

119TH CONGRESS  
2D SESSION

# H. R. 9497

---

## AN ACT

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2   *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

1 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

2 (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the  
3 “Water Resources Development Act of 2026”.

4 (b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for  
5 this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Secretary defined.

**TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

Sec. 101. Program Offices within the Directorate of Civil Works.

Sec. 102. Contracting efficiency.

Sec. 103. Removal or remediation of contaminated sediment.

Sec. 104. Levee owners board.

Sec. 105. Categorical permissions.

Sec. 106. Contributions by non-Federal interests.

Sec. 107. Electronic submission and tracking of permit applications.

Sec. 108. Project study schedule and cost estimate.

Sec. 109. Continuing authority programs.

Sec. 110. Dredged material management plans.

Sec. 111. Dredging coordination.

Sec. 112. Federal standard for dredged material disposal or placement.

Sec. 113. Allocations from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.

Sec. 114. Soo Locks operator wage rates.

Sec. 115. Beneficial use of dredged material from harbors in the State of Ohio.

Sec. 116. Minimum real estate interest.

Sec. 117. Real estate appraisal validity.

Sec. 118. Watershed and river basin assessments.

Sec. 119. Prohibition on diversion of water from Missouri River.

Sec. 120. Law enforcement at water resources development projects.

Sec. 121. Disaster debris removal.

Sec. 122. Wildfire contingency strategies.

Sec. 123. Reservoir sediment pilot program.

Sec. 124. Environmental dredging.

Sec. 125. Expenses for control of aquatic plant growths and invasive species.

Sec. 126. Feasibility studies; review of shoreline and streambank protection.

Sec. 127. Harmful algal bloom demonstration program.

Sec. 128. Shoreline and riverine protection and restoration.

Sec. 129. Fish and oyster habitat restoration.

Sec. 130. Benefits and costs attributable to certain measures.

Sec. 131. Policy on utilization of all Corps authorities and missions.

Sec. 132. Comprehensive feasibility studies and approaches for flood risk management and coastal storm risk management.

Sec. 133. Realignment of certain Corps of Engineers Districts.

Sec. 134. Update of Corps policy.

Sec. 135. Availability of project information.

Sec. 136. Fish and wildlife mitigation.

Sec. 137. Sense of Congress regarding evaluation of flood risk management projects.

Sec. 138. Recreational access.

- Sec. 139. Sense of Congress on munitions disposal.
- Sec. 140. Corps of Engineers workforce.
- Sec. 141. Reporting and oversight.
- Sec. 142. Ability to pay.
- Sec. 143. Pilot program prioritization.
- Sec. 144. Vessel removal by Corps of Engineers.
- Sec. 145. Sense of Congress related to Everglades Agricultural Area project.

## TITLE II—STUDIES AND REPORTS

- Sec. 201. Authorization of proposed feasibility studies.
- Sec. 202. Expedited completion.
- Sec. 203. Expedited modification of existing feasibility studies.
- Sec. 204. Expedited completion of other feasibility studies.
- Sec. 205. Corps of Engineers reports.
- Sec. 206. Report on the inland waterways system.
- Sec. 207. Coastal Storm Risk Management Trust Fund study.
- Sec. 208. Assessment of emerging harbor maintenance backlog.
- Sec. 209. GAO studies.
- Sec. 210. Inspector General reports.
- Sec. 211. Acceleration of emergency inland navigation projects.
- Sec. 212. Assessment of commercial fish landings data.
- Sec. 213. Assessments of dryland stream technologies and shoreline stabilization technologies.
- Sec. 214. Assessment of nonstructural approaches to flood risk management and hurricane and storm risk reduction.
- Sec. 215. Post-disaster watershed assessment for impacted areas.
- Sec. 216. Updated plan on Federal hopper dredge recapitalization.
- Sec. 217. Choctawhatchee and Pea River basins, Alabama and Florida.
- Sec. 218. Mobile Harbor land use assessment.
- Sec. 219. Expedited After-Action Review of Lake Okeechobee Recovery Operations.
- Sec. 220. Honolulu Harbor, Hawaii.
- Sec. 221. Chicago Area Waterway System.
- Sec. 222. Great Lakes and Mississippi River Interbasin project, Brandon Road, Will County, Illinois.
- Sec. 223. Columbia Lock and Dam, Louisiana.
- Sec. 224. Lower Mississippi River Comprehensive Management Study.
- Sec. 225. Disposition study for Cape Cod Canal, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 226. New England regional confined aquatic disposal facilities.
- Sec. 227. Assateague Island, Maryland and Virginia.
- Sec. 228. Big Sandy Lake, Minnesota.
- Sec. 229. Upper Missouri River Basin sedimentation.
- Sec. 230. Table Rock Lake disposition study, Missouri and Arkansas.
- Sec. 231. Table Rock Lake, Missouri and Arkansas.
- Sec. 232. Evaluation of atomic contamination at Cochiti Lake, Sandoval County, New Mexico.
- Sec. 233. National Academy of Sciences study on Upper Rio Grande Basin.
- Sec. 234. Arbuckle-Timbered Hills, Oklahoma.
- Sec. 235. Disposition and cost allocation study of Willamette Valley, Oregon.
- Sec. 236. Foster Joseph Sayers Reservoir and Dam, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 237. Humphreys County, Tennessee.
- Sec. 238. Sam Rayburn Reservoir, Texas.
- Sec. 239. Columbia River, Washington.
- Sec. 240. Tri-Cities Area, Washington.

## TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS AND MODIFICATIONS

- Sec. 301. Deauthorization of inactive projects.
- Sec. 302. General reauthorizations.
- Sec. 303. Conveyances.
- Sec. 304. Land exchange, Walton and Bay Counties, Florida.
- Sec. 305. Port Canaveral, Florida.
- Sec. 306. Specific deauthorizations.
- Sec. 307. Environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 308. Forecast-informed reservoir operations.
- Sec. 309. Floodplain management services.
- Sec. 310. Planning assistance to States.
- Sec. 311. Maintenance of navigation channels.
- Sec. 312. Mobile Harbor, Alabama.
- Sec. 313. Mendenhall Glacier outburst flooding, Alaska.
- Sec. 314. Hansen Dam, Los Angeles–San Gabriel River Basin, California.
- Sec. 315. Morro Bay, California.
- Sec. 316. Oceanside, California.
- Sec. 317. Pajaro River, California.
- Sec. 318. Arkansas Valley Conduit, Colorado.
- Sec. 319. Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, and Texas.
- Sec. 320. Miami Harbor, Miami-Dade County, Florida.
- Sec. 321. Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet, Louisiana.
- Sec. 322. Pilottown anchorage area, Louisiana.
- Sec. 323. Jack Hubbard Memorial Breakwater, Burt Township, Michigan.
- Sec. 324. Sardis Lake, Panola County, Mississippi.
- Sec. 325. Hydraulic evaluation of Upper Mississippi River.
- Sec. 326. Lower Missouri River comprehensive flood protection.
- Sec. 327. Missouri River Recovery Implementation Committee.
- Sec. 328. Great Lakes Commission.
- Sec. 329. Great Lakes Fishery and ecosystem restoration.
- Sec. 330. Truckee River and tributaries, Nevada.
- Sec. 331. Cooperative agreements, New Mexico.
- Sec. 332. Kinzua Dam safety modification study, Allegheny River, New York and Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 333. Surf City and North Topsail Beach, North Carolina.
- Sec. 334. Massillon Local Protection Project, Tuscarawas River, Ohio.
- Sec. 335. Toussaint River Federal navigation project, Carroll Township, Ohio.
- Sec. 336. Rio Puerto Nuevo flood risk management project, San Juan, Puerto Rico.
- Sec. 337. Buffalo Bayou Tributaries and Resiliency Study, Texas.
- Sec. 338. Chambers, Galveston, and Harris Counties, Texas, expedited real estate transfers.
- Sec. 339. Coastal Virginia.
- Sec. 340. Norfolk Coastal Storm Risk Management, Virginia.
- Sec. 341. Puget Sound and adjacent waters restoration, Washington.
- Sec. 342. Lower Columbia River.
- Sec. 343. Lower Columbia River Basin ecosystem restoration assessment.
- Sec. 344. Puget Sound nearshore ecosystem restoration, Washington.
- Sec. 345. Washington Metropolitan Area, Washington, District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia.
- Sec. 346. Federal Triangle Area, Washington, District of Columbia.

## TITLE IV—WATER RESOURCES INFRASTRUCTURE

Sec. 401. Project authorizations.

Sec. 402. Expedited completion of projects and activities.

#### TITLE V—DAM SAFETY

Sec. 501. National Dam Safety Program amendments.

Sec. 502. Study on high hazard potential dam prioritizations.

### 1 **SEC. 2. SECRETARY DEFINED.**

2 In this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Sec-  
3 retary of the Army.

## 4 **TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

### 5 **SEC. 101. PROGRAM OFFICES WITHIN THE DIRECTORATE** 6 **OF CIVIL WORKS.**

7 (a) OFFICE OF INLAND NAVIGATION CONSTRUCTION  
8 MANAGEMENT.—

9 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days  
10 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
11 retary shall establish within the Directorate of Civil  
12 Works an office to be known as the “Office of In-  
13 land Navigation Construction Management”.

14 (2) ADMINISTRATION.—In establishing the of-  
15 fice under this subsection, the Secretary shall—

16 (A) designate an Inland Program Man-  
17 ager, who shall head the office and report di-  
18 rectly to the Deputy Commanding General for  
19 Civil Works and Emergency Operations; and

20 (B) provide the office with sufficient ad-  
21 ministrative, technical, and budgetary support  
22 to direct, perform, and coordinate the following

1 tasks related to the inland waterways naviga-  
2 tion mission of the Corps of Engineers:

3 (i) Develop and maintain an inland  
4 waterway program management plan.

5 (ii) Oversee inland waterways con-  
6 struction projects and major rehabilitation  
7 projects along the inland and intracoastal  
8 waterways of the United States, as de-  
9 scribed in section 206 of the Inland Water-  
10 ways Revenue Act of 1978 (33 U.S.C.  
11 1804).

12 (iii) Coordinate, validate, and oversee  
13 funding for the projects described in clause  
14 (ii), including the transactions of the In-  
15 land Waterway Trust Fund.

16 (iv) Conduct in-progress reviews for  
17 individual project designs and construction.

18 (v) Provide updates at every meeting  
19 of the Inland Waterways Users Board es-  
20 tablished under section 302 of the Water  
21 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
22 U.S.C. 2251).

23 (vi) Develop and annually update the  
24 projects described in clause (ii) in accord-  
25 ance with the capital investment strategy

1 authorized by section 302(d) of the Water  
2 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
3 U.S.C. 2251).

4 (3) REPORT.—Not later than 2 years after the  
5 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall  
6 submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-  
7 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the  
8 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
9 Senate, and make publicly available (including on a  
10 publicly available website), a report detailing the re-  
11 sults of the status of the implementation of this sub-  
12 section, including—

13 (A) the progress of the Secretary in estab-  
14 lishing the Office of Inland Navigation Con-  
15 struction Management;

16 (B) the status of every inland waterway  
17 construction project and major rehabilitation  
18 project in the most recent capital investment  
19 strategy, including any issues related to delays  
20 or cost overruns; and

21 (C) any recommendations related to the  
22 operational improvement of the Office of Inland  
23 Navigation Construction Management.

24 (b) OFFICE OF WATER SUPPLY, WATER CONSERVA-  
25 TION, AND DROUGHT RESILIENCY.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days  
2       after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
3       retary shall establish within the Directorate of Civil  
4       Works an office to be known as the “Office of Water  
5       Supply, Water Conservation, and Drought Resil-  
6       iency”.

7           (2) ADMINISTRATION.—In establishing the of-  
8       fice under this subsection, the Secretary shall—

9           (A) designate a Water Supply, Water Con-  
10       servation, and Drought Resiliency Program  
11       Manager, who shall head the office and report  
12       directly to the Deputy Commanding General for  
13       Civil Works and Emergency Operations;

14          (B) staff the office with personnel who  
15       may reside in any district or division of the  
16       Corps of Engineers; and

17          (C) provide the office with sufficient ad-  
18       ministrative, technical, and budgetary support  
19       to direct, perform, and coordinate the following  
20       tasks related to the water supply mission of the  
21       Corps of Engineers:

22           (i) Identify and evaluate opportunities  
23       using authorities of the Corps of Engineers  
24       to promote water supply, water conserva-

tion, and drought resiliency at water resource development projects.

(ii) Provide to the Corps of Engineers, States, and non-Federal interests information on existing policies and guidance documents of the Corps of Engineers related to, and make recommendations on new policies and guidance documents to improve, water supply, water conservation, and drought resiliency, including—

(I) implementation of subtitle B of title I of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024;

(II) the method for the accounting of storage use under water supply storage agreements at Corps of Engineers reservoirs;

(III) the reallocation of storage space at Corps of Engineers reservoirs to water supply;

(IV) the interpretation and implementation of the Water Supply Act of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b); and

1 (V) the interpretation and imple-  
2 mentation of section 6 of the Act of  
3 December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 708).

4 (iii) Assist and provide guidance to  
5 States and non-Federal interests on ac-  
6 cessing programs, services, and other tech-  
7 nical and financial assistance made avail-  
8 able by the Corps of Engineers related to  
9 water supply, water conservation, and  
10 drought resiliency efforts related to water  
11 resources development projects.

12 (iv) Coordinate the review and com-  
13 pletion of water supply reallocation studies  
14 and reports to clear backlogs and ensure  
15 new studies and reports are completed in a  
16 timely manner.

17 (v) Act as a liaison between the Corps  
18 of Engineers and non-Federal interests for  
19 studies, projects, and agreements for water  
20 supply, water conservation, and drought  
21 resiliency efforts related to water resources  
22 development projects, including efforts  
23 to—

24 (I) reallocate storage space in  
25 projects to store water for municipal

1 and industrial water supply purposes  
2 pursuant to the Water Supply Act of  
3 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b);

4 (II) enter into surplus water con-  
5 tracts pursuant to section 6 of the Act  
6 of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C.  
7 708); and

8 (III) produce water supply bene-  
9 fits incidental to and consistent with  
10 authorized purposes, including by—

11 (aa) adjusting the timing of  
12 releases for other authorized pur-  
13 poses to create opportunities for  
14 water supply conservation, use,  
15 and storage;

16 (bb) capturing stormwater;

17 (cc) releasing water from  
18 storage to replenish aquifer stor-  
19 age and recovery;

20 (dd) releasing water from  
21 storage to augment storage at  
22 another Federal or non-Federal  
23 storage facility; and

24 (ee) other conservation  
25 measures that enhance the use of

1 a Corps of Engineers project for  
2 water supply.

3 (vi) Conduct outreach and workshops  
4 for potential non-Federal interests to pro-  
5 vide information on the authorities de-  
6 scribed in clause (i).

7 (vii) Evaluate budget requests to en-  
8 sure sufficient resources are requested and  
9 allocated by the Directorate to fulfill the  
10 tasks described in this subparagraph.

11 (viii) Cooperate with State, regional,  
12 and local government and planning au-  
13 thorities to identify strategies to augment  
14 water supplies, enhance drought resiliency,  
15 promote contingency planning, and assist  
16 in the planning and development of alter-  
17 native water sources.

18 (ix) Consult and coordinate with the  
19 applicable Federal Power Marketing Ad-  
20 ministration on the potential effect to hy-  
21 dropower generation.

22 (x) Other tasks in furtherance of the  
23 water supply mission as determined by the  
24 Secretary.

1           (3) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 2  
2       years after the date of enactment of this Act, the  
3       Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Trans-  
4       portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-  
5       resentatives and the Committee on Environment and  
6       Public Works of the Senate a report that de-  
7       scribes—

8           (A) the progress of the Secretary in estab-  
9       lishing the Office of Water Supply, Water Con-  
10      servation, and Drought Resiliency, including a  
11      description of the status of implementing para-  
12      graph (2)(C);

13          (B) the number of staff assigned to such  
14      Office; and

15          (C) for each water supply study or project  
16      being conducted or implemented by the Corps  
17      of Engineers as of the date on which such re-  
18      port is submitted—

19           (i) the status of each such study or  
20      project;

21           (ii) the date upon which each such  
22      study or project commenced;

23           (iii) the estimated date of completion  
24      of each such study or project; and

1 (iv) any significant impediments or  
2 obstacles that may reasonably affect the  
3 timeline to complete each such study or  
4 project.

5 (c) OFFICE ON TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND COM-  
6 MUNITY OUTREACH.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days  
8 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
9 retary shall establish within the Directorate of Civil  
10 Works an office to be known as the “Office on Tech-  
11 nical Assistance and Community Outreach”.

12 (2) ADMINISTRATION.—In establishing the of-  
13 fice under this subsection, the Secretary shall—

14 (A) designate a Technical Assistance and  
15 Community Outreach Manager who shall head  
16 the office and report directly to the Deputy  
17 Commanding General for Civil Works and  
18 Emergency Operations;

19 (B) staff the office with personnel who  
20 may reside in any district or division of the  
21 Corps of Engineers; and

22 (C) provide the office with sufficient ad-  
23 ministrative, technical, and budgetary support  
24 to direct, perform, and coordinate the following  
25 tasks:

1 (i) Assist and provide guidance to  
2 non-Federal interests on accessing pro-  
3 grams, services, and other technical and fi-  
4 nancial assistance made available by the  
5 Corps of Engineers relating to water re-  
6 sources development projects, including  
7 under—

8 (I) a continuing authority pro-  
9 gram (as such term is defined in sec-  
10 tion 7001(c)(1)(D) of the Water Re-  
11 sources Reform and Development Act  
12 of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d));

13 (II) section 206 of the Flood  
14 Control Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C.  
15 709a), including the Silver Jackets  
16 program established pursuant to such  
17 section;

18 (III) section 22 of the Water Re-  
19 sources Development Act of 1974 (42  
20 U.S.C. 1962d–16);

21 (IV) section 203 of the Water  
22 Resources Development Act of 2000  
23 (33 U.S.C. 2269);

1 (V) section 5014 of the Water  
2 Resources Reform and Development  
3 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note);

4 (VI) section 118 of the Water  
5 Resources Development Act of 2020  
6 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note);

7 (VII) section 165 of the Water  
8 Resources Development Act of 2020  
9 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note);

10 (VIII) the Water Infrastructure  
11 Finance and Innovation Act (33  
12 U.S.C. 3901 et seq.);

13 (IX) sections 1148 and 1305 of  
14 the Water Resources Development Act  
15 of 2024 (138 Stat. 3039; 33 U.S.C.  
16 2201 note);

17 (X) section 103(m) of the Water  
18 Resources Development Act of 1986  
19 (33 U.S.C. 2213m); and

20 (XI) section 1148 of the Water  
21 Resources Development Act of 2024.

22 (ii) Coordinate the implementation of  
23 public awareness, education, outreach, and  
24 engagement requirements under section

1                   8117 of the Water Resources Development  
2                   Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2281b).

3                   (iii) Identify programs, services, and  
4                   other assistance made available by other  
5                   Federal and State agencies relating to  
6                   water resources development projects for  
7                   purposes of advising potential non-Federal  
8                   interests on the best available applicable  
9                   assistance.

10               (3) PRIORITIZATION.—In carrying out para-  
11               graph (2)(C), to the maximum extent practicable,  
12               the Technical Assistance and Community Outreach  
13               Manager shall prioritize providing technical assist-  
14               ance and guidance to a non-Federal interest seeking  
15               to carry out a water resources development project  
16               that will benefit a rural community, a Tribal com-  
17               munity, or a community described in the guidance  
18               issued by the Secretary pursuant to section 160 of  
19               the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33  
20               U.S.C. 2201 note).

21               (4) ELECTRONIC PORTAL.—

22               (A) DEVELOPMENT.—In carrying out this  
23               subsection, the Secretary shall develop an on-  
24               line, interactive portal that—

1 (i) contains information relating to  
2 the programs described in paragraph  
3 (2)(C)(i); and

4 (ii) can be used by a potential non-  
5 Federal interest as a succinct guide to ac-  
6 cessing such programs for an applicable  
7 potential water resources development  
8 project.

9 (B) AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall  
10 ensure that the portal developed under subpara-  
11 graph (A) is made available in a prominent lo-  
12 cation on the public-facing website of the head-  
13 quarters of the Corps of Engineers and of each  
14 district and division of the Corps of Engineers.

15 (d) OFFICE ON ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY METH-  
16 ODS.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days  
18 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
19 retary shall establish within the Directorate of Civil  
20 Works an office to be known as the “Office on Alter-  
21 native Delivery Methods”.

22 (2) ADMINISTRATION.—In establishing the of-  
23 fice under this subsection, the Secretary shall—

24 (A) designate an Alternative Delivery Man-  
25 ager who shall head the office and report di-

rectly to the Deputy Commanding General for  
Civil Works and Emergency Operations;

(B) staff the office with personnel who  
may reside in any district or division of the  
Corps of Engineers; and

(C) provide the office with sufficient ad-  
ministrative, technical, and budgetary support  
to direct, perform, and coordinate the following  
tasks:

(i) Assist and provide guidance to  
non-Federal interests on accessing alter-  
native delivery programs, services, and  
other technical and financial assistance  
made available by the Corps of Engineers  
relating to water resources development  
projects, including under—

(I) sections 203 and 204 of the  
Water Resources Development Act of  
1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231; 2232);

(II) section 1043 of the Water  
Resources Reform and Development  
Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note);

(III) section 1113 of the Water  
Resources Development Act of 2016  
(33 U.S.C. 2326e);

1 (IV) section 159 of the Water  
2 Resources Development Act of 2020;  
3 and

4 (V) section 1107(a) of the Water  
5 Resources Development Act of 2024  
6 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note).

7 (ii) Coordinate with non-Federal in-  
8 terests to establish clear review standards,  
9 procedures, and timelines for the review  
10 and approval of studies, plans, specifica-  
11 tions, and other materials submitted pur-  
12 suant to the programs described in clause  
13 (i).

14 (iii) Identify opportunities to accel-  
15 erate project delivery and reduce adminis-  
16 trative burdens associated with the use of  
17 alternative delivery methods and contrib-  
18 uted funds authorities.

19 (iv) Coordinate across headquarters,  
20 divisions, and districts of the Corps of En-  
21 gineers to ensure the consistent implemen-  
22 tation of alternative delivery authorities.

23 (v) Develop and maintain guidance  
24 and best practices for the acceptance and  
25 use of contributed funds provided by non-

1 Federal interests to carry out activities re-  
2 lated to water resources development  
3 projects.

4 (vi) Provide technical assistance to  
5 non-Federal interests regarding the eligi-  
6 bility of expenditures for credit or reim-  
7 bursement, including expenditures incurred  
8 pursuant to written agreements entered  
9 into under section 221 of the Flood Con-  
10 trol Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b).

11 (3) REPORT.—Not later than 2 years after the  
12 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall  
13 submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-  
14 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the  
15 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
16 Senate a report that describes—

17 (A) the progress of the Secretary in estab-  
18 lishing the Office on Alternative Delivery Meth-  
19 ods;

20 (B) the number of staff assigned to such  
21 Office;

22 (C) the activities carried out by the Office;  
23 and

24 (D) recommendations for legislative or ad-  
25 ministrative actions to improve the efficiency

1           and effectiveness of alternative delivery meth-  
2           ods.

3       (e) COORDINATION; FUNDING.—

4           (1) COORDINATION.—Each office established  
5       under subsections (a) through (d) shall coordinate  
6       with other offices established under such subsections  
7       and with any other office of the Corps of Engineers  
8       to ensure consistency across the Corps of Engineers.

9           (2) FUNDING.—The offices established under  
10      subsections (a) through (d) shall be funded using  
11      amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated for  
12      the general expenses of the Directorate of Civil  
13      Works.

14   **SEC. 102. CONTRACTING EFFICIENCY.**

15      (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-  
16      gress that—

17           (1) the Corps of Engineers should maximize the  
18      efficiency of contract actions through the use of  
19      multiyear or continuing contracts; and

20           (2) multiyear or continuing contracts—

21               (A) provide cost savings by combining  
22      work across multiple projects across different  
23      accounts of the Corps of Engineers; and

24               (B) increase reliability of projects con-  
25      structed or maintained by the Corps of Engi-

1           neers and ensure realization of the benefits de-  
2           rived from such projects.

3       (b) REVIEW.—

4           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
5       the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
6       General of the United States shall carry out a review  
7       of any considerations relating to and benefits of  
8       awarding multiyear or continuing contracts for the  
9       construction of authorized water resources develop-  
10      ment projects carried out by the Secretary.

11          (2) REQUIREMENTS.—In developing the review  
12      under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General  
13      shall—

14           (A) describe existing statutory authorities  
15           and limitations under which the Corps of Engi-  
16           neers may carry out water resources develop-  
17           ment projects utilizing a multiyear or con-  
18           tinuing contract, including such authorities and  
19           limitations under section 10 of the Act of Sep-  
20           tember 22, 1922 (33 U.S.C. 621) and section  
21           206 of the Water Resources Development Act  
22           of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2331);

23           (B) review—

24                  (i) prior instances in which the Sec-  
25                  retary has carried out an authorized water

resources development project utilizing a multiyear or continuing contract for the construction;

(ii) prior instances in which the heads of other Federal agencies, including the Secretary of Defense, have carried out large-scale infrastructure or asset construction projects utilizing a multiyear or continuing contract for the construction; and

(iii) the response of the Corps of Engineers to prior recommendations of the Comptroller General related to management of water resources development projects that utilize multiyear or continuing contracts; and

(C) evaluate—

(i) potential cost savings, considerations, and benefits (including accelerated completion of construction) from awarding multiyear or continuing contracts for the construction of authorized water resources development projects; and

(ii) safeguards to minimize the inefficient reprogramming of appropriated funds

1                   related to multiyear or continuing con-  
2                   tracts.

3           (3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review  
4   under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall  
5   submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-  
6   frastructure of the House of Representatives and the  
7   Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
8   Senate a report on the findings of such review, in-  
9   cluding any legislative recommendations that result  
10   from such review.

11 **SEC. 103. REMOVAL OR REMEDIATION OF CONTAMINATED**  
12 **SEDIMENT.**

13       (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall not be liable  
14   under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Com-  
15   pensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et  
16   seq.) for the release of a hazardous substance or pollutant  
17   or contaminant resulting from any covered activity carried  
18   out in accordance with a joint plan developed under this  
19   section by the Secretary, in coordination with the non-  
20   Federal interest for the covered activity, and approved by  
21   the Administrator.

22       (b) JOINT PLAN.—A joint plan developed under sub-  
23   section (a) shall—

24           (1) ensure that the covered activity protects  
25       human health and the environment; and

1 (2) include—

2 (A) relevant and appropriate requirements  
3 of the National Contingency Plan;

4 (B) a description of the work to be under-  
5 taken;

6 (C) identification of—

7 (i) the method to be used for dredged  
8 material disposal;

9 (ii) the roles and responsibilities of  
10 the Secretary and non-Federal interest;  
11 and

12 (iii) sources of funding; and

13 (D) such other terms and conditions as the  
14 Administrator determines necessary.

15 (c) CONSULTATION; PUBLIC COMMENT.—In devel-  
16 oping a joint plan under subsection (a), the Secretary  
17 shall—

18 (1) consult with interested Federal, State, and  
19 local government officials; and

20 (2) provide an opportunity for public comment.

21 (d) OBLIGATIONS OF THE SECRETARY.—Prior to car-  
22 rying out any covered activity pursuant to a joint plan  
23 developed under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

1           (1) document any hazardous substance or pol-  
2           lutant or contaminant present in the contaminated  
3           sediment to be removed or remediated; and

4           (2) seek to identify any person potentially re-  
5           sponsible for the release of such hazardous sub-  
6           stance or pollutant or contaminant.

7           (e) SAVINGS PROVISIONS.—

8           (1) COST RECOVERY.—Nothing in this section  
9           affects the ability of the Federal Government to seek  
10          recovery under the Comprehensive Environmental  
11          Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
12          (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) from responsible parties of  
13          response costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying  
14          out a covered activity.

15          (2) LIABILITY.—Nothing in this section af-  
16          fects—

17                (A) the liability of any person under sec-  
18                tion 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental  
19                Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of  
20                1980 (42 U.S.C. 9607) with respect to any ac-  
21                tivity that is not a covered activity; or

22                (B) the liability of any person under such  
23                section for costs or damages as the result of  
24                negligence on the part of such person in car-  
25                rying out any activity under section 104(a) of

1 the Comprehensive Environmental Response,  
2 Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42  
3 U.S.C. 9604(a)).

4 (3) FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENTS.—Noth-  
5 ing in this section affects the application of the re-  
6 quirements of section 120 of the Comprehensive En-  
7 vironmental Response, Compensation, and Liability  
8 Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9620) to the Secretary when  
9 conducting response actions at a site that is subject  
10 to an interagency agreement under subsection (e) of  
11 such section 120.

12 (f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

13 (1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term “Adminis-  
14 trator” means the Administrator of the Environ-  
15 mental Protection Agency.

16 (2) CONTAMINATED SEDIMENT.—The term  
17 “contaminated sediment” means sediment in which  
18 a hazardous substance or pollutant or contaminant  
19 is present.

20 (3) COVERED ACTIVITY.—The term “covered  
21 activity” means the removal or remediation of con-  
22 taminated sediment pursuant to—

23 (A) a water resources development project  
24 specifically authorized by Congress for such  
25 purpose; or

1 (B) section 312(f) of the Water Resources  
2 Development Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 1272(f)).

3 (4) HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE.—The term “haz-  
4 arduous substance” has the meaning given that term  
5 in section 101 of the Comprehensive Environmental  
6 Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
7 (42 U.S.C. 9601).

8 (5) NATIONAL CONTINGENCY PLAN.—The term  
9 “National Contingency Plan” means the national  
10 contingency plan published under section 105 of the  
11 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensa-  
12 tion, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9605).

13 (6) POLLUTANT OR CONTAMINANT.—The term  
14 “pollutant or contaminant” has the meaning given  
15 that term in section 101 of the Comprehensive Envi-  
16 ronmental Response, Compensation, and Liability  
17 Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601).

18 **SEC. 104. LEVEE OWNERS BOARD.**

19 (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a Levee  
20 Owners Board.

21 (b) MEMBERSHIP.—

22 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Owners Board shall be  
23 composed of eleven members, each of which is a  
24 Federal levee system owner-operator, appointed by  
25 the Secretary so as to represent various regions of

1 the country, including at least one member from  
2 each of the eight divisions of the Corps of Engi-  
3 neers.

4 (2) TERMS.—

5 (A) IN GENERAL.—A member of the Own-  
6 ers Board shall be appointed for a period of 3  
7 years.

8 (B) REAPPOINTMENT.—A member of the  
9 Owners Board may be reappointed to the Own-  
10 ers Board, as the Secretary determines to be  
11 appropriate.

12 (C) VACANCIES.—A vacancy on the Own-  
13 ers Board shall be filled in the same manner as  
14 the original appointment was made.

15 (3) CHAIRPERSON.—The members of the Own-  
16 ers Board shall appoint a chairperson from among  
17 the members of the Owners Board.

18 (4) OBSERVERS.—The Secretary shall des-  
19 ignate, and the Administrator may designate, a rep-  
20 resentative to act as an observer of the Owners  
21 Board.

22 (c) DUTIES.—

23 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Owners Board shall  
24 meet not less frequently than semiannually to de-  
25 velop and make recommendations to the Secretary

1 and Congress regarding levee system reliability  
2 throughout the United States.

3 (2) ADVICE AND RECOMMENDATIONS.—The  
4 Owners Board shall provide—

5 (A) prior to the development of the budget  
6 proposal of the President for a given fiscal year,  
7 advice and recommendations to the Secretary  
8 regarding overall levee system reliability;

9 (B) advice and recommendations to Con-  
10 gress regarding any feasibility report for a flood  
11 risk management project that has been sub-  
12 mitted to Congress;

13 (C) not later than 60 days after the date  
14 of the submission of the budget proposal of the  
15 President to Congress for a given fiscal year,  
16 advice and recommendations to Congress re-  
17 garding flood risk management project con-  
18 struction and rehabilitation priorities and cor-  
19 responding spending levels;

20 (D) advice and recommendations to the  
21 Secretary and Congress regarding the effective-  
22 ness of the levee safety program of the Corps  
23 of Engineers, including comments and rec-  
24 ommendations on relevant information con-  
25 tained in the reports of Corps of Engineers ac-

1           activities and expenditures provided by the Sec-  
2           retary under subsection (d)(2); and

3           (E) advice and recommendations to the  
4           Secretary, Congress, and the Administrator re-  
5           garding the effectiveness of the levee safety ini-  
6           tiative, including comments and recommenda-  
7           tions on relevant information contained in the  
8           reports of Corps of Engineers activities and ex-  
9           penditures provided by the Secretary under sub-  
10          section (d)(2).

11          (3) INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT.—The Owners  
12          Board shall ensure that any advice or recommenda-  
13          tions made under paragraph (2) reflect the inde-  
14          pendent judgment of the Owners Board.

15          (d) DUTIES OF THE SECRETARY.—The Secretary  
16          shall—

17               (1) designate an Executive Secretary who shall  
18               assist the chairperson in administering the Owners  
19               Board and ensuring that the Owners Board operates  
20               in accordance with chapter 10 of title 5, United  
21               States Code;

22               (2) provide to the Owners Board detailed re-  
23               ports of Corps of Engineers activities and expendi-  
24               tures related to flood risk management and levees,  
25               including for the levee safety program of the Corps

1 of Engineers and the levee safety initiative, not less  
2 frequently than semiannually; and

3 (3) submit to the Owners Board a copy of any  
4 completed feasibility report for a flood risk manage-  
5 ment project submitted to Congress.

6 (e) ADMINISTRATION.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Owners Board shall be  
8 subject to chapter 10 of title 5, other than section  
9 1013, and with the consent of the appropriate agen-  
10 cy head, the Owners Board may use the facilities  
11 and services of any Federal agency.

12 (2) MEMBERS NOT CONSIDERED SPECIAL GOV-  
13 ERNMENT EMPLOYEES.—For the purposes of com-  
14 plying with chapter 10 of title 5, United States  
15 Code, the members of the Owners Board shall not  
16 be considered special Government employees (as de-  
17 fined in section 202 of title 18, United States Code).

18 (3) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—Non-Federal members  
19 of the Owners Board, while engaged in the perform-  
20 ance of their duties away from their homes or reg-  
21 ular places of business, may be allowed travel ex-  
22 penses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, as  
23 authorized by section 5703 of title 5, United States  
24 Code.

25 (f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1           (1) LEVEE SAFETY INITIATIVE.—The term  
 2           “levee safety initiative” means the levee safety ini-  
 3           tiative established under section 9005 of the Water  
 4           Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
 5           3303a).

6           (2) NATIONAL LEVEE SAFETY ACT OF 2007  
 7           TERMS.—The terms “Administrator”, “levee”,  
 8           “levee system”, and “rehabilitation” have the mean-  
 9           ings given those terms in section 9002 of the Water  
 10          Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
 11          3301).

12          (3) OWNERS BOARD.—The term “Owners  
 13          Board” means the Levee Owners Board established  
 14          by this section.

15 **SEC. 105. CATEGORICAL PERMISSIONS.**

16          (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 14(a) of the Act of March  
 17          3, 1899 (33 U.S.C. 408(a)) is amended—

18               (1) by striking “It shall not be lawful” and in-  
 19               serting the following:

20               “(1) IN GENERAL.—It shall not be lawful”; and

21               (2) by adding at the end the following:

22               “(2) CATEGORICAL PERMISSIONS.—

23                       “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall,  
 24                       by rule, establish categorical permissions for  
 25                       any category of activities for which an applica-

tion for permission under paragraph (1) may be submitted.

“(B) SCOPE.—In establishing categorical permissions under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall consider the following:

“(i) The type and similarity of activities to be included in any specific category.

“(ii) The geographic area to which the categorical permission will apply.

“(iii) The type and similarity of the public works with respect to which the categorical permission will apply.

“(iv) The effects of the activities to be included in a category on the applicable public works and on environmental and cultural resources.

“(v) Any additional Federal regulatory requirements that apply to the activities to be included in a category.

“(vi) An appropriate period of validity for the categorical permission.

“(C) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may only establish a categorical permission under this subsection that the Secretary determines will apply to a category of activities that—

1 “(i) are similar in nature to activities  
2 for which applications for permission are  
3 regularly submitted under this section;

4 “(ii) will cause only minimal adverse  
5 environmental effects when carried out  
6 separately; and

7 “(iii) will cause only minimal cumu-  
8 lative adverse environmental effects.

9 “(D) PUBLIC INPUT.—

10 “(i) SCOPING PROCESS.—In estab-  
11 lishing categorical permissions under this  
12 paragraph, the Secretary shall solicit input  
13 from non-Federal interests, including land-  
14 owners, hydropower operators, and re-  
15 source agencies.

16 “(ii) COMMENT PERIOD.—The Sec-  
17 retary shall make all draft categorical per-  
18 missions, including any associated analyses  
19 and other documentation, available for  
20 public review and comment for not less  
21 than 30 days.

22 “(E) TRANSPARENCY.—The Secretary  
23 shall publish all categorical permissions estab-  
24 lished under this paragraph on an appropriate  
25 website of the Corps of Engineers, including,

1           for each categorical permission, identification  
2           of—

3                   “(i) the types of activities to which  
4                   the categorical permission applies;

5                   “(ii) any specific circumstances that,  
6                   if present, would cause the categorical per-  
7                   mission to not apply to an activity of a  
8                   type identified under clause (i);

9                   “(iii) the geographic area to which the  
10                  categorical permission applies;

11                  “(iv) the types of public works with  
12                  respect to which the categorical permission  
13                  applies; and

14                  “(v) the period for which the categor-  
15                  ical permission is valid.

16                  “(F) INCORPORATION INTO APPLICATION  
17                  PROCESS.—

18                   “(i) IDENTIFICATION IN REQUEST.—A  
19                   non-Federal entity may identify in an ap-  
20                   plication for permission under this sub-  
21                   section any categorical permission that  
22                   may apply to the activity for which the  
23                   permission is requested.

24                   “(ii) INCLUSION IN COMPLETENESS  
25                   DETERMINATION.—In informing a non-

1 Federal entity whether an application for  
2 permission under this subsection is com-  
3 plete under subsection (d)(1), the Sec-  
4 retary shall include an assessment of  
5 whether a categorical permission will apply  
6 to the activity for which permission is re-  
7 quested, including an evaluation of the ap-  
8 plicability of—

9 “(I) any categorical permission  
10 identified by the non-Federal entity in  
11 the application; and

12 “(II) any other categorical per-  
13 mission the Secretary determines ap-  
14 propriate.

15 “(G) LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in this para-  
16 graph affects—

17 “(i) the authority of the Secretary to  
18 grant or deny permission under this sec-  
19 tion; or

20 “(ii) any obligation to comply with the  
21 provisions of any Federal or State law, in-  
22 cluding—

23 “(I) the National Environmental  
24 Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321  
25 et seq.);

1 “(II) the Federal Water Pollution  
2 Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.);  
3 and

4 “(III) the Endangered Species  
5 Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).

6 “(H) DEADLINE FOR PROPOSED RULE.—  
7 Not later than 180 days after the date of enact-  
8 ment of the Water Resources Development Act  
9 of 2026, the Secretary shall publish a proposed  
10 rule establishing categorical permissions under  
11 this paragraph.”.

12 **SEC. 106. CONTRIBUTIONS BY NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.**

13 Section 902(b) of the Water Resources Development  
14 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2280(b)) is amended to read as  
15 follows:

16 “(b) CONTRIBUTIONS BY NON-FEDERAL INTER-  
17 ESTS.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), in accordance  
18 with section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (33 U.S.C.  
19 701h), if the Chief of Engineers makes a determination  
20 under section 8155(b)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-  
21 ment Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2280 note) that an author-  
22 ized water resources development project has exceeded, or  
23 is expected to exceed, its maximum cost under subsection  
24 (a), the Secretary may—

1 “(1) accept funds from the non-Federal interest  
 2 for such project pursuant to a written agreement  
 3 under section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970  
 4 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b); and

5 “(2) use such funds to carry out such project,  
 6 if the use of such funds does not increase the Fed-  
 7 eral share of the cost of such project.”.

8 **SEC. 107. ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION AND TRACKING OF**  
 9 **PERMIT APPLICATIONS.**

10 (a) **ELECTRONIC SYSTEM.**—Section 2040(a) of the  
 11 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
 12 2345(a)) is amended—

13 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “DE-  
 14 VELOPMENT OF ELECTRONIC” and inserting “ELEC-  
 15 TRONIC”;

16 (2) by amending paragraph (1) to read as fol-  
 17 lows:

18 “(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall imple-  
 19 ment an electronic system to allow the electronic—

20 “(A) preparation and submission of appli-  
 21 cations for permits and requests for jurisdic-  
 22 tional determinations under the jurisdiction of  
 23 the Secretary; and

24 “(B) tracking of documents related to Fed-  
 25 eral environmental reviews for projects under

1 the jurisdiction of the Secretary or for which  
2 the Corps of Engineers is designated as the  
3 lead Federal agency.”;

4 (3) in paragraph (2)—

5 (A) in subparagraph (E), by striking “;  
6 and” and inserting a semicolon;

7 (B) in subparagraph (F), by striking the  
8 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

9 (C) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(G) documents related to Federal envi-  
11 ronmental reviews for projects under the juris-  
12 diction of the Secretary or for which the Corps  
13 of Engineers is designated as the lead Federal  
14 agency.”; and

15 (4) by adding at the end the following:

16 “(5) COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES.—

17 To the maximum extent practicable, the Secretary  
18 shall use the electronic system required under para-  
19 graph (1) to enhance interagency coordination in the  
20 preparation of documents related to Federal environ-  
21 mental reviews.”.

22 (b) SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS.—Section 2040(b) of  
23 the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
24 2345(b)) is amended—

1 (1) in paragraph (4), by striking “; and” and  
2 inserting a semicolon;

3 (2) in paragraph (5)(C), by striking the period  
4 at the end and inserting “; and”; and

5 (3) by adding at the end the following:

6 “(6) enable a non-Federal interest for a project  
7 to—

8 “(A) submit information related to the  
9 preparation of any Federal environmental re-  
10 view document associated with the project; and

11 “(B) track the status of a Federal environ-  
12 mental review associated with the project.”.

13 (c) RECORD RETENTION.—Section 2040(d) of the  
14 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
15 2345(d)) is amended—

16 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking  
17 “RECORD OF DETERMINATIONS” and inserting  
18 “RECORD RETENTION”;

19 (2) in paragraph (1), by inserting “, and all  
20 Federal environmental review documents included in  
21 the electronic system” before the period at the end;  
22 and

23 (3) in paragraph (2), by inserting “and all Fed-  
24 eral environmental review documents included in the  
25 electronic system” before “after the 5-year”.

1 (d) AVAILABILITY OF RECORDS.—Section 2040(e) of  
2 the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
3 2345(e)) is amended—

4 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “DE-  
5 TERMINATIONS” and inserting “RECORDS”; and

6 (2) in paragraph (1), by inserting “, and all  
7 final Federal environmental review documents in-  
8 cluded in the electronic system,” before “available to  
9 the public”.

10 (e) DEADLINE FOR ELECTRONIC SYSTEM IMPLE-  
11 MENTATION.—Section 2040(f)(1) of the Water Resources  
12 Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345(f)(1)) is  
13 amended by striking “2 years after the date of enactment  
14 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022” and  
15 inserting “1 year after the date of enactment of the Water  
16 Resources Development Act of 2026”.

17 (f) APPLICABILITY.—Section 2040(g) of the Water  
18 Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345(g))  
19 is amended by inserting “, and the requirements described  
20 in subsections (d) and (e) relating to Federal environ-  
21 mental documents shall apply with respect to Federal envi-  
22 ronmental review documents that are prepared after the  
23 date of enactment of the Water Resources Development  
24 Act of 2026” before the period at the end.

1 (g) E-NEPA.—Section 2040 of the Water Resources  
 2 Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345) is amended  
 3 by adding at the end the following:

4 “(i) CONSISTENCY WITH E-NEPA.—In carrying out  
 5 this section, the Secretary shall take into consideration the  
 6 results of the permitting portal study conducted pursuant  
 7 to the amendment made by section 321(b) of the Fiscal  
 8 Responsibility Act of 2023 (137 Stat. 44).”.

9 (h) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 2040 of the  
 10 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
 11 2345) is amended in the section heading by striking  
 12 “**PERMIT APPLICATIONS**” and inserting “**PERMIT AP-**  
 13 **PLICATIONS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS**”.

