getting matching characteristics. The registered owner, was found to live in the trailer park of checking previous law enforcement contacts with While and other associates and family. Arnulfo Ebe was identified and had similar characteristics of the person who had been operating the stolen er Mendoza Toyota Corporation had provided detailed tracking of the truck from the time it was stolen found to be at the trailer park of at 08:45 as well as 12:10, just prior to the The truck was trailer park scene of the shooting and then went to the nooting S showed e truck left the where Arnulfo was observed entering and exiting the truck with another unknown . Surveillance video ed from myltiple businesses Ace Hardware, where he had a set of keys copied. His identity was confirmed by e prio e shooting le was also observed at was identified by physical characteristics along with tattoos and matched with ther associa w him as "Flaco". Flaco that the truck never came to a stop and had been traveling at 4 mph. GPS data me of the shooting showed Multiple interviews had been conducted who were associated with the shooter, which they had heard from people around that neighborh and Amulfo. tectives received info Flaco was the who were associated with him. Information was given that Arnulfo killed Marcus in retaliation, two eeks prior to the shooting flarcus had physically assaulted Arnulfo and stole a bag containing multiple pills of Fentanyl. Aso, a witness who was located advise that she was p t to the victim's involved. She reported that the shooter owed her \$70, and she v ent during the shooting and that Flaco was victim's residence and hoped that he was there to give her the mo esidence to see if he was there. She was at the heard the victim arrive on his motorcycle, and she the back. And e had been texting him that he carried. She then driven away. She stated there were other occupants nt outside, and the shooter had winked at her and le to include Arnulfo. Arnulfo was interviewed by detectives and he stated the When presented photo evidence he stated that he was n ider the influence he does not remember things very well. e to confirm or deny the allegations. Witnesses interviews were further con cted and a stated that they overheard a conversation between Arnulfo and his wife that since his "business" was stoler at he needed e before something were to happen to him. Knowing Arnulfo was in the str 1 vehic nd observ on video prior to and after the shooting. Plus with ,ultiple statements from those who had been intervi d. With the er rule connected to the drive by shooting resulting in death, he is charged with First Degree Murder Justificati nd Rec endation: remitly on Probation and has not been in contact with his parole officer since he assigned to them. He also had failed to appear to his first meeting. He has a long story of criminal acts to include drug possession and sales, aggravated assault with deadly weapons and Domestic Violence.