14 **SEC. 108. PROJECT STUDY SCHEDULE AND COST ESTI-**  
 15 **MATE.**

16 (a) VERTICAL INTEGRATION AND ACCELERATION OF  
 17 STUDIES.—Section 1001 of the Water Resources Reform  
 18 and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c) is  
 19 amended—

20 (1) by amending subsection (a) to read as fol-  
 21 lows:

22 “(a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out a feasibility  
 23 study carried out by the Secretary pursuant to section  
 24 905(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986  
 25 (33 U.S.C. 2282), the Secretary shall—

1           “(1) complete the feasibility study as efficiently  
2           and expeditiously as practicable;

3           “(2) align the scope of the feasibility study with  
4           the goals of the non-Federal interest, to the extent  
5           practicable in accordance with the authorities of the  
6           Secretary and statutory requirements relating to  
7           water resources development projects;

8           “(3) carry out the feasibility study in a manner  
9           that ensures that personnel from the district, divi-  
10          sion, and headquarters levels of the Corps of Engi-  
11          neers concurrently conduct the review required  
12          under such section 905; and

13          “(4) include in the report submitted with re-  
14          spect to the study under section 2033(f)(2) of the  
15          Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33  
16          U.S.C. 2282a(f)(2)) sufficient information for Con-  
17          gress to fully review the study, which may be in the  
18          form of details relating to any remaining project  
19          cost uncertainties as appropriate for the maturity of  
20          the project design.”;

21          (2) in subsection (d)(1), by striking “the envi-  
22          ronmental review process under section 1005” and  
23          inserting “any required environmental review”;

24          (3) by striking subsections (b), (c), (e), and (f);  
25          and

1           (4) by redesignating subsection (d) as sub-  
2       section (b).

3       (b) DETAILED PROJECT SCHEDULE.—Section  
4       905(g) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986  
5       (33 U.S.C. 2282(g)) is amended—

6           (1) in paragraph (2), by striking “, to the max-  
7       imum extent practicable,”;

8           (2) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the  
9       following:

10       “(3) REQUIREMENTS.—

11           “(A) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out para-  
12       graph (2), for each feasibility study, the rel-  
13       evant District Engineer shall establish deadlines  
14       for milestones, and a total cost estimate for the  
15       study, taking into consideration, to the max-  
16       imum extent practicable—

17           “(i) the goals of the non-Federal in-  
18       terest;

19           “(ii) the statutory requirements and  
20       authorities of the Corps of Engineers;

21           “(iii) the complexity of the study; and

22           “(iv) any other factors identified by  
23       the District Engineer and the non-Federal  
24       interest.

1           “(B) COLLABORATION WITH NON-FEDERAL  
2 INTEREST.—The District Engineer shall col-  
3 laborate with the relevant non-Federal interest  
4 in establishing deadlines for milestones under  
5 subparagraph (A).

6           “(C) COMPLEXITY.—In determining the  
7 complexity of a feasibility study for purposes of  
8 subparagraph (A), the District Engineer shall  
9 consider, with respect to the project that is the  
10 subject of the feasibility study—

11           “(i) the type, size, location, scope, and  
12 overall projected cost of the project;

13           “(ii) whether the project will use any  
14 innovative design or construction tech-  
15 niques;

16           “(iii) whether the project will require  
17 significant action by other Federal, State,  
18 or local agencies;

19           “(iv) whether there is significant pub-  
20 lic dispute as to the nature or effects of  
21 the project; and

22           “(v) whether there is significant pub-  
23 lic dispute as to the economic or environ-  
24 mental costs or benefits of the project.

1           “(D) EXTENSIONS.—At the request of the  
2           relevant non-Federal interest, the District Engi-  
3           neer shall extend any deadline established under  
4           subparagraph (A).”; and

5           (3) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the  
6           following:

7           “(4) CONGRESSIONAL AND PUBLIC NOTIFICA-  
8           TION.—For each fiscal year, the Secretary shall—

9           “(A) submit to the Committee on Trans-  
10          portation and Infrastructure of the House of  
11          Representatives and the Committee on Environ-  
12          ment and Public Works of the Senate an an-  
13          nual report that includes—

14          “(i) a list of all detailed project sched-  
15          ules established under paragraph (2) in the  
16          fiscal year;

17          “(ii) a description of any such project  
18          schedule that is expected to result in the  
19          completion of a final feasibility report more  
20          than 4 years after the date on which the  
21          Secretary determines the Federal interest  
22          for purposes of the report pursuant to sec-  
23          tion 2033(f) of the Water Resources Devel-  
24          opment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2282a(f));

1 “(iii) a description of any feasibility  
2 study for which the maximum Federal cost  
3 is expected to exceed \$5,000,000, based on  
4 the total cost estimate established under  
5 paragraph (2), including an explanation of  
6 such exceedance; and

7 “(iv) an explanation of any missed  
8 deadlines or extensions; and

9 “(B) make publicly available (including on  
10 a publicly available website) a copy of the an-  
11 nual report described in subparagraph (A) not  
12 later than 14 days after the date on which a re-  
13 port is submitted to Congress.”.

14 (c) APPLICABILITY.—

15 (1) NEW STUDIES.—The amendments made by  
16 this section shall apply to feasibility studies initiated  
17 on or after the date of enactment of this section.

18 (2) ONGOING STUDIES.—For any feasibility  
19 study initiated before the date of enactment of this  
20 section, but for which a final report of the Chief of  
21 Engineers has not been submitted to Congress pur-  
22 suant to section 2033 of the Water Resources Devel-  
23 opment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2282a) on or before  
24 such date of enactment, the Secretary shall, upon re-  
25 quest from the relevant non-Federal interest, apply

1 the requirements of section 1001 of the Water Re-  
2 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (as  
3 amended by this section) and section 905(g) of the  
4 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (as  
5 amended by this section) to the feasibility study.

6 (d) NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESS ON TERMINATED  
7 STUDIES.—The Secretary shall provide written notice to  
8 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of  
9 the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-  
10 ronment and Public Works of the Senate on any feasibility  
11 study terminated or proposed to be terminated, including  
12 a summary of the reasons for such termination, and any  
13 proposed alternatives the non-Federal interest may pursue  
14 in lieu of a feasibility study.

15 **SEC. 109. CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAMS.**

16 (a) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENTS  
17 TO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish  
19 a program to carry out studies and projects for the  
20 improvement of covered projects.

21 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—

22 (A) STUDIES.—

23 (i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may  
24 carry out a study of a covered project  
25 under this subsection to determine whether

1           there is a need to modify the structure or  
2           operations of the covered project in order  
3           to—

4                   (I) improve flood risk manage-  
5                   ment; or

6                   (II) meet applicable Federal  
7                   standards, including levee accredita-  
8                   tion standards.

9           (ii) FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT.—The  
10          Secretary shall include in a study carried  
11          out under this subsection—

12                   (I) as part of the calculation of  
13                   benefits and costs, each calculation  
14                   identified in section 2033(d) of the  
15                   Water Resources Development Act of  
16                   2007 (33 U.S.C. 2282a(d)); and

17                   (II) an assessment of geo-  
18                   graphical transfers of, or changes in,  
19                   flood risk within and beyond the  
20                   boundaries of the covered project.

21          (B) PROJECTS.—The Secretary may carry  
22          out a project under this subsection to make  
23          modifications to the structure or operations of  
24          a covered project if the Secretary determines,

1 based on the study of the covered project car-  
2 ried out under subparagraph (A), that—

3 (i) the modifications—

4 (I) are feasible and consistent  
5 with the authorized purposes of the  
6 covered project;

7 (II) will provide for flood risk  
8 management in the public interest;  
9 and

10 (III) are cost effective; and

11 (ii) any increases in flood risk that  
12 may result from the modifications will be  
13 offset within the boundaries of the covered  
14 project.

15 (C) COORDINATION.—In carrying out this  
16 subsection, the Secretary shall coordinate with  
17 appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies.

18 (D) ITEMS PROVIDED BY THE NON-FED-  
19 ERAL INTEREST.—

20 (i) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal  
21 interest for a project carried out under this  
22 subsection shall provide all land, ease-  
23 ments, rights-of-way, and relocations nec-  
24 essary for the project.

1                   (ii) CREDIT.—The value of land, ease-  
2                   ments, rights-of-way, and relocations pro-  
3                   vided under clause (i) shall be credited to-  
4                   ward the non-Federal share of the cost of  
5                   the project carried out under this sub-  
6                   section.

7                   (E) AGREEMENTS.—Construction of a  
8                   project under this subsection shall be initiated  
9                   only after a non-Federal interest has entered  
10                  into a binding agreement with the Secretary to  
11                  pay—

12                 (i) the non-Federal share of the costs  
13                 of construction required under this sub-  
14                 section; and

15                 (ii) 100 percent of any operation,  
16                 maintenance, replacement, and rehabilita-  
17                 tion costs associated with the project, in  
18                 accordance with regulations prescribed by  
19                 the Secretary.

20                 (3) COST SHARE.—

21                 (A) STUDY.—Subject to subparagraph (C),  
22                 the Federal share of the cost of a study carried  
23                 out under this subsection shall be 50 percent,  
24                 except that the first \$100,000 of the cost of the  
25                 study shall be at Federal expense.

1 (B) CONSTRUCTION.—

2 (i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subpara-  
3 graph (C), the non-Federal share of the  
4 cost of construction of a project carried  
5 out under this subsection shall be 75 per-  
6 cent.

7 (ii) IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.—The  
8 non-Federal share of the cost of construc-  
9 tion of a project carried out under this  
10 subsection may be provided in the form of  
11 in-kind contributions, including a contribu-  
12 tion of a facility or supply or of a service  
13 that is necessary to carry out the project.

14 (iii) OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS.—Sub-  
15 ject to subparagraph (C), the non-Federal  
16 share of the cost of construction of a  
17 project carried out under this subsection  
18 may be provided in the form of funds pro-  
19 vided to the non-Federal interest by an-  
20 other Federal agency, if such Federal  
21 agency provides written consent to the  
22 non-Federal interest for the use of such  
23 funds for the project.

24 (C) LIMITATION.—The total Federal  
25 amount expended for a study or project under

1           this subsection shall be not more than  
2           \$15,000,000.

3           (4) LIMITATIONS.—

4                   (A) BETTERMENTS.—The Secretary may  
5           include in a project under this subsection a  
6           modification to the structure or operations of a  
7           covered project that is necessary solely for pur-  
8           poses of meeting State or local building, zoning,  
9           or other standards only as an element of a lo-  
10          cally preferred plan.

11                  (B) DEFERRED MAINTENANCE.—

12                   (i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may  
13           not include in a project under this sub-  
14           section any modification to the structure or  
15           operations of a covered project to address  
16           any operations and maintenance respon-  
17           sibilities of the non-Federal interest for the  
18           covered project, including to address any  
19           deferred or incomplete maintenance of the  
20           covered project by the non-Federal inter-  
21           est.

22                   (ii) BASELINE CONDITION.—The Sec-  
23           retary shall use the as-built condition of a  
24           covered project, including the as-built con-  
25           dition of any modifications authorized by

1 the Secretary, as the basis for determining  
2 any modifications to the structure or oper-  
3 ations of the covered project to be included  
4 in a project carried out under this sub-  
5 section.

6 (5) COVERED PROJECT DEFINED.—In this sub-  
7 section, the term “covered project” means—

8 (A) a water resources development project  
9 constructed by the Secretary for the purpose of  
10 flood risk management; and

11 (B) a project with respect to which the  
12 Secretary is authorized to provide assistance  
13 under section 5 of the Act of August 18, 1941  
14 (33 U.S.C. 701n).

15 (6) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—  
16 There is authorized to be appropriated to the Sec-  
17 retary to carry out this subsection \$50,000,000 for  
18 each fiscal year.

19 (b) APPLICABILITY OF EXPENDITURE LIMITS.—

20 (1) IN GENERAL.—With respect to each covered  
21 water resources development project, the Secretary  
22 shall apply the maximum per-project Federal ex-  
23 penditure limit for the continuing authority program  
24 under which the project is authorized, as the provi-

1 sion of law establishing such limit is in effect on the  
2 day after the date of enactment of this Act.

3 (2) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

4 (A) CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAM.—

5 The term “continuing authority program” has  
6 the meaning given that term in section  
7 7001(c)(1)(D)(iii) of the Water Resources Re-  
8 form and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.  
9 2282d(c)(1)(D)(iii)) (as amended by this Act).

10 (B) COVERED WATER RESOURCES DEVEL-

11 OPMENT PROJECT DEFINED.—The term “cov-  
12 ered water resources development project”  
13 means a water resources development project  
14 authorized pursuant to a continuing authority  
15 program, including a water resources develop-  
16 ment project for which—

17 (i) an initial construction contract was  
18 awarded before January 4, 2025; and

19 (ii) construction is not complete as of  
20 the date of enactment of this Act.

21 (c) STUDIES AND PROJECTS FOR DROUGHT RESIL-  
22 IENCY.—Section 155 of the Water Resources Development  
23 Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2347c) is amended to read as fol-  
24 lows:

1   **“SEC. 155. STUDIES AND PROJECTS FOR DROUGHT RESIL-**  
2                   **IENCY.**

3           “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish a  
4   program to carry out, in partnership with non-Federal in-  
5   terests, studies and projects for drought resiliency.

6           “(b) REQUIREMENTS.—

7               “(1) STUDIES.—The Secretary may carry out a  
8   study under this section for a project to provide for  
9   drought resiliency, including through—

10               “(A) the implementation of water con-  
11   servation measures to mitigate and address  
12   drought conditions;

13               “(B) the management of sediment for in-  
14   creased water in the applicable watershed;

15               “(C) mitigation and monitoring associated  
16   with aquatic or riparian nonnative species that  
17   exacerbate drought conditions, such as salt  
18   cedar;

19               “(D) the planting of native plant species  
20   that will reduce the risk of drought and the in-  
21   cidence of nonnative plant species;

22               “(E) the construction of small water stor-  
23   age projects;

24               “(F) groundwater aquifer recharge; or

25               “(G) other actions that increase drought  
26   resiliency and water conservation.

1           “(2) PROJECTS.—The Secretary may carry out  
2           a project under this subsection if the Secretary de-  
3           termines, based on the study for the project carried  
4           out under paragraph (1), that the project—

5                   “(A) will provide for drought resiliency, in-  
6                   cluding through actions described in such para-  
7                   graph;

8                   “(B) is in the public interest; and

9                   “(C) is cost effective.

10           “(3) USE OF NATURAL AND NATURE-BASED  
11           FEATURES.—In carrying out a study or project  
12           under this section, the Secretary shall, to the max-  
13           imum extent practicable, seek to incorporate natural  
14           features and nature-based features (as those terms  
15           are defined in section 1184(a) of the Water Re-  
16           sources Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C.  
17           2289a(a))).

18           “(4) ITEMS PROVIDED BY NON-FEDERAL IN-  
19           TEREST.—

20                   “(A) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal in-  
21                   terest for a project carried out under this sec-  
22                   tion shall provide all land, easements, rights-of-  
23                   way, and relocations necessary for the project.

24                   “(B) CREDIT.—The value of the land,  
25                   easements, rights-of-way, and relocations pro-

1           vided under subparagraph (A) shall be credited  
2           toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the  
3           project.

4           “(5) AGREEMENTS.—Construction of a project  
5           under this section shall be initiated only after a non-  
6           Federal interest has entered into a binding agree-  
7           ment with the Secretary to pay—

8                   “(A) the non-Federal share of the costs of  
9                   construction required under this section; and

10                   “(B) 100 percent of any operation, mainte-  
11                   nance, replacement, and rehabilitation costs as-  
12                   sociated with the project, in accordance with  
13                   regulations prescribed by the Secretary.

14           “(c) COST-SHARE.—

15                   “(1) STUDIES.—Subject to paragraph (3), the  
16                   Federal share of the cost of a study carried out  
17                   under this section shall be 50 percent, except that  
18                   the first \$100,000 of the cost of the study shall be  
19                   at Federal expense.

20           “(2) CONSTRUCTION.—

21                   “(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph  
22                   (3), and except as provided in subparagraph  
23                   (B), the non-Federal share of the cost of con-  
24                   struction of a project carried out under this sec-  
25                   tion shall be 35 percent.

1                   “(B)       SMALL       WATER       STORAGE  
2       PROJECTS.—A small water storage project car-  
3       ried out under this section shall be subject to  
4       the cost-sharing requirements applicable to con-  
5       struction of projects under section 103 of the  
6       Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
7       U.S.C. 2213), including—

8                   “(i)   for   municipal   and   industrial  
9       water supply, the non-Federal share of the  
10      cost shall be 100 percent;

11                  “(ii) for agricultural water supply, the  
12      non-Federal share of the cost shall be 35  
13      percent; and

14                  “(iii) for recreation, including rec-  
15      reational navigation, the non-Federal share  
16      of the cost shall be 50 percent of separable  
17      costs and, in the case of any harbor or in-  
18      land harbor or channel project, 50 percent  
19      of joint and separable costs allocated to  
20      recreational navigation.

21                  “(3) LIMITATION.—The total Federal amount  
22      expended for a study or project under this section  
23      shall be not more than \$10,000,000.

24                  “(d) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—In carrying out this  
25      section, the Secretary may provide technical assistance to

1 a non-Federal interest necessary to support comprehen-  
2 sive, systemwide approaches, and operations, mainte-  
3 nance, replacement, and rehabilitation activities, that take  
4 into account changing conditions from extreme and pro-  
5 longed weather events.

6 “(e) FUNDING.—There is authorized to be appro-  
7 priated to carry out this section \$35,000,000 for each fis-  
8 cal year.

9 “(f) SMALL WATER STORAGE PROJECT DEFINED.—  
10 In this section, the term ‘small water storage project’  
11 means a project for water storage that—

12 “(1) in the case of a new project, has a water  
13 storage capacity of not less than 2,000 acre-feet and  
14 not more than 30,000 acre-feet; or

15 “(2) in the case of an enlargement of an exist-  
16 ing project, is for an enlargement of not less than  
17 1,000 acre-feet and not more than 30,000 acre-  
18 feet.”.

19 (d) EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE  
20 PROTECTION.—Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of  
21 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r) is amended by striking  
22 “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$62,500,000”.

23 (e) SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.—Section  
24 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s)

1 is amended, in the first sentence, by striking  
2 “\$90,000,000” and inserting “\$100,000,000”.

3 (f) REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIONS; CLEARING CHAN-  
4 NELS.—Section 2 of the Act of August 28, 1937 (33  
5 U.S.C. 701g) is amended by striking “\$15,000,000” and  
6 inserting “\$19,000,000”.

7 (g) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF  
8 ENVIRONMENT OR DROUGHT RESILIENCY.—Section  
9 1135(h) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986  
10 (33 U.S.C. 2309a(h)) is amended by striking  
11 “\$62,000,000” and inserting “\$75,000,000”.

12 (h) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section  
13 7001(c)(1)(D)(iii) of the Water Resources Reform and  
14 Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d(c)(1)(D)(iii))  
15 is amended—

16 (1) in subclause (IX), by striking “; and” and  
17 inserting a semicolon;

18 (2) in subclause (X), by striking the period at  
19 the end and inserting a semicolon; and

20 (3) by adding at the end the following:

21 “(XI) section 109(a) of the  
22 Water Resources Development Act of  
23 2026; and

1 “(XII) section 155 of the Water  
2 Resources Development Act of  
3 2020.”.

4 **SEC. 110. DREDGED MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PLANS.**

5 (a) PLANNING PERIOD.—In developing a dredged  
6 material management plan for an authorized water re-  
7 sources development project, the Secretary shall provide  
8 for a minimum of 10 years of dredged material placement  
9 capacity.

10 (b) EXCEPTION.—The requirement established under  
11 subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to an author-  
12 ized water resources development project if the Secretary  
13 finds that providing for a minimum of 10 years of dredged  
14 material placement capacity in the dredged material man-  
15 agement plan for the project is not feasible due to com-  
16 plexity, controversy, or other compelling factors.

17 **SEC. 111. DREDGING COORDINATION.**

18 (a) CONSULTATION.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—In determining the scope  
20 and performance timeline to be included in a con-  
21 tract entered into on or after the date of enactment  
22 of this Act with an entity to carry out maintenance  
23 dredging at an authorized water resources develop-  
24 ment project, the Secretary shall consult with stake-  
25 holders, including—

1           (A) the non-Federal interest for the  
2           project;

3           (B) relevant Federal and State entities;  
4           and

5           (C) to the extent practicable, other non-  
6           Federal entities that utilize or rely on the  
7           project.

8           (2) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding paragraph  
9           (1), if the Secretary determines that an emergency  
10          exists or there is an important national interest at  
11          stake, the Secretary may determine the scope and  
12          performance timeline to be included in a contract de-  
13          scribed in such paragraph without consulting with  
14          stakeholders that are not a party to the contract.

15          (b) COMMUNICATION.—If the Secretary receives,  
16          from an entity carrying out maintenance dredging at an  
17          authorized water resources development project under a  
18          contract entered into with the Secretary, information  
19          about changes with respect to the performance of such  
20          maintenance dredging, the Secretary shall, not later than  
21          3 business days after receiving such information—

22               (1) determine whether such changes are reason-  
23               ably likely to affect the period of performance of  
24               dredging under the contract; and

1           (2) if the Secretary determines that such  
2       changes are reasonably likely to affect such period,  
3       notify the applicable non-Federal interest of such  
4       changes.

5       (c) CAPABILITY NUMBERS.—With respect to any  
6       maintenance dredging activity, the Secretary shall, upon  
7       request, make available to the applicable non-Federal in-  
8       terest the estimate made by the Secretary of the amount  
9       of funding that the Secretary could reasonably expend in  
10      a fiscal year with respect to such activity.

11   **SEC. 112. FEDERAL STANDARD FOR DREDGED MATERIAL**  
12                           **DISPOSAL OR PLACEMENT.**

13       (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall update the  
14      regulations under chapter II of title 33, Code of Federal  
15      Regulations that are applicable to establishing the Federal  
16      standard for dredged material disposal or placement for  
17      a water resources development project—

18           (1) to align the requirements and policy of such  
19      regulations with—

20                   (A) section 1130 of the Water Resources  
21                   Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3018);

22                   (B) section 125(a) of the Water Resources  
23                   Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2326g),  
24                   including—

1 (i) the directive to include the eco-  
2 nomic benefits and efficiencies from the  
3 beneficial use of dredged material in any  
4 determination relating to the Federal  
5 standard; and

6 (ii) the national goal established in  
7 subsection (a)(1)(B) of such section of  
8 beneficially using not less than 70 percent  
9 by volume of suitable dredged material ob-  
10 tained from the construction or operation  
11 and maintenance of water resources devel-  
12 opment projects; and

13 (C) section 1122 of the Water Resources  
14 Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2326  
15 note); and

16 (2) taking into consideration—

17 (A) the capacity of facilities using the con-  
18 fined aquatic disposal methodology to store  
19 dredged material, including the available capac-  
20 ity to store contaminated dredged material;

21 (B) benefits, including monetary value cre-  
22 ation, attributable to the beneficial use of  
23 dredged material;

1 (C) any monetary benefits of environ-  
2 mental and coastal resiliency attributable to the  
3 beneficial use of dredged material;

4 (D) the demand on the Corps of Engineers  
5 for environmental and ecological restoration  
6 projects in coastal areas; and

7 (E) any incremental cost borne by non-  
8 Federal interests in implementing beneficial  
9 dredged material placement separately from a  
10 project.

11 (b) INITIATION TIMELINE.—Not later than 6 months  
12 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall  
13 initiate a rulemaking to carry out subsection (a).

14 **SEC. 113. ALLOCATIONS FROM THE HARBOR MAINTENANCE**  
15 **TRUST FUND.**

16 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-  
17 gress that the Secretary should annually allocate all funds  
18 (including funds appropriated from the Harbor Mainte-  
19 nance Trust Fund) made available to the Secretary to pay  
20 for operations and maintenance costs of harbors and in-  
21 land harbors within the United States, including costs of  
22 expanded uses carried out at an eligible harbor or inland  
23 harbor under section 210(d)(2) of the Water Resources  
24 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(d)(2)), in ac-  
25 cordance with the requirements of 102(a)(1) of the Water

1 Resources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2238  
2 note).

3 (b) AMENDMENTS.—Section 102(a) of the Water Re-  
4 sources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2238 note)  
5 is amended—

6 (1) in paragraph (1), in the matter preceding  
7 subparagraph (A)—

8 (A) by striking “to the extent prac-  
9 ticable,”; and

10 (B) by striking “, to the extent there are  
11 identifiable operations and maintenance  
12 needs,”; and

13 (2) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the  
14 following:

15 “(3) ANNUAL REPORTING.—For each fiscal  
16 year, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee  
17 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House  
18 of Representatives and the Committee on Environ-  
19 ment and Public Works of the Senate an annual re-  
20 port that includes the following:

21 “(A) The total amount of expenditures  
22 made in the fiscal year to pay for projects de-  
23 scribed in each of subparagraphs (A) through  
24 (D) of paragraph (1).

1           “(B) A list of projects for which such ex-  
2           penditures were made, including the amounts  
3           expended for each project.

4           “(C) An explanation for any failure to  
5           make such expenditures in compliance with the  
6           requirements of paragraph (1) in the fiscal  
7           year, and a corrective plan for the subsequent  
8           fiscal year.”.

9           (c) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by sub-  
10          section (b)(1) shall apply with respect to funds made avail-  
11          able after the date of enactment of this Act.

12       **SEC. 114. SOO LOCKS OPERATOR WAGE RATES.**

13          Notwithstanding any other law, rule, or regulation,  
14          nonsupervisory, leader, and supervisory wage employees of  
15          the Corps of Engineers at the navigation locks at Sault  
16          Sainte Marie, Michigan, who are engaged in operating lock  
17          and dam equipment or who repair and maintain naviga-  
18          tion lock and dam operating machinery and equipment  
19          shall be paid from special wage schedules having rates  
20          identical to the regular wage schedule authorized for the  
21          Detroit District Office.

22       **SEC. 115. BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL FROM**  
23       **HARBORS IN THE STATE OF OHIO.**

24          (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite the  
25          review of a request of a non-Federal interest to enter into

1 a partnership agreement under section 217(c) of the  
2 Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C.  
3 2326a(c)) for the design, construction, or operation of a  
4 facility used to demonstrate potential beneficial uses of  
5 dredged material from a federally authorized harbor in the  
6 State of Ohio.

7 (b) USER FEES.—An agreement described in sub-  
8 section (a) and entered into after an expedited review  
9 under subsection (a) may provide for the Secretary to re-  
10 imburse the non-Federal interest for funds provided by  
11 such non-Federal interest for activities carried out pursu-  
12 ant to the agreement through the payment of subsequent  
13 user fees to the non-Federal interest in a manner con-  
14 sistent with section 217(d)(2) of the Water Resources De-  
15 velopment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2326a(d)(2)), as  
16 though the non-Federal interest is a private entity under  
17 such section.

18 (c) DREDGED MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PLAN.—In  
19 reviewing a request pursuant to subsection (a), if the Sec-  
20 retary determines that timely completion of a dredged ma-  
21 terial management plan with respect to a federally author-  
22 ized harbor described in subsection (a) is not feasible due  
23 to complexity, controversy, or other compelling factors,  
24 and in cases in which capacity is inadequate to accommo-  
25 date the maintenance dredging needs of the harbor, the

1 Secretary may enter into the agreement prior to comple-  
2 tion of such a dredged material management plan.

3 (d) PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES.—Prior to enter-  
4 ing into an agreement reviewed pursuant to subsection (a),  
5 the Secretary may apply the practices and procedures de-  
6 scribed in part 337 of title 33, Code of Federal Regula-  
7 tions, to the facility that is proposed to be subject to the  
8 requested agreement.

9 **SEC. 116. MINIMUM REAL ESTATE INTEREST.**

10 (a) POLICY AND GUIDANCE UPDATES.—

11 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days  
12 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
13 retary shall revise or update the policy and guidance  
14 documents of the Corps of Engineers related to iden-  
15 tifying and approving the appropriate minimum in-  
16 terest in real property necessary to support a water  
17 resources development project, including Chapter 12  
18 of Engineering Regulation 405–1–12, entitled Real  
19 Estate Handbook, consistent with section 1104 of  
20 the Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (33  
21 U.S.C. 598b).

22 (2) PUBLICATION.—The Secretary shall make  
23 publicly available (including on a publicly available  
24 website) the changes to any policy or guidance docu-  
25 ments made pursuant to this section.

1 (b) DELEGATION OF APPROVAL AUTHORITY.—Sec-  
2 tion 1104 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
3 2024 (33 U.S.C. 598b) is amended by adding at the end  
4 the following:

5 “(f) DELEGATION OF APPROVAL AUTHORITY.—The  
6 Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, dele-  
7 gate approval of the minimum interest in real property  
8 identified under subsection (b) necessary to support a spe-  
9 cific water resources development project to the District  
10 Commander of the district of the Corps of Engineers in  
11 which the project is located.”.

12 **SEC. 117. REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL VALIDITY.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 210 days after the  
14 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall—

15 (1) complete a review of policies and guidance  
16 of the Corps of Engineers that are applicable to the  
17 real estate appraisal process for civil works projects  
18 for purposes of identifying efficiencies that may be  
19 incorporated into such process, including guidance  
20 related to review and approval of such appraisals;  
21 and

22 (2) based on such review, issue revisions to  
23 such policies and guidance to ensure efficient project  
24 delivery and avoid delays during feasibility study re-  
25 view and approval, to the extent practicable.

1 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out subsection (a),  
2 the Secretary shall develop—

3 (1) guidelines for determining the validity of an  
4 appraisal at any time during the period beginning on  
5 the date on which the Secretary receives the ap-  
6 praisal and ending on the date on which the certifi-  
7 cation of a total project cost estimate for the appli-  
8 cable project expires; and

9 (2) standard—

10 (A) timeframes for review of appraisals;  
11 and

12 (B) delegations of authority to approve ap-  
13 praisals, for use at the discretion of the Sec-  
14 retary.

15 (c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 30 days  
16 after completion of the review under subsection (a), the  
17 Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
18 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
19 and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of  
20 the Senate a report that—

21 (1) describes the findings of the review;

22 (2) identifies any revisions to policy and guid-  
23 ance made as a result of the review; and

1           (3) identifies any additional recommendations  
2       for legislative action to further improve the real es-  
3       tate appraisal process.

4   **SEC. 118. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.**

5       Section 729 of the Water Resources Development Act  
6   of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a) is amended—

7           (1) in subsection (a)(5), by inserting “(includ-  
8       ing projected water supply needs for municipal, do-  
9       mestic, industrial, and agricultural purposes)” after  
10      “supply”; and

11          (2) in subsection (d)—

12              (A) in paragraph (17), by striking “; and”  
13          and inserting a semicolon;

14              (B) in paragraph (18), by striking the pe-  
15          riod at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

16              (C) by adding at the end the following:

17                  “(19) Eightmile River Watershed, Connecticut;

18                  “(20) Central Florida watersheds;

19                  “(21) Pensacola Bay System Watershed, Flor-  
20          ida.

21                  “(22) Muskegon River Watershed, Michigan;

22                  “(23) Great Egg Harbor River Watershed, New  
23          Jersey;

24                  “(24) Middle Rio Grande Watershed, New Mex-  
25          ico;

1           “(25) the Upper Ohio River Basin;  
2           “(26) the Rio Grande Basin, Texas; and  
3           “(27) the Great Salt Lake Basin.”.

4 **SEC. 119. PROHIBITION ON DIVERSION OF WATER FROM**  
5 **MISSOURI RIVER.**

6           (a) APPROVAL BY GOVERNORS FOR DIVERSION OF  
7 WATER.—No water may be diverted or exported from any  
8 portion of the Missouri River, or from any tributary of  
9 the Missouri River, for use outside of a Missouri River  
10 State unless such diversion or export is approved by the  
11 Governor of each of the Missouri River States.

12          (b) APPROVAL OF GOVERNORS FOR DIVERSION  
13 STUDIES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law,  
14 the Secretary may not undertake any study, or expend any  
15 Federal funds to contract for any study, of the feasibility  
16 of diverting or exporting water from any portion of the  
17 Missouri River, or from any tributary of the Missouri  
18 River, for use outside the Missouri River States, unless  
19 such study or expenditure is approved by the Governor  
20 of each of the Missouri River States.

21          (c) PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED DIVERSIONS.—The  
22 prohibition on diverting or exporting water under sub-  
23 section (a) shall not apply to any diversion or export of  
24 water from the Missouri River that is authorized with re-

1 spect to express or implied Federal reserved water rights  
2 on or before January 1, 2025.

3 (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

4 (1) MISSOURI RIVER.—The term “Missouri  
5 River” means the portions of the Missouri River  
6 within the United States.

7 (2) MISSOURI RIVER STATE.—The term “Mis-  
8 souri River State” means the States of Colorado,  
9 Minnesota, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota,  
10 Nebraska, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Wyoming.

11 **SEC. 120. LAW ENFORCEMENT AT WATER RESOURCES DE-**  
12 **VELOPMENT PROJECTS.**

13 Section 120(b) of the Water Resources Development  
14 Act of 1976 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5d(b)) is amended by strik-  
15 ing “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

16 **SEC. 121. DISASTER DEBRIS REMOVAL.**

17 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-  
18 gress that the standard terms and provisions used by the  
19 Corps of Engineers in emergency debris removal contracts  
20 should facilitate effective and expedient removal of dis-  
21 aster-generated debris that poses a risk to public safety  
22 while maximizing the value to the taxpayer and mini-  
23 mizing the risk of unnecessary debris removal that harms  
24 property, natural systems, or wildlife.

1 (b) EVALUATION.—In determining the standard  
2 terms and provisions that the Corps of Engineers should  
3 use in emergency debris removal contracts, the Secretary  
4 shall evaluate—

5 (1) the various contract and fee structures uti-  
6 lized by the Corps of Engineers and the impact of  
7 each such structure on total cost and work quality,  
8 including an assessment of such structures that is  
9 tied to the volume of debris removed;

10 (2) the addition of terms requiring coordination  
11 between the Corps of Engineers, the contractor,  
12 Federal, State, and local agencies and officials and  
13 other relevant experts prior to removing debris to  
14 ensure protection of healthy vegetation, important  
15 habitats, and vulnerable wildlife; and

16 (3) other terms and measures to protect private  
17 property, habitat, and wildlife during emergency de-  
18bris removal activities.

19 **SEC. 122. WILDFIRE CONTINGENCY STRATEGIES.**

20 (a) IN GENERAL.—At the request of the non-Federal  
21 interest for a water resources development project, the  
22 Secretary shall develop a wildfire contingency strategy for  
23 identifying both wildfire risks and possible wildfire mitiga-  
24tion with respect to such project.

1 (b) CONTENTS.—In developing a strategy under sub-  
2 section (a), the Secretary shall include a—

3 (1) wildfire risk assessment; and

4 (2) wildfire mitigation plan.

5 (c) WILDFIRE RISK ASSESSMENT.—In the wildfire  
6 risk assessment described in subsection (b)(1) for a  
7 project, the Secretary shall identify—

8 (1) risks to such project from wildfires—

9 (A) that may affect the operation of and  
10 ability to carry out the authorized purposes of  
11 the project, including navigation, flood control,  
12 irrigation, hydropower, water supply, recreation,  
13 and fish and wildlife conservation; and

14 (B) that may affect life and private prop-  
15 erty in the vicinity of the project; and

16 (2) risks of sediment, debris, and associated  
17 runoff into the project that may occur after a wild-  
18 fire.

19 (d) WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLAN.—In the wildfire  
20 mitigation plan described in subsection (b)(2) for a  
21 project, the Secretary shall develop—

22 (1) a strategy that identifies actions the Sec-  
23 retary or non-Federal interest may take to reduce or  
24 eliminate adverse impacts to the project from a wild-  
25 fire, including—

- 1 (A) hazardous fuels management;
- 2 (B) fuel or fire breaks;
- 3 (C) treatments for invasive species and
- 4 vegetation;
- 5 (D) aquatic ecosystem restoration activities
- 6 to increase wildfire resistance; and
- 7 (E) operational changes, including updates
- 8 to water control manuals or associated water
- 9 control plans;
- 10 (2) a summary of resources and capabilities to
- 11 implement such plan;
- 12 (3) a timeline to implement such plan; and
- 13 (4) any other information determined necessary
- 14 by the Secretary.

15 (e) CONSULTATION.—In developing strategies under  
16 subsection (a), the Secretary shall, as applicable, consult  
17 with other Federal agencies, States, Tribal governments,  
18 local governments, and relevant stakeholders.

19 (f) PRIORITIZATION.—In responding to requests for  
20 wildfire contingency strategies from non-Federal interests  
21 pursuant to this section, the Secretary shall prioritize de-  
22 veloping strategies for projects located in areas where  
23 there is considerable risk of wildfire or that has been sub-  
24 ject to recent wildfires.

1 (g) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18  
2 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
3 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
4 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and  
5 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
6 Senate a report on the status of implementation of this  
7 section.

8 **SEC. 123. RESERVOIR SEDIMENT PILOT PROGRAM.**

9 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the  
10 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall estab-  
11 lish, using available funds, a pilot program under which  
12 the Secretary may accept services provided by a State for  
13 the removal of sediment captured behind a project for the  
14 purpose of restoring the authorized storage capacity of the  
15 project.

16 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out this section,  
17 the Secretary shall—

18 (1) review the services of the State to ensure  
19 that the services are consistent with the authorized  
20 purposes of the project;

21 (2) ensure that the State will indemnify the  
22 United States for, or has entered into an agreement  
23 approved by the Secretary to address, any adverse  
24 impact to the project as a result of such services;  
25 and

1           (3) require the State, prior to initiating the  
2           services and upon completion of the services, to con-  
3           duct sediment surveys to determine the sediment  
4           profile and quality before and after the completion  
5           of such services.

6           (c) LIMITATION.—

7           (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may not ac-  
8           cept services under subsection (a) if the Secretary,  
9           after consultation with the Chief of Engineers, de-  
10          termines that accepting the services is not advan-  
11          tageous to the United States.

12          (2) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—If the Secretary  
13          makes a determination under paragraph (1) that ac-  
14          cepting services is not advantageous to the United  
15          States, the Secretary shall provide to the Committee  
16          on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House  
17          of Representatives and the Committee on Environ-  
18          ment and Public Works of the Senate written notice  
19          describing the reasoning for the determination.

20          (d) AGREEMENT.—Prior to accepting services under  
21          subsection (a), the Secretary shall enter into a written  
22          agreement with the State and the non-Federal interest for  
23          the project that authorizes the Secretary to provide credit  
24          to the non-Federal interest for operation and maintenance  
25          of the project for the value of the services provided under

1 subsection (a) that the Secretary determines are integral  
2 to the project.

3 (e) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Prior to ac-  
4 cepting services provided by a State under subsection (a),  
5 the Secretary shall provide to the Committee on Transpor-  
6 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
7 and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of  
8 the Senate written notice that the Secretary intends to ac-  
9 cept the services.

10 (f) PRIORITIZATION.—In carrying out the pilot pro-  
11 gram under subsection (a), the Secretary shall prioritize  
12 accepting services for projects in the following locations:

13 (1) John Redmond Reservoir, Kansas, author-  
14 ized pursuant to section 204 of the Flood Control  
15 Act of 1950 (64 Stat. 173).

16 (2) Kanopolis Lake, Kansas, and the sur-  
17 rounding lands managed by the Corps of Engineers.

18 (3) Tuttle Creek Lake, Kansas, authorized pur-  
19 suant to section 2 of the Act of June 28, 1938  
20 (chapter 795, 52 Stat. 1218).

21 (4) Sardis Lake reservoir, Oklahoma, author-  
22 ized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1962  
23 (76 Stat. 1187; 95 Stat. 1137).

1 (g) PROJECT DEFINED.—In this section, the term  
 2 “project” means a dam owned or operated by the United  
 3 States and under the jurisdiction of the Secretary.

4 (h) TERMINATION.—This pilot program shall termi-  
 5 nate on the date that is 10 years after the date of enact-  
 6 ment of this Act.

7 **SEC. 124. ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING.**

8 Section 8127(a) of the Water Resources Development  
 9 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3716) is amended by adding at  
 10 the end the following:

11 “(6) The project for navigation, Ipswich River,  
 12 Massachusetts, authorized by the River and Harbor  
 13 Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 731).”.

14 **SEC. 125. EXPENSES FOR CONTROL OF AQUATIC PLANT**  
 15 **GROWTHS AND INVASIVE SPECIES.**

16 Section 104(a) of the River and Harbor Act of 1958  
 17 (33 U.S.C. 610(a)) is amended by adding at the end the  
 18 following:

19 “(4) INDIRECT COSTS.—Funds made available  
 20 to carry out this section may be used to pay reason-  
 21 able and necessary indirect costs associated with ac-  
 22 tivities carried out under this section, including ad-  
 23 ministrative expenses, overhead, and other costs not  
 24 directly attributable to a specific project.”.

1 **SEC. 126. FEASIBILITY STUDIES; REVIEW OF SHORELINE**  
 2 **AND STREAMBANK PROTECTION.**

3 Section 116(b) of Water Resources Development Act  
 4 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2282 note) is amended by inserting  
 5 “, shoreline protection, or emergency streambank protec-  
 6 tion” after “reduction”.

7 **SEC. 127. HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOM DEMONSTRATION PRO-**  
 8 **GRAM.**

9 Section 128 of the Water Resources Development Act  
 10 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note) is amended—

11 (1) in subsection (c)—

12 (A) in paragraph (15), by striking “and”  
 13 at the end;

14 (B) in paragraph (16), by striking the pe-  
 15 riod at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

16 (C) by adding at the end the following:

17 “(17) Putnam Lake, New York;

18 “(18) Ross Island Lagoon, Oregon;

19 “(19) Lakes and reservoirs in the Common-  
 20 wealth of Pennsylvania, including Blue Marsh Lake;  
 21 and

22 “(20) Rio Grande River Basin, Texas.”; and

23 (2) by adding at the end the following:

24 “(h) ANNUAL UPDATE TO COMMITTEES.—Not later  
 25 than 1 year after the date of enactment of this subsection,  
 26 and annually thereafter, the Secretary shall provide to the

1 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the  
 2 House of Representatives and the Committee on Environ-  
 3 ment and Public Works of the Senate an update on the  
 4 status of the demonstration program carried out under  
 5 subsection (a).”.

6 **SEC. 128. SHORELINE AND RIVERINE PROTECTION AND**  
 7 **RESTORATION.**

8 Section 212(e)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-  
 9 ment Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2332(e)(2)) is amended by  
 10 adding at the end the following:

11 “(N) Shoreline of the Commonwealth of  
 12 Puerto Rico.

13 “(O) Blackstone River, Rhode Island.

14 “(P) Rio Grande bordering counties,  
 15 Texas.

16 “(Q) Passumpsic River watershed,  
 17 Vermont.”.

18 **SEC. 129. FISH AND OYSTER HABITAT RESTORATION.**

19 Section 704(b)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-  
 20 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2263(b)(2)) is amended—

21 (1) in subparagraph (C), by striking “and” at  
 22 the end;

23 (2) in subparagraph (D)(v), by striking the pe-  
 24 riod and inserting a semicolon; and

1           (3) by inserting after subparagraph (D) the fol-  
2       lowing:

3           “(E) the restoration and rehabilitation of  
4       habitat for fish, including native oysters, in the  
5       East River, Flushing Bay and River, and Bronx  
6       River, New York, including—

7           “(i) the construction of oyster bars  
8       and reefs;

9           “(ii) the rehabilitation of existing  
10      marginal habitat and oyster reef sites;

11          “(iii) the use of appropriate alter-  
12      native substrate material in oyster bar and  
13      reef construction;

14          “(iv) the construction and upgrading  
15      of oyster hatcheries; and

16          “(v) activities relating to increasing  
17      the output of native oyster broodstock for  
18      seeding and monitoring of restored sites to  
19      ensure ecological success; and

20          “(F) the restoration and rehabilitation of  
21      habitat for fish in the Choctawhatchee Bay and  
22      off the coast in the vicinity of Okaloosa County,  
23      Florida.”.

1 **SEC. 130. BENEFITS AND COSTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO CER-**  
2 **TAIN MEASURES.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—In the evaluation by the Secretary  
4 of benefits and costs of an authorized water resources de-  
5 velopment project, the Secretary shall treat benefits attrib-  
6 utable to measures that utilize a natural feature or nature-  
7 based feature (as such terms are defined in section  
8 1184(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016  
9 (33 U.S.C. 2289a(a)) to be at least equal to the costs of  
10 such measures.

11 (b) CONSIDERATIONS.—

12 (1) APPLICABILITY.—The Secretary shall utilize  
13 the authority under subsection (a) on not more than  
14 10 projects that utilize a natural feature or nature-  
15 based feature, including the projects specified under  
16 paragraph (2).

17 (2) PRIORITY PROJECTS.—The Secretary shall  
18 utilize the authority under subsection (a) on—

19 (A) the project for flood and storm damage  
20 reduction, New York and New Jersey Harbor  
21 and Tributaries, New York and New Jersey, as  
22 authorized by the Act of June 15, 1955 (chap-  
23 ter 140, 69 Stat. 132; 134 Stat. 2676; 138  
24 Stat. 3152); and

25 (B) the development of a recommendation  
26 for mitigation of hot spot erosion on an author-

1            ized coastal storm risk management project in  
2            the State of New Jersey developed under sec-  
3            tion 1217 of the Water Resources Development  
4            Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3081).

5            (c) REPORT.—Not later than 4 years after the date  
6            of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to  
7            the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of  
8            the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-  
9            ronment and Public Works of the Senate, and make pub-  
10           ically available (including on a publicly available website),  
11           a report detailing the results of each evaluation of benefits  
12           and costs carried out using the authority under this sec-  
13           tion.

14           (d) SUNSET.—The authority of the Secretary to ini-  
15           tiate an evaluation under subsection (a) shall terminate  
16           on the date that is 5 years after the date of enactment  
17           of this Act.

18    **SEC. 131. POLICY ON UTILIZATION OF ALL CORPS AU-**  
19                            **THORITIES AND MISSIONS.**

20            (a) POLICY.—

21                    (1) IN GENERAL.—It is the policy of the United  
22            States for the Corps of Engineers, consistent with  
23            applicable statutory authorities, to—

24                            (A) fully utilize the programmatic, tech-  
25            nical and planning assistance, and project-spe-

1           cific authorities provided by Congress in ad-  
2           dressing the mission areas described in para-  
3           graph (2);

4           (B) maximize using the full array of au-  
5           thorities and mission areas described in para-  
6           graph (2) to comprehensively address local  
7           water resources challenges, consistent with the  
8           goals of the applicable non-Federal interest;

9           (C) fully identify and analyze national eco-  
10          nomic development benefits, regional economic  
11          development benefits, environmental quality  
12          benefits, and other societal effects of proposed  
13          water resource development activities and  
14          projects;

15          (D) ensure that the Corps of Engineers re-  
16          ceives and allocates sufficient funding to ad-  
17          dress the varied local water resources challenges  
18          of diverse geographic regions of the United  
19          States; and

20          (E) maximize the development, evaluation,  
21          and recommendation of project alternatives for  
22          water resources development projects that  
23          produce comprehensive project benefits.

24          (2) MISSION AREAS DESCRIBED.—The mission  
25          areas described in this paragraph are the following:

1 (A) Navigation, including coastal and in-  
2 land waterways navigation.

3 (B) Flood risk management and coastal  
4 storm risk management (including non-  
5 structural, natural, or nature-based features,  
6 and efforts to control, retain, and reuse  
7 stormwater).

8 (C) Environmental and ecosystem restora-  
9 tion and protection.

10 (D) Municipal, industrial, and agricultural  
11 water supply, water conservation, and drought  
12 resiliency.

13 (E) Recreation, including recreational navi-  
14 gation.

15 (F) Hydropower.

16 (G) Aquatic plant and species control.

17 (H) Emergency response.

18 (I) Research and development.

19 (b) FULL CONSIDERATION.—In carrying out sub-  
20 section (a), the Secretary shall give full consideration to  
21 requests and proposals from non-Federal interests that  
22 are in alignment with the authorized programmatic, tech-  
23 nical and planning assistance, and project-specific authori-  
24 ties and mission areas described in subsection (a).

1 **SEC. 132. COMPREHENSIVE FEASIBILITY STUDIES AND AP-**  
2 **PROACHES FOR FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT**  
3 **AND COASTAL STORM RISK MANAGEMENT.**

4 (a) CLARIFICATION OF FEDERAL POLICY RELATED  
5 TO COMPREHENSIVE FLOODING AND NONSTRUCTURAL  
6 APPROACHES.—

7 (1) DECLARATION OF POLICY.—Section 1 of  
8 the Act of June 22, 1936 (33 U.S.C. 701a) is  
9 amended—

10 (A) by striking the section designation and  
11 heading and all that follows through “recog-  
12 nized” and inserting the following:

13 **“SECTION 1. DECLARATION OF POLICY.**

14 **“(a) IN GENERAL.—It is recognized”;**

15 (B) by inserting “, other water bodies, and  
16 shorelines” after “the rivers”;

17 (C) by inserting “that such destructive  
18 floods can originate or be augmented by the iso-  
19 lated or combined impacts of water from a vari-  
20 ety of drivers, such as riverine discharges, ex-  
21 treme weather events, coastal and tidal flood-  
22 ing, sea level rise, subsidence, and stormwater;”  
23 after “national welfare;”;

24 (D) by inserting “(including the reduction  
25 of comprehensive flood risk from the isolated  
26 and compound effects of any of the drivers

1 identified in section 8106(a) of the Water Re-  
2 sources Development Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C.  
3 2282g(a)))” before “is a proper activity”;

4 (E) by inserting “(including the study and  
5 implementation of water resources development  
6 projects that provide comprehensive flood risk  
7 reduction)” before “are in the interest of the  
8 general welfare”; and

9 (F) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(b) NONSTRUCTURAL APPROACHES.—It is the sense  
11 of Congress that—

12 “(1) structural features and nonstructural fea-  
13 tures should be given equal consideration in every  
14 feasibility study conducted by the Chief of Engineers  
15 for flood risk management or hurricane and storm  
16 damage risk reduction; and

17 “(2) the implementation of nonstructural fea-  
18 tures determined by the Chief of Engineers to align  
19 with the policy established by section 2031(a) of the  
20 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (42  
21 U.S.C. 1962–3) is part of the primary mission of the  
22 Corps of Engineers for flood risk management and  
23 hurricane and storm damage risk reduction.”.

24 (2) DEFINITION OF FLOOD CONTROL.—Section  
25 2 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C.

1       701a–1) is amended by inserting “and the reduction  
2       of comprehensive flood risk from the isolated and  
3       compound effects of any of the drivers identified in  
4       section 8106(a) of the Water Resources Develop-  
5       ment Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2282g(a));” after  
6       “damages,”.

7       (b) CLARIFICATION ON SCOPE OF FEASIBILITY  
8       STUDIES FOR COMPREHENSIVE FLOOD RISK.—Section  
9       8106(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022  
10      (33 U.S.C. 2282g(a)) is amended—

11           (1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by  
12           striking “shall formulate” and inserting “shall in-  
13           clude in the study any effects within the geographic  
14           scope of the study of, and formulate”;

15           (2) in paragraph (4), by striking “frequency”  
16           and inserting “frequency, including flooding associ-  
17           ated with stormwater that flows at a rate of less  
18           than 800 cubic feet per second for the 10-percent  
19           flood”;

20           (3) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through  
21           (10) as clauses (A) through (J), respectively, and  
22           adjusting the margins accordingly;

23           (4) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A),  
24           as so redesignated, by striking “In carrying out”  
25           and inserting the following:

1 “(1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out”; and

2 (5) by adding at the end the following:

3 “(2) RECOMMENDATION OF COMPREHENSIVE  
4 FLOOD RISK REDUCTION ALTERNATIVE.—If the Sec-  
5 retary determines that a project alternative identi-  
6 fied by the non-Federal interest pursuant to para-  
7 graph (1) is feasible, the Secretary shall incorporate  
8 such alternative in the recommended plan for the  
9 project as a project feature the costs of which are  
10 shared as construction.”.

11 **SEC. 133. REALIGNMENT OF CERTAIN CORPS OF ENGI-**  
12 **NEERS DISTRICTS.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the  
14 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall realign  
15 the following districts of the Corps of Engineers as follows:

16 (1) Atchison County, Missouri, and Holt Coun-  
17 ty, Missouri, shall be transferred to the Kansas City  
18 District.

19 (2) Northeast Missouri shall be transferred  
20 from the Rock Island District to the St. Louis Dis-  
21 trict.

22 (3) The counties of Arkansas, Clay, Greene,  
23 Independence, Jackson, Lawrence, Lonoke, Ran-  
24 dolph, and Woodruff, Arkansas, shall be transferred  
25 to the Memphis District.

1           (4) Desha County, Arkansas, and Lincoln  
2           County, Arkansas, shall be transferred to the Vicks-  
3           burg District.

4           (b) REQUIREMENT WITH RESPECT TO REALIGN-  
5           MENT ACTION.—

6           (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in sub-  
7           section (a), the Secretary may not carry out any ac-  
8           tion to modify a geographic boundary of the Corps  
9           of Engineers unless the Secretary submits to the  
10          Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of  
11          the House of Representatives and the Committee on  
12          Environment and Public Works of the Senate a noti-  
13          fication not later than 30 days in advance of such  
14          modification.

15          (2) CONSULTATION AND PUBLIC COMMENT.—In  
16          developing the notification required under paragraph  
17          (1), the Secretary shall, to the extent practicable,  
18          consult with and accept comments from affected  
19          stakeholders in the geographic boundary affected by  
20          the proposed modification to the geographic bound-  
21          ary of the Corps of Engineers, including—

22                   (A) State, Tribal, or local governments;

23                   (B) non-Federal interests;

1 (C) the workforce of the Corps of Engi-  
2 neers (including any organizations representing  
3 such workforce); and

4 (D) other entities, as determined appro-  
5 priate by the Secretary.

6 (3) CONTENTS.—In the notification required  
7 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall include—

8 (A) a justification for a modification to the  
9 geographic boundary of the Corps of Engineers;

10 (B) a description of the effects of such  
11 modification on—

12 (i) the ability of the Corps of Engi-  
13 neers to execute its mission;

14 (ii) the finances of the Corps of Engi-  
15 neers;

16 (iii) the workforce of the Corps of En-  
17 gineers; and

18 (iv) stakeholders (including State  
19 agencies, conservation districts, and the  
20 public); and

21 (C) a summary of any comments received  
22 under paragraph (2) and the Secretary's re-  
23 sponse to such comments.

1 **SEC. 134. UPDATE OF CORPS POLICY.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise specifically  
3 provided by this Act, not later than 120 days after the  
4 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall—

5 (1) issue any new policy or guidance document  
6 necessary to implement this Act; and

7 (2) revise or update any policy or guidance doc-  
8 ument of the Corps of Engineers in effect on the  
9 date of enactment of this Act to be in compliance  
10 with this Act, including the amendments made by  
11 this Act.

12 (b) REVOCATION OF PRIOR POLICY AND GUID-  
13 ANCE.—Effective on the date that is 120 days after the  
14 date of enactment of this Act, any policy or guidance docu-  
15 ment of the Corps of Engineers that is not in compliance  
16 with this Act, including the amendments made by this Act,  
17 is revoked.

18 **SEC. 135. AVAILABILITY OF PROJECT INFORMATION.**

19 (a) COMMUNICATION.—The Corps of Engineers, in-  
20 cluding each district of the Corps of Engineers, shall have  
21 open communications with each office of a Member of  
22 Congress.

23 (b) IN GENERAL.—At the request of any Member of  
24 Congress, the Secretary and the Chief of Engineers shall  
25 provide, as expeditiously as possible, information or tech-  
26 nical assistance relating to water resources development

1 projects, including information relating to feasibility stud-  
 2 ies, Chief’s Reports, project justification and scope, au-  
 3 thorization and implementation status, estimated project  
 4 costs and schedules, operational capabilities, and other in-  
 5 formation relating to such projects.

6 (c) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this sec-  
 7 tion shall be construed to grant any authority to the Sec-  
 8 retary or the Chief to deny a request for information re-  
 9 lated to a water resources development project.

10 (d) MEMBER OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this sec-  
 11 tion, the term “Member of Congress” includes a Delegate  
 12 or Resident Commissioner to Congress.

13 **SEC. 136. FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION.**

14 Section 906 of the Water Resources Development Act  
 15 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283) is amended—

16 (1) in subsection (d)—

17 (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “shall  
 18 not submit” and all that follows through “un-  
 19 less such report contains” and inserting “may  
 20 not approve any proposal related to a water re-  
 21 sources project unless the Secretary has pre-  
 22 pared a report relating to the project that con-  
 23 tains”;

24 (B) in paragraph (2)—

1 (i) by striking “The Secretary” and  
2 inserting the following:

3 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”; and

4 (ii) by adding at the end the fol-  
5 lowing:

6 “(B) IDENTIFICATION.—The Secretary  
7 shall consult with the non-Federal interest for  
8 a water resources project, and other stake-  
9 holders, to the maximum extent practicable—

10 “(i) to identify mitigation implementa-  
11 tion practices or accepted assessment  
12 methodologies used in the region of the  
13 water resources project and incorporate  
14 such practices and methodologies into the  
15 mitigation plan for such project; and

16 “(ii) to identify projects that have not  
17 been constructed, or concepts described in  
18 mitigation plans for other water resources  
19 projects, that may be used to meet the res-  
20 toration or mitigation needs of the water  
21 resources project.”; and

22 (C) in paragraph (3)(B)(iv)(I), by insert-  
23 ing “or a description of the requirements for a  
24 third-party mitigation instrument that would be  
25 developed in the case that a contract for future

1 delivery of credits will be used” after “to be  
2 used”;

3 (2) in subsection (i)(1)(A)—

4 (A) in clause (i), by inserting “, for imme-  
5 diate delivery or future delivery to be identified  
6 in the mitigation instrument” after “banks”;  
7 and

8 (B) in clause (ii), by inserting “, for imme-  
9 diate delivery or future delivery to be identified  
10 in the mitigation instrument” after “pro-  
11 grams”; and

12 (3) by adding at the end the following:

13 “(l) SEPARABLE ELEMENTS.—Mitigation of fish and  
14 wildlife losses required under this section that is provided  
15 in the form of credit shall be considered a separable ele-  
16 ment of a project without requiring further evaluation.

17 “(m) TRANSPARENCY.—The Secretary shall ensure  
18 that—

19 “(1) the mitigation requirements for each water  
20 resources project—

21 “(A) are made publicly available (including  
22 on a publicly available website of the head-  
23 quarters of the Corps of Engineers); and

24 “(B) include the location of the project,  
25 the anticipated schedule for mitigation, the type

1 of mitigation required, the amount of mitigation  
2 required, and the remaining mitigation needs;

3 “(2) the mitigation plan for such project is  
4 made publicly available, as applicable;

5 “(3) the information described in paragraph (1)  
6 is updated regularly; and

7 “(4) carrying out the requirements of this sub-  
8 section with respect to each water resources project  
9 is considered a project expense.

10 “(n) COORDINATION.—To the maximum extent prac-  
11 ticable, the Secretary shall ensure that the project delivery  
12 team and regulatory team of the Corps of Engineers work  
13 in coordination to successfully carry out mitigation ef-  
14 forts.”.

15 **SEC. 137. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING EVALUATION**  
16 **OF FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PROJECTS.**

17 It is the sense of Congress that, for each flood risk  
18 management project completed by the Corps of Engineers  
19 prior to the date of enactment of this Act for which an  
20 interest in real property is required, the Secretary  
21 should—

22 (1) review and, if necessary, update the min-  
23 imum real estate interest necessary for the project,  
24 based on the As-built Drawings approved by the  
25 Secretary with respect to the project—

1 (A) to maintain project benefits pursuant  
2 to applicable guidance of the Corps of Engi-  
3 neers;

4 (B) to comply with the requirements of the  
5 manual prepared under section 5(c)(1) of the  
6 Flood Control Act of August 18, 1941 (33  
7 U.S.C. 701n(c)(1)); and

8 (C) to be able to receive any permissions  
9 under section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899  
10 (33 U.S.C. 408), required for any anticipated  
11 construction, operation and maintenance, re-  
12 pair, rehabilitation, or replacement of the  
13 project;

14 (2) provide a summary of the review under  
15 paragraph (1) to the non-Federal interest for, or  
16 levee operator of, the project, including with the re-  
17 view, if applicable, identification of any additional  
18 interest in real property that the non-Federal inter-  
19 est must acquire to meet the minimum real estate  
20 interest determined necessary in the review; and

21 (3) develop a streamlined process through  
22 which the Secretary, upon request of a non-Federal  
23 interest, may update project boundaries to reflect  
24 any update to the minimum real estate interest nec-

1        essary for the project, as determined by a review  
2        under paragraph (1).

3    **SEC. 138. RECREATIONAL ACCESS.**

4        (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-  
5        gress that the Secretary should, when a public recreational  
6        amenity, including a park, trail, green space, recreational  
7        waterway, or other public open space available for rec-  
8        reational opportunities, is affected by the construction of  
9        a water resources development project—

10            (1) maintain a level of recreational access to  
11            such public recreational amenity that is equivalent to  
12            the level provided when the public recreational  
13            amenity is not affected by such construction, includ-  
14            ing by providing alternative access where necessary  
15            to ensure continued recreational opportunities dur-  
16            ing such construction; and

17            (2) minimize temporary disruptions to such ac-  
18            cess through project planning and coordination with  
19            affected communities.

20        (b) RECREATIONAL ACCESS PRESERVATION PLAN.—  
21        To the maximum extent practicable, as part of a feasibility  
22        study for a water resources development project, the Sec-  
23        retary shall—

24            (1) review the potential impact of construction  
25            of the project on existing public recreational amen-

1       ities, including facilities for hiking, biking, walking,  
2       and waterborne recreation; and

3           (2) include in any final recommendation for  
4       such project a plan to minimize disruptions and  
5       maintain recreational access, as described in sub-  
6       section (a), to such amenities during and after con-  
7       struction of the project.

8   **SEC. 139. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MUNITIONS DISPOSAL.**

9       It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary should  
10      collaborate with the Secretary of Defense to identify the  
11      agency responsible for remediation of explosive ordnance  
12      and unexploded ordnance disposal in accordance with the  
13      authority provided in section 1027 of the Water Resources  
14      Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 426e–  
15      2).

16   **SEC. 140. CORPS OF ENGINEERS WORKFORCE.**

17       (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS ON CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
18      WORKFORCE NEEDS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

19           (1) the Corps of Engineers should maintain a  
20      professional workforce capable of addressing the var-  
21      ied statutory responsibilities entrusted to the Corps  
22      of Engineers in a timely manner, including—

23           (A) the technical expertise necessary to de-  
24      sign and deliver complex projects for naviga-  
25      tion, flood and storm risk reduction, ecosystem

1 restoration, water supply and water conserva-  
2 tion, hydropower, and recreation;

3 (B) the ability to partner with State and  
4 local governments and Indian Tribes in pro-  
5 viding technical and planning assistance to  
6 communities in addressing local water resources  
7 challenges; the personnel required to meet its  
8 emergency response authorities; and

9 (C) the regulatory expertise to administer  
10 statutory reviews and approvals; and

11 (2) the Corps of Engineers should—

12 (A) improve its recruiting efforts;

13 (B) offer clear paths to Federal intern-  
14 ships for students from high school through  
15 postgraduate school;

16 (C) offer clear paths to Federal careers for  
17 recent graduates;

18 (D) promote the appropriate utilization of  
19 volunteers; and

20 (E) provide meaningful training, men-  
21 toring, and career development opportunities,  
22 including apprenticeships, to ensure that future  
23 Corps of Engineers workforce needs are met.

24 (b) EVALUATION OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS WORK-  
25 FORCE NEEDS.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall seek to  
2       contract with the National Academy of Public Ad-  
3       ministration to carry out a comprehensive review of  
4       workforce demands and needs of the Corps of Engi-  
5       neers, which shall include—

6           (A) an evaluation of the professional work-  
7       force needs of the Corps of Engineers necessary  
8       to meet the statutory responsibilities of the  
9       Corps of Engineers, including—

10           (i) ensuring the full staffing of posi-  
11       tions that support missions, projects, or  
12       operations, including such positions that  
13       are—

14           (I) inherently governmental or  
15       related to public safety, navigational  
16       capacity, or national security; or

17           (II) necessary for the review and  
18       resolution of statutory reviews and ap-  
19       provals in a timely manner; and

20           (ii) challenges facing the Corps of En-  
21       gineers related to recruitment, retention,  
22       reductions-in-force,               retirements,  
23       credentialing,   professional   development,  
24       on-the-job training, and other readiness-re-  
25       lated gaps;

1 (B) an evaluation of how increased use of  
2 public-private partnerships and other efforts by  
3 non-Federal interests to carry out responsibilities  
4 related to the study, planning, design, and  
5 construction of authorized water resources development  
6 projects affect future Corps of Engineers workforce  
7 needs;

8 (C) an assessment of the existing technology  
9 used by the Corps of Engineers, the effects of any  
10 inefficiencies in the use of technology by the Corps  
11 of Engineers, and recommendations for improved  
12 technology or tools to accomplish the missions and  
13 responsibilities of the Corps of Engineers; and  
14

15 (D) recommendations based on the review  
16 under this paragraph to improve the capacity and  
17 preparedness of the Corps of Engineers workforce  
18 to ensure that such responsibilities are fully and  
19 competently addressed in a timely manner and  
20 increase the efficiency of the Corps of Engineers  
21 project delivery.

22 (2) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than  
23 180 days after the date on which the Secretary  
24 receives the findings of the review under paragraph  
25 (1), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on

1 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
2 Representatives and the Committee on Environment  
3 and Public Works of the Senate a report that in-  
4 cludes—

5 (A) a copy of such findings; and

6 (B) a detailed response to such findings,  
7 including any recommendations the Secretary  
8 plans to implement to ensure that the statutory  
9 responsibilities of the Corps of Engineers are  
10 fully and competently addressed and ensure the  
11 efficiency of project delivery.

12 **SEC. 141. REPORTING AND OVERSIGHT.**

13 (a) REPORT.—

14 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after  
15 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
16 shall submit to the Committees on Transportation  
17 and Infrastructure and Appropriations of the House  
18 of Representatives and the Committees on Environ-  
19 ment and Public Works and Appropriations of the  
20 Senate a report that includes for each report de-  
21 scribed in paragraph (2) the following:

22 (A) A summary of the status of the report,  
23 including if the report has been initiated.

24 (B) The amount of funds that—

1 (i) have been made available to carry  
2 out each such report; and

3 (ii) the Secretary requires to complete  
4 each such report.

5 (C) A detailed summary of the resources  
6 and procedures the Secretary intends to use to  
7 complete the report, including the expected  
8 timeline for completion of the report.

9 (D) Any other information regarding the  
10 report that the Secretary determines may be  
11 relevant to such committees in understanding  
12 the status, timing, and progress towards com-  
13 pletion of the report.

14 (2) REPORTS DESCRIBED.—The reports de-  
15 scribed in this paragraph are the following:

16 (A) The initial report required under sec-  
17 tion 1150(a)(1) of the Water Resources Devel-  
18 opment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3040).

19 (B) The report on turbidity in the Willam-  
20 ette Valley, Oregon, required under section  
21 1205(b) of the Water Resources Development  
22 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3071).

23 (C) The report on ice jam prevention and  
24 mitigation required under section 1205(k) of

1 the Water Resources Development Act of 2024  
2 (138 Stat. 3075).

3 (D) The report on excess lands for Whit-  
4 tier Narrows Dam, California, required under  
5 section 8213 of the Water Resources Develop-  
6 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3758).

7 (E) The report on recreational boating in  
8 the Great Lakes basin required under section  
9 8218 of the Water Resources Development Act  
10 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3761).

11 (F) The report on the disposition study on  
12 hydropower in the Willamette Valley, Oregon,  
13 required under section 8220(b) of the Water  
14 Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.  
15 3762).

16 (G) The report on the status of the imple-  
17 mentation of real estate administrative fees re-  
18 quired under section 1120 of the Water Re-  
19 sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat.  
20 3014).

21 (H) The report summarizing comparisons  
22 of the benefit-cost ratios of projects in covered  
23 communities required under section 1148(d) of  
24 the Water Resources Development Act of 2024  
25 (138 Stat. 3039).

1 (I) The report on dredge capacity required  
2 under section 8205 of the Water Resources De-  
3 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3754).

4 (J) The report identifying opportunities for  
5 potential exchange of land or flowage easements  
6 associated with the Lake O' the Pines, Texas,  
7 project, as required under section 1222 of the  
8 Water Resources Development Act of 2024  
9 (138 Stat. 3082).

10 (b) PRIOR GUIDANCE.—Not later than 45 days after  
11 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall issue  
12 guidance regarding each of the following:

13 (1) Section 103(m) of the Water Resources De-  
14 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)).

15 (2) Section 444 of the Water Resources Devel-  
16 opment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3747; 113 Stat. 286;  
17 138 Stat. 3149).

18 (3) Section 8132 of the Water Resources Devel-  
19 opment Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2238e).

20 (4) Section 1129 of the Water Resources Devel-  
21 opment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3018).

22 (5) Section 1148 of the Water Resources Devel-  
23 opment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3039).

1 **SEC. 142. ABILITY TO PAY.**

2 Section 1139(c) of the Water Resources Development  
3 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3026) is amended—

4 (1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by  
5 inserting “and studies” after “projects”; and

6 (2) by adding at the end the following:

7 “(17) The project for flood risk management,  
8 Big Island Local Flood Protection Project, Illinois,  
9 authorized pursuant to section 203 of the Flood  
10 Control Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 742).

11 “(18) The feasibility study for the project for  
12 flood risk management, including riverbank sta-  
13 bilization and bluff stabilization, Columbus and  
14 Hickman, Kentucky, authorized by section  
15 8201(a)(28) of the Water Resources Development  
16 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3746) (as modified by this  
17 Act).

18 “(19) The project for shoreline erosion manage-  
19 ment, Hickman Bluff Stabilization, Kentucky, au-  
20 thorized by section 3071 of the Water Resources De-  
21 velopment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1124).

22 “(20) The study for the project for ecosystem  
23 restoration, Lower Osage River Basin, Missouri, au-  
24 thorized by section 201 of the Water Resources De-  
25 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2670).

1           “(21) The project for ecosystem restoration,  
2       Lower Blackstone River, Rhode Island, described in  
3       section 8361 of the Water Resources Development  
4       Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3804).

5           “(22) The study for the reallocation of water  
6       supply storage, Aquilla Lake, Texas, carried out in  
7       accordance with section 301 of the Water Supply  
8       Act of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b), authorized by section  
9       202(e) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
10      2020 (134 Stat. 2675).

11          “(23) The study to modify the project for hurri-  
12      cane and storm damage risk reduction, Norfolk  
13      Coastal Storm Risk Management, Virginia, author-  
14      ized by section 401(3) of the Water Resources De-  
15      velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2738).”.

16 **SEC. 143. PILOT PROGRAM PRIORITIZATION.**

17       Section 118(h) of the Water Resources Development  
18      Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) is amended by adding  
19      at the end the following:

20          “(10) The project for flood risk management,  
21      Big Island Local Flood Protection Project, Illinois,  
22      authorized pursuant to section 203 of the Flood  
23      Control Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 742).

24          “(11) The feasibility study for the project for  
25      flood risk management, including riverbank sta-

1        bilization and bluff stabilization, Columbus and  
2        Hickman, Kentucky, authorized by section  
3        8201(a)(28) of the Water Resources Development  
4        Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3746) (as modified by this  
5        Act).

6            “(12) The project for shoreline and riverine  
7        protection and restoration, Passumpsic River water-  
8        shed, Vermont, authorized by section 212 of the  
9        Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (33  
10       U.S.C. 2332).”.

11   **SEC. 144. VESSEL REMOVAL BY CORPS OF ENGINEERS.**

12        Section 19(b)(4) of the Act of March 3, 1899 (33  
13   U.S.C. 414(b)) is amended—

14            (1) in subparagraph (A)(i), by inserting “under  
15        section 4711 of title 46, United States Code” after  
16        “Commandant of the Coast Guard”; and

17            (2) in subparagraph (B)(iii)(I), by inserting  
18        “under section 4711 of title 46, United States  
19        Code” after “Commandant of the Coast Guard”.

20   **SEC. 145. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATED TO EVERGLADES**  
21   **AGRICULTURAL AREA PROJECT.**

22        (a) IN GENERAL.—It is the sense of Congress that  
23   the Secretary should, to the maximum extent prac-  
24   ticable—

1           (1) meet the expedited construction outlined in  
2           the July 18, 2025 Memorandum of Agreement be-  
3           tween the State of Florida and Department of the  
4           Army for Expediting and Advancing the Restoration  
5           of America’s Everglades, for the Everglades Agricul-  
6           tural Area Reservoir, authorized by section 1308 of  
7           the Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (132  
8           Stat. 3819; 134 Stat. 2709);

9           (2) incorporate other components of the Central  
10          Everglades Planning Project to fully utilize invest-  
11          ment and restore the flow of water through the cen-  
12          tral Everglades and Everglades National Park to  
13          Florida Bay; and

14          (3) prepare for and take all necessary steps to  
15          review and implement opportunities for expedited  
16          construction timelines and operations for remaining  
17          everglades restoration projects to achieve objectives,  
18          goals, and regional benefits including but not limited  
19          to eliminating harmful transfers to the St. Lucie Es-  
20          tuary, and reducing harmful transfers to the  
21          Caloosahatchee River Estuary and the Lake Worth  
22          Lagoon without causing harm to Lake Okeechobee,  
23          Everglades or southern regions, while also protecting  
24          and meeting water supply goals south of the lake

1 consistent with congressionally authorized project  
2 purposes.

3 (b) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this Sense of  
4 Congress is intended to, nor shall it be construed or inter-  
5 preted as altering, amending, prioritizing or  
6 supplementing any authorized project purpose. Further-  
7 more, nothing stated here shall be construed as expanding  
8 Federal authority or oversight over State waters or envi-  
9 ronmental programs.

## 10 **TITLE II—STUDIES AND** 11 **REPORTS**

### 12 **SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF PROPOSED FEASIBILITY** 13 **STUDIES.**

14 (a) NEW PROJECTS.—The Secretary is authorized to  
15 conduct a feasibility study for the following projects for  
16 water resources development and conservation and other  
17 purposes, as identified in the reports titled “Report to  
18 Congress on Future Water Resources Development” sub-  
19 mitted to Congress pursuant to section 7001 of the Water  
20 Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33  
21 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise reviewed by Congress:

22 (1) AFRICATOWN, ALABAMA.—Project for eco-  
23 system restoration in the vicinity of the community  
24 of Africatown in Mobile County, Alabama.

1           (2) BIG CREEK LAKE, ALABAMA.—Project for  
2       ecosystem restoration, Big Creek Lake, Mobile  
3       County, Alabama.

4           (3) MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA.—Project for  
5       ecosystem restoration in Mobile County, Alabama, in  
6       the vicinity of Chickasaw Creek, Hog Bayou, Three  
7       Mile Creek, and Mobile River.

8           (4) GALENA, ALASKA.—Project for flood risk  
9       management, City of Galena, Alaska.

10          (5) RUSSIAN CREEK, ALASKA.—Project for  
11       flood risk management, including riverbank sta-  
12       bilization, Russian Creek, Kodiak Island Borough,  
13       Alaska, in the vicinity of the community of Bell  
14       Flats.

15          (6) SCOW BAY, ALASKA.—Project for naviga-  
16       tion, Scow Bay, Borough of Petersburg, Alaska.

17          (7) SITKA, ALASKA.—Project for coastal storm  
18       risk management, City and Borough of Sitka, Alas-  
19       ka.

20          (8) DUDLEYVILLE, ARIZONA.—Project for flood  
21       risk management, Dudleyville, Arizona.

22          (9) CARMEL RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—Project for  
23       flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,  
24       Carmel River, Monterey County, California.

1           (10) EL MONTE, CALIFORNIA.—Project for  
2 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,  
3 City of El Monte, California.

4           (11) HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA.—Project for  
5 coastal storm risk management and ecosystem res-  
6 toration, City of Hayward, California, in the vicinity  
7 of the Water Resource Recovery Facility.

8           (12) PASADENA, CALIFORNIA.—Project for  
9 flood risk management, ecosystem restoration, and  
10 recreation, Lower Arroyo Seco, City of Pasadena,  
11 California.

12           (13) REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA.—Project for  
13 flood risk management, Redwood City, California.

14           (14) SAN CLEMENTE SHORELINE, CALI-  
15 FORNIA.—Project for coastal storm risk manage-  
16 ment, including shoreline erosion protection, City of  
17 San Clemente, California.

18           (15) SWEETWATER RESERVOIR, CALIFORNIA.—  
19 Project for flood risk management, including sedi-  
20 ment management, in the vicinity of the Sweetwater  
21 Reservoir, including its spillways and dikes, San  
22 Diego County, California.

23           (16) CAPITOL REGION, CONNECTICUT.—Project  
24 for flood risk management in proximity to the Con-  
25 necticut River, Capitol Region, Connecticut.

1           (17) COGINCHAUG RIVER, CONNECTICUT.—  
2       Project for flood risk management and ecosystem  
3       restoration, Coginchaug River, towns of Durham  
4       and Middlefield, Connecticut.

5           (18) DELAWARE RIVER, DELAWARE.—Project  
6       for flood risk management, including shoreline dam-  
7       age prevention and mitigation, recreation, and eco-  
8       system restoration, Delaware River, Delaware.

9           (19) NEW CASTLE, DELAWARE.—Project for  
10      flood risk management, City of New Castle, Dela-  
11      ware.

12          (20) BOGGY CREEK WATERSHED, FLORIDA.—  
13      Project for flood risk management and ecosystem  
14      restoration, including sediment and debris manage-  
15      ment, Boggy Creek Watershed, Florida.

16          (21) BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Project for  
17      flood risk management, coastal storm risk manage-  
18      ment, and ecosystem restoration, in the back bay  
19      system of Broward County, Florida.

20          (22) EATONVILLE, FLORIDA.—Project for flood  
21      risk management and ecosystem restoration, town of  
22      Eatonville, Florida, in the vicinity of Lake King.

23          (23) FLAGLER COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Project for  
24      coastal storm risk management, including effects on

1 back bays and open-coast shorelines, Flagler County,  
2 Florida.

3 (24) HORSESHOE BEACH, FLORIDA.—Project  
4 for coastal storm risk management, including shore-  
5 line damage prevention and mitigation, town of  
6 Horseshoe Beach, Florida.

7 (25) LAKE HART WATERSHED, FLORIDA.—  
8 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem  
9 restoration, including sediment and debris manage-  
10 ment, Lake Hart Watershed, Florida.

11 (26) LITTLE WEKIVA WATERSHED, FLORIDA.—  
12 Project for flood risk management, ecosystem res-  
13 toration, and recreation, including sediment manage-  
14 ment, and shoreline erosion, Little Wekiva Water-  
15 shed, Orange County, Florida, including Lake  
16 Lawne and Lake Orlando.

17 (27) LOWER WITHLACOOCHEE RIVER WATER-  
18 SHED, FLORIDA.—Project for ecosystem restoration,  
19 Lower Withlacoochee River watershed, Florida.

20 (28) PASCO COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Project for  
21 ecosystem restoration and water supply, Pasco  
22 County, Florida.

23 (29) SHINGLE CREEK WATERSHED, FLORIDA.—  
24 Project for flood risk reduction and ecosystem res-

1       toration within the Shingle Creek Watershed, includ-  
2       ing Lake Fran and Lake Richmond, Florida.

3           (30) ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA.—Project for  
4       flood risk management, coastal storm risk manage-  
5       ment, and ecosystem restoration, city of St. Peters-  
6       burg, Florida, including canals in the city of St. Pe-  
7       tersburg.

8           (31) ELKHORN LAKE, LETCHER COUNTY, KEN-  
9       TUCKY.—Project for flood risk management and  
10      water supply at Elkhorn Lake, Letcher County,  
11      Kentucky.

12          (32) GWINNETT COUNTY, GEORGIA.—Project  
13      for flood risk management, Gwinnett County, Geor-  
14      gia.

15          (33) SOUTH RIVER WATERSHED, GEORGIA.—  
16      Project for flood risk management and ecosystem  
17      restoration, South River watershed, Georgia.

18          (34) HONOLULU, HAWAII.—Project for flood  
19      risk management and coastal storm risk manage-  
20      ment, including shoreline erosion protection, in the  
21      vicinity of the Waikīkī Natatorium War Memorial,  
22      Kaimana and Waikīkī beaches, City and County of  
23      Honolulu, Hawaii.

24          (35) PORT ALLEN, KAUA‘I COUNTY, HAWAII.—  
25      Project for flood risk management, navigation, and

1 coastal storm risk management, Port Allen, Kauaʻi  
2 County, Hawaii.

3 (36) LITTLE SOAP CREEK WATERSHED,  
4 IOWA.—Project for flood risk management and eco-  
5 system restoration, Little Soap Creek Watershed,  
6 Appanoose and Davis Counties, Iowa.

7 (37) LOWER DES MOINES RIVER WATERSHED,  
8 IOWA.—Project for flood risk management and eco-  
9 system restoration, Lower Des Moines River Water-  
10 shed, Appanoose, Davis, Marion, Monroe, and  
11 Wapello Counties, Iowa.

12 (38) COMITE RIVER, LOUISIANA.—Project for  
13 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,  
14 including sediment and debris management, in the  
15 vicinity of East Baton Rouge Parish and East  
16 Feliciana Parish, Comite River, Louisiana.

17 (39) SAINT CHARLES PARISH, LOUISIANA.—  
18 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem  
19 restoration, including water and sediment manage-  
20 ment, Saint Charles Parish, Louisiana.

21 (40) SCOTT’S BLUFF, LOUISIANA.—Project for  
22 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,  
23 including shoreline erosion prevention along the Mis-  
24 sissippi River, Scott’s Bluff, City of Baton Rouge,  
25 Louisiana, which the Secretary shall, to the max-

imum extent practicable, carry out utilizing and incorporating existing work, including analysis provided pursuant to section 22 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–16).

(41) ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND.—Project for flood risk management, City of Rockville, Maryland, in the vicinity of the Rockville Water Treatment Plant.

(42) ISLAND END RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for flood risk management and coastal storm risk management in the vicinity of Island End River, cities of Chelsea and Everett, Massachusetts.

(43) PROVINCETOWN, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for coastal storm risk management, including shoreline damage prevention and mitigation, Town of Provincetown, Massachusetts.

(44) WINTHROP, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for navigation in the vicinity of Winthrop Town Pier, Town of Winthrop, Massachusetts.

(45) BAY CITY, MICHIGAN.—Project for flood risk management, Saginaw River, Bay City, Michigan.

(46) GLADWIN AND MIDLAND COUNTIES, MICHIGAN.—Project for ecosystem restoration and streambank erosion prevention, Gladwin and Midland Counties, Michigan.

1           (47) OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—Project  
2       for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration  
3       along the Caddell Drain, Oakland County, Michigan.

4           (48) WAYNE COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—Project for  
5       flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-  
6       agement, Wayne County, Michigan, in the vicinity of  
7       the seawall along Lakeshore Drive.

8           (49) MISSISSIPPI SOUND BEACHES, MIS-  
9       SISSIPPI.—Project for ecosystem restoration and  
10      coastal storm risk management, including shoreline  
11      damage prevention and mitigation, Mississippi  
12      Sound beaches in Hancock, Harrison, and Jackson  
13      Counties, Mississippi.

14          (50) ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.—Project for flood  
15      risk management, navigation, recreation, and eco-  
16      system restoration in the vicinity of Laclede's Land-  
17      ing, city of St. Louis, Missouri.

18          (51) BERGEN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—Project  
19      for flood risk management in Bergen County, New  
20      Jersey.

21          (52) BOGOTA, NEW JERSEY.—Project for flood  
22      risk management, borough of Bogota, New Jersey.

23          (53) CAMDEN AND GLOUCESTER COUNTIES,  
24      NEW JERSEY.—Project for flood risk management  
25      and ecosystem restoration in riverine areas stem-

1        ming from the Delaware River, Camden and  
2        Gloucester Counties, New Jersey.

3            (54) CRESSKILL, NEW JERSEY.—Project for  
4        flood risk management, Borough of Cresskill, New  
5        Jersey.

6            (55) GARWOOD, NEW JERSEY.—Project for  
7        flood risk management, Borough of Garwood, New  
8        Jersey.

9            (56) GLOUCESTER CITY, NEW JERSEY.—Project  
10       for flood risk management and coastal storm risk  
11       management in the vicinity of Proprietors Park,  
12       Gloucester City, New Jersey.

13           (57) OVERPECK CREEK WATERSHED, NEW JER-  
14       SEY.—Project for flood risk management, including  
15       debris management, Overpeck Creek watershed, Bor-  
16       ough of Tenafly, New Jersey.

17           (58) PASCACK BROOK, NEW JERSEY.—Project  
18       for flood risk management, including debris manage-  
19       ment, in the vicinity of Pascack Brook, boroughs of  
20       Montvale and Park Ridge, New Jersey.

21           (59) PASCACK VALLEY, NEW JERSEY.—Project  
22       for flood risk management along the Hackensack  
23       River, Pascack Brook, and Musquapsink Brook in  
24       the Pascack Valley, Bergen County, New Jersey.

1           (60) WEST ORANGE, NEW JERSEY.—Project for  
2 flood risk management, township of West Orange,  
3 New Jersey.

4           (61) RIO GRANDE BASIN, NEW MEXICO.—  
5 Project for water supply and ecosystem restoration,  
6 Rio Grande Basin, New Mexico.

7           (62) AMITY HARBOR, NEW YORK.—Project for  
8 flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-  
9 agement, Amity Harbor, New York.

10          (63) ATLANTIC BEACH, NEW YORK.—Project  
11 for flood risk management and coastal storm risk  
12 management, Village of Atlantic Beach, New York.

13          (64) BAYPORT, NEW YORK.—Project for coastal  
14 storm risk management, including shoreline erosion  
15 protection, Bayport, New York.

16          (65) DAVIS PARK, FIRE ISLAND, NEW YORK.—  
17 Project for flood risk and coastal storm risk man-  
18 agement, including shoreline erosion protection,  
19 Davis Park, Fire Island, New York.

20          (66) LAWRENCE, NEW YORK.—Project for flood  
21 risk management, coastal storm risk management,  
22 and navigation, in the vicinity of Bannister Bay and  
23 Village of Lawrence, New York.

24          (67) MATTITUCK INLET, SOUTHOLD, NEW  
25 YORK.—Project for navigation, coastal storm risk

1 management, and ecosystem restoration, in  
2 Mattituck Inlet, Town of Southold, New York.

3 (68) MOHAWK RIVER AND ERIE CANAL, NEW  
4 YORK.—Project for ecosystem restoration, including  
5 aquatic invasive species management, Mohawk River  
6 and Erie Canal in the vicinity of the City of Rome,  
7 New York.

8 (69) NISSEQUOGUE RIVER, NEW YORK.—  
9 Project for flood risk management, coastal storm  
10 risk management, navigation, ecosystem restoration,  
11 and recreation, in the vicinity of Stony Brook Har-  
12 bor, Nissequogue River, New York.

13 (70) PECONIC BAY, NEW YORK.—Project for  
14 navigation and coastal storm risk management, in-  
15 cluding shoreline damage prevention and mitigation,  
16 Peconic Bay and connected harbors and inlets, New  
17 York.

18 (71) POINT O' WOODS, FIRE ISLAND, NEW  
19 YORK.—Project for flood risk management, coastal  
20 storm risk management, including shoreline damage  
21 protection and mitigation, and ecosystem restora-  
22 tion, Point O' Woods, Fire Island, New York.

23 (72) PORT WASHINGTON, NEW YORK.—Project  
24 for navigation, in the vicinity of Tom's Point, Port  
25 Washington, New York.

1           (73) SOUTH SHORE, LONG ISLAND, NEW  
2       YORK.—Project for ecosystem restoration, flood risk  
3       management, coastal storm risk management, and  
4       navigation, Nassau and Suffolk Counties, New York.

5           (74) SPRING VALLEY, NEW YORK.—Project for  
6       flood risk management in the vicinity of Memorial  
7       Park, Village of Spring Valley, New York.

8           (75) CAPE FEAR RIVER, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
9       Project for water supply and ecosystem restoration,  
10      Cape Fear River in the vicinity of Cumberland  
11      County, North Carolina.

12          (76) FAYETTEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
13      Project for flood risk management and ecosystem  
14      restoration, Cross Creek, Fayetteville, North Caro-  
15      lina.

16          (77) TOPSAIL BEACH, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
17      Project for flood risk management, Town of Topsail  
18      Beach, North Carolina.

19          (78) WHITEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project  
20      for flood risk management, City of Whiteville, North  
21      Carolina.

22          (79) WHITEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project  
23      for flood risk management, Waccamaw River basin,  
24      City of Whiteville, North Carolina.

1           (80) MAUMEE RIVER, OHIO.—Project for flood  
2 risk management, including riverbank stabilization,  
3 ecosystem restoration, and recreation, Maumee  
4 River, in the vicinity of Glass City Riverwalk, Ohio.

5           (81) HOOD RIVER COUNTY, OREGON.—Project  
6 for flood risk management and ecosystem restora-  
7 tion, including sediment management, Hood River  
8 County, Oregon.

9           (82) SAUCON CREEK, PENNSYLVANIA.—Project  
10 for flood risk management, Saucon Creek, in the vi-  
11 cinity of the Borough of Hellertown, Pennsylvania.

12           (83) CEIBA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for coastal  
13 storm risk management, including shoreline damage  
14 prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem restora-  
15 tion, Ceiba, Puerto Rico.

16           (84) FAJARDO, PUERTO RICO.—Project for  
17 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline  
18 damage prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem  
19 restoration, Fajardo, Puerto Rico.

20           (85) LOÍZA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for coastal  
21 storm risk management, including shoreline damage  
22 prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem restora-  
23 tion, Loíza, Puerto Rico.

24           (86) NAGUABO, PUERTO RICO.—Project for  
25 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline

1 damage prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem  
2 restoration, Naguabo, Puerto Rico.

3 (87) RÍO ANTÓN RUÍZ, PUERTO RICO.—Project  
4 for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration  
5 along the Río Antón Ruíz and adjacent levees in  
6 Humacao, Puerto Rico.

7 (88) VEGA BAJA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for  
8 flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-  
9 agement, including sediment management, Puerto  
10 Nuevo Lagoon (also known as “Quintín Valle La-  
11 goon”), Vega Baja, Puerto Rico.

12 (89) YABUCOA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for  
13 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline  
14 damage prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem  
15 restoration, Yabucoa, Puerto Rico.

16 (90) EASTON POND, RHODE ISLAND.—Project  
17 for coastal storm risk management, ecosystem res-  
18 toration, and water supply, Easton Pond, City of  
19 Newport, Rhode Island.

20 (91) WEST RIVER WATERSHED, RHODE IS-  
21 LAND.—Project for flood risk management, West  
22 River watershed, Rhode Island.

23 (92) CUMBERLAND COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—  
24 Project for water supply, Cumberland County, Ten-  
25 nessee.

1           (93) DUCK RIVER, TENNESSEE.—Project for  
2 flood risk reduction, ecosystem restoration, water  
3 supply, and recreation, Duck River, Tennessee.

4           (94) ENKA DAM, TENNESSEE.—Project for eco-  
5 system restoration, Enka Dam, in the vicinity of  
6 Newport, Tennessee.

7           (95) ARROYO COLORADO RIVER, TEXAS.—  
8 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem  
9 restoration, including shoreline erosion protection,  
10 along the Arroyo Colorado River in the City of Rio  
11 Hondo, Texas.

12           (96) BELL AND MCLENNAN COUNTIES,  
13 TEXAS.—Project for water supply, Bell and  
14 McLennan Counties, Texas.

15           (97) CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS.—Project for  
16 flood risk management, water supply, and ecosystem  
17 restoration, in the vicinity of Sweeney Lake and  
18 Resaca de los Fresnos, Cameron County, Texas.

19           (98) ESCOBARES, TEXAS.—Project for flood  
20 risk management, City of Escobares, Texas.

21           (99) NUECES COUNTY SHORELINE, TEXAS.—  
22 Project for coastal storm risk management, includ-  
23 ing shoreline erosion protection, Nueces County,  
24 Texas.

1           (100) ODESSA, TEXAS.—Project for water sup-  
2           ply in the City of Odessa, Texas.

3           (101) PARKER COUNTY, TEXAS.—Project for  
4           water supply, Parker County, Texas.

5           (102) ROMA, TEXAS.—Project for flood risk  
6           management, City of Roma, Texas.

7           (103) UPPER SAN JACINTO RIVER BASIN,  
8           TEXAS.—Project for flood risk management and  
9           water supply, Upper San Jacinto River basin, in the  
10          vicinity of Liberty, Montgomery, and San Jacinto  
11          Counties, Texas.

12          (104) WINN PARK, TEXAS.—Project for flood  
13          risk management, Winn Park, City of Farmers  
14          Branch, Texas.

15          (105) LOWER SPANISH FORK RIVER, UTAH.—  
16          Project for ecosystem restoration, lower Spanish  
17          Fork River, Utah.

18          (106) CLINCH RIVER, VIRGINIA.—Project for  
19          flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,  
20          along the Clinch River, Town of Richlands, Virginia.

21          (107) NOOKSACK RIVER, WASHINGTON.—  
22          Project for flood risk management and ecosystem  
23          restoration, including shoreline erosion, Nooksack  
24          River, Whatcom County, Washington.

1 (b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—The Secretary is au-  
2 thorized to conduct a feasibility study for the following  
3 project modifications:

4 (1) LOWELL CREEK TUNNEL, SEWARD, ALAS-  
5 KA.—Modifications to the project for flood diversion  
6 in Lowell Canyon, Seward, Alaska, authorized by  
7 section 5032 of the Water Resources Development  
8 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1205; 134 Stat. 2719; 138  
9 Stat. 3136), for environmental mitigation.

10 (2) MORRO BAY, CALIFORNIA.—Modifications to  
11 the project for harbor development, Morro Bay, Cali-  
12 fornia, authorized by section 2 of the Act of March  
13 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 21), for navigation improvements  
14 and ecosystem restoration.

15 (3) NAUGATUCK RIVER, TORRINGTON, CON-  
16 NECTICUT.—Modifications to the project for struc-  
17 tural flood damage reduction, Naugatuck River,  
18 Connecticut, authorized pursuant to the first section  
19 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 701–  
20 1), to improve flood risk management and reduce  
21 erosion within the Naugatuck River Flood Damage  
22 Reduction System.

23 (4) ASSAWOMAN CANAL, DELAWARE.—Modifica-  
24 tions to the project for navigation, Assawoman  
25 Canal, Delaware, authorized pursuant to section 9 of

1 the Act of July 5, 1884 (chapter 229, 23 Stat. 149),  
2 for flood risk management.

3 (5) INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, FLORIDA.—  
4 Modification to the project for navigation, Intra-  
5 coastal Waterway from Jacksonville, Florida, to  
6 Miami, Florida, authorized by section 2 of the Act  
7 of March 2, 1945 (chapter 19, 59 Stat. 16), to in-  
8 clude the navigation channel at Jupiter Inlet, Flor-  
9 ida.

10 (6) PENSACOLA HARBOR, FLORIDA.—Modifica-  
11 tions to the project for navigation, Pensacola Har-  
12 bor, Florida, authorized by section 101 of the River  
13 and Harbor Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1174), for recre-  
14 ation and for additional deepening to 40 feet and  
15 widening.

16 (7) TAMPA HARBOR, FLORIDA.—Modifications  
17 to the project for navigation, Tampa Harbor,  
18 Pinellas and Hillsborough Counties, Florida, author-  
19 ized by section 1401(1) of the Water Resources De-  
20 velopment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3167), for addi-  
21 tional deepening to 47 feet.

22 (8) LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GWINNETT COUNTY,  
23 GEORGIA.—Modifications to the project for flood  
24 protection, power production, water supply, naviga-  
25 tion, recreation, and fish and wildlife management

1 at Lake Sidney Lanier, Gwinnett County, Georgia,  
2 authorized pursuant to the first section of the Act  
3 of July 24, 1946 (chapter 595, 60 Stat. 635), to im-  
4 prove flood risk management, navigation safety, hy-  
5 dropower reliability, and water supply.

6 (9) CHICAGO HARBOR LOCK, ILLINOIS.—Modi-  
7 fications to the project for navigation, Chicago Har-  
8 bor Lock, Illinois, authorized pursuant to section 1  
9 of the Act of July 11, 1870 (chapter 240, 16 Stat.  
10 226; 21 Stat. 182; 37 Stat. 217; 40 Stat. 1283; 76  
11 Stat. 1176), to add recreation as an authorized pur-  
12 pose.

13 (10) SAYLORVILLE RESERVOIR, IOWA.—Modi-  
14 fications to the project for flood control, Saylorville  
15 Reservoir, Iowa, authorized by section 203 of the  
16 Flood Control Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 310), to include  
17 water supply and sediment management.

18 (11) LAWRENCE, ON KANSAS RIVER, KANSAS.—  
19 Modifications to the project for flood protection,  
20 Lawrence, on Kansas River, Kansas, authorized pur-  
21 suant to section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936  
22 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1588), to study raising levees  
23 on the Kansas River in Lawrence, Kansas, north of  
24 Bowersock Dam.

1           (12) BUCKHORN LAKE, LESLIE AND PERRY  
2           COUNTIES, KENTUCKY.—Modifications to the project  
3           for flood control and other purposes authorized pur-  
4           suant to section 4 of the Act of June 28, 1938  
5           (chapter 795, 52 Stat. 1217), to include sediment  
6           and debris management at Buckhorn Lake in Leslie  
7           and Perry Counties, Kentucky.

8           (13) LOUISVILLE METROPOLITAN FLOOD PRO-  
9           TECTION SYSTEM RECONSTRUCTION, JEFFERSON  
10          AND BULLITT COUNTIES, KENTUCKY.—Modifications  
11          to the project for flood risk management, Louisville  
12          Metropolitan Flood Protection System Reconstruc-  
13          tion, Jefferson and Bullitt Counties, Kentucky, au-  
14          thorized by section 401(2) of the Water Resources  
15          Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2735), to ex-  
16          pand project scope and incorporate features identi-  
17          fied in the document prepared for the non-Federal  
18          interest for the project, issued in June 2017, and ti-  
19          tled “20–Year Comprehensive Facility Plan, Critical  
20          Repair and Reinvestment Plan, Volume 4: Ohio  
21          River Flood Protection”.

22          (14) PORT OF IBERIA, LOUISIANA.—Modifica-  
23          tions to the project for navigation, Port of Iberia,  
24          Louisiana, authorized by section 1001(25) of the  
25          Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121

1 Stat. 1053; 128 Stat. 1351), to improve navigation  
2 in the Federal navigation channel of the Freshwater  
3 Bayou by deepening the channel south of the Fresh-  
4 water City Lock up to 32 feet, including the Fresh-  
5 water Bayou lock bypass channel as part of the Fed-  
6 eral project, and deepening and widening the bypass  
7 channel.

8 (15) CURTIS CREEK CHANNEL, MARYLAND.—  
9 Modifications to the project for navigation, Balti-  
10 more Harbor and Channels, Maryland, authorized by  
11 section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958  
12 (72 Stat. 297), to expand the Federal channel to in-  
13 clude the Curtis Creek Channel to a depth of 29  
14 feet.

15 (16) BOSTON HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS.—  
16 Modifications to the project for navigation, Boston  
17 Harbor, Massachusetts, authorized by the first sec-  
18 tion of the Act of March 2, 1867 (chapter 144, 14  
19 Stat. 420; 104 Stat. 4607; 128 Stat. 1365), for ad-  
20 ditional deepening and widening.

21 (17) GRENADA LAKE, YAZOO RIVER AND TRIBU-  
22 TARIES, MISSISSIPPI.—Modifications to the project  
23 for flood control of the Yazoo River and Tributaries,  
24 Mississippi, authorized by section 10 of the Act of  
25 May 15, 1928 (chapter 569, 45 Stat. 538), to add

1 recreation as a project purpose on the Yalobusha  
2 River, including at Grenada Lake.

3 (18) NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY,  
4 NEW JERSEY.—Modifications to the project for navi-  
5 gation for the New Jersey Intracoastal Waterway,  
6 New Jersey, authorized pursuant to section 2 of the  
7 Act of March 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 13; 100 Stat. 4181)  
8 to reroute the New Jersey Intracoastal Waterway  
9 near Ventnor City, New Jersey.

10 (19) RAHWAY RIVER BASIN, NEW JERSEY.—  
11 Modifications to the project for hurricane and storm  
12 damage risk reduction, Rahway River Basin, New  
13 Jersey, authorized by section 401(3) of the Water  
14 Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.  
15 2737; 136 Stat. 3736; 138 Stat. 3066), to improve  
16 flood risk management for municipalities within the  
17 basin that are subject to repetitive flooding.

18 (20) PORT OF NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY,  
19 NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY.—Modifications to the  
20 project for navigation, Port of New York and New  
21 Jersey, New York and New Jersey, authorized by  
22 section 101 of the Water Resources Development  
23 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2576), to improve navigation  
24 south of the Elizabeth Port Authority Marine Ter-  
25 minal.

1           (21) ALLEGHENY RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
2       Modifications to the project for navigation and eco-  
3       system restoration, Allegheny River, Pennsylvania,  
4       authorized pursuant to the first section of the Act  
5       of July 25, 1912 (chapter 253, 37 Stat. 216; 46  
6       Stat. 928; 49 Stat. 1035), to add recreation as a  
7       project purpose.

8           (22) DELAWARE AND SCHUYLKILL RIVERS,  
9       PENNSYLVANIA.—Modifications to the project for  
10      navigation, Delaware River, Delaware, New Jersey,  
11      and Pennsylvania (including Philadelphia to the  
12      Sea), authorized by section 1 of the Act of June 25,  
13      1910 (chapter 382, 36 Stat. 637; 46 Stat. 921; 52  
14      Stat. 803; 59 Stat. 14; 68 Stat. 1249; 72 Stat. 297)  
15      and the project for navigation, Schuylkill River,  
16      Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, authorized by section 1  
17      of the Act of August 8, 1917 (40 Stat. 252; 46 Stat.  
18      921; 60 Stat. 635), to improve navigation for large  
19      commercial vessels in the vicinity of the confluence  
20      of the Delaware and Schuylkill Rivers.

21          (23) CHARLESTON PENINSULA, SOUTH CARO-  
22      LINA.—Modifications to the project for coastal storm  
23      risk management, Charleston Peninsula, South  
24      Carolina, authorized by section 8401(3) of the  
25      Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136

1 Stat. 3842), to include features for tidal- and in-  
2 land-related flood risk management measures.

3 (24) LAKE AQUILLA, BRAZOS RIVER BASIN,  
4 TEXAS.—Modifications to the project for water sup-  
5 ply, flood risk management, and recreation, Lake  
6 Aquilla, Brazos River Basin, Texas, authorized by  
7 section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (82  
8 Stat. 741), for reallocation of water supply storage.

9 (25) JAMES RIVER, VIRGINIA.—Modifications to  
10 the project for navigation, James River, Virginia,  
11 authorized by section 101 of the River and Harbor  
12 Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1174; 102 Stat. 4045), to in-  
13 clude additional portions at the Appomattox River  
14 and add flood risk management as a purpose.

15 (26) NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, VIR-  
16 GINIA.—Modifications to the project for navigation,  
17 Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Virginia, authorized  
18 by section 201 of the Water Resources Development  
19 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4090; 132 Stat. 3840; 136  
20 Stat. 3763), to improve navigation in the Eastern  
21 Branch of the Elizabeth River.

22 **SEC. 202. EXPEDITED COMPLETION.**

23 (a) FEASIBILITY STUDIES.—The Secretary shall ex-  
24 pedite the completion of a feasibility study or general re-  
25 evaluation report (as applicable) for each of the following

1 projects, and if the Secretary determines that the project  
2 is justified in a completed report, may proceed directly to  
3 preconstruction planning, engineering, and design of the  
4 project:

5           (1) Project for storm damage prevention and  
6           reduction, coastal erosion, and ice and glacial dam-  
7           age in the State of Alaska, authorized by section  
8           8315 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
9           2022 (136 Stat. 3783).

10          (2) Project for reallocation of water supply  
11          storage, Greers Ferry Lake, Arkansas, authorized  
12          pursuant to section 301 of the Water Supply Act of  
13          1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b).

14          (3) Project for flood control and allied pur-  
15          poses, Homer Navigation Improvements, Alaska, au-  
16          thorized pursuant to section 204 of the Flood Con-  
17          trol Act of 1948 (62 Stat. 1181).

18          (4) Project for navigation, Port of Nome Modi-  
19          fications, Alaska, authorized by section 401(1) of the  
20          Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134  
21          Stat. 2733).

22          (5) Project for flood risk management, Cave  
23          Buttes Dam, Phoenix, Arizona, authorized by sec-  
24          tion 1201(a)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-  
25          ment Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3802).

1           (6) Project for flood risk management,  
2       McMicken Dam and Trilby Wash, Maricopa County,  
3       Arizona, authorized by section 8201 of the Water  
4       Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.  
5       3744; 138 Stat. 3065).

6           (7) Project for ecosystem restoration, Rio Sa-  
7       lado Oeste, Phoenix, Arizona, authorized pursuant  
8       to section 6 of the Act of June 28, 1938 (chapter  
9       795, 52 Stat. 1225).

10          (8) Project for flood risk management, Yavapai  
11       County, in the vicinity of the City of Cottonwood,  
12       Arizona, authorized by section 1201(a)(1) of the  
13       Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138  
14       Stat. 3050).

15          (9) Project for flood control and other pur-  
16       poses, Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and  
17       tributaries, California, authorized by section 10 of  
18       the Act of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58  
19       Stat. 902; 138 Stat. 3058).

20          (10) Project for flood risk management, water  
21       supply, and ecosystem restoration, Chowchilla River,  
22       Ash Slough, and Berenda Slough, Madera County,  
23       California, authorized by section 6 of the Act of  
24       June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1595; 52  
25       Stat. 1225; 138 Stat. 3059).

1           (11) Project for flood control, water conserva-  
2           tion, environmental restoration, water supply, and  
3           related purposes, Coyote Valley Dam, California, au-  
4           thorized by section 204 of the Flood Control Act of  
5           1950 (64 Stat. 177; 130 Stat. 1682; 132 Stat.  
6           3803; 134 Stat. 2672; 136 Stat. 3835; 138 Stat.  
7           3065).

8           (12) Project for ecosystem restoration and  
9           water supply conservation and recharge, Eastman  
10          Lake, California, authorized by section 1201(a)(4)  
11          of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024  
12          (138 Stat. 3050).

13          (13) Project for flood control, environmental  
14          restoration, and recreation, Murrieta Creek, Cali-  
15          fornia, authorized by section 103 of title I of appen-  
16          dix B of Public Law 106–377 (114 Stat. 1441A–65;  
17          136 Stat. 3786).

18          (14) Project for ecosystem restoration, City of  
19          Petaluma, California, authorized by section  
20          8201(a)(6) of the Water Resources Development Act  
21          of 2022 (136 Stat. 3745).

22          (15) Project for ecosystem restoration, water  
23          supply, and recreation, Pine Flat Dam, Fresno  
24          County, California, authorized by section 1201(a)(7)

1 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024  
2 (138 Stat. 3050).

3 (16) Project for flood control, Redbank and  
4 Fancher Creeks, California, authorized by section  
5 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
6 1986 (100 Stat. 4112).

7 (17) Project for flood risk management and  
8 ecosystem restoration, Salinas River, California, au-  
9 thorized by section 1201(a)(9) of the Water Re-  
10 sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3050).

11 (18) Project for flood risk management, includ-  
12 ing sea level rise, San Diego Bay, California, author-  
13 ized by section 1201(a)(12) of the Water Resources  
14 Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3050).

15 (19) Project for flood risk management, includ-  
16 ing stormwater runoff reduction, City of San Mateo,  
17 California, authorized by section 1201(a)(14) of the  
18 Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138  
19 Stat. 3051).

20 (20) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central  
21 and South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Res-  
22 toration Program, Lake Okeechobee Watershed Res-  
23 toration, Florida, authorized pursuant to section  
24 601(d)(2)(B) of the Water Resources Development

1 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680; 121 Stat. 1179; 134  
2 Stat. 2673; 136 Stat. 3761; 138 Stat. 3067).

3 (21) Project for flood risk management, water  
4 supply, ecosystem restoration, recreation, and re-  
5 lated purposes, Lake Istokpoga, Florida, authorized  
6 pursuant to section 8214 of the Water Resources  
7 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3759).

8 (22) Project for ecosystem restoration, Lake  
9 Runnymede, Florida, authorized by section  
10 8201(a)(16) of the Water Resources Development  
11 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3745).

12 (23) Project for ecosystem restoration and flood  
13 risk management, Lake Tohopekaliga, Florida, au-  
14 thorized by section 8201(a)(19) of the Water Re-  
15 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3745).

16 (24) Project for hurricane and storm damage  
17 risk reduction and ecosystem restoration in the vi-  
18 cinity of MacDill Air Force Base, City of Tampa,  
19 Florida, authorized by section 1201(a)(37) of the  
20 Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138  
21 Stat. 3052).

22 (25) Project for hurricane and storm damage  
23 risk reduction, Port Tampa Bay, Florida, including  
24 McKay Bay, authorized by section 8201(a)(18) of

1 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136  
2 Stat. 3745).

3 (26) Project for flood risk management, eco-  
4 system restoration, and water storage, Shingle Creek  
5 and Kissimmee River, Osceola County, Florida, au-  
6 thorized by section 201(a)(5) of the Water Re-  
7 sources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2670;  
8 136 Stat. 3749).

9 (27) Project for hurricane and storm damage  
10 risk reduction and coastal storm risk management,  
11 Volusia County, Florida, authorized by the resolu-  
12 tion of the Committee on Transportation and Infra-  
13 structure of the House of Representatives, dated  
14 February 16, 2000, and required to be expedited by  
15 section 1203(a)(14) of the Water Resources Devel-  
16 opment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3065).

17 (28) Project for local flood protection,  
18 Hanapēpē River, island of Kaua‘i, Hawaii, author-  
19 ized by section 10 of the Act of December 22, 1944  
20 (chapter 665, 58 Stat. 903; 138 Stat. 3060).

21 (29) Project for flood risk management,  
22 Kaiaka-Waialua watershed, O‘ahu, Hawaii, author-  
23 ized pursuant to section 1201(a)(45) of the Water  
24 Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat.  
25 3052).

1           (30) Project for flood risk management and  
2           coastal storm risk management, County of Kaua‘i,  
3           Hawaii, authorized pursuant to section 1201(a)(46)  
4           of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024  
5           (138 Stat. 3052).

6           (31) Project for flood risk management and  
7           ecosystem restoration, County of Maui, Hawaii, au-  
8           thorized by section 1201(a)(47) of the Water Re-  
9           sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3052).

10          (32) Project for flood control and allied pur-  
11          poses, Wailupe Stream, ‘Āina Haina, Honolulu, Ha-  
12          waii, authorized pursuant to section 209 of the  
13          Flood Control Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1197).

14          (33) Project for flood risk management,  
15          Waimea River, County of Kaua‘i, Hawaii, authorized  
16          pursuant to section 216 of the Flood Control Act of  
17          1970 (84 Stat. 1830).

18          (34) Project for flood risk management, Hoosic  
19          River, Massachusetts, authorized pursuant to the  
20          resolution of the Committee on Transportation and  
21          Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
22          adopted on December 2, 2010 (docket number 2828)  
23          for environmental restoration, streambank stabiliza-  
24          tion, flood risk management, watershed manage-

1       ment, floodplain management, and other allied pur-  
2       poses.

3           (35) Project for navigation, Saginaw River,  
4       Michigan, authorized pursuant to section 456 of the  
5       Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113  
6       Stat. 332).

7           (36) Project for flood risk management and  
8       ecosystem restoration, Tittabawassee River, Chip-  
9       pewa River, Pine River, and Tobacco River, Michi-  
10      gan, authorized by section 8201(a)(46) of the Water  
11      Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.  
12      3747).

13          (37) Project for flood control of the Mississippi  
14      River in its alluvial valley and for its improvement  
15      from the Head of the Passes to Cape Girardeau,  
16      Missouri, authorized by the first section of the Act  
17      of May 15, 1928 (chapter 569, 45 Stat. 534; 138  
18      Stat. 3060).

19          (38) Project for flood risk management, Berry's  
20      Creek, New Jersey, authorized by section  
21      1201(a)(91) of the Water Resources Development  
22      Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).

23          (39) Project for storm damage reduction and  
24      shoreline protection, including the engineering docu-  
25      mentation report, Brigantine Inlet to Great Egg

1 Harbor Inlet, Absecon Island, New Jersey, author-  
2 ized by section 101(b)(13) of the Water Resources  
3 Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3668).

4 (40) Project for flood risk management,  
5 Fleischer Brook, New Jersey, authorized by section  
6 1201(a)(92) of the Water Resources Development  
7 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).

8 (41) Project for flood risk management and hy-  
9 dropower, Great Falls Raceway, Paterson, New Jer-  
10 sey, authorized by section 1201(a)(93) of the Water  
11 Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat.  
12 3055).

13 (42) General reevaluation report for the project  
14 for flood risk management, Green Brook Sub-basin,  
15 Raritan River Basin, New Jersey, authorized by sec-  
16 tion 401 of the Water Resources Development Act  
17 of 1986 (100 Stat. 4119; 134 Stat. 2671; 138 Stat.  
18 3066).

19 (43) General reevaluation report for the project  
20 for hurricane and storm damage, Hereford Inlet to  
21 Cape May Inlet, Cape May County, New Jersey, au-  
22 thorized by section 1401(3) of the Water Resources  
23 Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1712).

24 (44) Project for flood risk management, Ho-  
25 Ho-Kus Brook and Saddle River, Village of Ridge-

1 wood, New Jersey, authorized by section  
2 1201(a)(98) of the Water Resources Development  
3 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).

4 (45) Project for flood risk management and  
5 ecosystem restoration, Passaic River Basin, Bergen,  
6 Essex, Hudson, Morris, and Passaic Counties, New  
7 Jersey, authorized by section 1201(a)(95) of the  
8 Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138  
9 Stat. 3055).

10 (46) Project for navigation and flood risk man-  
11 agement, Passaic River, Paterson, New Jersey, au-  
12 thorized by section 1201(a)(96) of the Water Re-  
13 sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).

14 (47) Project for navigation, Borough of  
15 Paulsboro, New Jersey, authorized by section  
16 1201(a)(97) of the Water Resources Development  
17 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).

18 (48) Project for flood risk management along  
19 the Peckman River Basin in the townships of  
20 Verona (and surrounding area), Cedar Grove, and  
21 West Caldwell, New Jersey, authorized by section  
22 8201(a)(58) of the Water Resources Development  
23 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3747).

24 (49) Project for flood risk management,  
25 Whippany River Watershed, Morris County, New

1 Jersey, authorized by section 8201(a)(59) of the  
2 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136  
3 Stat. 3747).

4 (50) Project for flood risk management, Wolf  
5 Creek, New Jersey, authorized by section  
6 1201(a)(99) of the Water Resources Development  
7 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).

8 (51) Project for storm damage reduction, to in-  
9 clude additional replacement of beach groins, Long  
10 Beach, New York, authorized by section 101(a)(21)  
11 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996  
12 (110 Stat. 3665; 138 Stat. 3061).

13 (52) Project for ecosystem restoration, Great  
14 Salt Lake, Utah, authorized by section 8201(a)(88)  
15 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022  
16 (136 Stat. 3749).

17 (53) Project for coastal storm risk manage-  
18 ment, Virginia Coastal Storm Risk Management, au-  
19 thorized pursuant to the Act of June 15, 1955  
20 (chapter 140, 69 Stat. 132).

21 (b) STUDY REPORTS.—The Secretary shall expedite  
22 the completion of a final report of the Chief of Engineers  
23 or other decision document, as applicable, for each of the  
24 following projects for the project to be considered for au-  
25 thorization:

1           (1) Project for flood risk management, Selma  
2       Flood Risk Management and Bank Stabilization,  
3       Alabama, authorized by section 8401(2) of the  
4       Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136  
5       Stat. 3839).

6           (2) Project for ecosystem restoration, Salt River  
7       (Va Shly’Ay Akimel), Maricopa County, Arizona, as  
8       authorized by section 6 of the Act of June 28, 1938  
9       (chapter 795, 52 Stat. 1225).

10          (3) Study for repairs to recontour and stabilize  
11       the slope at Dardanelle Lock and Dam, Arkansas,  
12       authorized pursuant to section 7 of the Act of July  
13       24, 1946 (chapter 595, 60 Stat. 640).

14          (4) Project for ecosystem restoration and recre-  
15       ation, Los Angeles River, California, as authorized  
16       by section 1407(7) of the Water Resources Develop-  
17       ment Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1714).

18          (5) Project for flood risk reduction, San Fran-  
19       cisco Bay, California, authorized by section 142 of  
20       the Water Resources Development Act of 1976 (90  
21       Stat. 2930; 100 Stat. 4158; 134 Stat. 2672; 138  
22       Stat. 3136).

23          (6) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central  
24       and South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Res-  
25       toration Program, Central Everglades Planning

1 Project, Florida, authorized pursuant to section  
2 601(d)(2)(b) of the Water Resources Development  
3 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2684; 110 Stat. 3767; 114  
4 Stat. 2680; 121 Stat. 1052; 128 Stat. 1369; 130  
5 Stat. 1713; 132 Stat. 3819; 136 Stat. 3791; 138  
6 Stat. 3067).

7 (7) Project for navigation, Port Everglades,  
8 Florida, authorized by section 1401(1) of the Water  
9 Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat.  
10 1709; 136 Stat. 3792).

11 (8) Project for flood risk management and resil-  
12 iency, Lower Missouri Jefferson City L-142 Flood  
13 Risk Management Study, Lower and Upper Missouri  
14 River Comprehensive Flood Protection, Missouri, au-  
15 thorized by section 2 of the Act of August 18, 1941  
16 (chapter 377, 55 Stat. 646; 58 Stat. 897; 134 Stat.  
17 2687).

18 (9) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-  
19 tion, New York and New Jersey Harbor and Tribu-  
20 taries, New York and New Jersey, as authorized by  
21 the Act of June 15, 1955 (chapter 140, 69 Stat.  
22 132; 134 Stat. 2676; 138 Stat. 3152).

23 (10) Project for coastal storm risk manage-  
24 ment, Nassau County Back Bays, New York, au-

1       thorized pursuant to the Act of June 15, 1955  
2       (chapter 140, 69 Stat. 132).

3           (11) Project for navigation, Matagorda Ship  
4       Channel Improvement Project, Port Lavaca, Texas,  
5       authorized by section 401(1) of the Water Resources  
6       Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2734; 138  
7       Stat. 3083).

8           (12) Project for hurricane and storm damage  
9       reduction, navigation, and ecosystem restoration,  
10      Chincoteague Island, Virginia, authorized by section  
11      1201(27) of the Water Resources Development Act  
12      of 2016 (130 Stat. 1683).

13      (c) WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.—  
14      The Secretary shall expedite the completion of an assess-  
15      ment under section 729 of the Water Resources Develop-  
16      ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a) for Lower Rouge  
17      River Watershed, Michigan.

18      (d) DREDGED MATERIALS MANAGEMENT PLANS.—  
19      The Secretary shall expedite the completion of a dredged  
20      material management plan for each of the following  
21      projects:

22           (1) Homer Small Boat Harbor, Homer, Alaska,  
23       authorized pursuant to section 204 of the Flood  
24       Control Act of 1948 (62 Stat. 1181).

1           (2) Ashtabula Harbor, Ohio, authorized by the  
2       first section of the Act of June 3, 1896 (chapter  
3       314, 29 Stat. 209).

4           (3) Cleveland Harbor, Ohio, authorized by the  
5       first section of the Act of August 14, 1876 (chapter  
6       267, 19 Stat. 133; 100 Stat. 4095; 101 Stat. 1329–  
7       107).

8       (e) WATER CONTROL MANUALS.—The Secretary  
9       shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite comple-  
10      tion of updates to the water control manuals at the Ter-  
11      minus Dam and Lake Kaweah Project, Kaweah River,  
12      California, authorized by section 10 of the Act of Decem-  
13      ber 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58 Stat. 901).

14      (f) ISSUES EVALUATION STUDIES.—The Secretary  
15      shall expedite the completion of the Issues Evaluation  
16      Study for Black Butte Lake, Stoney Creek, California, au-  
17      thorized pursuant to the Act of December 22, 1944 (chap-  
18      ter 665, 58 Stat. 900).

19      (g) NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR AND  
20      TRIBUTARIES.—

21           (1) SCHEDULE.—Not later than 90 days after  
22      the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in  
23      consultation with the non-Federal interests for the  
24      project described in subsection (b)(9), shall provide  
25      to such non-Federal interests and congressional dele-

1 gations a schedule for completion of the final report  
2 of the Chief of Engineers and other project decision  
3 documents including—

4 (A) the remaining study, review, decision  
5 milestones, and budget;

6 (B) any separable elements, actionable ele-  
7 ments, phases, reaches, or features expected to  
8 be advanced and the anticipated timeline for  
9 any such advancements for the duration of the  
10 project until completion;

11 (C) the status of responses to public com-  
12 ments received on the 2022 Draft Integrated  
13 Feasibility Report and Tier 1 Environmental  
14 Impact Statement and Tentatively Selected  
15 Plan; and

16 (D) any impediments to completion of the  
17 final report of the Chief of Engineers and any  
18 other decision document.

19 (2) BRIEFINGS.—Until completion of the final  
20 report of the Chief of Engineers and other decision  
21 documents described in paragraph (1), the Secretary  
22 shall brief the non-Federal interests and congres-  
23 sional delegations not less frequently than once per  
24 quarter on the status of the project, including

1 progress toward the milestones identified under  
2 paragraph (1).

3 (3) CONGRESSIONAL REPORTS.—Not later than  
4 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act,  
5 and annually thereafter for a period of 5 years, the  
6 Secretary shall submit to Congress a report detailing  
7 the progress on the schedule for completion required  
8 under this subsection.

9 **SEC. 203. EXPEDITED MODIFICATION OF EXISTING FEASI-**  
10 **BILITY STUDIES.**

11 (a) UNALASKA (DUTCH HARBOR), ALASKA MODI-  
12 FICATION.—The feasibility study for navigation, Unalaska  
13 (Dutch Harbor) Channels, Alaska, authorized by section  
14 401(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020  
15 (134 Stat. 2734) is modified to authorize the Secretary  
16 to include in the study coastal storm risk management and  
17 shoreline erosion protection.

18 (b) COLUMBUS AND HICKMAN, KENTUCKY.—The  
19 feasibility study for the project for flood risk management,  
20 including riverbank stabilization, Columbus, Kentucky,  
21 authorized by section 8201(a)(28) of the Water Resources  
22 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3746), is modified  
23 to authorize the Secretary to include in the study bluff  
24 stabilization as an authorized purpose and to include in  
25 the study area the City of Hickman, Kentucky.

1       (c) ALEXANDRIA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LOU-  
2 ISIANA.—The feasibility study for flood control, naviga-  
3 tion, wetland conservation and restoration, wildlife habi-  
4 tat, commercial and recreational fishing, saltwater intru-  
5 sion, freshwater and sediment diversion, and other pur-  
6 poses, in the area drained by the intercepted drainage sys-  
7 tem of the West Atchafalaya Basin Protection Levee, from  
8 Alexandria, Louisiana to the Gulf of Mexico, being carried  
9 out under Committee Resolution 2535 of the Committee  
10 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
11 Representatives, adopted July 23, 1997, as authorized by  
12 section 1202(4) of the Water Resources Development Act  
13 of 2024 (138 Stat. 3064), is modified to include Vermilion  
14 Bay.

15       (d) MAURICE RIVER, NEW JERSEY.—The feasibility  
16 study for the project for navigation and for beneficial use  
17 of dredged materials for hurricane and storm damage risk  
18 reduction and ecosystem restoration, Maurice River, New  
19 Jersey, authorized by section 8201(a)(53) of the Water  
20 Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3747), is  
21 modified to authorize the Secretary to include streambank  
22 erosion and shoreline protection and to include in the  
23 study area the East Point Lighthouse.

24       (e) EXPEDITED COMPLETION.—The Secretary shall  
25 expedite the completion of the feasibility studies described

1 in subsections (a) through (d), as modified by such sub-  
2 sections, and if the Secretary determines that a project  
3 that is the subject of the feasibility study described in sub-  
4 section (a), (b), (c), or (d) is justified in the completed  
5 report, may proceed directly to preconstruction planning,  
6 engineering, and design of the project.

7 **SEC. 204. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF OTHER FEASIBILITY**  
8 **STUDIES.**

9 (a) CEDAR PORT NAVIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT  
10 DISTRICT CHANNEL DEEPENING PROJECT, BAYTOWN,  
11 TEXAS.—The Secretary shall expedite the review and co-  
12 ordination of the feasibility study for the project for navi-  
13 gation, Cedar Port Navigation and Improvement District  
14 Channel Deepening Project, Baytown, Texas, under sec-  
15 tion 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
16 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

17 (b) RAYMONDVILLE DRAIN PROJECT, TEXAS.—The  
18 Secretary shall expedite the review and coordination of the  
19 feasibility study for the project for flood control,  
20 Raymondville Drain Project, Lower Rio Grande Basin,  
21 Texas, under section 203(b) of the Water Resources De-  
22 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

23 (c) SABINE-NECHES WATERWAY NAVIGATION IM-  
24 PROVEMENT PROJECT, TEXAS.—The Secretary shall ex-  
25 pedite the review and coordination of the feasibility study

1 for the project for navigation, Sabine-Neches Waterway,  
2 Texas, under section 203(b) of the Water Resources De-  
3 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

4 (d) SOUTHERN FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DIS-  
5 TRICT, CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA FLOOD RE-  
6 SILIENCY STUDY (BROWARD BASINS), FLORIDA.—The  
7 Secretary shall expedite the review and coordination of the  
8 Central and Southern Florida Resiliency Study—Broward  
9 Basins for the project for flood risk management, South-  
10 ern Florida Water Management District, Florida, under  
11 section 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act  
12 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

13 **SEC. 205. CORPS OF ENGINEERS REPORTS.**

14 (a) REPORT ON THE FORMULATION OF FUTURE  
15 WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
17 the date of enactment of this Act, and biennially  
18 thereafter for a period of 10 years, the Secretary  
19 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
20 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
21 and the Committee on Environment and Public  
22 Works of the Senate a report describing the use of  
23 the procedures under part 234 of title 33, Code of  
24 Federal Regulations, issued pursuant to section 110  
25 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020

1 (42 U.S.C. 1962–4), with respect to ongoing feasi-  
2 bility studies for water resources development  
3 projects.

4 (2) INCLUSION.—The Secretary shall include in  
5 the report submitted under paragraph (1)—

6 (A) a project-by-project identification of  
7 any feasibility study carried out in accordance  
8 with the procedures described in paragraph (1)  
9 and an identification of, and rationale for, any  
10 study carried out during the period applicable  
11 to the report that was not developed using such  
12 procedures;

13 (B) an evaluation of the outcomes of such  
14 procedures on the formulation, evaluation, and  
15 recommendations for a proposed water re-  
16 sources development project; and

17 (C) a summary of stakeholder engagement  
18 and public input received related to the formu-  
19 lation, evaluation, and recommendation of the  
20 project.

21 (b) REPORT ON IMPACTS OF COMMERCIAL AND IN-  
22 DUSTRIAL WATER SUPPLY USERS ON WATER RESOURCES  
23 DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—Not later than 1 year after  
24 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall sub-  
25 mit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-

1 ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee  
2 on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report  
3 describing the effects of new commercial and industrial  
4 water users on water resources development projects au-  
5 thorized for the purpose of water supply or water con-  
6 servation.

7 (c) REPORT ON FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR  
8 OPERATIONS.—

9 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
10 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
11 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
12 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
13 and the Committee on Environment and Public  
14 Works of the Senate a report detailing the imple-  
15 mentation of forecast-informed reservoir operations  
16 at any reservoir constructed, owned, or operated by  
17 the Secretary, including a reservoir for which the  
18 Secretary is authorized to prescribe regulations for  
19 the use of storage allocated for flood control or navi-  
20 gation pursuant to section 7 of the Act of December  
21 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 709).

22 (2) CONTENTS.—The Secretary shall include in  
23 the report submitted under paragraph (1)—

24 (A) a list of projects at which forecast-in-  
25 formed reservoir operations have been imple-

1           mented or evaluated and, with respect to any  
2           project for which such operations were evalu-  
3           ated but not implemented, a rationale for why  
4           the operations were not implemented at each  
5           such project;

6           (B) a list of projects at which the viability  
7           of using airborne snow observatory flights has  
8           been implemented or evaluated for use in fore-  
9           cast-informed reservoir operations and, with re-  
10          spect to any project for which such operations  
11          were evaluated but not implemented, a rationale  
12          for why the operations were not implemented at  
13          each such project;

14          (C) an assessment of the effectiveness of  
15          such operations in improving water supply and  
16          flood risk management, and other authorized  
17          project purposes;

18          (D) any barriers to implementation of fore-  
19          cast-informed reservoir operations, including  
20          technical, operational, or legal constraints; and

21          (E) recommendations for any modifications  
22          to existing authorities to expand or improve  
23          such operations.

24          (d) RECREATIONAL ACCESS FOR FLOATING CAB-

25   INS.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
2       the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
3       shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
4       and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
5       and the Committee on Environment and Public  
6       Works of the Senate a report on floating cabins, in-  
7       cluding—

8           (A) a summary of any authorities and pro-  
9       cedures the Secretary uses to manage activities  
10      or permissions relating to floating cabins;

11          (B) identification of any laws, regulations,  
12      administrative barriers, or other limitations  
13      that prohibit or inhibit greater use of, or instal-  
14      lation of new, floating cabins;

15          (C) recommendations of the Secretary with  
16      respect to changes the Secretary may imple-  
17      ment to facilitate greater use of, or installation  
18      of new, floating cabins; and

19          (D) with respect to each recommendation  
20      identified under subparagraph (C)—

21              (i) the estimated cost to the Corps of  
22          Engineers to implement, and operate  
23          under, such change; and

1                   (ii) a detailed description of any ef-  
2                   fects, including benefits, that such change  
3                   is expected to have on—

4                   (I) any operations at water re-  
5                   sources development projects operated  
6                   and maintained by the Corps; and

7                   (II) the mission of the Corps as  
8                   it relates to recreation.

9                   (2) FLOATING CABIN DEFINED.—In this sub-  
10                  section, the term “floating cabin” means a floating  
11                  cabin, as such term is defined in section 1035 of the  
12                  Water Resources Reform and Development Act of  
13                  2014 (128 Stat. 1234), that is located on waters  
14                  subject to the jurisdiction of the Secretary, including  
15                  Federal water resources reservoirs or lake projects  
16                  administered by the Secretary.

17                  (e) REPORT ON EASEMENTS FOR HURRICANE AND  
18                  STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION PROJECTS.—Not later than  
19                  1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
20                  retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
21                  and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and  
22                  the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
23                  Senate a report describing the implementation of authori-  
24                  ties granted in section 1145(d) of the Water Resources

1 Development Act of 2024 (33 U.S.C. 598c(d)), includ-  
2 ing—

3 (1) an assessment of any administrative actions  
4 taken (including any guidance issued, policy changes  
5 made, or process improvements implemented) by the  
6 Secretary to implement such section;

7 (2) a summary of the use of each authority  
8 used by the Secretary in carrying out a project pur-  
9 suant to such section, including—

10 (A) the number and types of projects for  
11 which each such authority was used;

12 (B) the status of any easement acquisition,  
13 easement relocation, or easement modification  
14 activity carried out using each such authority;  
15 and

16 (C) any reduction in project delays or  
17 costs, or any reduction in administrative bur-  
18 dens, attributable to the use of each such au-  
19 thority; and

20 (3) identification of any additional legislative  
21 authorities the Secretary determines are necessary  
22 to improve project delivery under such section.

23 (f) BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL; MAN-  
24 AGEMENT PLANS REPORT.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days  
2     after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
3     retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
4     tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
5     atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
6     lic Works of the Senate the strategic plan required  
7     by section 8130(a) of the Water Resources Develop-  
8     ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3717), developed in ac-  
9     cordance with the national goal described in section  
10    125(a)(1)(B) of the Water Resources Development  
11    Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2326g(a)(1)(B)).

12           (2) FAILURE TO MEET DEADLINE.—If the Sec-  
13    retary fails to submit the strategic plan described in  
14    paragraph (1) by the deadline established by such  
15    paragraph, the Secretary shall, not later than 225  
16    days after the date of enactment of this Act, submit  
17    to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-  
18    ture of the House of Representatives and the Com-  
19    mittee on Environment and Public Works of the  
20    Senate—

21           (A) a detailed explanation of why the Sec-  
22    retary failed to submit the strategic plan by the  
23    deadline;

1 (B) a summary of the status of the devel-  
2 opment of the strategic plan, including whether  
3 it has been initiated; and

4 (C) a projected date for submission of the  
5 strategic plan.

6 (g) REPORT ON THE APPLICABILITY OF ARCHITEC-  
7 TURAL AND ENGINEERING FEE CAPS.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
9 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
10 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
11 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
12 and the Committee on Environment and Public  
13 Works of the Senate a report on any statutory, reg-  
14 ulatory, or policy limitation on architectural and en-  
15 gineering service fees applicable to water resources  
16 development projects carried out under the civil  
17 works program of the Corps of Engineers.

18 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary shall in-  
19 clude in the report submitted under paragraph (1)—

20 (A) a description of any limitation applied  
21 by the Secretary to architectural and engineer-  
22 ing service fees for such projects, including,  
23 where applicable, the identification of the au-  
24 thority for the limitation; and

1 (B) an assessment of the effects of any  
2 limitations applied by the Secretary to such fees  
3 on the ability of the Secretary to carry out such  
4 projects, including a list of projects the cost or  
5 schedule of which was affected by such limita-  
6 tions.

7 (h) REPORT ON REALIGNMENT OF CORPS DIVI-  
8 SIONS.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment  
9 of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee  
10 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
11 Representatives and the Committee on Environment and  
12 Public Works of the Senate a report detailing the implica-  
13 tions and feasibility of realigning the divisions of the  
14 Corps to transfer the responsibilities of the Little Rock  
15 District to the Mississippi Valley Division.

16 **SEC. 206. REPORT ON THE INLAND WATERWAYS SYSTEM.**

17 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
18 comprehensive study to evaluate the condition and reli-  
19 ability of water resources development projects within in-  
20 land and intracoastal waterways of the United States.

21 (b) COMPONENTS.—In carrying out the study re-  
22 quired under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

23 (1) examine inland and intracoastal waterways  
24 of the United States and the ability of such water-

1 ways to meet the needs of the United States, includ-  
2 ing emergency and defense needs;

3 (2) investigate the reliability and effectiveness  
4 of water resources development projects within such  
5 waterways with respect to commerce, navigation, en-  
6 vironment, and recreation;

7 (3) assess the condition of flood control struc-  
8 tures (including locks, levees, and dams) along such  
9 waterways to determine the structural importance  
10 and identify any necessary repairs to maintain fu-  
11 ture reliability of such waterways;

12 (4) assess the effectiveness of ecosystem res-  
13 toration and mitigation efforts within such water-  
14 ways;

15 (5) evaluate water resources development  
16 projects (including separable elements) within such  
17 waterways that will reduce recurring dredging re-  
18 quirements to maintain authorized navigation di-  
19 mensions of such waterways and prevent channel mi-  
20 gration;

21 (6) assess the funding needed to improve the  
22 reliability and effectiveness of water resources devel-  
23 opment projects within such waterways; and

24 (7) identify components of water resources de-  
25 velopment projects that, in case of failure, would

1       likely have significant impacts on the function of  
2       such waterways.

3       (c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18  
4 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
5 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
6 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and  
7 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
8 Senate a report on the study required under subsection  
9 (a).

10       (d) INLAND AND INTRACOASTAL WATERWAYS OF  
11 THE UNITED STATES DEFINED.—In this section, the  
12 term “inland and intracoastal waterways of the United  
13 States” means the inland and intracoastal waterways de-  
14 scribed in section 206 of the Inland Waterways Revenue  
15 Act of 1978 (33 U.S.C. 1804).

16 **SEC. 207. COASTAL STORM RISK MANAGEMENT TRUST**  
17 **FUND STUDY.**

18       The Secretary shall conduct a study on the creation  
19 of a Coastal Storm Risk Management Trust Fund, includ-  
20 ing identification of potential sources of funding for such  
21 a trust fund.

22 **SEC. 208. ASSESSMENT OF EMERGING HARBOR MAINTENANCE**  
23 **BACKLOG.**

24       (a) ASSESSMENT.—Not later than 1 year after the  
25 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall conduct

1 an assessment of federally authorized emerging harbors  
2 that have experienced deferred maintenance, inadequate  
3 operations and maintenance funding, or recurring naviga-  
4 tion deficiencies.

5 (b) CONTENTS.—In conducting the assessment under  
6 subsection (a), the Secretary shall identify, for each  
7 emerging harbor—

8 (1) the authorized dimensions and current con-  
9 dition of the harbor and associated Federal naviga-  
10 tion features;

11 (2) the extent of deferred maintenance and  
12 dredging needs;

13 (3) the economic, public safety, emergency re-  
14 sponse, commercial fishing, Tribal, and recreational  
15 impacts associated with deferred maintenance;

16 (4) whether the harbor has been unable to re-  
17 ceive regular maintenance because of current ben-  
18 efit-cost methodologies or other Federal policies;

19 (5) whether the harbor has not received Federal  
20 operations and maintenance funding for a period of  
21 not less than 10 years and the impacts of such lack  
22 of maintenance on navigation, safety, and local eco-  
23 nomic activity;

24 (6) recommendations for addressing identified  
25 maintenance backlogs; and

1 (7) a determination of—

2 (A) the interest of State, local, and Tribal  
3 governments, and port authorities, in assuming  
4 responsibility for the operation and mainte-  
5 nance of the emerging harbor; and

6 (B) any legal, financial, or operational bar-  
7 riers to such assumption of responsibility.

8 (c) CONSULTATION.—In conducting the assessment  
9 under this section, the Secretary shall consult with State,  
10 local, Tribal, and port authorities regarding—

11 (1) the potential deauthorization of federally  
12 authorized emerging harbors;

13 (2) the interest of non-Federal public entities in  
14 assuming responsibility for the operation and main-  
15 tenance of such harbors; and

16 (3) the authorities, funding mechanisms, and  
17 technical assistance that may be necessary to facili-  
18 tate such transfers.

19 (d) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—

20 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
21 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
22 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
23 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
24 and the Committee on Environment and Public  
25 Works of the Senate a report containing the results

1 of the assessment conducted under this section and  
2 recommendations for administrative or legislative ac-  
3 tions to address any identified backlogs, including  
4 any recommendations regarding the transfer of oper-  
5 ation and maintenance responsibilities to interested  
6 non-Federal entities.

7 (2) PRIORITY LIST.—The Secretary shall in-  
8 clude in the report under paragraph (1) a prioritized  
9 list of emerging harbor maintenance projects based  
10 on economic importance, public safety, commercial  
11 fishing activity, Tribal reliance, emergency access  
12 needs, and the extent of deferred maintenance.

13 (e) EMERGING HARBOR DEFINED.—In this section,  
14 the term “emerging harbor” has the meaning given the  
15 term in section 210(f)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-  
16 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(f)(2)).

17 **SEC. 209. GAO STUDIES.**

18 (a) STUDY ON COST-SHARING EFFORTS FOR RECRE-  
19 ATION FACILITIES.—

20 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
21 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
22 General of the United States shall initiate a review  
23 of the efforts of the Secretary to share with non-  
24 Federal public entities and private nonprofit entities  
25 the cost of managing recreation facilities and nat-

1        ural resources at water resource development  
2        projects under the jurisdiction of the Secretary.

3            (2) REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting the review  
4        under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General  
5        shall—

6            (A) describe the actions the Secretary is  
7        taking, or plans to take, to implement section  
8        225 of the Water Resources Development Act  
9        of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2328);

10          (B) evaluate the use of joint management  
11        agreements, including cooperative agreements  
12        under subsection (b)(1) of such section, and  
13        other partnership authorities, that allow the  
14        Secretary to share responsibilities with, or  
15        transfer or delegate responsibilities to, non-Fed-  
16        eral public entities and private nonprofit enti-  
17        ties, for the operation and management of  
18        recreation facilities and natural resources;

19          (C) assess the effectiveness of such agree-  
20        ments in reducing Federal costs, improving fa-  
21        cility conditions, and maintaining or enhancing  
22        public access;

23          (D) identify any legal, regulatory, or ad-  
24        ministrative barriers to the expanded use of  
25        such agreements; and

1           (E) develop recommendations for legisla-  
2           tive or administrative actions to improve the ef-  
3           ficiency and effectiveness of such partnership  
4           authorities.

5           (3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review  
6           required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
7           eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
8           tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
9           atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
10          lic Works of the Senate a report that contains the  
11          results of such review, including any recommenda-  
12          tions developed under paragraph (2)(E).

13          (b) STUDY ON BEACH RENOURISHMENT EFFORTS.—

14           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
15          the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
16          General of the United States shall initiate an anal-  
17          ysis that includes—

18           (A) the statutory authorities and programs  
19           used by, and available to, the Secretary to sup-  
20           port beach renourishment cycles, including ini-  
21           tial construction, periodic renourishment, and  
22           emergency renourishment activities;

23           (B) an evaluation of financial, regulatory,  
24           and technical barriers that contribute to delays  
25           in carrying out beach renourishment activities;

1 (C) a description of the Corps of Engineers  
2 process for evaluating the beneficial use of  
3 dredged material for beach renourishment ac-  
4 tivities, including procuring materials from  
5 other projects carried out by the Corps of Engi-  
6 neers; and

7 (D) recommendations for legislative, regu-  
8 latory, or administrative actions to address bar-  
9 riers identified under subparagraph (B) and to  
10 improve the efficiency, predictability, and effec-  
11 tiveness of the beach renourishment efforts of  
12 the Corps of Engineers.

13 (2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis  
14 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
15 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
16 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
17 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
18 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of  
19 such analysis.

20 (c) STUDY ON ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING  
21 DESIGN SERVICES.—

22 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
23 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
24 General of the United States shall initiate a review  
25 of the methods by which the Corps of Engineers per-

1 forms architectural and engineering design services,  
2 including—

3 (A) an analysis of the procurement of such  
4 services through contracts with private sector  
5 firms;

6 (B) an assessment of the extent to which  
7 employees of the Corps of Engineers carry out  
8 such services; and

9 (C) a comparative assessment of the bene-  
10 fits and costs of the methods described in sub-  
11 paragraphs (A) and (B).

12 (2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review  
13 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
14 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
15 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
16 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
17 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of  
18 such review.

19 (d) REVIEW OF COMPLIANCE WITH STATE FISH AND  
20 WILDLIFE RECOMMENDATIONS.—

21 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days  
22 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comp-  
23 troller General of the United States shall initiate a  
24 review of the compliance of the Secretary with any  
25 requirements under, and incorporation of any rec-

ommendations submitted to the Secretary pursuant to, the following:

(A) Section 2 of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 U.S.C. 662), including technical recommendations related to evaluating impacts to fish and wildlife resources.

(B) State management programs approved under section 306 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1455).

(C) State comprehensive plans approved under section 4 of the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669c).

(2) REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting the review under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall—

(A) assess the extent to which the Corps of Engineers incorporates any recommendation submitted by a Federal or State agency pursuant to the statutes identified in paragraph (1) in developing a feasibility study for the construction or modification of a water resources development project or an operations or mitigation plan for a water resources development project; and

1 (B) to the extent that any recommendation  
2 is not incorporated, provide a detailed expla-  
3 nation and legal justification as to why such  
4 recommendation was not incorporated.

5 (3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review  
6 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
7 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
8 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
9 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
10 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of  
11 such review.

12 **SEC. 210. INSPECTOR GENERAL REPORTS.**

13 (a) REPORT ON INHERENTLY GOVERNMENTAL  
14 FUNCTIONS.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after  
16 the date of enactment of this Act, the Engineer In-  
17 spector General of the Corps of Engineers shall sub-  
18 mit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-  
19 structure of the House of Representatives and the  
20 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
21 Senate a report on the policies of the Corps of Engi-  
22 neers related to identifying the inherently govern-  
23 mental function status of Corps of Engineers park  
24 rangers.

1           (2) CONTENTS.—In the report submitted under  
2       paragraph (1), the Engineer Inspector General shall  
3       include—

4           (A) an assessment of—

5               (i) the policies, guidance, and prac-  
6               tices of the Corps of Engineers for identi-  
7               fying and classifying functions and duties  
8               related to environmental management,  
9               public safety, and enforcement duties for  
10              projects; and

11              (ii) the extent to which such policies,  
12              guidance, and practices comply with the  
13              requirements of the Federal Activities In-  
14              ventory Reform Act of 1998 (31 U.S.C.  
15              501 note) and other applicable laws, regu-  
16              lations, and guidance governing inherently  
17              governmental functions;

18           (B) an assessment of any risks to environ-  
19       mental management, public safety, enforcement  
20       duties, or governmental decisionmaking result-  
21       ing from the potential misclassification of park  
22       ranger functions;

23           (C) an assessment of Corps of Engineers  
24       policies and procedures for distinguishing func-  
25       tions that must be performed by Federal em-

1 employees from functions that may appropriately  
2 be performed by contractors;

3 (D) an assessment of any potential defi-  
4 ciencies in Corps of Engineers policies, proce-  
5 dures, internal controls, staffing practices, or  
6 organizational structures that may impair com-  
7 pliance with applicable requirements governing  
8 inherently governmental functions and the ef-  
9 fective performance of environmental manage-  
10 ment, public safety, and enforcement duties;  
11 and

12 (E) any recommendations for administra-  
13 tive or legislative actions necessary to improve  
14 compliance with requirements governing inher-  
15 ently governmental functions and the perform-  
16 ance of park ranger responsibilities.

17 (b) REPORT ON TRIBAL POLICIES AND PROGRAMS.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after  
19 the date of enactment of this Act, the Engineer In-  
20 spector General of the Corps of Engineers shall sub-  
21 mit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-  
22 structure of the House of Representatives and the  
23 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
24 Senate a report on the implementation of policies  
25 and programs of the Corps of Engineers relating to

1 Tribal communities, including the uniformity of ap-  
2 plication of such policies and programs across all  
3 districts of the Corps of Engineers.

4 (2) CONTENTS.—In the report submitted under  
5 paragraph (1), the Engineer Inspector General shall  
6 include—

7 (A) an assessment of the Tribal Liaison  
8 position (as established by section 8112 of the  
9 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (33  
10 U.S.C. 2281a)), including a description of how  
11 each applicable district office has implemented  
12 the position and the responsibilities of such po-  
13 sition;

14 (B) the implementation status of—

15 (i) section 203 of the Water Re-  
16 sources Development Act of 2000 (33  
17 U.S.C. 2269);

18 (ii) section 1141 of the Water Re-  
19 sources Development Act of 2024 (33  
20 U.S.C. 2269 note); and

21 (iii) section 8115 of the Water Re-  
22 sources Development Act of 2022 (33  
23 U.S.C. 2281b note);

24 (C) an assessment of the Secretary's align-  
25 ment with requirements of subsections (c) and

1 (d) of section 112 of the Water Resources De-  
2 velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2356) with  
3 respect to Tribal communities;

4 (D) an assessment of the alignment of the  
5 Secretary's guidance, guidelines, and policies  
6 with clearly stated congressional intent with re-  
7 spect to Tribal communities; and

8 (E) an evaluation of barriers within the  
9 Corps of Engineers that hinder or prohibit suc-  
10 cessful Tribal engagement, consultation, or  
11 partnership.

12 (c) REPORT ON LOWER PEARL RIVER RESTORATION  
13 PROJECT.—

14 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Engineer Inspector  
15 General of the Corps of Engineers shall submit to  
16 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure  
17 of the House of Representatives a report on the fail-  
18 ure and degradation of the weir constructed at Wil-  
19 son's Slough, near Walkiah Bluff, Mississippi, as  
20 part of the project for flood damage reduction, Pearl  
21 River Basin, including Shoccoe, Mississippi, author-  
22 ized by section 401(e)(3) of the Water Resources  
23 Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4132; 121  
24 Stat. 1134).

1           (2) CONTENTS.—The report submitted under  
2 paragraph (1) shall include—

3           (A) an assessment of the design, construc-  
4 tion, operation, and maintenance of the weir;

5           (B) a determination, to the extent prac-  
6 ticable, of whether the failure or degradation of  
7 the weir was primarily attributable to structural  
8 deficiencies, inadequate operations or mainte-  
9 nance, natural events, or a combination thereof;  
10 and

11           (C) any recommendations for administra-  
12 tive or legislative actions necessary to restore  
13 functionality of the Lower Pearl River Restora-  
14 tion project or prevent similar failures in future  
15 environmental restoration projects.

16 **SEC. 211. ACCELERATION OF EMERGENCY INLAND NAVIGA-**  
17 **TION PROJECTS.**

18       In carrying out any authorized inland navigation  
19 project, the Secretary shall expedite completion of any  
20 modification, repair, or rehabilitation that is subject to an  
21 emergency declaration issued by the Secretary, including  
22 a non-breach emergency, to ensure continued navigation  
23 functionality and structural integrity, including by expe-  
24 diting the completion of investigations, engineering and

1 design, and construction activities necessary to resolve  
2 emergency conditions.

3 **SEC. 212. ASSESSMENT OF COMMERCIAL FISH LANDINGS**  
4 **DATA.**

5 Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment  
6 of this Act, the Secretary shall provide to the Committee  
7 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
8 Representatives and the Committee on Environment and  
9 Public Works of the Senate the results of the corrective  
10 action plan developed by the Secretary in response to the  
11 report of the Comptroller General of the United States,  
12 published on April 28, 2025, and titled “Army Corps of  
13 Engineers: Commercial Fishing Data Could Help Inform  
14 Budget Process” (GAO–25–107447).

15 **SEC. 213. ASSESSMENTS OF DRYLAND STREAM TECH-**  
16 **NOLOGIES AND SHORELINE STABILIZATION**  
17 **TECHNOLOGIES.**

18 (a) ASSESSMENT OF NATURAL INFRASTRUCTURE IN  
19 DRYLAND STREAMS TECHNOLOGIES AND APPROACHES.—

20 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, acting  
21 through the Director of the Engineer Research and  
22 Development Center, shall carry out research and  
23 development activities on, and test the effectiveness  
24 of, natural infrastructure in dryland streams tech-

1 nologies and approaches to using such technologies  
2 in arid, semi-arid, and drought-prone areas.

3 (2) CONSIDERATIONS.—In carrying out para-  
4 graph (1), the Secretary shall, to the extent prac-  
5 ticable—

6 (A) ensure that the locations where re-  
7 search and development activities and tests are  
8 carried out are geographically diverse;

9 (B) undertake research and development  
10 activities and tests that include human-made in-  
11 frastructure that mimics natural infrastructure,  
12 such as rock check dams, beaver dam analogs,  
13 gabions, and weirs; and

14 (C) based on information collected from  
15 the carrying out and completion of research and  
16 development activities and tests described in  
17 subparagraph (B), assess the potential uses and  
18 effectiveness of such human-made infrastruc-  
19 ture with respect to restoring dryland eco-  
20 systems, enhancing flood risk reduction efforts,  
21 and enhancing water supply, water conserva-  
22 tion, and drought resiliency efforts.

23 (3) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out a re-  
24 search and development activity or test under para-  
25 graph (1), the Secretary may consult with—

- 1 (A) the heads of other Federal agencies;
- 2 (B) Indian Tribes; and
- 3 (C) appropriate State government agencies
- 4 in States with arid or semi-arid areas.

5 (4) GUIDANCE.—Not later than 1 year after  
6 the completion of the activities and testing described  
7 in paragraph (1), the Secretary shall publish guid-  
8 ance for the utilization of natural infrastructure in  
9 dryland streams technologies in civil works projects.

10 (5) SUMMARY TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2  
11 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the  
12 Secretary shall provide to the Committee on Trans-  
13 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-  
14 resentatives and the Committee on Environment and  
15 Public Works of the Senate, and make publicly  
16 available (including on a publicly available website),  
17 a written summary of the activities and tests carried  
18 out, and the guidance published, under this sub-  
19 section.

20 (b) ASSESSMENT OF SHORELINE STABILIZATION  
21 AND EROSION CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES.—

22 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, acting  
23 through the Director of the Engineer Research and  
24 Development Center and, as appropriate, in con-  
25 sultation with other Federal agencies and coastal

1 States, shall carry out research and development ac-  
2 tivities on, and test the effectiveness of, shoreline  
3 stabilization, erosion control, and wave energy reduc-  
4 tion technologies applicable to coastal areas exposed  
5 to flooding and erosion, including flooding and  
6 erosional impacts associated with tidally influenced  
7 portions of rivers, bays, and estuaries that are  
8 hydrologically connected to the coastal water body.

9 (2) CONSIDERATIONS.—In carrying out para-  
10 graph (1), the Secretary shall—

11 (A) to the maximum extent practicable, en-  
12 sure that research and development activities  
13 and testing are carried out in diverse geo-  
14 graphic locations; and

15 (B) carry out research and development  
16 activities and testing of natural features and  
17 nature-based features (as those terms are de-  
18 fined in section 1184(a) of the Water Resources  
19 Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C.  
20 2289a(a))), including the use of engineered  
21 technologies that mimic natural processes or  
22 structures, or approaches that combine natural  
23 and engineered elements.

24 (3) SUMMARY TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2  
25 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the

1 Secretary shall provide to the Committee on Trans-  
2 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-  
3 resentatives and the Committee on Environment and  
4 Public Works of the Senate, and make publicly  
5 available (including on a publicly available website)  
6 a written summary of the activities carried out  
7 under this subsection.

8 **SEC. 214. ASSESSMENT OF NONSTRUCTURAL APPROACHES**  
9 **TO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND HURRI-**  
10 **CANE AND STORM RISK REDUCTION.**

11 Not later than 6 months after the date of enactment  
12 of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee  
13 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
14 Representatives and the Committee on Environment and  
15 Public Works of the Senate a report on the status of the  
16 following projects:

17 (1) The project for flood risk management and  
18 ecosystem restoration, Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Mor-  
19 ris, and Passaic Counties, New Jersey, including as  
20 it relates to buyouts in the Township of Wayne, Pas-  
21 saic County, New Jersey, authorized pursuant to  
22 section 101(a)(18) of the Water Resources Develop-  
23 ment Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4607).

24 (2) The project for flood risk management,  
25 Township of Denville, New Jersey, being carried out

1       pursuant to section 205 of the Flood Control Act of  
2       1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

3           (3) The project for flood control, Green Brook  
4       Sub-basin, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey, au-  
5       thorized by section 401 of the Water Resources De-  
6       velopment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4119; 134 Stat.  
7       2671).

8           (4) The project for hurricane and storm dam-  
9       age reduction, New Jersey Back Bays, Cape May,  
10      Ocean, Atlantic, Monmouth, and Burlington Coun-  
11      ties, authorized by resolutions of the Committee on  
12      Public Works and Transportation of the House of  
13      Representatives and the Committee on Environment  
14      and Public Works of the Senate, approved in De-  
15      cember 1987.

16          (5) The project for hurricane and storm dam-  
17      age risk reduction, Fire Island Inlet to Montauk  
18      Point, New York, authorized by section 401(3) of  
19      the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134  
20      Stat. 2738).

21          (6) The project for coastal storm risk manage-  
22      ment, Nassau County Back Bays, New York, au-  
23      thorized pursuant to the Act of June 15, 1955  
24      (chapter 140, 69 Stat. 132).

1 **SEC. 215. POST-DISASTER WATERSHED ASSESSMENT FOR**  
2 **IMPACTED AREAS.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a  
4 post-disaster watershed assessment under section 3025 of  
5 the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of  
6 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2267b) for the following areas:

7 (1) Areas of Catron and Grant Counties, New  
8 Mexico, impacted by the June 2025 wildfires.

9 (2) Areas of Valencia County, New Mexico, im-  
10 pacted by the June 2025 wildfires.

11 (3) Areas near Ruidoso, New Mexico, impacted  
12 by the June 2024 wildfires.

13 (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18  
14 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
15 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
16 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and  
17 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
18 Senate a report on the status of the post-disaster water-  
19 shed assessments carried out under subsection (a).

20 **SEC. 216. UPDATED PLAN ON FEDERAL HOPPER DREDGE**  
21 **RECAPITALIZATION.**

22 Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment  
23 of this Act, the Secretary shall—

24 (1) with respect to Federal hopper dredges  
25 identified in the analysis of the Corps of Engineers  
26 titled “Hopper Dredge Recapitalization Analysis”

1 (published June 20, 2017) and owned and operated  
2 by the Corps of Engineers as of the date of enact-  
3 ment of this Act, update the plan and timeline for  
4 recapitalization of such Federal hopper dredges that  
5 is included in such analysis; and

6 (2) submit to the Committee on Transportation  
7 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
8 and the Committee on Environment and Public  
9 Works of the Senate such updated plan and  
10 timeline.

11 **SEC. 217. CHOCTAWHATCHEE AND PEA RIVER BASINS, ALA-**  
12 **BAMA AND FLORIDA.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of carrying out the  
14 study of improvements for flood control, water quality,  
15 water supply, drought management, and fish and wildlife  
16 enhancement authorized by the Congressional Committee  
17 Resolution adopted April 24, 1990, by the Committee on  
18 Environment and Public Works of the Senate (relating to  
19 the Choctawhatchee and Pea River Basins, Alabama and  
20 Florida, as described in House Document 242, 72d Con-  
21 gress, 1st session), and any related review conducted pur-  
22 suant to the Congressional Committee Resolution adopted  
23 August 1, 1990, by the Committee on Public Works and  
24 Transportation of the House of Representatives (relating

1 to the Pea River watershed, Alabama), the Secretary  
2 shall—

3           (1) develop a scope of work, including a com-  
4 munications plan, in collaboration with the non-Fed-  
5 eral interest, that is in accordance with the intent of  
6 such authorizing documents and, to the extent prac-  
7 ticable, meets the objectives of the non-Federal in-  
8 terest;

9           (2) include in the study area the entire  
10 Choctawhatchee River basin and Pea River basin,  
11 and all hydrologically connected downstream receiv-  
12 ing waters, in the States of Alabama and Florida;  
13 and

14           (3) coordinate, as practicable, with relevant  
15 State, local, and Tribal entities in Alabama and  
16 Florida and with appropriate Federal agencies.

17       (b) APPLICABILITY.—This section shall apply to any  
18 feasibility study carried out pursuant to the authorizations  
19 described in subsection (a).

20 **SEC. 218. MOBILE HARBOR LAND USE ASSESSMENT.**

21       (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out an  
22 assessment of the long-term viability of existing dredged  
23 material placement areas for Mobile Harbor, including  
24 State-owned upland dredged materials disposal facilities.

1 (b) BENEFICIAL USE.—In carrying out the assess-  
2 ment under subsection (a), the Secretary shall include rec-  
3 ommendations for the beneficial use of dredged materials  
4 from Mobile Harbor, including the viability of using such  
5 dredged materials at locations on Pinto Island and  
6 Blakeley Island in Mobile, Alabama.

7 **SEC. 219. EXPEDITED AFTER-ACTION REVIEW OF LAKE**  
8 **OSKEECHOBEE RECOVERY OPERATIONS.**

9 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite the  
10 completion of an After-Action Review for the Lake Osee-  
11 chobee Recovery Operations that occurred between De-  
12 cember 2024 and June 2025.

13 (b) REPORT.—Not later than December 31, 2026,  
14 the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
15 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
16 and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of  
17 the Senate a report on the After-Action Review described  
18 in subsection (a), including—

19 (1) a description of the rationale of the Sec-  
20 retary, including identification of any factors and  
21 any processes used by the Secretary, in deciding to  
22 implement the Lake Okeechobee Recovery Oper-  
23 ations that occurred between December 2024 and  
24 June 2025;

1           (2) an assessment by the Secretary of such Re-  
2       covery Operations, including identification and as-  
3       sessment of the effects, if any, of such Recovery Op-  
4       erations on—

5           (A) the subaquatic vegetation and fauna in  
6       and around Lake Okeechobee;

7           (B) the ecology of estuaries and lagoons  
8       that received water transfers from Lake Okee-  
9       chobee, including effects on subaquatic vegeta-  
10      tion, adult oyster populations, and oyster repro-  
11      duction rates in such estuaries and lagoons;

12          (C) congressionally authorized project pur-  
13      poses of flood protection, water supply, protec-  
14      tion of fish and wildlife resources, and naviga-  
15      tion; and

16          (D) the total quantity of water, measured  
17      in cubic-feet, transferred through congression-  
18      ally authorized or funded projects;

19       (3) the identification of any periods during the  
20      18-month period beginning on June 30, 2025, of  
21      water excess or water shortage in Lake Okeechobee,  
22      a canal used for navigation in the vicinity of Lake  
23      Okeehcobee, or sources of water for drinking or  
24      other consumption located in the area south of Lake  
25      Okeechobee;

1           (4) an assessment of the ability of the Corps of  
2       Engineers to undertake Lake Okeechobee Recovery  
3       Operations again during the 5-year period beginning  
4       on the date of enactment of this Act; and

5           (5) an assessment of whether or not there may  
6       have been any adverse effects to water supply or  
7       other Congressionally authorized purposes, included  
8       but not limited to flood protection, protection of fish  
9       and wildlife resources, and navigation as a result of  
10      Lake Okeechobee Recovery Operations.

11      (c) LIMITATION.—In the report under subsection (b),  
12      the Secretary may not recommend—

13           (1) expanding Federal authority or oversight  
14      over waters or environmental programs of a State;  
15      or

16           (2) anything contrary to the original goals, pri-  
17      orities, or authorizations of the project for ecosystem  
18      restoration, Central and South Florida, Comprehen-  
19      sive Everglades Restoration Program, Lake Okee-  
20      chobee Watershed Restoration, Florida, authorized  
21      pursuant to section 601(d)(2)(B) of the Water Re-  
22      sources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680;  
23      121 Stat. 1179; 134 Stat. 2673; 136 Stat. 3761;  
24      138 Stat. 3067).

1 **SEC. 220. HONOLULU HARBOR, HAWAII.**

2 Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment  
3 of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee  
4 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
5 Representatives and the Committee on Environment and  
6 Public Works of the Senate an update describing the sta-  
7 tus of the study to modify the project for navigation, Hon-  
8 olulu Harbor, Hawaii, authorized by the first section of  
9 the Act of March 3, 1905 (chapter 1482, 33 Stat. 1146;  
10 136 Stat. 3750; 138 Stat. 3064), including the status of  
11 the assessment of the benefits of the project modification  
12 on disaster resiliency and enhanced national security from  
13 utilization of the harbor by the Department of Defense  
14 authorized by section 1202(3) of the Water Resources De-  
15 velopment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3064).

16 **SEC. 221. CHICAGO AREA WATERWAY SYSTEM.**

17 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the  
18 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall provide  
19 to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure  
20 of the House of Representatives and the Committee on  
21 Environment and Public Works of the Senate an assess-  
22 ment of the Calumet Harbor Dredged Material Disposal  
23 Facility, also known as the Chicago Area Confined Dis-  
24 posal Facility, authorized pursuant to section 123 of the  
25 River and Harbor Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1823).

1 (b) INCLUSION.—The Secretary shall include in the  
2 assessment under subsection (a)—

3 (1) a description of work related to the ongoing  
4 and future dredging of the Calumet River and Har-  
5 bor;

6 (2) a plan for carrying out activities related to  
7 the closure of the Chicago Area Confined Disposal  
8 Facility, not later than 1 year after the date of en-  
9 actment of this Act, including remediation, capping,  
10 seeding, and other measures necessary to stabilize  
11 the facility and ensure that the site of the facility is  
12 prepared for the authorized use of such site after  
13 such closure;

14 (3) a plan of actions to be taken to ensure con-  
15 veyance of the Chicago Area Confined Disposal Fa-  
16 cility to the Chicago Park District in a timely fash-  
17 ion after the completion of closure activities carried  
18 out pursuant to the plan under paragraph (2); and

19 (4) a plan of actions to be taken to ensure the  
20 Secretary coordinates with State and local govern-  
21 ments in carrying out this section.

1 **SEC. 222. GREAT LAKES AND MISSISSIPPI RIVER**  
2 **INTERBASIN PROJECT, BRANDON ROAD,**  
3 **WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS.**

4 Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment  
5 of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee  
6 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
7 Representatives and the Committee on Environment and  
8 Public Works of the Senate a report that includes—

9 (1) the results of an assessment to identify any  
10 contaminated sediments associated with the project  
11 for ecosystem restoration, Great Lakes and Mis-  
12 sissippi River Interbasin Study – Brandon Road,  
13 Will County, Illinois, authorized by section 401(5) of  
14 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134  
15 Stat. 2740); and

16 (2) a plan, developed in coordination with the  
17 non-Federal interest for such project, to remediate  
18 such sediments simultaneously with construction of  
19 the project.

20 **SEC. 223. COLUMBIA LOCK AND DAM, LOUISIANA.**

21 Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment  
22 of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee  
23 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
24 Representatives and the Committee on Environment and  
25 Public Works of the Senate a report regarding the Colum-  
26 bia Lock and Dam, Louisiana, authorized by the first sec-

1 tion of the Act of June 13, 1902 (chapter 1079, 32 Stat.  
2 357), that includes—

3 (1) a description of the nature and extent of the  
4 structural concerns that resulted in the declaration  
5 by the Secretary in August, 2024, of a non-breach  
6 emergency at the lock and dam;

7 (2) a summary of actions taken by the Corps  
8 of Engineers to address such concerns, including in-  
9 terim operational or emergency measures;

10 (3) recommended permanent remedial actions  
11 necessary to ensure continued navigation  
12 functionality and structural integrity;

13 (4) an evaluation of whether such recommended  
14 permanent remedial actions may be implemented as  
15 discrete, segmented projects or phases, including an  
16 assessment of—

17 (A) the technical feasibility of such seg-  
18 mentation;

19 (B) potential cost efficiencies or risk re-  
20 duction associated with phased implementation;  
21 and

22 (C) the extent to which segmentation could  
23 accelerate completion of critical repairs; and

24 (5) an estimated schedule and cost range for  
25 implementation of such recommended permanent re-

1 medial actions, including any segmented or phased  
2 approach identified based on the evaluation under  
3 paragraph (4).

4 **SEC. 224. LOWER MISSISSIPPI RIVER COMPREHENSIVE**  
5 **MANAGEMENT STUDY.**

6 The Secretary shall expedite completion of the Lower  
7 Mississippi River Comprehensive Management Study, au-  
8 thorized by section 213 of the Water Resources Develop-  
9 ment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2684; 136 Stat. 3795).

10 **SEC. 225. DISPOSITION STUDY FOR CAPE COD CANAL, MAS-**  
11 **SACHUSETTS.**

12 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a  
13 disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control  
14 Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the deauthorization of  
15 a portion of the project for navigation, Cape Cod Canal,  
16 Massachusetts, authorized by the first section of the Act  
17 of August 30, 1935 (chapter 831, 49 Stat. 1029), that  
18 the Secretary determines—

19 (1) is not needed to carry out the authorized  
20 purposes of the project; and

21 (2) could be conveyed to the Town of Sandwich  
22 or the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts, for the con-  
23 struction of a new wastewater treatment plant.

24 (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18  
25 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-

1   retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
2   and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and  
3   the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
4   Senate a report on the status of the disposition study re-  
5   quired under subsection (a).

6   **SEC. 226. NEW ENGLAND REGIONAL CONFINED AQUATIC**  
7                   **DISPOSAL FACILITIES.**

8           (a) **EXPEDITE ASSESSMENT.**—The Secretary shall  
9   expedite the completion of the assessment of the avail-  
10   ability of confined aquatic disposal facilities in the New  
11   England District region required under section 8128(c) of  
12   the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.  
13   3716).

14          (b) **SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.**—Upon completion of  
15   the assessment under subsection (a), the Secretary shall  
16   submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-  
17   structure of the House of Representatives and the Com-  
18   mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate  
19   the results of such assessment.

20          (c) **STUDY AUTHORIZATION.**—The Secretary is au-  
21   thorized to conduct—

22               (1) a study for the construction of confined  
23           aquatic disposal facilities in the Massachusetts Bay  
24           and Ipswich Bay region for the disposal of contami-  
25           nated dredged material in such region; and

1           (2) any other study recommended by the Sec-  
2       retary in the assessment under subsection (a).

3   **SEC. 227. ASSATEAGUE ISLAND, MARYLAND AND VIRGINIA.**

4       Section 534(c) of the Water Resources Development  
5   Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3775) is amended by striking  
6   “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$45,000,000”.

7   **SEC. 228. BIG SANDY LAKE, MINNESOTA.**

8       (a) SHORELINE MANAGEMENT PLAN.—Not later  
9   than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act,  
10   the Secretary shall establish a shoreline management plan  
11   for the Big Sandy Lake project.

12      (b) SHORELINE USE PERMITS.—Upon the establish-  
13   ment of a shoreline management plan under subsection  
14   (a), the Secretary is authorized to issue to an owner of  
15   land adjacent to the Big Sandy Lake project, upon request  
16   by the owner and consistent with the shoreline manage-  
17   ment plan, a shoreline use permit for activities relating  
18   to an existing structure or a new structure on land that  
19   is held by the Corps of Engineers for the project and adja-  
20   cent to the land of the owner.

21      (c) DISPOSITION STUDY.—

22          (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry  
23       out a disposition study under section 216 of the  
24       Flood Control Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the  
25       release, transfer, conveyance, or exchange of excess

1 easements, or the conveyance of excess land, held for  
2 the Big Sandy Lake project.

3 (2) SCOPE.—In carrying out the disposition  
4 study under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—

5 (A) for each segment of shoreline property  
6 owned by the Corps of Engineers at the Big  
7 Sandy Lake project that corresponds to the  
8 width of an adjacent plot of private property,  
9 identify—

10 (i) the appraised value of the segment  
11 of shoreline property; and

12 (ii) a legal description and the acreage  
13 of the segment of shoreline property; and

14 (B) develop recommendations for any re-  
15 strictions or conditions on any shoreline prop-  
16 erty at the Big Sandy Lake project that is  
17 owned by, or subject to an easement held by,  
18 the Corps of Engineers that would be necessary  
19 to sustain the authorized purposes of the Big  
20 Sandy Lake project if the shoreline property  
21 were to be conveyed to, or the easement were  
22 to be released, transferred or conveyed to, or  
23 exchanged with, a non-Federal entity.

24 (3) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after  
25 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary

1 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
2 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
3 and the Committee on Environment and Public  
4 Works of the Senate a report on the status of the  
5 disposition study required under paragraph (1).

6 (d) BIG SANDY LAKE PROJECT DEFINED.—In this  
7 section, the term “Big Sandy Lake project” means the  
8 Sandy Lake dam and reservoir, located in Aitkin County,  
9 Minnesota, originally authorized as one of the six Mis-  
10 sissippi River Headwater reservoirs authorized by the first  
11 section of the Act of June 14, 1880 (chapter 211, 21 Stat.  
12 193; 22 Stat. 203).

13 **SEC. 229. UPPER MISSOURI RIVER BASIN SEDIMENTATION.**

14 (a) COMPREHENSIVE STUDY.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct  
16 a comprehensive study to identify the core sedi-  
17 mentation issues in the Upper Missouri River Basin.

18 (2) RECOMMENDATIONS.—In conducting the  
19 study under this subsection, the Secretary may de-  
20 velop recommendations for—

21 (A) the construction of a water resources  
22 development project;

23 (B) the structural or operational modifica-  
24 tion of existing water resources development  
25 projects, except as provided in subsection (f);

1           (C) monitoring or adaptive management  
2           measures for water resources development  
3           projects to respond to changing conditions in  
4           the Upper Missouri River Basin;

5           (D) additional studies focusing on specific  
6           geographic areas within the Upper Missouri  
7           River Basin;

8           (E) management plans and actions, to be  
9           carried out by responsible Federal agencies, to  
10          address or reduce sedimentation in the Upper  
11          Missouri River Basin; and

12          (F) further research on issues with respect  
13          to which data or current technology does not  
14          allow for immediate solutions.

15          (b) CONTINUATION OF STUDY.—The following stud-  
16          ies shall be considered a continuation of the study con-  
17          ducted under subsection (a):

18               (1) Any study recommended to be carried out  
19               in a report that the Chief of Engineers prepares for  
20               the study conducted under subsection (a).

21               (2) Any additional study recommended under  
22               subsection (a)(2).

23               (3) Any study spun off from the study con-  
24               ducted under subsection (a), or from an additional

1 study recommended under paragraph (2) of such  
2 subsection, before the completion of such study.

3 (c) USE OF EXISTING DATA.—In conducting the  
4 study under subsection (a), and in carrying out any study  
5 pursuant to subsection (b), the Secretary shall, to the  
6 maximum extent practicable and where appropriate, make  
7 use of existing data provided to the Secretary by any ap-  
8 plicable Federal, State, and local agency, Indian Tribe,  
9 non-Federal interest, or other stakeholder, or from any  
10 relevant multistate monitoring program.

11 (d) COSTS.—

12 (1) LIMITATION.—The total cost of the study  
13 conducted under subsection (a) shall not exceed  
14 \$25,000,000.

15 (2) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of  
16 the cost of the study conducted under subsection (a)  
17 and any study carried out pursuant to subsection (b)  
18 shall be 65 percent.

19 (e) DEADLINE.—Not later than 5 years after the date  
20 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to  
21 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of  
22 the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-  
23 ronment and Public Works of the Senate a report that  
24 contains the results of the study conducted under sub-

1 section (a), including any recommendations developed  
2 under paragraph (2) of such subsection.

3 (f) PROHIBITION.—In conducting the study under  
4 subsection (a), and in carrying out any study pursuant  
5 to subsection (b), the Secretary may not consider any  
6 changes to the Missouri River Basin Mainstem Reservoir  
7 System Master Water Control Manual.

8 **SEC. 230. TABLE ROCK LAKE DISPOSITION STUDY, MIS-**  
9 **SOURI AND ARKANSAS.**

10 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a  
11 disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control  
12 Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the release, transfer,  
13 conveyance, or exchange of excess easements, or the ex-  
14 change of excess land, held for flood risk management and  
15 operation of the Table Rock Lake project of the Corps  
16 of Engineers, located in Missouri and Arkansas, author-  
17 ized as one of the multipurpose reservoir projects in the  
18 White River Basin by section 4 of the Act of June 28,  
19 1938 (chapter 795, 52 Stat. 1218).

20 (b) ACTIONS.—In carrying out the study required  
21 under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

22 (1) ensure that the relevant non-Federal inter-  
23 est for the Table Rock Lake project is provided right  
24 of first refusal for any potential release, transfer,  
25 conveyance, or exchange of excess easements; and

1           (2) work alongside such non-Federal interest in  
2       identifying opportunities for land exchanges, where  
3       possible.

4       (c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18  
5       months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
6       retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
7       and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and  
8       the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
9       Senate a report of the status of the disposition study re-  
10      quired under subsection (a).

11   **SEC. 231. TABLE ROCK LAKE, MISSOURI AND ARKANSAS.**

12       Section 1323 of the Water Resources Development  
13      Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3143) is amended—

14           (1) by striking subsections (a) and (b) and in-  
15       serting the following:

16       “(a) STRUCTURES.—The Secretary shall permit the  
17      ongoing presence of an eligible structure at the Table  
18      Rock Lake project until the abandonment of the eligible  
19      structure.

20       “(b) SEWER OR SEPTIC SYSTEMS.—The Secretary  
21      shall permit the ongoing presence of an eligible sewer or  
22      septic system at the Table Rock Lake project until the  
23      abandonment or imminent failure of the eligible sewer or  
24      septic system.”;

25           (2) in subsection (c)—

1 (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “eligible  
2 structure, means the allowance of the struc-  
3 ture” and inserting “eligible structure or eligi-  
4 ble sewer or septic system, means the allowance  
5 of the structure or sewer or septic system”;

6 (B) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and  
7 (4) as paragraphs (4) and (6), respectively;

8 (C) by striking paragraph (2) and insert-  
9 ing the following:

10 “(2) ELIGIBLE SEWER OR SEPTIC SYSTEM.—  
11 The term ‘eligible sewer or septic system’ means a  
12 privately owned sewer or septic system that—

13 “(A) as of the date of enactment of the  
14 Water Resources Development Act of 2026, is  
15 located on fee land or land subject to a flowage  
16 easement held by the United States; and

17 “(B) does not impact the reservoir level,  
18 water quality, or operations of the Table Rock  
19 Lake project.

20 “(3) ELIGIBLE STRUCTURE.—The term ‘eligible  
21 structure’ means a structure for human habitation,  
22 shed, retaining wall, deck, patio, gazebo, driveway,  
23 fence, or similar structure, that, as of the date of en-  
24 actment of the Water Resources Development Act of  
25 2026, is located—

1           “(A) on fee land or land subject to a flow-  
2           age easement held by the United States; and

3           “(B) higher than the elevation contour of  
4           936 feet above mean sea level.”; and

5           (D) by inserting before paragraph (6), as  
6           so redesignated, the following:

7           “(5) IMMINENT FAILURE.—The term ‘imminent  
8           failure’ means, with respect to an eligible sewer or  
9           septic system, a final order by the applicable unit of  
10          local government to the owner of an eligible sewer or  
11          septic system that such system be replaced.”; and

12          (3) by adding at the end the following:

13          “(d) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in the section shall  
14          prevent the Secretary from issuing a shoreline use permit,  
15          other applicable permit, easement, or outgrant, consistent  
16          with a shoreline management plan or other applicable pol-  
17          icy, for a structure, sewer system, or septic system pro-  
18          posed to be built or modified after the date of enactment  
19          of the Water Resources Development Act of 2026 at the  
20          Table Rock Lake project.”.

21      **SEC. 232. EVALUATION OF ATOMIC CONTAMINATION AT**  
22                      **COCHITI LAKE, SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW**  
23                      **MEXICO.**

24          (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the  
25          date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in coordina-

1 tion with the Secretary of Energy and the People of  
2 Cochiti Pueblo, shall initiate an evaluation of the area in-  
3 cluding the lands adjacent to and including the Cochiti  
4 Lake in relation to the early atomic energy program of  
5 the United States, including the Manhattan Engineering  
6 District, to determine whether the area is eligible to be  
7 added to the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Pro-  
8 gram of the Corps of Engineers.

9 (b) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Not later than  
10 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
11 retary shall notify the Committee on Transportation and  
12 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the  
13 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-  
14 ate of the results of the evaluation initiated under sub-  
15 section (a), including—

16 (1) whether the area referred to in subsection  
17 (a) contains contamination that resulted from the  
18 early atomic energy program;

19 (2) whether further remedial action in the area  
20 is needed; and

21 (3) if eligible, the timeline for adding the area  
22 to the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Pro-  
23 gram.

1 **SEC. 233. NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES STUDY ON**  
2 **UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN.**

3 Section 1230 of the Water Resources Development  
4 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3086) is amended by adding at  
5 the end the following:

6 “(d) IMPLEMENTATION UPDATES.—Not later than  
7 30 days after the date of enactment of this subsection,  
8 and annually thereafter until the report prepared under  
9 subsection (a) is completed, the Secretary shall submit to  
10 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of  
11 the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-  
12 ronment and Public Works of the Senate an update de-  
13 scribing the status of the implementation of this section.”.

14 **SEC. 234. ARBUCKLE-TIMBERED HILLS, OKLAHOMA.**

15 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (b), the Sec-  
16 retary, in coordination with relevant Federal and State  
17 agencies and non-Federal interests, may conduct a study  
18 on water supply, water storage capacity, and drought resil-  
19 iency for the regions overlaying the Arbuckle-Timbered  
20 Hills Aquifer, Oklahoma.

21 (b) COMPONENTS.—In carrying out a study under  
22 subsection (a), the Secretary shall identify infrastructure  
23 needs to support increased water storage in and around  
24 the Arbuckle-Timbered Hills Aquifer.

1 **SEC. 235. DISPOSITION AND COST ALLOCATION STUDY OF**  
2 **WILLAMETTE VALLEY, OREGON.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the  
4 date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall issue  
5 the report required by section 8220 of the Water Re-  
6 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3762), as  
7 modified by this section.

8 (b) COST ALLOCATION.—The Secretary shall include  
9 in the report described in subsection (a)—

10 (1) a review of the cost allocation for capital in-  
11 vestments for fish passage, and associated moni-  
12 toring facilities, constructed or proposed after Sep-  
13 tember 30, 2025, and for operation and expenses re-  
14 lated to such capital investments, at the dams, res-  
15 ervoirs, and related facilities of the Willamette Val-  
16 ley hydropower project; and

17 (2) a description of the relative public value of  
18 continued hydropower operations at the Willamette  
19 Valley hydropower project compared to flood control  
20 and other authorized purposes.

21 (c) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “Willam-  
22 ette Valley hydropower project” has the meaning given  
23 that term in section 8220(d) of the Water Resources De-  
24 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3762).

1 **SEC. 236. FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS RESERVOIR AND DAM,**  
2 **PENNSYLVANIA.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a  
4 disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control  
5 Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the release, transfer,  
6 conveyance, or exchange of excess easements, or the ex-  
7 change of excess land, held for flood risk management and  
8 operation of the Foster Joseph Sayers Reservoir and Dam  
9 project, located in Pennsylvania and constructed as one  
10 of the flood risk management reservoir projects in the plan  
11 for flood protection on the West Branch of the Susque-  
12 hanna River, Pennsylvania and New York, authorized by  
13 section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1954 (68 Stat.  
14 1257).

15 (b) SCOPE.—The disposition study required by sub-  
16 section (a) shall include—

17 (1) identification of the minimum real property  
18 required for the operation or maintenance of the  
19 Foster Joseph Sayers Reservoir and Dam project;

20 (2) evaluation of the potential effects of the re-  
21 lease, transfer, conveyance, or exchange of excess  
22 property interests on flood control and recreation  
23 missions of the Corps of Engineers; and

24 (3) identification of the exact legal description  
25 and acreage of the property interests, including ease-  
26 ments, suitable for conveyance.

1       (c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18  
2 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
3 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
4 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and  
5 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
6 Senate a report of the status of the disposition study re-  
7 quired under subsection (a).

8 **SEC. 237. HUMPHREYS COUNTY, TENNESSEE.**

9       The Secretary shall coordinate with representatives  
10 of the Natural Resources Conservation Service to expedite  
11 completion of watershed planning assistance to Hum-  
12 phreys County, Tennessee, pursuant to the agreement en-  
13 tered into between the Secretary and the Chief of the Nat-  
14 ural Resources Conservation Service on November 30,  
15 2022, for such assistance.

16 **SEC. 238. SAM RAYBURN RESERVOIR, TEXAS.**

17       (a) JOINT ASSESSMENT.—Upon request of the Sec-  
18 retary of Agriculture, the Secretary and the Secretary of  
19 Agriculture, acting through the Chief of the Forest Serv-  
20 ice, shall jointly assess the condition of the Harvey Creek  
21 boat ramp at Sam Rayburn Reservoir, San Augustine  
22 County, Texas, to identify any repairs to the boat ramp  
23 that may be necessary.

24       (b) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO THE FOREST SERV-  
25 ICE.—Upon request of the Secretary of Agriculture, the

1 Secretary shall provide technical and design assistance to  
2 the Secretary of Agriculture, acting through the Chief, for  
3 any repairs to the boat ramp described in subsection (a)  
4 that the Secretary of Agriculture determines necessary  
5 based on an assessment under subsection (a).

6 **SEC. 239. COLUMBIA RIVER, WASHINGTON.**

7 (a) TRI-CITIES DISPOSITION STUDY.—The Secretary  
8 shall carry out a disposition study under section 216 of  
9 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the  
10 conveyance of covered lands to a covered entity for a pub-  
11 lic purpose.

12 (b) LAND INTO TRUST DISPOSITION STUDY.—The  
13 Secretary shall carry out a disposition study under section  
14 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a)  
15 for the transfer of covered lands to the Secretary of the  
16 Interior to be taken into trust for the benefit of a covered  
17 Tribal entity.

18 (c) CONSULTATION.—To identify the exact location,  
19 acreage, and boundaries of the covered lands to be in-  
20 cluded in a disposition study under this section, the Sec-  
21 retary shall consult with covered entities, covered Tribal  
22 entities, and other stakeholders, as applicable.

23 (d) CONTENTS.—In carrying out a disposition study  
24 under this section, the Secretary shall—

1           (1) review and describe the effects, if any, on  
2           the authorized purpose of each Corps of Engineers  
3           project expected to be affected by a conveyance or  
4           transfer, as applicable, of covered lands that are the  
5           subject of the study;

6           (2) identify all Federal easements on such cov-  
7           ered lands;

8           (3) identify all federally owned flood risk man-  
9           agement and flood control infrastructure on such  
10          covered lands;

11          (4) identify and describe each new authority  
12          needed, if any, to convey or transfer, as applicable,  
13          such covered lands;

14          (5) for each parcel of land within such covered  
15          lands, identify and describe any rights-of-way, access  
16          easements, flood easements, and other similar legal  
17          instruments, agreements, or encumbrances needed to  
18          operate and maintain all flood control infrastructure  
19          operated and maintained by the Corps of Engineers;

20          (6) identify and describe any current agree-  
21          ments entered into by the Corps of Engineers and  
22          a non-Federal entity for use of, or access to, such  
23          covered lands; and

24          (7) identify any restrictions or conditions on  
25          lands proposed for conveyance that the Secretary

1 recommends and determines to be necessary to sus-  
2 tain the authorized purposes of each Corps of Engi-  
3 neers project expected to be affected by a convey-  
4 ance or transfer, as applicable, of such covered  
5 lands.

6 (e) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18  
7 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
8 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
9 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and  
10 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
11 Senate a report on the status of each disposition study  
12 required under this section.

13 (f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

14 (1) COVERED ENTITY.—The term “covered en-  
15 tity” means—

16 (A) the City of Kennewick, Washington;

17 (B) the City of Pasco, Washington;

18 (C) the City of Richland, Washington;

19 (D) Benton County, Washington;

20 (E) Franklin County, Washington; and

21 (F) the Port Commission of the Port of  
22 Pasco, located in the City of Pasco, Wash-  
23 ington.

24 (2) COVERED LANDS.—The term “covered  
25 lands” means the shoreline and adjacent lands lo-

1 cated along the Columbia River within in the fol-  
2 lowing areas of land owned by the Corps of Engi-  
3 neers:

4 (A) With respect to the disposition study  
5 required in subsection (a)—

6 (i) the area of approximately 370  
7 acres in Benton County, Washington;

8 (ii) the area of approximately 465  
9 acres in the City of Kennewick, Wash-  
10 ington;

11 (iii) the area of approximately 350  
12 acres in the City of Pasco, Washington;

13 (iv) the area of approximately 640  
14 acres in the City of Richland, Washington;

15 (v) the area of approximately 8 acres  
16 within the Port of Pasco, Washington; and

17 (vi) the area of approximately 520  
18 acres in Franklin County, Washington.

19 (B) With respect to the disposition study  
20 required in subsection (b)—

21 (i) the area of approximately 200  
22 acres within the Umatilla Indian Reserva-  
23 tion;

1 (ii) the area of approximately 160  
2 acres within the Confederated Tribes and  
3 Bands of the Yakama Nation; and

4 (iii) any additional areas, as deter-  
5 mined by the Secretary.

6 (3) COVERED TRIBAL ENTITY.—The term “cov-  
7 ered Tribal entity” means—

8 (A) the Confederated Tribes of Umatilla  
9 Indian Reservation;

10 (B) the Confederated Tribes and Bands of  
11 the Yakama Nation; and

12 (C) other federally recognized Indian  
13 Tribes, as determined appropriate by the Sec-  
14 retary.

15 **SEC. 240. TRI-CITIES AREA, WASHINGTON.**

16 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite the  
17 property conveyances authorized under section 501(i) of  
18 the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.  
19 3752).

20 (b) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—If the Sec-  
21 retary fails to convey any property to the appropriate non-  
22 Federal entity pursuant to section 501(i) of the Water Re-  
23 sources Development Act of 1996 within 18 months of the  
24 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall notify  
25 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of

1 the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-  
 2 ronment and Public Works of the Senate—

3 (1) which property has not been conveyed;

4 (2) why such property has not been conveyed;

5 and

6 (3) of the timeline to convey such property.

## 7 **TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS** 8 **AND MODIFICATIONS**

### 9 **SEC. 301. DEAUTHORIZATION OF INACTIVE PROJECTS.**

10 Section 301 of the Water Resources Development Act  
 11 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 579d–2) is amended—

12 (1) in subsection (a)—

13 (A) in paragraph (2), by striking “and” at  
 14 the end;

15 (B) in paragraph (3), by striking the pe-  
 16 riod at the end and inserting “; and”; and

17 (C) by adding at the end the following:

18 “(4) to address the backlog of water resources  
 19 development projects that the Secretary is author-  
 20 ized to construct.”;

21 (2) in subsection (b)(1)(A), by striking “Water  
 22 Resources Development Act of 2024” and inserting  
 23 “Water Resources Development Act of 2026” each  
 24 place it appears; and

1           (3) in subsection (c), by adding at the end the  
2 following:

3           “(3) UPDATES.—After submission of the final  
4 deauthorization list and appendix under paragraph  
5 (1), the Secretary shall—

6                   “(A) review and update the list and appen-  
7 dix as necessary; and

8                   “(B) not less than every six months, begin-  
9 ning after the date of such submission—

10                           “(i) provide to the committees de-  
11 scribed in paragraph (1)(A) the updated  
12 list and appendix; and

13                           “(ii) publish the updated list and ap-  
14 pendix in the Federal Register.”.

15 **SEC. 302. GENERAL REAUTHORIZATIONS.**

16           (a) LAKES PROGRAM.—Section 602 of the Water Re-  
17 sources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4148; 138  
18 Stat. 3164) is amended—

19                   (1) in subsection (a)—

20                           (A) in paragraph (38), by striking “and”  
21 at the end;

22                           (B) in paragraph (39), by striking the pe-  
23 riod at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

24                           (C) by adding at the end the following:

1           “(40) Gap Creek, Okaloosa County, Florida, for  
2           removal of silt and aquatic growth, stump removal,  
3           and other material to carry out environmental clean-  
4           up;

5           “(41) Lake Waumpi, Orange County, Florida;

6           “(42) Lake Becerra, Glendale Heights, Illinois;

7           “(43) Electric Lake (Mill Pond), Park Ridge,  
8           New Jersey;

9           “(44) Pondside Park pond, Harrington Park,  
10          New Jersey; and

11          “(45) Putnam Lake, Putnam County, New  
12          York.”; and

13                 (2) in subsection (e), by striking “\$40,000,000”  
14                 and inserting “\$60,000,000”.

15          (b) CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY PRO-  
16          GRAM.—Section 704(b)(1) of the Water Resources Devel-  
17          opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2263) is amended, in the  
18          second sentence, by striking “\$120,000,000” and insert-  
19          ing “\$150,000,000”.

20          (c) CIVIL WORKS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—  
21          Section 7(c)(8) of the Water Resources Development Act  
22          of 1988 (33 U.S.C. 2313) is amended by striking “2028”  
23          and inserting “2030”.

24          (d) REHABILITATION OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS CON-  
25          STRUCTED DAMS.—Section 1177(f) of the Water Re-

1 sources Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 467f–2 note)  
2 is amended by striking “\$60,000,000” and inserting  
3 “\$80,000,000”.

4 (e) CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER PROGRAM.—Section  
5 8144(k) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022  
6 (136 Stat. 3724) is amended by striking “\$40,000,000”  
7 and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

8 (f) COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN.—Section 8309(c)(2) of  
9 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.  
10 3780; 138 Stat. 3043) is amended by striking “2026 and  
11 2027” and inserting “2026 through 2030”.

12 (g) LOWER MISSOURI RIVER STREAMBANK EROSION  
13 CONTROL EVALUATION AND DEMONSTRATION PRO-  
14 GRAM.—Section 8350(f) of the Water Resources Develop-  
15 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3798) is amended by striking  
16 “5 years” and inserting “8 years”.

17 (h) NON-FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION OF FEASI-  
18 BILITY STUDIES.—Section 1043(a) of the Water Re-  
19 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.  
20 2201 note) is amended—

21 (1) in paragraph (7), by striking “terminates  
22 on the date that is 5 years after the date of enact-  
23 ment of this Act” and inserting “terminates on Sep-  
24 tember 30, 2030”; and

1           (2) in paragraph (8), by striking “2019” and  
2           inserting “2030”.

3           (i) ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM.—Section 1113(e)  
4 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100  
5 Stat. 4232; 110 Stat. 3719; 136 Stat. 3781; 138 Stat.  
6 3161) is amended by striking “\$90,000,000” and insert-  
7 ing “\$120,000,000”.

8           (j) MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT PROGRAM FOR  
9 SALINE LAKES IN THE GREAT BASIN.—Section 8143(f)  
10 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136  
11 Stat. 3724) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and  
12 inserting “\$12,500,000”.

13          (k) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST DREDGING AUTHOR-  
14 ITY.—Section 1113(g) of the Water Resources Develop-  
15 ment Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2326e) is amended by strik-  
16 ing “10” and inserting “14”.

17 **SEC. 303. CONVEYANCES.**

18          (a) GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS.—

19           (1) SURVEY TO OBTAIN LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—  
20          The exact acreage and the legal description of any  
21          real property to be conveyed under this section shall  
22          be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the  
23          Secretary.

24           (2) APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING  
25          PROVISIONS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United

1 States Code, shall not apply to any conveyance  
2 under this section.

3 (3) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—An entity to  
4 which a conveyance is made under this section shall  
5 be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs,  
6 including real estate transaction and environmental  
7 documentation costs, associated with the conveyance.

8 (4) LIABILITY.—An entity to which a convey-  
9 ance is made under this section shall hold the  
10 United States harmless from any liability with re-  
11 spect to activities carried out, on or after the date  
12 of the conveyance, on the real property conveyed.  
13 The United States shall remain responsible for any  
14 liability with respect to activities carried out, before  
15 such date, on the real property conveyed.

16 (5) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—  
17 The Secretary may require that any conveyance  
18 under this section be subject to such additional  
19 terms and conditions as the Secretary considers nec-  
20 essary and appropriate to protect the interests of the  
21 United States.

22 (b) CITY OF CORONA, CALIFORNIA.—

23 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary  
24 may convey, without consideration, to the City of  
25 Corona, California, all right, title, and interest of the

1 United States in and to the real property described  
2 in paragraph (2), for the purpose of public water  
3 reclamation, wastewater treatment, environmental  
4 management, or related municipal purposes.

5 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed  
6 under this subsection is the approximately 48 acres  
7 of land, including improvements located at 2205  
8 Railroad Street, Corona, California.

9 (3) RESERVATION OF RIGHTS.—In carrying out  
10 the conveyance under this subsection, the Secretary  
11 shall, after consultation with the City of Corona, re-  
12 serve and retain from the conveyance under this  
13 subsection such easements, rights-of-way, and other  
14 interests that the Secretary determines to be nec-  
15 essary and appropriate to ensure the continued oper-  
16 ation of the Prado Dam Basin flood control project,  
17 authorized pursuant to section 5 of the Act of June  
18 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1589; 100 Stat.  
19 4113).

20 (4) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines  
21 at any time that the property conveyed under this  
22 subsection is not in accordance with the purpose  
23 specified in paragraph (1), all right, title, and inter-  
24 est in and to the property shall revert, at the discre-  
25 tion of the Secretary, to the United States.

1 (c) TOWN OF DUCK, NORTH CAROLINA.—

2 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary  
3 shall convey, without consideration, to the Town of  
4 Duck, North Carolina, all right, title, and interest of  
5 the United States in and to the real property de-  
6 scribed in paragraph (2), for the purpose of housing  
7 a fire station and public safety facility.

8 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed  
9 under this subsection is the approximately 4.02  
10 acres of land, with approximately 330 linear feet of  
11 road frontage and approximately 530 linear feet of  
12 depth, including improvements on that land, located  
13 at 1259 Duck Road, Duck, North Carolina.

14 (3) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines  
15 at any time that the property conveyed under this  
16 subsection is not in accordance with the purpose  
17 specified in paragraph (1), all right, title, and inter-  
18 est in and to the property shall revert, at the discre-  
19 tion of the Secretary, to the United States.

20 (d) CITY OF TOLEDO, OHIO.—

21 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary  
22 may convey, without consideration, to the City of  
23 Toledo, Ohio, all right, title, and interest of the  
24 United States in and to the real property described

1 in paragraph (2), for the purpose of public benefit,  
2 including parks and recreation.

3 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed  
4 under this subsection is the small land mass known  
5 as Grassy Island, including any improvements on  
6 that land, located in Maumee Bay at the mouth of  
7 Maumee River in Ohio.

8 (3) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines  
9 at any time that the property conveyed under this  
10 subsection is not in accordance with the purpose  
11 specified in paragraph (1), all right, title, and inter-  
12 est in and to the property shall revert, at the discre-  
13 tion of the Secretary, to the United States.

14 (e) COUNTY OF UMATILLA, OREGON.—

15 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary  
16 may convey to the County of Umatilla, Oregon, all  
17 right, title, and interest of the United States in and  
18 to the real property described in paragraph (2), for  
19 the purpose of public benefit.

20 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed  
21 under this subsection is approximately 25 acres of  
22 Federal land, including improvements on that land,  
23 in Umatilla County, Oregon, and described as fol-  
24 lows:

25 (A) All of Tax Lot 1301.

1 (B) Approximately 12 acres of Tax Lot  
2 1001.

3 (3) RESERVATION OF RIGHTS.—The Secretary  
4 shall, after consultation with the County of  
5 Umatilla, reserve and retain from the conveyance  
6 under this subsection such easements, rights-of-way,  
7 licenses, and other interests that the Secretary de-  
8 termines to be necessary and appropriate to ensure  
9 the continued operation of the project for flood con-  
10 trol and other purposes, John Day Dam, Columbia  
11 River, Washington and Oregon, authorized under  
12 section 204 of the Flood Control Act of 1950 (64  
13 Stat. 179).

14 (4) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS.—The Sec-  
15 retary shall—

16 (A) identify all agreements and other en-  
17 cumbrances entered into with a non-Federal en-  
18 tity on the property to be conveyed under this  
19 subsection; and

20 (B) in a form and manner determined by  
21 the Secretary, transfer any such agreements  
22 and encumbrances identified under subpara-  
23 graph (A) to the County of Umatilla, as appro-  
24 priate.

1           (5) DEED.—The Secretary shall convey the  
2           property under this subsection by quitclaim deed  
3           under such terms and conditions as the Secretary  
4           determines appropriate to protect the interests of  
5           the United States.

6           (6) CONSIDERATION.—The County of Umatilla  
7           shall pay to the Secretary an amount that is not less  
8           than the fair market value of the property conveyed  
9           under this subsection, as determined by the Sec-  
10          retary.

11 **SEC. 304. LAND EXCHANGE, WALTON AND BAY COUNTIES,**  
12 **FLORIDA.**

13          (a) IN GENERAL.—Upon conveyance, by warranty  
14          deed acceptable to the Secretary, by The St. Joe Company  
15          to the United States of all right, title, and interest in and  
16          to the non-Federal land, the Secretary shall release, by  
17          quitclaim deed, to The St. Joe Company, all interests held  
18          by the United States in any easements on the covered land  
19          other than the interests described in the covered easement.

20          (b) CONSIDERATION.—As consideration for the con-  
21          veyance and release of interests under subsection (a), The  
22          St. Joe Company shall pay to the Secretary an amount  
23          equal to the difference between the fair market value of  
24          the interests the Secretary is releasing under subsection  
25          (a) and the fair market value of the non-Federal land, as

1 determined by an appraised value mutually agreed upon  
2 by the Secretary and The St. Joe Company.

3 (c) DEADLINE.—The conveyance and release of inter-  
4 ests under subsection (a) shall be completed not later than  
5 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

6 (d) APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING PRO-  
7 VISIONS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United States Code,  
8 shall not apply to any conveyance under this section.

9 (e) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—The St. Joe Company  
10 shall be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs,  
11 including real estate transaction and environmental docu-  
12 mentation costs, associated with any conveyance or release  
13 of easements under this section.

14 (f) LIABILITY.—The St. Joe Company shall hold the  
15 United States harmless from any liability with respect to  
16 activities carried out, on or after the date of the convey-  
17 ance, on the real property conveyed under this section.  
18 The United States shall remain responsible for any liabil-  
19 ity with respect to activities carried out, before such date,  
20 on the real property conveyed.

21 (g) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The  
22 Secretary may require that any conveyance or release of  
23 interests under this section be subject to such additional  
24 terms and conditions as the Secretary considers necessary

1 and appropriate to protect the interests of the United  
2 States.

3 (h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

4 (1) COVERED EASEMENT.—The term “covered  
5 easement” means any non-exclusive, perpetual ease-  
6 ment on the covered land, which grants the Sec-  
7 retary the right, to maintain the following easements  
8 as set forth in the nonstandard estate language:

9 (A) The channel maintenance and oper-  
10 ations easement.

11 (B) The ingress and egress easement.

12 (C) The drainage trench easement.

13 (2) COVERED LAND.—The term “covered land”  
14 means the approximately 79.60 acres of land in Bay  
15 County and Walton County, Florida, as generally de-  
16 picted on the map entitled “Boundary Survey Giles  
17 Land Swap Intracoastal Waterway Parcel Bay  
18 County and Walton County, Florida”, prepared by  
19 Dewberry Engineers, Inc., and dated January 26,  
20 2021, under Project Number 50135600.

21 (3) NON-FEDERAL LAND.—The term “non-Fed-  
22 eral land” means the approximately 103.49 acres of  
23 land in Bay County, Florida, as generally depicted  
24 as Parcel B on the map entitled “Description Sketch  
25 Land Exchange (USACE/SJC) East Bay Parcel

1 SEC. 13, T-5-S, R-12-W Bay County, Florida”,  
2 prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc., and dated  
3 September 28, 2021, under Project Number  
4 50145235.

5 (4) THE ST. JOE COMPANY.—The term “The  
6 St. Joe Company” means The St. Joe Company,  
7 headquartered in Panama City Beach, Florida, origi-  
8 nally incorporated in 1936, and previously known as  
9 the St. Joe Paper Company.

10 **SEC. 305. PORT CANAVERAL, FLORIDA.**

11 (a) IN GENERAL.—On receipt of a written request  
12 from the Canaveral Port Authority, the Secretary shall—

13 (1) review the covered land, including any ease-  
14 ments on such land, and determine whether any por-  
15 tion of such land or easements are no longer re-  
16 quired for the purposes of the Canaveral Harbor  
17 project;

18 (2) identify any portion of the covered land that  
19 the Secretary determines is no longer required under  
20 paragraph (1) and that would be suitable for convey-  
21 ance or exchange with the Canaveral Port Authority;  
22 and

23 (3) as appropriate—

24 (A) convey, at fair market value to, or  
25 through exchange for real property of not less

1           than equal value with, the Canaveral Port Au-  
2           thority any portion of the covered land that the  
3           Secretary determines is no longer required  
4           under paragraph (1); and

5           (B) grant to the Canaveral Port Authority  
6           any easement on the covered land that the Sec-  
7           retary determines under paragraph (1) is no  
8           longer required and will not adversely impact  
9           the operation of the Canaveral Harbor project.

10       (b) ACTIONS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the  
11 Secretary shall—

12           (1) determine the exact acreage and the legal  
13           description of any real property interest to be con-  
14           veyed under this section based on a survey that is  
15           satisfactory to the Secretary and completed by the  
16           non-Federal interest of the Canaveral Harbor  
17           project;

18           (2) coordinate with the Canaveral Port Author-  
19           ity in identifying opportunities for land exchanges,  
20           where possible; and

21           (3) ensure that any conveyance, grant of ease-  
22           ments, or exchange of land carried out under this  
23           section, does not interfere with any Federal naviga-  
24           tion project that has been constructed or is author-

1        ized to be constructed as of the date of enactment  
2        of this Act.

3        (c) DEED.—The Secretary shall convey any property  
4        described in subsection (a)(3)(A) under this section by  
5        quitclaim deed under such terms and conditions as the  
6        Secretary determines appropriate to protect the interests  
7        of the United States.

8        (d) APPLICABILITY OF REAL PROPERTY SCREENING  
9        REQUIREMENTS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United States  
10       Code, shall not apply to the conveyance of property under  
11       this section.

12       (e) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE OR GRANT OF AN EASE-  
13       MENT.—The Canaveral Port Authority shall be respon-  
14       sible for all reasonable and necessary costs, including real  
15       estate transaction and environmental documentation  
16       costs, associated with a conveyance or grant of an ease-  
17       ment under this section.

18       (f) LIABILITY.—An entity to which a conveyance or  
19       grant of an easement is made under this section shall hold  
20       the United States harmless from any liability with respect  
21       to activities carried out, on or after the date of the convey-  
22       ance or grant of an easement, on the real property con-  
23       veyed, or with respect to which an easement is granted.  
24       The United States shall remain responsible for any liabil-  
25       ity with respect to activities carried out, before such date,

1 on the real property conveyed or with respect to which an  
2 easement is granted.

3 (g) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The  
4 Secretary may require that any conveyance or grant of an  
5 easement under this section be subject to such additional  
6 terms and conditions as the Secretary considers necessary  
7 and appropriate to protect the interests of the United  
8 States.

9 (h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

10 (1) CANAVERAL HARBOR PROJECT.—The term  
11 “Canaveral Harbor project” means the project for  
12 navigation, Canaveral Harbor, Port Canaveral, Flor-  
13 ida, authorized by section 2 of Act of March 2, 1945  
14 (chapter 19, 59 Stat. 16).

15 (2) COVERED LAND.—The term “covered land”  
16 means the land owned and known as “USACOE  
17 TRACT 100” that is held by the United States for  
18 the Canaveral Harbor project.

19 **SEC. 306. SPECIFIC DEAUTHORIZATIONS.**

20 (a) DEAUTHORIZATION OF DESIGNATED PORTIONS  
21 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CALI-  
22 FORNIA.—

23 (1) IN GENERAL.—The portion of the project  
24 for flood risk management, Los Angeles County  
25 Drainage Area, California, authorized by section 5

1 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat.  
2 1589; 50 Stat. 167; 52 Stat. 1215; 55 Stat. 647; 64  
3 Stat. 177; 104 Stat. 4611; 136 Stat. 3785; 138  
4 Stat. 3019), consisting of the flood channels de-  
5 scribed in paragraph (2), is no longer authorized be-  
6 ginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

7 (2) FLOOD CHANNELS DESCRIBED.—The flood  
8 channels referred to in paragraph (1) are the fol-  
9 lowing flood channels operated and maintained by  
10 the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, as  
11 generally defined in Corps of Engineers operations  
12 and maintenance manuals:

13 (A) Alhambra Wash Channel (Valley Blvd.  
14 to Rio Hondo).

15 (B) Arcadia Wash Channel.

16 (C) Ballona Creek Channel (La Salle Ave.  
17 to Vista Del Mar).

18 (D) Ballona Creek Channel (Redondo  
19 Blvd. to Washington Blvd.).

20 (E) Benedict Canyon Channel.

21 (F) Burbank Western Channel (Roscoe  
22 Blvd. to Victory Blvd.).

23 (G) Caballero Creek Channel.

24 (H) Centinela Creek Channel.

1 (I) Compton Creek Channel (Main St. to  
2 122nd St.).

3 (J) Compton Creek Channel (Southern Pa-  
4 cific Rail Yard to Los Angeles River).

5 (K) Coyote Creek Channel (Valley View St.  
6 to San Gabriel River).

7 (L) Eaton Wash Channel.

8 (M) Grandview Ave Channel.

9 (N) Haines Canyon Channel (Plainview  
10 Ave. to Tujunga Wash).

11 (O) Kenter Canyon Channel.

12 (P) Lopez Canyon Diversion Channel.

13 (Q) Los Angeles River (Owensmouth Ave.  
14 to Sepulveda Flood Control Basin).

15 (R) Los Angeles River (Sepulveda Flood  
16 Control Basin to Lankershim Blvd.).

17 (S) Los Angeles River (Stewart and Grey  
18 Rd. to Pacific Ocean).

19 (T) North Fork Channel.

20 (U) Pacoima Wash Channel.

21 (V) Rio Hondo Channel (Lower Azusa Rd.  
22 to Rosemead Blvd.).

23 (W) Rio Hondo Channel (500 ft. south of  
24 Whittier Narrows Dam to Union Pacific Rail  
25 Yard).

1 (X) Rubio Wash Channel.

2 (Y) San Gabriel River Channel (Santa Fe  
3 Flood Control Basin to Thienes Ave.).

4 (Z) San Gabriel River Channel (Whittier  
5 Narrows Dam to Pacific Ocean).

6 (AA) San Jose Creek Channel (Thomas  
7 Creek to San Jose Creek Diversion).

8 (BB) Santa Anita Wash Channel.

9 (CC) Sawpit Wash Channel.

10 (DD) Sawtelle–Westwood Channel.

11 (EE) Sycamore Wash Channel.

12 (FF) Tujunga Wash Channel (436 ft.  
13 south of Hansen Dam to Los Angeles River).

14 (GG) Verdugo Wash Channel (Verdugo  
15 Debris Basin to San Fernando Rd.).

16 (3) REPEAL.—The following are repealed:

17 (A) Subsections (c) and (d) of section  
18 8320 of the Water Resources Development Act  
19 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3785).

20 (B) Paragraphs (3) and (4) of section  
21 1302(b) of the Water Resources Development  
22 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3109).

23 (b) LOWER SAN JOAQUIN RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,  
24 CALIFORNIA.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—The portion of the project  
2           for flood protection on the Lower San Joaquin River  
3           and tributaries, California, authorized by section 10  
4           of the Act of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58  
5           Stat. 901), consisting of the 3.76 mile Dos Rios  
6           Levee along the right bank of the San Joaquin River  
7           between River Mile 88 and River Mile 85, is no  
8           longer authorized beginning on the date of enact-  
9           ment of this Act.

10           (2) REPEAL.—Section 1302(p) of the Water  
11           Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat.  
12           3114) is repealed.

13           (c) UPPER ST. ANTHONY FALLS LOCK AND DAM,  
14           MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA.—Section 2010 of the Water  
15           Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128  
16           Stat. 1270; 132 Stat. 3812; 136 Stat. 3795) is amended  
17           by adding at the end the following:

18           “(h) CLARIFICATION.—

19           “(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding the re-  
20           quirements of section 356(f) of the Water Resources  
21           Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2724; 138  
22           Stat. 3141), the Secretary shall retain ownership of,  
23           and operation and maintenance responsibility for,  
24           the underwater cutoff wall constructed by the Sec-

1       retary on the riverbed in the vicinity of St. Anthony  
2       Falls, Minnesota.

3               “(2) ASSESSMENT.—Not later than 1 year after  
4       the date of enactment of this subsection, the Sec-  
5       retary shall complete and transmit to the State of  
6       Minnesota and to the Committee on Transportation  
7       and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
8       and the Committee on Environment and Public  
9       Works of the Senate an assessment of the condition  
10      of the underwater cutoff wall described in paragraph  
11      (1).”.

12      (d) HARLEM RIVER FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHAN-  
13      NEL, NEW YORK.—

14              (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-  
15      actment of this Act, the project for navigation, Har-  
16      lem River, New York, authorized by the first section  
17      of the Act of June 18, 1878 (chapter 264, 20 Stat.  
18      158) is modified to deauthorize the portion of the  
19      project consisting of the areas described in para-  
20      graph (2).

21              (2) AREAS DESCRIBED.—The areas referred to  
22      in paragraph (1) are—

23                  (A) the area that is a closed polygon  
24                  formed by straight lines connecting, in the  
25                  order listed, the following points, and thence ex-

1           tending landward to the existing physical shore-  
2           line—

3                   (i) beginning at a point on the shore-  
4                   line north of the Macombs Dam Bridge at  
5                   N244191.72, E1002503.69;

6                   (ii) running south-southwesterly ap-  
7                   proximately 59.5 feet to a point at  
8                   N244133.98, E1002489.25;

9                   (iii) running south-southwesterly ap-  
10                  proximately 579.8 feet to a point at  
11                  N243572.61, E1002344.44;

12                  (iv) running southerly approximately  
13                  173.9 feet to a point at N243399.67,  
14                  E1002326.56;

15                  (v) running southerly approximately  
16                  189.0 feet to a point at N243212.20,  
17                  E1002302.50;

18                  (vi) running southerly approximately  
19                  354.4 feet to a point at N242858.69,  
20                  E1002277.63;

21                  (vii) running southerly approximately  
22                  292.3 feet to a point at N242566.45,  
23                  E1002281.31;

1 (viii) running southerly approximately  
2 791.2 feet to a point at N241778.27,  
3 E1002350.13;

4 (ix) running southerly approximately  
5 254.5 feet to a point at N241525.84,  
6 E1002382.88;

7 (x) running southerly approximately  
8 56.6 feet to a point at N241469.61,  
9 E1002389.06;

10 (xi) running southerly approximately  
11 190.2 feet to a point at N241280.22,  
12 E1002406.69;

13 (xii) running southwesterly approxi-  
14 mately 93.6 feet to a point at N241209.59,  
15 E1002345.25; and

16 (xiii) running southwesterly approxi-  
17 mately 15.4 feet terminating at a point on  
18 the shoreline at N241197.95,  
19 E1002335.13; and

20 (B) the area that is a closed polygon  
21 formed by straight lines connecting, in the  
22 order listed, the following points, and thence ex-  
23 tending landward to the existing physical shore-  
24 line—

1 (i) beginning at a point on the shore-  
2 line south of the Macombs Dam Bridge at  
3 N240595.14, E1002396.69;

4 (ii) running south-southeasterly ap-  
5 proximately 30.5 feet to a point at  
6 N240569.30, E1002412.94;

7 (iii) running south-southeasterly ap-  
8 proximately 97.5 feet to a point at  
9 N240486.75, E1002464.88;

10 (iv) running southerly approximately  
11 477.6 feet to a point at N240010.61,  
12 E1002502.81;

13 (v) running southerly approximately  
14 60.4 feet to a point at N239950.33,  
15 E1002506.19;

16 (vi) running southerly approximately  
17 39.5 feet to a point at N239910.88,  
18 E1002506.94;

19 (vii) running southerly approximately  
20 137.3 feet to a point at N239773.63,  
21 E1002509.38;

22 (viii) running southerly approximately  
23 97.9 feet to a point at N239676.67,  
24 E1002523.13;

1 (ix) running southerly approximately  
2 58.1 feet to a point at N239618.58,  
3 E1002524.19;

4 (x) running southerly approximately  
5 289.1 feet to a point at N239330.02,  
6 E1002541.31;

7 (xi) running southerly approximately  
8 331.3 feet to a point at N238999.31,  
9 E1002560.94;

10 (xii) running southerly approximately  
11 583.2 feet to a point at N238416.61,  
12 E1002585.50;

13 (xiii) running southerly approximately  
14 387.8 feet to a point at N238029.20,  
15 E1002602.56;

16 (xiv) running southerly approximately  
17 120.0 feet to a point at N237909.23,  
18 E1002603.81;

19 (xv) running west-northwesterly ap-  
20 proximately 42 feet to a point at  
21 N237919.38, E1002563.06; and

22 (xvi) running west-northwesterly ap-  
23 proximately 1 foot to a point on the shore-  
24 line at N237919.63, E1002562.06.

1 (e) HUDSON RIVER CHANNEL PARTIAL DEAUTHOR-  
2 IZATION, NEW YORK.—

3 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-  
4 actment of this Act, the project for navigation, Hud-  
5 son River Channel, New York, authorized by the  
6 first section of the Act of March 4, 1913 (chapter  
7 144, 37 Stat. 804), is modified to deauthorize the  
8 portion of the channel consisting of the area de-  
9 scribed in paragraph (2).

10 (2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in  
11 paragraph (1) is the area bounded by the following  
12 coordinates:

13 (A) W 740004.90, N 404615.84.

14 (B) W 735957.24, N 404612.68.

15 (C) W 740015.09, N 404601.62.

16 (D) W 740007.71, N 404558.52.

17 (f) NEWTOWN CREEK FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHAN-  
18 NEL, NEW YORK.—

19 (1) DEFINITION OF NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW  
20 YORK PROJECT.—In this subsection, the term “New-  
21 town Creek, New York project” means the project  
22 for navigation, Newtown Creek, New York and asso-  
23 ciated tributaries, authorized by the first section of  
24 the Act of March 2, 1919 (chapter 95, 40 Stat.  
25 1276; 46 Stat. 920; 50 Stat. 845; 138 Stat. 3113).

1           (2) MODIFICATION.—Beginning on the date of  
2           enactment of this Act, the Newtown Creek, New  
3           York project is modified to reduce, in part, the au-  
4           thorized dimensions of the project, such that the re-  
5           maining authorized depths are as follows:

6                   (A) A 20-foot deep channel with a center  
7                   line beginning at point North 40.735668 and  
8                   West 73.942159, thence to a point North  
9                   40.733648 and West 73.940546.

10                   (B) An 18-foot deep channel with a center  
11                   line beginning at point North 40.733648 and  
12                   West 73.940546, thence to a point North  
13                   40.722214 and West 73.925873.

14                   (C) An 18-foot deep turning basin south-  
15                   west of a line formed by points North  
16                   40.726201 and West 73.927288; and North  
17                   40.723507 and West 73.924712.

18                   (D) A 16-foot-deep channel with a center  
19                   line beginning at a point North 40.722214 and  
20                   West 73.925873, thence to a point North  
21                   40.718066 and West 73.923931.

22                   (E) A 16-foot-deep channel with a center  
23                   line beginning at a point North 40.718663 and  
24                   West 73.924175, thence to a point North  
25                   40.717538 and West 73.927437.

1 (F) A 14-foot-deep channel with a center  
2 line beginning at a point North 40.717538 and  
3 West 73.927437, thence to a point North  
4 40.716610 and West 73.929278.

5 (G) A 12-foot-deep channel with a center  
6 line beginning at a point North 40.716610 and  
7 West 73.929278, thence to a point North  
8 40.713164 and West 73.931352.

9 (3) DEAUTHORIZATIONS.—

10 (A) IN GENERAL.—The portions of the  
11 Newtown Creek navigation project described in  
12 subparagraph (B) are deauthorized beginning  
13 on the date of enactment of this Act.

14 (B) PORTIONS DESCRIBED.—The portions  
15 referred to in subparagraph (A) are—

16 (i) the portion of the project adjacent  
17 to the turning basin consisting of—

18 (I) the area east of a line formed  
19 by points North 40.726201 and West  
20 73.927288; and North 40.723507 and  
21 West 73.924712; and

22 (II) the area containing Maspeth  
23 Creek;

24 (ii) the upstream portion of English  
25 Kills consisting of the area—

1 (I) beginning at a point North  
2 40.713164 and West 73.931352; and  
3 (II) extending upstream to the  
4 project boundary; and  
5 (iii) Dutch Kills, consisting of the  
6 area—

7 (I) beginning at a point North  
8 40.737623 and West 73.946809; and  
9 (II) extending upstream to the  
10 project boundary.

11 (g) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—

12 (1) DEAUTHORIZATION.—Upon completion of  
13 the sale of the Union Pier marine terminal property  
14 and cessation of commercial port operations at the  
15 Union Pier marine terminal by the South Carolina  
16 Ports Authority, the project for navigation, Charles-  
17 ton Harbor, South Carolina, authorized by section  
18 1401(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
19 2016 (130 Stat. 1708) consisting of the area de-  
20 scribed in paragraph (2) is no longer authorized.

21 (2) PORTION DESCRIBED.—The area referred  
22 to in paragraph (1) is the portion of the Federal  
23 channel located within Charleston Harbor bounded  
24 by the following coordinates: 32° 47' 14.4485'' N,  
25 79° 55' 23.8699'' W; to 32° 46' 39.2931'' N, 79°

1        55' 23.1766" W; to 32° 46' 39.4002" N, 79° 55'  
2        15.564" W; to 32° 47' 5.2545" N, 79° 55'  
3        16.0734" W; to 32° 47' 9.6725" N, 79° 55'  
4        10.8883" W; thence back to 32° 47' 14.4485" N,  
5        79° 55' 23.8699" W.

6        (h) SHOT POUCH CREEK, SOUTH CAROLINA.—The  
7 project for snagging and clearing, Shot Pouch Creek,  
8 South Carolina, authorized by section 2 of August 28,  
9 1937 (33 U.S.C. 701g), consisting of 2.15 miles of Shot  
10 Pouch Creek from the Sumter Bypass to Swan Lake, is  
11 no longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment  
12 of this Act.

13 **SEC. 307. ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

14        (a) CONSISTENCY WITH REPORTS.—Congress finds  
15 that the projects and project modifications described in  
16 this subsection are in accordance with the reports sub-  
17 mitted to Congress by the Secretary under section 7001  
18 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of  
19 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d), titled “Report to Congress on  
20 Future Water Resources Development”, or have otherwise  
21 been reviewed by Congress.

22        (b) NEW PROJECTS.—Section 219(f) of the Water  
23 Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113  
24 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3115) is amended by adding at the  
25 end the following:

1           “(599) AUBURN, ALABAMA.—\$3,000,000 for  
2           water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of  
3           Auburn, Alabama.

4           “(600)       EDWARDSVILLE,       ALABAMA.—  
5           \$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure  
6           in the town of Edwardsville, Alabama.

7           “(601) GADSDEN, ALABAMA.—\$12,000,000 for  
8           water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
9           water supply and distribution systems, in the city of  
10          Gadsden, Alabama.

11          “(602)    LOWER    ALABAMA,    ALABAMA.—  
12          \$40,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
13          ture, including stormwater management, environ-  
14          mental restoration, and surface water protection, in  
15          Barbour, Bullock, Butler, Conecuh, Crenshaw,  
16          Macon, Mobile, Monroe, Montgomery, Pike, Russell,  
17          and Washington Counties, Alabama.

18          “(603) MOBILE, ALABAMA.—\$10,000,000 for  
19          water and wastewater infrastructure, including eco-  
20          system restoration and resiliency, in the city of Mo-  
21          bile, Alabama.

22          “(604) SOUTHSIDE, ALABAMA.—\$4,200,000 for  
23          water and wastewater infrastructure, including dis-  
24          tribution systems, in the city of Southside, Alabama.

1           “(605) NELSON LAGOON, ALASKA.—\$3,300,000  
2           for water infrastructure, including water supply and  
3           storage, in the Native Village of Nelson Lagoon,  
4           Alaska.

5           “(606) SITKA, ALASKA.—\$7,000,000 for water  
6           and wastewater infrastructure, including reclamation  
7           and storage, in the city and borough of Sitka, Alas-  
8           ka.

9           “(607) SOLDOTNA, ALASKA.—\$1,300,000 for  
10          water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
11          water reclamation and storage, in the city of  
12          Soldotna, Alaska.

13          “(608) LEE COUNTY, ARKANSAS.—\$50,000,000  
14          for water and wastewater infrastructure in Lee  
15          County, Arkansas.

16          “(609) COTTONWOOD, ARIZONA.—\$10,000,000  
17          for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
18          water reclamation, distribution systems, and  
19          stormwater management, in the city of Cottonwood,  
20          Arizona.

21          “(610) GILA COUNTY, ARIZONA.—\$24,000,000  
22          for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
23          water reclamation, treatment, and distribution sys-  
24          tems, in Gila County, Arizona.

1           “(611) GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY, ARI-  
2           ZONA.—\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater in-  
3           frastructure, including water supply and conserva-  
4           tion, in the Gila River Indian Community, Arizona.

5           “(612) MARICOPA, ARIZONA.—\$10,000,000 for  
6           water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
7           water reclamation, distribution systems, and  
8           stormwater management, in the city of Maricopa,  
9           Arizona.

10          “(613) PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA.—\$7,500,000  
11          for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
12          water reclamation and distribution systems and envi-  
13          ronmental restoration, in Pima County, Arizona.

14          “(614) SANTA CRUZ COUNTY, ARIZONA.—  
15          \$30,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
16          ture, including water reclamation and distribution  
17          systems and environmental restoration, in Santa  
18          Cruz County, Arizona.

19          “(615) ARTESIA, CALIFORNIA.—\$1,200,000 for  
20          water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
21          stormwater management and environmental infra-  
22          structure, in the city of Artesia, California.

23          “(616)        ATASCADERO,        CALIFORNIA.—  
24          \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-

1       ture, including water supply, in the city of  
2       Atascadero, California.

3           “(617) BELMONT, SAN CARLOS, REDWOOD  
4       CITY, AND WEST BAY SANITARY DISTRICT, CALI-  
5       FORNIA.—\$9,000,000 for water and wastewater in-  
6       frastructure, including environmental restoration, in  
7       the cities of Belmont, San Carlos, and Redwood City  
8       and the West Bay Sanitary District, California.

9           “(618) BURBANK, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000  
10      for water infrastructure, including water distribution  
11      systems, in the city of Burbank, California.

12          “(619) BUTTE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
13      \$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure  
14      in Butte County, California.

15          “(620) CERRITOS, CALIFORNIA.—\$16,000,000  
16      for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
17      water supply, in the city of Cerritos, California.

18          “(621) COACHELLA, CALIFORNIA.—  
19      \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
20      ture, including water supply, in the city of Coachella,  
21      California.

22          “(622) CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
23      \$6,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
24      including stormwater management, in Contra Costa  
25      County, California.

1           “(623) EL MONTE, CALIFORNIA.—\$18,000,000  
2           for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
3           stormwater management, in the city of El Monte,  
4           California.

5           “(624) EL SEGUNDO, CALIFORNIA.—  
6           \$1,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
7           including stormwater management, in the city of El  
8           Segundo, California.

9           “(625) ELSINORE VALLEY, CALIFORNIA.—  
10          \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure  
11          in the city of Lake Elsinore, California.

12          “(626) ENCINITAS, CALIFORNIA.—\$44,250,000  
13          for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
14          stormwater management, drainage systems, and en-  
15          vironmental restoration, in the city of Encinitas,  
16          California.

17          “(627) IRVINE, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000 for  
18          water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
19          water supply, in the city of Irvine, California.

20          “(628) LA CAÑADA FLINTRIDGE, CALI-  
21          FORNIA.—\$29,024,625 for water and wastewater in-  
22          frastructure, including water supply and storage ca-  
23          pacity, in the city of La Cañada Flintridge, Cali-  
24          fornia.

1           “(629) LAGUNA BEACH, CALIFORNIA.—  
2       \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
3       ture, including water supply and stormwater man-  
4       agement, in the city of Laguna Beach, California.

5           “(630) LOMPOC, CALIFORNIA.—\$6,300,000 for  
6       water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
7       stormwater management, in the city of Lompoc,  
8       California.

9           “(631) MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA.—  
10      \$2,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-  
11      ture in the city of Manhattan Beach, California.

12          “(632) MISSION VIEJO, RANCHO SANTA MAR-  
13      GARITA, AND SAN CLEMENTE, CALIFORNIA.—  
14      \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
15      ture, including water supply, water recycling, and  
16      stormwater management in the cities of Mission  
17      Viejo, Rancho Santa Margarita, and San Clemente,  
18      California.

19          “(633) MODESTO, CALIFORNIA.—\$30,000,000  
20      for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
21      facility relocation, in the city of Modesto, California.

22          “(634) MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
23      \$27,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
24      ture, including stormwater management, in Mon-  
25      terey County, California.

1           “(635)   MORGAN   HILL,   CALIFORNIA.—  
2       \$17,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
3       ture in the city of Morgan Hill, California.

4           “(636)   OJAI,   CALIFORNIA.—\$25,000,000 for  
5       water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
6       stormwater management, in the city of Ojai, Cali-  
7       fornia.

8           “(637)   REDWOOD   CITY,   CALIFORNIA.—  
9       \$3,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
10      including stormwater management, in the city of  
11      Redwood City, California.

12          “(638)   SACRAMENTO   RIVER   BASIN,   CALI-  
13      FORNIA.—\$155,000,000 for water and wastewater  
14      infrastructure, including environmental restoration  
15      and surface water protection in the Sacramento  
16      River Basin, California, including the counties of  
17      Shasta, Tehama, Butte, Glenn, Colusa, Sutter,  
18      Yuba, Sacramento, and Yolo, California.

19          “(639)   SAN   BERNARDINO   AND   RIVERSIDE  
20      COUNTIES,   CALIFORNIA.—\$67,500,000 for water  
21      and wastewater infrastructure, including water sup-  
22      ply, distribution systems, stormwater management,  
23      and environmental restoration, in San Bernardino  
24      and Riverside Counties, California.

1           “(640)    SAN    FERNANDO,    CALIFORNIA.—  
2       \$28,000,000 for water infrastructure, including  
3       water supply and distribution systems, in the city of  
4       San Fernando, California.

5           “(641)    SAN    MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
6       \$30,665,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
7       ture, including water supply and water reuse and re-  
8       lated facilities, in San Mateo County, California.

9           “(642)    SANTA   BARBARA COUNTY, CALI-  
10      FORNIA.—\$3,200,000 for water and wastewater in-  
11      frastructure in Santa Barbara County, California.

12          “(643)    SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,500,000  
13      for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
14      water reclamation, distribution systems, and supply,  
15      in the city of Santa Cruz, California.

16          “(644)    SEASIDE, CALIFORNIA.—\$14,000,000  
17      for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
18      water supply, stormwater management, and water  
19      reclamation and distribution systems, in the city of  
20      Seaside, California.

21          “(645)    SOLVANG, CALIFORNIA.—\$2,000,000 for  
22      water and water supply infrastructure, including dis-  
23      tribution systems, in the city of Solvang, California.

24          “(646)    SONOMA AND MARIN COUNTIES, CALI-  
25      FORNIA.—\$60,000,000 for water and wastewater in-

1        frastructure, including water supply, stormwater  
2        management, surface water protection, and environ-  
3        mental restoration, in Sonoma and Marin Counties,  
4        California.

5            “(647) SUTTER, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,350,000 for  
6        water and wastewater infrastructure in the county of  
7        Sutter, California.

8            “(648) TURLOCK, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000  
9        for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city  
10       of Turlock, California.

11           “(649) VENTURA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
12       \$23,459,000 for water infrastructure, including  
13       water supply and water storage, in Ventura County,  
14       California.

15           “(650) WEST COVINA, CALIFORNIA.—  
16       \$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
17       including stormwater management, in the city of  
18       West Covina, California.

19           “(651) AURORA, COLORADO.—\$15,600,000 for  
20       water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
21       stormwater management, in the city of Aurora, Col-  
22       orado.

23           “(652) CUSTER COUNTY, COLORADO.—  
24       \$20,000,000 for water and water infrastructure, in-  
25       cluding water supply, in Custer County, Colorado.

1           “(653) NORTHERN COLORADO.—\$22,500,000  
2           for water infrastructure, including water supply and  
3           environmental restoration, in Boulder, Broomfield,  
4           Larimer, Logan, Morgan, Sedgwick, Washington,  
5           and Weld Counties, Colorado.

6           “(654) CONNECTICUT RIVER VALLEY, CON-  
7           NECTICUT.—\$12,500,000 for water and wastewater  
8           infrastructure, including stormwater management  
9           and environmental restoration, in the towns of An-  
10          dover, Avon, Berlin, Bloomfield, Bolton, Canton, Co-  
11          lumbia, Coventry, East Granby, East Hartford, East  
12          Windsor, Ellington, Enfield, Farmington, Glaston-  
13          bury, Granby, Hartford, Hebron, Manchester, Mans-  
14          field, Marlborough, New Britain, Newington, Plain-  
15          ville, Rocky Hill, Simsbury, Somers, South Windsor,  
16          Southington, Stafford, Suffield, Tolland, Vernon,  
17          West Hartford, Wethersfield, Willington, Windsor,  
18          and Windsor Locks, and the cities of Hartford and  
19          New Britain, Connecticut.

20          “(655) DANBURY, CONNECTICUT.—  
21          \$32,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
22          ture, including water supply and water storage and  
23          distribution systems, in the city of Danbury, Con-  
24          necticut.

1           “(656)       HARTFORD,       CONNECTICUT.—  
2       \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
3       ture, including stormwater management, in the city  
4       of Hartford, Connecticut.

5           “(657) LOWER CONNECTICUT RIVER VALLEY,  
6       CONNECTICUT.—\$12,500,000 for water and waste-  
7       water infrastructure, including stormwater manage-  
8       ment and environmental restoration, in the towns of  
9       Chester, Clinton, Cromwell, Deep River, Durham,  
10      East Haddam, East Hampton, Essex, Haddam,  
11      Killingworth, Lyme, Middlefield, Old Lyme, Old  
12      Saybrook, Portland, and Westbrook, and the city of  
13      Middletown, Connecticut.

14          “(658)   NEW    BRITAIN,    CONNECTICUT.—  
15      \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
16      including stormwater management, in the city of  
17      New Britain, Connecticut.

18          “(659)   NORTHEASTERN   CONNECTICUT.—  
19      \$12,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
20      ture, including stormwater management and envi-  
21      ronmental restoration, in the towns of Ashford,  
22      Brooklyn, Canterbury, Chaplin, Eastford, Hampton,  
23      Killingly, Plainfield, Pomfret, Putnam, Scotland,  
24      Sterling, Thompson, Union, Voluntown, and Wood-  
25      stock, Connecticut.

1           “(660)     SOUTHEASTERN     CONNECTICUT.—  
2     \$12,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
3     ture, including stormwater management and envi-  
4     ronmental restoration, in the towns of Bozrah,  
5     Colchester, East Lyme, Franklin, Griswold, Groton,  
6     Lebanon, Ledyard, Lisbon, Montville, North  
7     Stonington, Preston, Salem, Sprague, Stonington,  
8     Waterford, and Windham, the boroughs of Jewett  
9     City and Stonington, and cities of Groton, New Lon-  
10    don, and Norwich, Connecticut.

11           “(661)     THOMASTON,     CONNECTICUT.—  
12    \$8,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
13    including stormwater management, in the town of  
14    Thomaston, Connecticut.

15           “(662)     WATERBURY,     CONNECTICUT.—  
16    \$5,000,000 for water infrastructure, including water  
17    supply and distribution systems, in the city of Wa-  
18    terbury, Connecticut.

19           “(663)     ALTAMONTE     SPRINGS,     FLORIDA.—  
20    \$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
21    including water supply, stormwater management,  
22    and water reclamation, in the city of Altamonte  
23    Springs, Florida.

1           “(664) BUNNELL, FLORIDA.—\$16,600,000 for  
2           water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of  
3           Bunnell, Florida.

4           “(665) COLUMBIA AND SUWANNEE COUNTIES,  
5           FLORIDA.—\$39,300,000 for water and wastewater  
6           infrastructure in Columbia and Suwannee Counties,  
7           Florida.

8           “(666) ESCAMBIA, WALTON, OKALOOSA, AND  
9           SANTA ROSA COUNTIES, FLORIDA.—\$50,000,000 for  
10          water and wastewater infrastructure in Escambia,  
11          Walton, Okaloosa, and Santa Rosa Counties, Flor-  
12          ida.

13          “(667) GILCHRIST COUNTY, FLORIDA.—  
14          \$200,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
15          including stormwater management, in Gilchrist  
16          County, Florida.

17          “(668) HERNANDO COUNTY, FLORIDA.—  
18          \$29,600,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
19          ture, including stormwater management and envi-  
20          ronmental restoration, in Hernando County, Florida.

21          “(669) HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA.—\$46,000,000  
22          for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city  
23          of Hollywood, Florida.

24          “(670) NORTH MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLOR-  
25          IDA.—\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-

1 structure, including distribution systems, monitoring  
2 infrastructure, stormwater management, and envi-  
3 ronmental restoration, in the cities of Aventura,  
4 Miami Gardens, North Miami Beach, and Sunny  
5 Isles Beach, the town of Golden Beach, and Miami-  
6 Dade County, Florida.

7 “(671) PASCO COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$400,000  
8 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
9 distribution systems, in Pasco County, Florida.

10 “(672) RIVIERA BEACH, FLORIDA.—  
11 \$30,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
12 ture, including water supply, distribution systems,  
13 and stormwater management, in the city of Riviera  
14 Beach, Florida.

15 “(673) SANFORD, FLORIDA.—\$3,800,000 for  
16 water infrastructure, including water supply, in the  
17 city of Sanford, Florida.

18 “(674) TAMPA BAY, FLORIDA.—\$100,000,000  
19 for water infrastructure, including water supply, in  
20 Hillsborough, Pasco, and Pinellas Counties and the  
21 cities of New Port Richey, St. Petersburg, and  
22 Tampa, Florida.

23 “(675) WINTER PARK, FLORIDA.—\$25,000,000  
24 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including

1 stormwater management, in the city of Winter Park,  
2 Florida.

3 “(676) AVONDALE ESTATES, GEORGIA.—  
4 \$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
5 including stormwater management, in the city of  
6 Avondale Estates, Georgia.

7 “(677) FAIRBURN, GEORGIA.—\$5,670,000 for  
8 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
9 water supply and distribution systems, in the city of  
10 Fairburn, Georgia.

11 “(678) GARDEN CITY, GEORGIA.—\$4,300,000  
12 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city  
13 of Garden City, Georgia.

14 “(679) NEWTON COUNTY, GEORGIA.—  
15 \$23,900,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
16 ture, including water supply and distribution sys-  
17 tems, in Newton County, Georgia.

18 “(680) ADDISON, ILLINOIS.—\$50,000,000 for  
19 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
20 stormwater management, in the village of Addison,  
21 Illinois.

22 “(681) EAST MOLINE, ILLINOIS.—\$15,000,000  
23 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
24 water supply, in the city of East Moline, Illinois.

1           “(682) PORT BYRON, ILLINOIS.—\$1,500,000  
2           for water and wastewater infrastructure in the vil-  
3           lage of Port Byron, Illinois.

4           “(683) SHANNON, ILLINOIS.—\$1,000,000 for  
5           water and wastewater infrastructure, including dis-  
6           tribution systems, in the village of Shannon, Illinois.

7           “(684) SOUTH PEKIN, ILLINOIS.—\$8,000,000  
8           for water infrastructure, including water supply, in  
9           the village of South Pekin, Illinois.

10          “(685) BALTIMORE, MARYLAND.—\$25,000,000  
11          for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
12          stormwater management, in the city of Baltimore,  
13          Maryland.

14          “(686) HOLYOKE, MASSACHUSETTS.—  
15          \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
16          ture, including stormwater management, in the city  
17          of Holyoke, Massachusetts.

18          “(687) BAY REGION, MICHIGAN.—\$50,000,000  
19          for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
20          water supply, stormwater management, and surface  
21          water protection, in Bay, Midland, Saginaw, Gen-  
22          esee, and Tuscola Counties, Michigan.

23          “(688) KENT COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—  
24          \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
25          ture, including stormwater management and surface

1 water resource protection, in Kent County, Michi-  
2 gan.

3 “(689) LAPEER, MACOMB, OAKLAND, AND ST.  
4 CLAIR COUNTIES, MICHIGAN.—\$50,000,000 for  
5 water and wastewater infrastructure in Lapeer,  
6 Macomb, Oakland, and St. Clair Counties, Michigan.

7 “(690) MUSKEGON COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—  
8 \$15,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
9 ture, including stormwater management and surface  
10 water resource protection, in Muskegon County,  
11 Michigan.

12 “(691) OTTAWA COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—  
13 \$15,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
14 ture, including stormwater management and surface  
15 water resource protection, in Ottawa County, Michi-  
16 gan.

17 “(692) OWOSSO, MICHIGAN.—\$47,000,000 for  
18 water infrastructure, including water supply and de-  
19 salination, in the city of Owosso, Michigan.

20 “(693) WESTPHALIA, MICHIGAN.—\$3,000,000  
21 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
22 water supply, in the village of Westphalia, Michigan.

23 “(694) COKATO, MINNESOTA.—\$4,500,000 for  
24 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
25 water supply, in the city of Cokato, Minnesota.

1           “(695) NORWOOD YOUNG AMERICA, MIN-  
2           NESOTA.—\$21,500,000 for water and wastewater in-  
3           frastructure, including water supply, in the city of  
4           Norwood Young America, Minnesota.

5           “(696) SARTELL, MINNESOTA.—\$24,000,000  
6           for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
7           water supply, stormwater management, and surface  
8           water protection, in the city of Sartell, Minnesota.

9           “(697) BRANDON, MISSISSIPPI.—\$10,000,000  
10          for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city  
11          of Brandon, Mississippi.

12          “(698) CHOCTAW COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—  
13          \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
14          ture, including stormwater management, in Choctaw  
15          County, Mississippi.

16          “(699) FOREST, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000 for  
17          water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of  
18          Forest, Mississippi.

19          “(700) GRENADA, MISSISSIPPI.—\$3,500,000 for  
20          water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
21          stormwater management, in the city of Grenada,  
22          Mississippi.

23          “(701) LUCEDALE, MISSISSIPPI.—\$3,000,000  
24          for water and wastewater infrastructure, including

1 stormwater management, in the city of Lucedale,  
2 Mississippi.

3 “(702) SARDIS, MISSISSIPPI.—\$3,000,000 for  
4 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
5 stormwater management, in the city of Sardis, Mis-  
6 sissippi.

7 “(703) WEST POINT, MISSISSIPPI.—  
8 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
9 ture in the city of West Point, Mississippi.

10 “(704) KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI.—\$45,000,000  
11 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
12 stormwater management and water supply, in Kan-  
13 sas City, Missouri.

14 “(705) NEW LONDON, NEW HAMPSHIRE.—  
15 \$15,000,000 for water infrastructure, including  
16 water supply, in the town of New London, New  
17 Hampshire.

18 “(706) SULLIVAN COUNTY, NEW HAMPSHIRE.—  
19 \$1,500,000 for water infrastructure, including water  
20 supply, in Sullivan County, New Hampshire.

21 “(707) BERGEN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—  
22 \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
23 ture, including stormwater management, in Bergen  
24 County, New Jersey.

1           “(708) CAMDEN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—  
2       \$45,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
3       ture, including environmental restoration, in Cam-  
4       den County, New Jersey.

5           “(709) DEMAREST, NEW JERSEY.—\$2,310,000  
6       for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
7       stormwater management, in the borough of  
8       Demarest, New Jersey.

9           “(710) JERSEY CITY, NEW JERSEY.—  
10      \$1,300,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
11      including stormwater management and water supply,  
12      in Jersey City, New Jersey.

13          “(711) PALMYRA, NEW JERSEY.—\$5,000,000  
14      for water and wastewater infrastructure in the bor-  
15      ough of Palmyra, New Jersey.

16          “(712) DOÑA ANA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—  
17      \$25,000,000 for water infrastructure, including  
18      water supply and desalination, in Doña Ana County,  
19      New Mexico.

20          “(713) LOS ALAMOS COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—  
21      \$1,500,000 for water infrastructure, including water  
22      supply, in Los Alamos County, New Mexico.

23          “(714) VALENCIA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—  
24      \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-

1       ture, including stormwater management, in Valencia  
2       County, New Mexico.

3           “(715)   BRONX   COUNTY,   NEW   YORK.—  
4       \$90,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
5       ture, including stormwater management, in Bronx  
6       County, New York.

7           “(716)   BROOKHAVEN,   NEW   YORK.—  
8       \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
9       ture, including stormwater management, in the town  
10      of Brookhaven, New York.

11          “(717)   FREEPORT,   NEW   YORK.—\$3,300,000  
12      for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
13      stormwater management, in the village of Freeport,  
14      New York.

15          “(718)   GARDEN   CITY,   NEW   YORK.—  
16      \$11,000,000 for water infrastructure, including  
17      water supply, in the village of Garden City, New  
18      York.

19          “(719)   LAWRENCE,   NEW   YORK.—\$130,000 for  
20      water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
21      stormwater management, in the village of Lawrence,  
22      New York.

23          “(720)   LONG   BEACH,   NEW   YORK.—  
24      \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-

1       ture, including water supply, in the city of Long  
2       Beach, New York.

3           “(721)    LONG    ISLAND,    NEW    YORK.—  
4       \$65,570,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
5       ture in Nassau and Suffolk Counties, New York.

6           “(722)    NASSAU   COUNTY,   NEW   YORK.—  
7       \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
8       ture, including stormwater management, water rec-  
9       lamation, and environmental restoration, in Nassau  
10      County, New York.

11          “(723)    NORTH SALEM AND LEWISBORO, NEW  
12      YORK.—\$600,000 for water and wastewater infra-  
13      structure, including stormwater management, in the  
14      towns of North Salem and Lewisboro, New York.

15          “(724)    OSSINING,   NEW   YORK.—\$40,000,000  
16      for water and wastewater infrastructure in the vil-  
17      lage of Ossining, New York.

18          “(725)    PORT   JEFFERSON,   NEW   YORK.—  
19      \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
20      ture, including stormwater management, in the vil-  
21      lage of Port Jefferson, New York.

22          “(726)    RICHMOND   COUNTY,   NEW   YORK.—  
23      \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
24      ture, including stormwater management, in Rich-  
25      mond County, New York.

1           “(727) WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK.—  
2       \$49,400,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
3       ture, including water supply and storage, in West-  
4       chester County, New York.

5           “(728) ASHEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
6       \$50,000,000 for water infrastructure, including  
7       water supply, in the city of Asheville, North Caro-  
8       lina.

9           “(729) DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
10      \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
11      ture, including water supply, in the city of Durham,  
12      North Carolina.

13          “(730) GRANVILLE COUNTY, NORTH CARO-  
14      LINA.—\$6,350,000 for water and wastewater infra-  
15      structure, including water supply, in Granville Coun-  
16      ty, North Carolina.

17          “(731) HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
18      \$35,670,500 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
19      ture in Harnett County, North Carolina.

20          “(732) HILLSBOROUGH, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
21      \$6,820,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
22      including water supply and distribution systems, in  
23      the town of Hillsborough, North Carolina.

24          “(733) JOHNSTON COUNTY, NORTH CARO-  
25      LINA.—\$7,979,000 for water and wastewater infra-

1 structure, including water supply, in Johnston Coun-  
2 ty, North Carolina.

3 “(734) PITT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
4 \$15,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
5 ture in Pitt County, North Carolina.

6 “(735) WHITE LAKE, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
7 \$40,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
8 ture, including stormwater management, in the town  
9 of White Lake, North Carolina.

10 “(736) CLERMONT COUNTY, OHIO.—\$3,000,000  
11 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Clermont  
12 County, Ohio.

13 “(737) JACKSON COUNTY, OHIO.—\$8,000,000  
14 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Jackson  
15 County, Ohio.

16 “(738) LYNCHBURG, OHIO.—\$6,380,000 for  
17 water and wastewater infrastructure, in the village  
18 of Lynchburg, Ohio.

19 “(739) NEW HOLLAND, OHIO.—\$2,000,000 for  
20 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
21 water supply, in the village of New Holland, Ohio.

22 “(740) WAVERLY, OHIO.—\$12,995,750 for  
23 water and wastewater infrastructure in the village of  
24 Waverly, Ohio.

1           “(741) CHICKASAW NATION, OKLAHOMA.—  
2       \$45,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
3       ture in the territory under the jurisdiction of the  
4       Chickasaw Nation, Oklahoma.

5           “(742) KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON.—  
6       \$37,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
7       ture, including water supply and water recycling and  
8       reuse systems, in Klamath County, Oregon.

9           “(743) MILTON-FREEWATER, OREGON.—  
10      \$13,000,000 for water infrastructure, including  
11      water supply, in the city of Milton-Freewater, Or-  
12      egon.

13          “(744) SALEM, OREGON.—\$75,000,000 for  
14      water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
15      water supply, distribution systems, and environ-  
16      mental restoration, in the city of Salem, Oregon.

17          “(745) BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
18      \$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
19      including stormwater management, in the city of  
20      Bethlehem, Pennsylvania.

21          “(746) BLAIR COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
22      \$2,500,000 for water infrastructure, including water  
23      supply and distribution systems, in Blair County,  
24      Pennsylvania.

1           “(747) CAMBRIA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
2           \$3,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
3           including water supply, in Cambria County, Pennsyl-  
4           vania.

5           “(748) CARBON AND SCHUYLKILL COUNTIES,  
6           PENNSYLVANIA.—\$2,500,000 for water infrastruc-  
7           ture, including water supply and water storage, in  
8           Carbon and Schuylkill Counties, Pennsylvania.

9           “(749) CHAMBERSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
10          \$12,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
11          ture in the borough of Chambersburg, Pennsylvania.

12          “(750) JIM THORPE, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
13          \$5,175,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
14          including water supply and distribution systems, in  
15          the borough of Jim Thorpe, Pennsylvania.

16          “(751) LAUREL MOUNTAIN, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
17          \$4,500,000 for water infrastructure, including water  
18          supply and distribution systems, in the borough of  
19          Laurel Mountain, Pennsylvania.

20          “(752) MCCALMONT TOWNSHIP, PENNSYL-  
21          VANIA.—\$60,000 for water and wastewater infra-  
22          structure in the McCalmont Township, Pennsyl-  
23          vania.

1           “(753)    MERCERSBURG,    PENNSYLVANIA.—  
2           \$3,375,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure  
3           in the borough of Mercersburg, Pennsylvania.

4           “(754)    MEYERSDALE,    PENNSYLVANIA.—  
5           \$3,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
6           including stormwater management, in the borough  
7           of Meyersdale, Pennsylvania.

8           “(755)    MONTGOMERY    COUNTY,    PENNSYL-  
9           VANIA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-  
10          structure, including stormwater management and  
11          environmental restoration, in Montgomery County,  
12          Pennsylvania.

13          “(756)    PAINT    BOROUGH,    PENNSYLVANIA.—  
14          \$600,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
15          including stormwater management, in Paint Bor-  
16          ough, Pennsylvania.

17          “(757)    PUNXSUTAWNEY,    PENNSYLVANIA.—  
18          \$1,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
19          including stormwater management, in the borough  
20          of Punxsutawney, Pennsylvania.

21          “(758)    SHIPPENSBURG,    PENNSYLVANIA.—  
22          \$2,400,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure  
23          in the borough of Shippensburg, Pennsylvania.

24          “(759)    SPRINGHILL    TOWNSHIP,    PENNSYL-  
25          VANIA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-

1 structure, including water supply, in Springhill  
2 Township, Pennsylvania.

3 “(760) WINDBER, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$2,600,000  
4 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
5 water supply, in the borough of Windber, Pennsylv-  
6 ania.

7 “(761) COROZAL, PUERTO RICO.—\$10,000,000  
8 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
9 water supply, in the municipality of Corozal.

10 “(762) BURRILLVILLE, RHODE ISLAND.—  
11 \$10,000,000 for water infrastructure, including  
12 water supply and distribution systems, in the town  
13 of Burrillville, Rhode Island.

14 “(763) COVENTRY, RHODE ISLAND.—  
15 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
16 ture, in the town of Coventry, Rhode Island.

17 “(764) NARRAGANSETT, RHODE ISLAND.—  
18 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
19 ture in the town of Narragansett, Rhode Island.

20 “(765) NORTH KINGSTOWN, RHODE ISLAND.—  
21 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
22 ture, including distribution systems, in the town of  
23 North Kingstown, Rhode Island.

24 “(766) PAWTUCKET, RHODE ISLAND.—  
25 \$16,600,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-

1       ture, including stormwater management, in the city  
2       of Pawtucket, Rhode Island.

3           “(767)     WARWICK,     RHODE     ISLAND.—  
4       \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
5       ture, including distribution systems, in the city of  
6       Warwick, Rhode Island.

7           “(768)     BEAUFORT,     SOUTH     CAROLINA.—  
8       \$6,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
9       including water supply and distribution systems, in  
10      the City of Beaufort, South Carolina.

11          “(769)     BERKELEY     COUNTY,     SOUTH     CARO-  
12      LINA.—\$14,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-  
13      structure, including water supply and distribution  
14      systems, in Berkeley County, South Carolina.

15          “(770)     GEORGETOWN,     SOUTH     CAROLINA.—  
16      \$4,275,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
17      including stormwater management, in the city of  
18      Georgetown, South Carolina.

19          “(771)     HILTON     HEAD     ISLAND,     SOUTH     CARO-  
20      LINA.—\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-  
21      structure, including stormwater management, in the  
22      town of Hilton Head Island, South Carolina.

23          “(772)     ISLE     OF     PALMS,     SOUTH     CAROLINA.—  
24      \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-

1       ture, including stormwater management, in the city  
2       of Isle of Palms, South Carolina.

3           “(773) BRAZORIA, FORT BEND, AND GAL-  
4       VESTON COUNTIES, TEXAS.—\$5,000,000 for water  
5       infrastructure, including water supply, in Brazoria,  
6       Fort Bend, and Galveston Counties, Texas.

7           “(774) BURLESON, TEXAS.—\$12,000,000 for  
8       water infrastructure, including water supply and dis-  
9       tribution systems, in the city of Burleson, Texas.

10          “(775) GRANBURY, TEXAS.—\$8,000,000 for  
11       water infrastructure, including water supply, in the  
12       city of Granbury, Texas.

13          “(776) GRANDVIEW, TEXAS.—\$10,000,000 for  
14       water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
15       stormwater management, in the city of Grandview,  
16       Texas.

17          “(777) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$5,000,000  
18       for water and wastewater infrastructure in Harris  
19       County, Texas.

20          “(778) JIM HOGG COUNTY, TEXAS.—  
21       \$7,500,000 for water infrastructure, including dis-  
22       tribution systems, in Jim Hogg County, Texas.

23          “(779) KEMAH, TEXAS.—\$5,000,000 for water  
24       and wastewater infrastructure, including stormwater  
25       management, in the city of Kemah, Texas.

1           “(780) KINGSVILLE, TEXAS.—\$19,000,000 for  
2           water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
3           stormwater management, in the city of Kingsville,  
4           Texas.

5           “(781) LAREDO, TEXAS.—\$25,000,000 for  
6           water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
7           water supply, storage, distribution systems, environ-  
8           mental restoration, and stormwater management, in  
9           the city of Laredo, Texas.

10          “(782) MERCEDES, TEXAS.—\$10,000,000 for  
11          water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
12          water supply and reuse systems, in the city of Mer-  
13          cedes, Texas.

14          “(783) MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS.—  
15          \$25,000,000 for water infrastructure, including  
16          water supply, in Midland County, Texas.

17          “(784) ODESSA, TEXAS.—\$25,000,000 for  
18          water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
19          water supply and storage, in the city of Odessa,  
20          Texas.

21          “(785) PORT OF BROWNSVILLE, TEXAS.—  
22          \$18,700,000 for water infrastructure, including  
23          water supply and water reuse systems, in the Port  
24          of Brownsville, Texas.

1           “(786) STARR COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$7,500,000  
2           for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
3           water supply and distribution systems, in Starr  
4           County, Texas.

5           “(787) CENTERVILLE, UTAH.—\$20,000,000 for  
6           water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
7           water supply and distribution systems, in the city of  
8           Centerville, Utah.

9           “(788) EPHRAIM, UTAH.—\$20,000,000 for  
10          water infrastructure, including water supply, in the  
11          city of Ephraim, Utah.

12          “(789) FAIRFAX AND PRINCE WILLIAM COUN-  
13          TIES, VIRGINIA.—\$20,000,000 for water and waste-  
14          water infrastructure, including stormwater manage-  
15          ment and water reclamation infrastructure, in Fair-  
16          fax and Prince William Counties, Virginia.

17          “(790) HENRICO COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—  
18          \$25,000,000 for water infrastructure, including  
19          water supply and distribution systems, in Henrico  
20          County, Virginia.

21          “(791) ORANGE COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—  
22          \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
23          ture, including water supply and distribution sys-  
24          tems, in Orange County, Virginia.

1           “(792)       ARLINGTON,       WASHINGTON.—  
2       \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
3       ture, including water supply, in the city of Arlington,  
4       Washington.

5           “(793)       MOSES     LAKE,       WASHINGTON.—  
6       \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
7       ture, including water supply and distribution sys-  
8       tems, in the city of Moses Lake, Washington.”.

9       (c) MODIFICATIONS.—

10           (1)       FLAGSTAFF,       ARIZONA.—Section  
11       219(f)(407) of the Water Resources Development  
12       Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
13       Stat. 3116) is amended by striking “\$5,000,000”  
14       and inserting “\$13,888,889”.

15           (2) TUCSON, ARIZONA.—Section 219(f)(412) of  
16       the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106  
17       Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3116) is  
18       amended by striking “\$30,000,000” and inserting  
19       “\$57,013,889”.

20           (3) WINSLOW, ARIZONA.—Section 219(f)(413)  
21       of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992  
22       (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3116) is  
23       amended by striking “\$3,000,000” and inserting  
24       “\$9,000,000”.

1           (4) ALAMEDA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Section  
2       219(f)(278) of the Water Resources Development  
3       Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136  
4       Stat. 3808) is amended—

5           (A) by striking “\$20,000,000” and insert-  
6       ing “\$116,000,000”; and

7           (B) by inserting “including water supply,”  
8       after “infrastructure,”.

9           (5) CALAVERAS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Section  
10      219(f)(86) of the Water Resources Development Act  
11      of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.  
12      1259; 136 Stat. 3816; 138 Stat. 3127) is amended  
13      by striking “\$16,300,000” and inserting  
14      “\$27,000,000”.

15          (6)       CAMBRIA,        CALIFORNIA.—Section  
16      219(f)(48)(A) of the Water Resources Development  
17      Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 114  
18      Stat. 2763A–220; 121 Stat. 1208) is amended—

19           (A) by striking “\$10,300,000” and insert-  
20       ing “\$17,800,000”; and

21           (B) by inserting “water and wastewater in-  
22       frastructure,” before “desalination”.

23          (7) COLTON, CALIFORNIA.—Section 219(f)(424)  
24      of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992  
25      (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3117) is

1 amended by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting  
2 “\$40,000,000”.

3 (8) EAST PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA.—Section  
4 219(f)(89) of the Water Resources Development Act  
5 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.  
6 1259) is amended—

7 (A) by striking “\$4,000,000” and inserting  
8 “\$37,000,000”; and

9 (B) by striking “a new pump station and  
10 stormwater management and drainage system”  
11 and inserting “water and wastewater infrastruc-  
12 ture, including water storage, water supply, and  
13 stormwater management”.

14 (9) EAST SAN FERNANDO VALLEY, CALI-  
15 FORNIA.—Section 219(f)(425) of the Water Re-  
16 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835;  
17 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3117) is amended by strik-  
18 ing “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$72,000,000”.

19 (10) FONTANA, CALIFORNIA.—Section  
20 219(f)(286) of the Water Resources Development  
21 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136  
22 Stat. 3809) is amended—

23 (A) by striking “\$16,000,000” and insert-  
24 ing “\$56,000,000”; and

1           (B) by striking “stormwater management  
2           infrastructure” and inserting “wastewater in-  
3           frastructure, including stormwater manage-  
4           ment, and water supply and distribution sys-  
5           tems”.

6           (11) INLAND EMPIRE, CALIFORNIA.—Section  
7           219(f)(288) of the Water Resources Development  
8           Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 136  
9           Stat. 3809) is amended by striking “\$60,000,000”  
10          and inserting “\$65,000,000”.

11          (12) LAKE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Section  
12          219(f)(432) of the Water Resources Development  
13          Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
14          Stat. 3117) is amended by striking “\$20,000,000”  
15          and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

16          (13) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
17          Section 8319(e)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-  
18          ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3784, 138 Stat. 3128)  
19          is amended by striking “\$100,000,000” and insert-  
20          ing “\$200,000,000”.

21          (14) LOS OLIVOS, CALIFORNIA.—Section  
22          219(f)(440) of the Water Resources Development  
23          Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
24          Stat. 3117) is amended by striking “\$4,000,000”  
25          and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

1           (15) ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Section  
2           219(f)(98) of the Water Resources Development Act  
3           of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.  
4           1259) is amended—

5                   (A) by striking “\$10,000,000” and insert-  
6                   ing “\$18,000,000”;

7                   (B) by inserting “and environmental res-  
8                   toration” after “water related infrastructure”;  
9                   and

10                  (C) by inserting “including water supply,”  
11                  before “Anaheim”.

12           (16)       PERRIS,        CALIFORNIA.—Section  
13           219(f)(100) of the Water Resources Development  
14           Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121  
15           Stat. 1260) is amended—

16                   (A) by striking “\$3,000,000” and inserting  
17                   “\$28,000,000”; and

18                   (B) by striking “recycled water trans-  
19                   mission infrastructure” and inserting “water  
20                   and wastewater infrastructure, including  
21                   stormwater management and recycled water”.

22           (17)       SALINAS,       CALIFORNIA.—Section  
23           219(f)(450) of the Water Resources Development  
24           Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
25           Stat. 3118) is amended—

1 (A) by striking “\$20,000,000” and insert-  
2 ing “\$25,000,000”; and

3 (B) by inserting “and stormwater manage-  
4 ment” after “water supply”.

5 (18) SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
6 Section 219(f)(101), Water Resources Development  
7 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121  
8 Stat. 1260; 138 Stat. 3128) is amended—

9 (A) by striking “\$24,000,000” and insert-  
10 ing “\$84,000,000”; and

11 (B) by inserting “including water supply  
12 and stormwater management,” after “infra-  
13 structure,”.

14 (19) SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Sec-  
15 tion 219(f)(453) of the Water Resources Develop-  
16 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;  
17 138 Stat. 3118) is amended by striking  
18 “\$200,000,000” and inserting “\$211,500,000”.

19 (20) SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA.—Section  
20 219(f)(103) of the Water Resources Development  
21 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121  
22 Stat. 1260) is amended—

23 (A) by striking “\$3,000,000” and inserting  
24 “\$15,000,000”; and

1 (B) by striking “improving” and inserting  
2 “water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
3 stormwater management and improvements to”.

4 (21) SOUTH GATE, CALIFORNIA.—Section  
5 219(f)(454) of the Water Resources Development  
6 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
7 Stat. 3118) is amended by striking “\$5,000,000”  
8 and inserting “\$6,000,000”.

9 (22) TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA.—Section  
10 219(f)(307) of the Water Resources Development  
11 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136  
12 Stat. 3810) is amended by striking “\$100,000,000”  
13 and inserting “\$133,000,000”.

14 (23) WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—  
15 Section 219(f)(316) of the Water Resources Devel-  
16 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;  
17 136 Stat. 3810) is amended—

18 (A) by striking “\$1,000,000” and inserting  
19 “\$201,000,000”; and

20 (B) by inserting “distribution systems”  
21 after “stormwater management”.

22 (24) DELTONA, FLORIDA.—Section 219(f)(470)  
23 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992  
24 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3119) is

1 amended by striking “\$31,200,000” and inserting  
2 “\$50,000,000”.

3 (25) MARION COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Section  
4 219(f)(472) of the Water Resources Development  
5 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
6 Stat. 3119) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000”  
7 and inserting “\$20,500,000”.

8 (26) ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Section  
9 219(f)(321) of the Water Resources Development  
10 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136  
11 Stat. 3810) is amended—

12 (A) by striking “\$50,000,000” and insert-  
13 ing “\$125,000,000”; and

14 (B) by inserting “, stormwater manage-  
15 ment,” after “reclamation”.

16 (27) OVIEDO, FLORIDA.—Section 219(f)(473)  
17 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992  
18 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3119) is  
19 amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting  
20 “\$15,000,000”.

21 (28) SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Section  
22 219(f)(126) of the Water Resources Development  
23 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121  
24 Stat. 1261) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000”  
25 and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

1           (29) GEORGIA.—Section 219(f)(322) of the  
2       Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106  
3       Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat. 3811) is  
4       amended by striking “\$75,000,000” and inserting  
5       “\$125,000,000”.

6           (30) ATLANTA, GEORGIA.—Section 219 of the  
7       Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106  
8       Stat. 4835; 110 Stat. 3757; 113 Stat. 334; 136  
9       Stat. 3817; 138 Stat. 3129) is amended—

10           (A) in subsection (c)(2), by striking “A  
11       combined” and inserting “Water and waste-  
12       water infrastructure, including stormwater  
13       management and a combined”; and

14           (B) in subsection (e)(5), by striking  
15       “\$100,000,000” and inserting “\$142,000,000”.

16           (31) EAST POINT, GEORGIA.—Section  
17       219(f)(136) of the Water Resources Development  
18       Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121  
19       Stat. 1261; 136 Stat. 3817; 138 Stat. 3129) is  
20       amended—

21           (A) by striking “\$20,000,000” and insert-  
22       ing “\$24,000,000”; and

23           (B) by inserting “, water supply,” after  
24       “stormwater management”.

1           (32) GUAM.—Section 219(f)(323) of the Water  
2     Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.  
3     4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat. 3811; 138 Stat.  
4     3129) is amended by striking “\$35,000,000” and in-  
5     serting “\$45,000,000”.

6           (33) COOK COUNTY AND LAKE COUNTY, ILLI-  
7     NOIS.—Section 219(f)(54) of the Water Resources  
8     Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113  
9     Stat. 335; 114 Stat. 2763A–221; 138 Stat. 3129) is  
10    amended by striking “\$149,000,000” and inserting  
11    “\$249,000,000”.

12          (34)        ROCKFORD,        ILLINOIS.—Section  
13    219(f)(488) of the Water Resources Development  
14    Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
15    Stat. 3120) is amended by striking “\$4,000,000”  
16    and inserting “\$7,000,000”.

17          (35) MARYLAND.—Section 219(f)(341), of the  
18    Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106  
19    Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat. 3812) is  
20    amended by striking “\$100,000,000” and inserting  
21    “\$225,000,000”.

22          (36) HAVERHILL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section  
23    219(f)(337) of the Water Resources Development  
24    Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136

1 Stat. 3812; 138 Stat. 3130) is amended by striking  
2 “\$30,000,000” and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

3 (37) LOWELL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section  
4 219(f)(339) of the Water Resources Development  
5 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136  
6 Stat. 3812; 138 Stat. 3130) is amended by striking  
7 “\$30,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

8 (38) MICHIGAN.—Section 219(f)(157) of the  
9 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106  
10 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat. 1262; 136  
11 Stat. 3818; 138 Stat. 3130) is amended—

12 (A) in subparagraph (A), by striking  
13 “\$160,000,000” and inserting “\$225,000,000”;  
14 and

15 (B) in subparagraph (B), by inserting  
16 “(including stormwater management)” after  
17 “wastewater treatment and related facilities”.

18 (39) SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN.—Section  
19 219(f)(500) of the Water Resources Development  
20 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
21 Stat. 3121) is amended by striking “\$58,000,000”  
22 and inserting “\$93,000,000”.

23 (40) NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA.—Section  
24 569 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
25 1999 (113 Stat. 368; 136 Stat. 3820) is amended—

1 (A) in subsection (a), by inserting “Lake  
2 of the Woods,” after “Lake,”; and

3 (B) in subsection (h), by striking  
4 “\$80,000,000” and inserting “\$120,000,000”.

5 (41) BILOXI, MISSISSIPPI.—Section 219(f)(163)  
6 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992  
7 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat. 1263;  
8 138 Stat. 3130) is amended—

9 (A) by striking “\$10,000,000” and insert-  
10 ing “\$48,000,000”; and

11 (B) by inserting “including stormwater  
12 management and water supply,” after “infra-  
13 structure,”.

14 (42) DESOTO COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Section  
15 219(f)(30) of the Water Resources Development Act  
16 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 114 Stat.  
17 2763A–220; 119 Stat. 282; 119 Stat. 2257; 122  
18 Stat. 1623; 134 Stat. 2718; 138 Stat. 3130) is  
19 amended by striking “\$170,000,000” and inserting  
20 “\$175,000,000”.

21 (43) DIAMONDHEAD, MISSISSIPPI.—Section  
22 219(f)(505) of the Water Resources Development  
23 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
24 Stat. 3121) is amended by striking “\$7,000,000”  
25 and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

1           (44) HARRISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Section  
2           219(f)(166) of the Water Resources Development  
3           Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121  
4           Stat. 1263) is amended—

5                   (A) by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting  
6                   “\$8,000,000”; and

7                   (B) by inserting “including stormwater  
8                   management, drainage systems, and surface  
9                   water protection,” after “infrastructure,”.

10          (45) JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI.—Section  
11          219(f)(167) of the Water Resources Development  
12          Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121  
13          Stat. 1263; 138 Stat. 3131) is amended—

14                   (A) by striking “\$139,000,000” and in-  
15                   serting “\$154,000,000”; and

16                   (B) by inserting “water supply, stormwater  
17                   management, and” after “including”.

18          (46) MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI.—Section  
19          219(f)(352) of the Water Resources Development  
20          Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136  
21          Stat. 3813) is amended by striking “\$26,000,000”  
22          and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

23          (47) OLIVE BRANCH, MISSISSIPPI.—Section  
24          219(f)(510) of the Water Resources Development  
25          Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138

1 Stat. 3122) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000”  
2 and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

3 (48) OXFORD, MISSISSIPPI.—Section  
4 219(f)(353) of the Water Resources Development  
5 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136  
6 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000”  
7 and inserting “\$25,000,000”.

8 (49) PEARL, MISSISSIPPI.—Section 219(f)(511)  
9 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992  
10 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3122) is  
11 amended—

12 (A) by striking “\$7,000,000” and inserting  
13 “\$14,000,000”; and

14 (B) by inserting “water supply, water stor-  
15 age,” after “systems,”.

16 (50) PICAYUNE, MISSISSIPPI.—Section  
17 219(f)(512) of the Water Resources Development  
18 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
19 Stat. 3122) is amended by striking “\$5,000,000”  
20 and inserting “\$8,000,000”.

21 (51) STARKVILLE, MISSISSIPPI.—Section  
22 219(f)(513) of the Water Resources Development  
23 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
24 Stat. 3122) is amended by striking “\$6,000,000”  
25 and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

1           (52) LAKE TAHOE BASIN RESTORATION, NE-  
2       VADA AND CALIFORNIA.—Section 108(c) of division  
3       C of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005  
4       (118 Stat. 2942; 136 Stat. 3820) is amended—

5                   (A) in paragraph (3), by striking “and”;

6                   (B) in paragraph (4), by striking the pe-  
7       riod and inserting “; and”; and

8                   (C) by adding at the end the following:

9           “(5) aquatic invasive species identification, con-  
10       tainment, mitigation, and eradication.”.

11           (53) ESSEX AND SUSSEX COUNTIES, NEW JER-  
12       SEY.—Section 219(f)(358) of the Water Resources  
13       Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113  
14       Stat. 335; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking  
15       “\$60,000,000” and inserting “\$85,000,000”.

16           (54) MORRIS COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—Section  
17       219(f)(363) of the Water Resources Development  
18       Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136  
19       Stat. 3813) is amended by striking “\$30,000,000”  
20       and inserting “\$55,000,000”.

21           (55) NEW HAMPSHIRE.—Section 219(f)(518) of  
22       the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106  
23       Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3122) is  
24       amended—

1 (A) by striking “\$25,000,000” and insert-  
2 ing “\$106,000,000”; and

3 (B) by striking “including water and  
4 wastewater infrastructure” and inserting “in-  
5 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure  
6 (including water supply and stormwater man-  
7 agement), environmental restoration, drought  
8 resiliency, and surface water protection”.

9 (56) FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO.—Section  
10 219(f)(372) of the Water Resources Development  
11 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136  
12 Stat. 3814) is amended—

13 (A) by striking “\$15,500,000” and insert-  
14 ing “\$17,500,000”; and

15 (B) by inserting “and stormwater manage-  
16 ment” after “supply”.

17 (57) DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK.—Section  
18 219(f)(530) of the Water Resources Development  
19 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121  
20 Stat. 1258; 136 Stat. 3808; 138 Stat. 3123) is  
21 amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting  
22 “\$15,000,000”.

23 (58) KIRYAS JOEL, NEW YORK.—Section  
24 219(f)(184) of the Water Resources Development  
25 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121

1 Stat. 1264; 138 Stat. 3131) is amended by striking  
2 “\$25,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

3 (59) NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW YORK.—Section  
4 219(f)(535) of the Water Resources Development  
5 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
6 Stat. 3123) is amended by striking “\$25,000,000”  
7 and inserting “\$75,000,000”.

8 (60) ORANGE COUNTY, NEW YORK.—Section  
9 219(f)(537) of the Water Resources Development  
10 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
11 Stat. 3123) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000”  
12 and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

13 (61) QUEENS, NEW YORK.—Section  
14 219(f)(377) of the Water Resources Development  
15 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136  
16 Stat. 3814; 138 Stat. 3131) is amended by striking  
17 “\$190,000,000” and inserting “\$261,000,000”.

18 (62) ULSTER COUNTY, NEW YORK.—Section  
19 219(f)(539) of the Water Resources Development  
20 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
21 Stat. 3123) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000”  
22 and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

23 (63) MOORESVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—Sec-  
24 tion 219(f)(195) of the Water Resources Develop-  
25 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;

1       121 Stat. 1264) is amended by striking  
2       “\$4,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

3           (64) KELLEYS ISLAND, OHIO.—Section  
4       219(f)(558) of the Water Resources Development  
5       Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
6       Stat. 3125) is amended by striking “\$1,000,000”  
7       and inserting “\$16,500,000”.

8           (65) STARK COUNTY, OHIO.—Section  
9       219(f)(563) of the Water Resources Development  
10      Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
11      Stat. 3125) is amended by striking “\$24,000,000”  
12      and inserting “\$49,000,000”.

13          (66) SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO.—Section  
14      219(f)(562) of the Water Resources Development  
15      Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
16      Stat. 3125) is amended by striking “\$25,000,000”  
17      and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

18          (67) TOLEDO AND OREGON, OHIO.—Section  
19      219(f)(565) of the Water Resources Development  
20      Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
21      Stat. 3125) is amended—

22                   (A) by striking “\$10,500,000” and insert-  
23                   ing “\$45,000,000”; and

1 (B) by inserting “, including stormwater  
2 management, water supply, and environmental  
3 restoration,” after “wastewater infrastructure”.

4 (68) MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA.—Section  
5 219(f)(231) of the Water Resources Development  
6 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121  
7 Stat. 1266; 134 Stat. 2719; 138 Stat. 3132) is  
8 amended—

9 (A) by striking “\$15,000,000” and insert-  
10 ing “\$20,000,000”; and

11 (B) by striking “improvements to water re-  
12 lated infrastructure” and inserting “water and  
13 wastewater infrastructure, including water sup-  
14 ply”.

15 (69) PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRA-  
16 STRUCTURE.—Section 1349(e)(1) of the Water Re-  
17 sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3159)  
18 is amended by striking “\$25,000,000” and inserting  
19 “\$75,000,000”.

20 (70) CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—Sec-  
21 tion 219(f)(574) of the Water Resources Develop-  
22 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;  
23 138 Stat. 3125) is amended by striking  
24 “\$7,000,000” and inserting “\$20,500,000”.

1           (71) PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.—Section  
 2           219(f)(243) of the Water Resources Development  
 3           Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121  
 4           Stat. 1266; 138 Stat. 3132) is amended by striking  
 5           “\$3,000,000” and inserting “\$53,000,000”.

6           (72) SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA.—Section  
 7           313(g)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act  
 8           of 1992 (106 Stat. 4845; 109 Stat. 407; 110 Stat.  
 9           3723; 113 Stat. 310; 121 Stat. 1146; 134 Stat.  
 10          2719; 136 Stat. 3821) is amended by striking  
 11          “\$410,000,000” and inserting “\$415,000,000”.

12          (73) SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA AND  
 13          DELAWARE RIVER BASIN.—Section 566 of the Water  
 14          Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.  
 15          3786; 113 Stat. 352; 136 Stat. 3821) is amended—

16                (A) by striking the section heading and in-  
 17                serting “**SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA**  
 18                **AND DELAWARE RIVER BASIN.**”;

19                (B) in subsection (a), by striking “Lower”;

20                (C) in subsection (b), by striking “Lower”;

21                (D) by amending subsection (g) to read as

22                follows:

23                “(g) AREAS DEFINED.—In this section:

24                    “(1) DELAWARE RIVER BASIN.—The term  
 25                    ‘Delaware River Basin’ means the Schuylkill Valley,

1 Upper Estuary, Lower Estuary, and Delaware Bay  
2 Watersheds and the East Branch Delaware,  
3 Lackawaxen, Lehigh, Middle Delaware—Mongaup—  
4 Brodhead, Middle Delaware—Musconetcong, and  
5 Upper Delaware subwatersheds of the Delaware  
6 River Basin in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
7 and the States of New Jersey, New York, and Dela-  
8 ware.

9 “(2) SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA.—The  
10 term ‘southeastern Pennsylvania’ means Philadel-  
11 phia, Bucks, Chester, Delaware, and Montgomery  
12 Counties, Pennsylvania.”; and

13 (E) in subsection (h)—

14 (i) by striking “Lower”; and

15 (ii) by striking “\$20,000,000” and in-  
16 serting “\$92,690,000”.

17 (74) COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO.—Sec-  
18 tion 219(f)(246) of the Water Resources Develop-  
19 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;  
20 121 Stat. 1267) is amended—

21 (A) by striking “\$35,000,000” and insert-  
22 ing “\$75,000,000”; and

23 (B) by inserting “, including stormwater  
24 management, surface water protection, and en-

1            vironmental restoration,” after “infrastruc-  
2            ture”.

3            (75) FLORENCE COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—  
4            Section 219(f)(580) of the Water Resources Devel-  
5            opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;  
6            138 Stat. 3126) is amended—

7                    (A) by striking “\$40,000,000” and insert-  
8                    ing “\$75,000,000”; and

9                    (B) by inserting “, including water sup-  
10                  ply,” after “infrastructure”.

11            (76) LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SOUTH  
12            CAROLINA.—Section 219(f)(25) of the Water Re-  
13            sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835;  
14            113 Stat. 335; 114 Stat. 2763A–220; 117 Stat.  
15            1838; 130 Stat. 1677; 132 Stat. 3818; 134 Stat.  
16            2719; 136 Stat. 3818; 138 Stat. 3132) is amended  
17            by striking “\$235,000,000” and inserting  
18            “\$295,000,000”.

19            (77) TIPTON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—Section  
20            219(f)(582) of the Water Resources Development  
21            Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
22            Stat. 3126) is amended by striking “\$35,000,000”  
23            and inserting “\$85,000,000”.

24            (78) TIPTON, HAYWOOD, AND FAYETTE COUN-  
25            TIES, TENNESSEE.—Section 219(f)(583) of the

1 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106  
2 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3126) is  
3 amended by striking “\$50,000,000” and inserting  
4 “\$100,000,000”.

5 (79) PORTLAND, TENNESSEE.—Section  
6 219(f)(394) of the Water Resources Development  
7 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136  
8 Stat. 3815) is amended—

9 (A) by striking “\$1,850,000” and inserting  
10 “\$19,850,000”; and

11 (B) by inserting “and stormwater manage-  
12 ment” after “water supply”.

13 (80) AMARILLO, TEXAS.—Section 219(f)(585)  
14 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992  
15 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3126) is  
16 amended by striking “\$38,000,000” and inserting  
17 “\$56,000,000”.

18 (81) BROWNSVILLE, TEXAS.—Section  
19 219(f)(586) of the Water Resources Development  
20 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
21 Stat. 3126) is amended—

22 (A) by striking “\$40,000,000” and insert-  
23 ing “\$42,300,000”; and

1 (B) by inserting “including regional water  
2 and water reuse infrastructure,” after “infra-  
3 structure,”.

4 (82) ZAPATA COUNTY, TEXAS.—Section  
5 219(f)(591) of the Water Resources Development  
6 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138  
7 Stat. 3126) is amended—

8 (A) by striking “\$20,000,000” and insert-  
9 ing “\$30,000,000”; and

10 (B) by inserting “and distribution sys-  
11 tems” after “water supply”.

12 (83) PORT ANGELES, WASHINGTON.—Section  
13 219(f)(402) of the Water Resources Development  
14 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136  
15 Stat. 3815) is amended by striking “\$7,500,000”  
16 and inserting “\$12,000,000”.

17 (84) WESTERN RURAL WATER.—Section 595 of  
18 the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113  
19 Stat. 383; 117 Stat. 139; 117 Stat. 142; 117 Stat.  
20 1836; 118 Stat. 440; 121 Stat. 1219; 123 Stat.  
21 2851; 128 Stat. 1316; 130 Stat. 1681; 134 Stat.  
22 2719; 136 Stat. 3822; 138 Stat. 3133) is amend-  
23 ed—

24 (A) in subsection (b), by inserting “Colo-  
25 rado,” before “rural Idaho”;

1 (B) in subsection (c), by inserting “Colo-  
2 rado,” before “Idaho”; and

3 (C) in subsection (i)—

4 (i) in paragraph (1)—

5 (I) by striking “\$850,000,000”  
6 and inserting “\$890,000,000”; and

7 (II) by striking “and” at the end;  
8 and

9 (ii) in paragraph (2)—

10 (I) by striking “\$250,000,000”  
11 and inserting “\$351,598,000”;

12 (II) by striking the period at the  
13 end and inserting “; and”; and

14 (III) by adding at the end the  
15 following:

16 “(3) \$150,000,000 for Colorado.”.

17 (d) REIMBURSEMENT UNDER ENVIRONMENTAL IN-  
18 FRASTRUCTURE ASSISTANCE.—Section 219 of the Water  
19 Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113  
20 Stat. 335) is amended by adding at the end the following  
21 new subsection:

22 “(g) FORM OF FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share  
23 of the cost of any project, or separable element of a  
24 project, for which assistance is provided under this section  
25 may be provided in the form of reimbursement of project

1 costs incurred by the non-Federal interest, subject to  
2 funds being made available for such project.”.

3 **SEC. 308. FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the assessment re-  
5 quired under section 1162(c) of the Water Resources De-  
6 velopment Act of 2024 (33 U.S.C. 2319 note), the Sec-  
7 retary shall include an assessment of New Hogan Dam  
8 and Lake, Calaveras River, California, authorized pursu-  
9 ant to the section 10 of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665,  
10 58 Stat. 902), and Shasta Dam and Lake, Sacramento  
11 River, California, authorized pursuant to section 2 of the  
12 Act of August 26, 1937 (chapter 832, 50 Stat. 850; 54  
13 Stat. 1199).

14 (b) ADDITIONAL UTILIZATION.—Section 1222(c) of  
15 the Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat.  
16 3811; 134 Stat. 2661; 136 Stat. 3777) is amended—

17 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “and the Apa-  
18 lachicola Chattahoochee Flint River Basin” and in-  
19 serting “the Apalachicola Chattahoochee Flint River  
20 Basin, and the Pend Oreille River Basin”; and

21 (2) in paragraph (2), by striking “or the Apa-  
22 lachicola Chattahoochee Flint River Basin” and in-  
23 serting “the Apalachicola Chattahoochee Flint River  
24 Basin, or the Pend Oreille River Basin” each place  
25 it appears.

1 **SEC. 309. FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES.**

2 (a) ELIGIBILITY.—Section 206 of the Flood Control  
3 Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 709a) is amended—

4 (1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking “and to  
5 provide advice” and inserting “to support State ef-  
6 forts to establish and implement integrated flood-  
7 plain management programs that both reduce flood  
8 risk and protect and restore floodplain functions;  
9 and to provide advice”; and

10 (2) in subsection (e), by striking “The Sec-  
11 retary of the Army is authorized to expend not to  
12 exceed” and inserting “AUTHORIZATION OF APPRO-  
13 PRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appro-  
14 priated”.

15 (b) PRIORITIZING FLOOD RISK RESILIENCY TECH-  
16 NICAL ASSISTANCE.—Section 111(b) of the Water Re-  
17 sources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 709a note)  
18 is amended by striking “or communities” and inserting  
19 “, rural communities, or communities”.

20 **SEC. 310. PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES.**

21 Section 22(a)(2)(B) of the Water Resources Develop-  
22 ment Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-16(a)(2)(B)) is  
23 amended to read as follows:

24 “(B) TYPES OF ASSISTANCE.—Technical  
25 assistance under this paragraph may include—

1 “(i) provision and integration of hy-  
2 drologic, economic, and environmental data  
3 and analyses;

4 “(ii) assessment of the structural in-  
5 tegrity of a water resources development  
6 project;

7 “(iii) development of integrated flood-  
8 plain management programs that both re-  
9 duce flood risk and protect and restore  
10 floodplain functions; and

11 “(iv) title research for abandoned  
12 structures.”.

13 **SEC. 311. MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION CHANNELS.**

14 Section 509(a) of the Water Resources Development  
15 Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3759; 113 Stat. 339; 114 Stat.  
16 2679; 136 Stat. 3779; 138 Stat. 3164) is amended by  
17 adding at the end the following:

18 “(28) Bayport East Turning Basin and Chan-  
19 nel Expansion of Houston Ship Channel, Texas.”.

20 **SEC. 312. MOBILE HARBOR, ALABAMA.**

21 The undesignated paragraph under the heading “Mo-  
22 bile Harbor, Alabama” in section 201(a) of the Water Re-  
23 sources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4090; 110  
24 Stat. 3711) is amended by striking “, after compliance  
25 with applicable laws and after opportunity for public re-

1 view and comment, may consider alternatives to disposal  
2 of such material in the Gulf of Mexico, including environ-  
3 mentally acceptable alternatives for beneficial uses of  
4 dredged material and environmental restoration.” and in-  
5 serting “shall prohibit the use of thin layer placement of  
6 dredged material unless such disposal is necessary for  
7 emergency use, to prevent draft restrictions, or the cre-  
8 ation of living shorelines or brackish marsh habitats. The  
9 Secretary shall establish, in coordination with the Ala-  
10 bama Department of Conservation and Natural Re-  
11 sources, a multi-year restoration program utilizing suit-  
12 able dredged material to protect, conserve, and restore the  
13 Mobile Bay estuary.”.

14 **SEC. 313. MENDENHALL GLACIER OUTBURST FLOODING,**  
15 **ALASKA.**

16 The Secretary shall—

17 (1) expedite the completion of the Mendenhall  
18 Glacier outburst flooding technical report, author-  
19 ized pursuant to section 8315 of the Water Resource  
20 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3783; 138  
21 Stat. 3147); and

22 (2) develop a recommendation that provides  
23 permanent and resilient flood control mitigation for  
24 glacial lake outburst floods that emanate from Sui-

1 cide Basin and Lake Mendenhall and that affect Ju-  
2 neau, Alaska.

3 **SEC. 314. HANSEN DAM, LOS ANGELES–SAN GABRIEL RIVER**  
4 **BASIN, CALIFORNIA.**

5 In evaluating and implementing the water control  
6 manual for the Hansen Dam project, Los Angeles–San  
7 Gabriel River Basin and Ballona Creek, California, au-  
8 thorized by section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter  
9 688, 49 Stat. 1589; 55 Stat. 647), the Secretary shall—

10 (1) coordinate with the City of Los Angeles to  
11 identify new or expanded recreational opportunities  
12 on lands that are leased or could be leased by the  
13 City for recreation;

14 (2) undertake necessary background studies to  
15 support the authorized purposes of the project, in-  
16 cluding recreation; and

17 (3) ensure that the water quality parameters  
18 for the project are sufficient to meet authorized  
19 project purposes.

20 **SEC. 315. MORRO BAY, CALIFORNIA.**

21 In carrying out operations and maintenance activities  
22 for the project for harbor development, Morro Bay, Cali-  
23 fornia, authorized by section 2 of the Act of March 2,  
24 1945 (chapter 19, 59 Stat. 21), the Secretary shall carry  
25 out any necessary repair or maintenance activities on a

1 breakwater, revetment, or seawall constructed as part of  
2 the project in such a manner as to ensure each such activ-  
3 ity is sufficient to meet the authorized purpose of the  
4 project.

5 **SEC. 316. OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA.**

6 In carrying out the study for the project for shoreline  
7 protection, Oceanside, California, authorized pursuant to  
8 section 414 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
9 2000 (114 Stat. 2636; 121 Stat. 1176; 134 Stat. 2672;  
10 138 Stat. 3077), the Secretary shall coordinate with the  
11 city of Oceanside, California, on the development of a rec-  
12 ommendation that—

13 (1) is feasible;

14 (2) maximizes the use of natural features and  
15 nature-based features (as those terms are defined in  
16 section 1184(a) of the Water Resources Develop-  
17 ment Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2289a(a)));

18 (3) utilizes updated sediment sampling and  
19 analysis; and

20 (4) is acceptable to the city of Oceanside.

21 **SEC. 317. PAJARO RIVER, CALIFORNIA.**

22 At the request of the non-Federal interest, the Sec-  
23 retary is authorized to acquire any interest in real prop-  
24 erty required for the project for flood protection on the  
25 Pajaro River, California, authorized by section 203 of the

1 Flood Control Act of 1966 (80 Stat. 1421), in fee simple  
2 title.

3 **SEC. 318. ARKANSAS VALLEY CONDUIT, COLORADO.**

4 Public Law 87–590 (76 Stat. 389; 123 Stat. 1320)  
5 is amended—

6 (1) in the first section—

7 (A) in subsection (c), in the second sen-  
8 tence, by striking “or in the case of the Arkan-  
9 sas Valley Conduit, payment in an amount  
10 equal to 35 percent of the cost of the conduit  
11 that is comprised of revenue generated by pay-  
12 ments pursuant to a repayment contract and  
13 revenue that may be derived from contracts for  
14 the use of Fryingpan-Arkansas project excess  
15 capacity or exchange contracts using  
16 Fryingpan-Arkansas project facilities,”; and

17 (B) by adding at the end the following:

18 “(d) ARKANSAS VALLEY CONDUIT.—

19 “(1) REPAYMENT CONTRACT.—To provide do-  
20 mestic water supplies to communities and house-  
21 holds that do not have reliable access to domestic  
22 water supplies, the contract for the Arkansas Valley  
23 Conduit shall provide for payment in an amount  
24 equal to 35 percent of the cost of the conduit, not-  
25 withstanding the reclamation laws or any other pro-

1 vision of this Act. The contract payments shall con-  
2 sist of—

3 “(A) funding provided during construction  
4 from any entity other than the Secretary; and

5 “(B) based on a demonstration of financial  
6 hardship, as determined by the Secretary, re-  
7 payment of the balance not covered under sub-  
8 paragraph (A) for a period of not more than 75  
9 years with simple interest at a rate that is  
10 equal to 50 percent of the interest rate deter-  
11 mined by the Secretary of the Treasury under  
12 section 2(c), including revenue derived from  
13 contracts for the use of excess capacity or ex-  
14 change contracts using Fryingpan-Arkansas  
15 project facilities.

16 “(2) OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
17 contract for the Arkansas Valley Conduit shall pro-  
18 vide for the assumption by the contracting parties of  
19 the care, operation, maintenance, and replacement of  
20 the conduit.”; and

21 (2) in section 2(b)(3)(A), by striking “this sec-  
22 tion” and inserting “subsection (d) of the first sec-  
23 tion”.

1 **SEC. 319. RIO GRANDE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT**  
2 **PROGRAM, COLORADO, NEW MEXICO, AND**  
3 **TEXAS.**

4 Section 5056 of the Water Resources Development  
5 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1213) is amended—

6 (1) in subsection (b)—

7 (A) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking “con-  
8 struction” and all that follows through “en-  
9 hancement” and inserting “construction, and  
10 design of water resource protection and restora-  
11 tion projects”; and

12 (B) by striking paragraph (2) and insert-  
13 ing the following:

14 “(2) USE OF EXISTING INFORMATION.—In car-  
15 rying out the program authorized by paragraph  
16 (1)(A), the Secretary shall consider data and anal-  
17 yses developed under paragraph (1)(B) and any rel-  
18 evant Federal, State, and local water resource plan-  
19 ning efforts in the Rio Grande Basin.

20 “(3) PROJECTS.—In carrying out the program  
21 authorized by paragraph (1)(A), the Secretary shall  
22 include projects for—

23 “(A) drought, wildfire, flooding, and other  
24 natural disaster resiliency mitigation, including  
25 through the use of measures utilizing a natural  
26 feature or nature-based feature (as those terms

1 are defined in section 1184(a) of the Water Re-  
2 sources Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C.  
3 2289a));

4 “(B) the protection and restoration of fish  
5 and wildlife habitat;

6 “(C) addressing the impacts of excess sedi-  
7 mentation and salinity on surface and ground-  
8 water availability;

9 “(D) improvements or rehabilitation of  
10 water-resources related infrastructure;

11 “(E) the prevention and control of the  
12 spread of aquatic invasive species; and

13 “(F) other water resource protection and  
14 restoration activities determined appropriate by  
15 the Secretary.”;

16 (2) in subsection (f), by striking “\$15,000,000  
17 for each of fiscal years 2008 through 2029” and in-  
18 serting “\$20,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2026  
19 through 2030”; and

20 (3) by adding at the end the following:

21 “(g) REPORTS.—Not later than December 31, 2027,  
22 and every 5 years thereafter, the Secretary shall submit  
23 to Congress a report that—

24 “(1) contains an evaluation of the program car-  
25 ried out under subsection (b)(1)(A), including a de-

1       scription of all projects carried out under such pro-  
2       gram;

3               “(2) contains a description of future projects  
4       planned to be carried out under such program;

5               “(3) provides updates of a systemic habitat  
6       needs assessment and an assessment of needs for  
7       other related purposes in the Rio Grande Basin, in-  
8       cluding flood damage reduction, wildfire risk mitiga-  
9       tion, and drought mitigation; and

10              “(4) identifies any needed adjustments in the  
11       authorization of such program.”.

12   **SEC. 320. MIAMI HARBOR, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.**

13       (a) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with the Act of Oc-  
14   tober 15, 1940 (33 U.S.C. 701h–1), the Secretary shall  
15   repay the non-Federal interest for the Federal share of  
16   any advanced funds contributed by the non-Federal inter-  
17   est for the project for navigation, Miami Harbor, Miami-  
18   Dade County, Florida, authorized by section 1001(17) of  
19   the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat.  
20   1052).

21       (b) APPLICATION OF CORPS POLICY.—In providing  
22   the repayment required under subsection (a), the Sec-  
23   retary may not require a new start determination for a  
24   project before providing such repayment.

1 **SEC. 321. MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET, LOUISIANA.**

2 In carrying out the project for ecosystem restoration,  
3 Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet, Louisiana, authorized by  
4 section 7013(a)(4) of the Water Resources Development  
5 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1281), notwithstanding any re-  
6 quirement of the plan described in such section that the  
7 non-Federal interest provide land for the project in fee in  
8 order to satisfy the property rights requirements of the  
9 project, the Secretary shall, where feasible, allow the non-  
10 Federal interest to provide easements on the land for the  
11 project in order to satisfy such property rights require-  
12 ments.

13 **SEC. 322. PILOTTOWN ANCHORAGE AREA, LOUISIANA.**

14 Pursuant to section 106 of the Water Resources De-  
15 velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 635), the Secretary is  
16 authorized to operate and maintain, at Federal expense,  
17 the Pilottown anchorage area, Mississippi River above  
18 Head of Passes, Louisiana, extending from River Mile 6.7  
19 above Head of Passes to Mile 1.5 above Head of Passes  
20 established by the United States Coast Guard.

21 **SEC. 323. JACK HUBBARD MEMORIAL BREAKWATER, BURT**  
22 **TOWNSHIP, MICHIGAN.**

23 (a) DESIGNATION.—The breakwater at the Grand  
24 Marais Harbor, Michigan, authorized pursuant to section  
25 1 of the Act of June 14, 1880 (chapter 211, 21 Stat. 190;

1 64 Stat. 166), shall hereafter be known and designated  
2 as the “Jack Hubbard Memorial Breakwater”.

3 (b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, reg-  
4 ulation, document, paper, or other record of the United  
5 States to the breakwater referred to in subsection (a) shall  
6 be deemed to be a reference to the Jack Hubbard Memo-  
7 rial Breakwater.

8 **SEC. 324. SARDIS LAKE, PANOLA COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.**

9 Section 8377(e) of the Water Resources Development  
10 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3824) is amended—

11 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “The Sec-  
12 retary is authorized to” and inserting “Not later  
13 than 180 days after the date of enactment of the  
14 Water Resources Development Act of 2026, the Sec-  
15 retary shall”; and

16 (2) in paragraph (3)(A), by striking “subsection  
17 such easements” and inserting “subsection the min-  
18 imum easements”.

19 **SEC. 325. HYDRAULIC EVALUATION OF UPPER MISSISSIPPI**  
20 **RIVER.**

21 Section 8219 of the Water Resources Development  
22 Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 652 note) is amended by adding  
23 at the end the following:

24 “(e) COORDINATION WITH UPPER MISSISSIPPI  
25 RIVER SYSTEM FLOOD RISK AND RESILIENCY STUDY.—

1 The Federal Emergency Management Agency may only  
2 use information developed under subsection (a) with re-  
3 spect to any area of the Upper Mississippi River in accord-  
4 ance with a congressionally authorized project described  
5 in a final report of the Chief of Engineers for a study  
6 conducted with respect to such area under section 1227  
7 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138  
8 Stat. 3084) (including any study described in subsection  
9 (d)(2) of such section).”.

10 **SEC. 326. LOWER MISSOURI RIVER COMPREHENSIVE**  
11 **FLOOD PROTECTION.**

12 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may carry out a  
13 project for flood risk management in the Lower Missouri  
14 River, as identified in the System Plan, if the Secretary  
15 determines that the project is feasible.

16 (b) ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES.—

17 (1) PROJECTS INCLUDED IN SYSTEM PLAN.—

18 For any project identified in the System Plan as a  
19 System Plan component, the Secretary may carry  
20 out flood risk management measures for such  
21 project in accordance with the design criteria and  
22 guidelines of the System Plan.

23 (2) COMPATIBLE MEASURES.—The Secretary  
24 may include in a flood risk management project au-  
25 thorized under this section compatible measures for

1 environmental improvements and recreation, if the  
2 Secretary determines the compatible measures are—

3 (A) feasible;

4 (B) consistent with System Plan rec-  
5 ommendations;

6 (C) beneficial to the community;

7 (D) not detrimental to flood protection;

8 and

9 (E) supported by State and local partners.

10 (c) SPINOFF STUDIES.—Any project or spinoff stud-  
11 ies identified in the System Plan may be considered a con-  
12 tinuation of the Lower Missouri Basin study and studied  
13 and designed in accordance with section 216(a) of the  
14 Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.  
15 2681).

16 (d) COORDINATION.—The Secretary shall coordinate  
17 activities carried out pursuant to this section with other  
18 activities carried out by the Secretary in the Missouri  
19 River Basin.

20 (e) SYSTEM PLAN UPDATE.—

21 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized  
22 to update the System Plan, as necessary and in ac-  
23 cordance with section 216 of the Water Resources  
24 Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2681), as addi-

1 tional data is developed, and projects are imple-  
2 mented pursuant to this section.

3 (2) PROJECTS NOT INCLUDED IN SYSTEM  
4 PLAN.—For any project not identified in the System  
5 Plan as a System Plan component, the Secretary is  
6 authorized to study such project and, if the Sec-  
7 retary determines that such project is feasible and  
8 consistent with the flood risk management measures  
9 identified in the feasibility report for such study and  
10 the goals identified in the System Plan, the Sec-  
11 retary shall update the System Plan pursuant to  
12 paragraph (1) so that the System Plan includes such  
13 project.

14 (f) COST LIMITATION.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in para-  
16 graph (2), the Secretary may not expend more than  
17 \$60,000,000 in Federal funds for a single project  
18 carried out under this section in the State of Mis-  
19 souri.

20 (2) EXCEPTION.—With respect to a project  
21 under this section in the State of Missouri for which  
22 the Federal share of costs is expected to exceed  
23 \$60,000,000 or a project within the scope of the  
24 Lower Missouri Basin study, the Secretary may  
25 carry out the project if—

1           (A) the Secretary submits to the Com-  
2           mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of  
3           the House of Representatives and the Com-  
4           mittee on Environment and Public Works of the  
5           Senate the determination that the project is  
6           feasible; and

7           (B) construction of the project, to be car-  
8           ried out substantially in accordance with the  
9           System Plan and subject to the conditions de-  
10          scribed in such determination, is specifically au-  
11          thorized by Congress.

12       (g) LIMITATION FOR INCOMPLETE OR DEFERRED  
13       MAINTENANCE OR UPKEEP.—The Secretary may not  
14       carry out a project under this section to address deferred,  
15       or incomplete, maintenance or upkeep of such project, ex-  
16       cept if such maintenance or upkeep is—

17           (1) attributable to changed conditions or design  
18       standards; and

19           (2) in compliance with such project with respect  
20       to which the Secretary is authorized to provide as-  
21       sistance under section 5 of the Act of August 18,  
22       1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n).

23       (h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

24           (1) LOWER MISSOURI BASIN STUDY.—The term  
25       “Lower Missouri Basin study” has the meaning

1 given such term in section 216(a)(10) of the Water  
2 Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.  
3 2681).

4 (2) SYSTEM PLAN.—The term “System Plan”  
5 means the Lower Missouri Flood Risk and Resil-  
6 iency System Plan prepared pursuant to section  
7 216(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
8 2020 (134 Stat. 2681).

9 **SEC. 327. MISSOURI RIVER RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION**  
10 **COMMITTEE.**

11 Section 5018 of the Water Resources Development  
12 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1199) is amended—

13 (1) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the  
14 following:

15 “(6) CHAIR.—The Chair of the Committee shall  
16 be elected by the members of the Committee.”; and

17 (2) in subsection (c), by striking “The Federal  
18 Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.)” and in-  
19 serting “Chapter 10 of title 5, United States Code,”.

20 **SEC. 328. GREAT LAKES COMMISSION.**

21 There is authorized to be appropriated to the Execu-  
22 tive Director of the Great Lakes Commission established  
23 under Article IV of the Great Lakes Basin Compact (82  
24 Stat. 414) to support water resources development efforts  
25 related to the execution of the Compact, and carried out

1 in collaboration with the Secretary, \$5,000,000 for each  
2 of fiscal years 2027 through 2030.

3 **SEC. 329. GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RES-**  
4 **TORATION.**

5 Section 506 of the Water Resources Development Act  
6 of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–22) is amended by adding at  
7 the end the following:

8 “(g) LIMITATION.—The total Federal amount ex-  
9 pended for a project carried out under this section shall  
10 be not more than \$20,000,000.”.

11 **SEC. 330. TRUCKEE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NEVADA.**

12 Beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, the  
13 features within the State of Nevada of the project for flood  
14 protection on Truckee River and tributaries, California  
15 and Nevada, authorized by section 203 of the Flood Con-  
16 trol Act of 1954 (68 Stat.1264), are no longer authorized.

17 **SEC. 331. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS, NEW MEXICO.**

18 Section 3117 of the Water Resources Development  
19 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1137) is amended by striking “to  
20 assist in carrying out any operation or maintenance activ-  
21 ity associated with the flood control project” and inserting  
22 “to assist in carrying out any operation, maintenance, rec-  
23 reational management, or related stewardship activities  
24 associated with the flood control project, including activi-  
25 ties that improve recreational access, visitor use manage-

1 ment, public safety, or natural and cultural resources  
2 stewardship”.

3 **SEC. 332. KINZUA DAM SAFETY MODIFICATION STUDY, AL-**  
4 **LEGHENY RIVER, NEW YORK AND PENNSYL-**  
5 **VANIA.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the dam safety  
7 modification study pursuant to section 8 of the National  
8 Dam Safety Program Act (33 U.S.C. 467f) for the project  
9 for the Kinzua Dam and Allegheny Reservoir, New York  
10 and Pennsylvania, authorized pursuant to section 5 of the  
11 Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1586; 52  
12 Stat. 1217), the Secretary shall identify any real property  
13 associated with such project that the Secretary determines  
14 is not needed to carry out the authorized purposes of the  
15 project.

16 (b) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—Upon completion  
17 of the dam safety modification study described in sub-  
18 section (a), the Secretary may convey, without consider-  
19 ation, to the Seneca Nation of Indians, all right, title, and  
20 interest of the United States in and to the real property  
21 identified as excess under such subsection.

22 (c) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines that  
23 the property conveyed under this section is not used for  
24 a public purpose, all right, title, and interest in and to

1 the property shall revert, at the discretion of the Sec-  
2 retary, to the United States.

3 **SEC. 333. SURF CITY AND NORTH TOPSAIL BEACH, NORTH**  
4 **CAROLINA.**

5 The project for hurricane and storm damage risk re-  
6 duction, Surf City and North Topsail Beach, North Caro-  
7 lina, authorized by section 7002(3) of the Water Re-  
8 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat.  
9 1367) is modified to deauthorize the portion of the project  
10 known as North Topsail Beach, in accordance with the  
11 Supplemental Report of the Chief of Engineers dated De-  
12 cember 9, 2025.

13 **SEC. 334. MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT,**  
14 **TUSCARAWAS RIVER, OHIO.**

15 Pursuant to section 133 of the Water Resources De-  
16 velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2327a), the Secretary  
17 shall carry out rehabilitation efforts at the sluice gates of  
18 the Massillon Local Protection Project, Tuscarawas River,  
19 Ohio, authorized by section 6 of the Act of June 22, 1936  
20 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1592; 50 Stat. 879).

21 **SEC. 335. TOUSSAINT RIVER FEDERAL NAVIGATION**  
22 **PROJECT, CARROLL TOWNSHIP, OHIO.**

23 The cost share for operation and maintenance activi-  
24 ties for the Toussaint River Federal navigation project,  
25 Carroll Township, Ohio, authorized pursuant to section

1 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577),  
2 shall be in accordance with the cost share described in sec-  
3 tion 101(b)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act  
4 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211(b)(1)).

5 **SEC. 336. RIO PUERTO NUEVO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT**  
6 **PROJECT, SAN JUAN, PUERTO RICO.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the project for  
8 flood control, Rio Puerto Nuevo, Puerto Rico, authorized  
9 by section 204(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (84  
10 Stat. 1828; 100 Stat. 4127; 132 Stat. 76), the Secretary  
11 shall conduct a general reevaluation report to reconsider  
12 natural and nature-based approaches to flood control as  
13 alternatives to the construction of each project element de-  
14 scribed in subsection (b).

15 (b) PROJECT ELEMENTS DEFINED.—For purposes  
16 of subsection (a), the project elements to be reconsidered  
17 are—

18 (1) Contract No. 4 for the Central Río Piedras  
19 and Las Américas Bridges;

20 (2) Contract No. 5A for the Notre Dame  
21 Bridge;

22 (3) Contract No. 6A for the Upper Río Piedras;

23 (4) Contract No. 6B for Quebrada Buena  
24 Vista;

1           (5) Contract No. 7B for Quebradas Doña Ana  
2           and Contract No. 7A and 7C for Quebradas  
3           Josefina; and

4           (6) Contract No. 5B for the West Piñero Ave-  
5           nue Bridge.

6           (c) INCLUSIONS IN GENERAL REEVALUATION RE-  
7   PORT.—In conducting the reevaluation report under sub-  
8   section (a) the Secretary shall include in such report the  
9   following data and analyses, updated to reflect conditions  
10  as of the date of enactment of this Act:

11           (1) Hydrologic and hydraulic data;

12           (2) A review of local acceptability and economic  
13           conditions in the project area.

14           (3) Any real estate costs analysis.

15           (4) Cost apportionment analysis, including in  
16           such updated analysis a detailed description of pro-  
17           jected operation and maintenance responsibilities as-  
18           sociated with the completed project and the esti-  
19           mated cost to complete the project.

20           (d) CONSIDERATION; CONSULTATION.—In reevalu-  
21   ating the project elements under subsection (a), the Sec-  
22   retary shall—

23           (1) consider the use of natural and nature-  
24           based features;

1           (2) consult with the heads of applicable Federal  
2           agencies, agencies of Puerto Rico, and community  
3           stakeholders within the geographic scope of the  
4           project;

5           (3) validate any findings through an external  
6           review process that is independent and outside of the  
7           Corps of Engineers; and

8           (4) develop a process for public participation  
9           through which the Secretary shall—

10                   (A) regularly publish any findings; and

11                   (B) hold public hearings and regular con-  
12                   sultation meetings to give ample opportunity for  
13                   public comment on the findings.

14           (e) INTERIM PROGRESS REPORT.—Not later than 1  
15   year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
16   shall transmit to the Committee on Transportation and  
17   Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the  
18   Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-  
19   ate an interim status report with respect to the reevalua-  
20   tion report required under subsection (a), and include in  
21   such interim status report—

22                   (1) any conclusions and recommendations  
23                   made, and activities carried out, pursuant to sub-  
24                   section (a);

1           (2) identification of any additional, site-specific  
2       areas within the geographic scope of the project for  
3       which the Secretary recommends any additional re-  
4       evaluation; and

5           (3) any interim actions taken by the Secretary  
6       to reduce comprehensive flood risk within the geo-  
7       graphic scope of the project while carrying out the  
8       reevaluations under subsection (a), including if ap-  
9       plicable, the cost of each such action.

10       (f) EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION.—The Secretary  
11   shall expedite the completion of each reevaluation of a  
12   project element under subsection (a).

13   **SEC. 337. BUFFALO BAYOU TRIBUTARIES AND RESILIENCY**  
14                           **STUDY, TEXAS.**

15       (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite com-  
16   pletion of the Buffalo Bayou Tributaries and Resiliency  
17   Study, Texas, carried out pursuant to title IV of the Bi-  
18   partisan Budget Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 76; 138 Stat.  
19   3082), any review required under the National Environ-  
20   mental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) as  
21   part of the study, and the report of the Chief of Engineers  
22   for the study.

23       (b) REPORTS.—The report of the Chief of Engineers  
24   for the study described in subsection (a) shall contain rec-  
25   ommendations for carrying out a comprehensive project

1 as described in subsection (c), and is not required to in-  
2 clude further evaluation of alternatives to the comprehen-  
3 sive project that are not acceptable to the non-Federal in-  
4 terest for the project.

5 (c) COMPREHENSIVE PROJECT.—For purposes of  
6 subsection (b), a comprehensive project is a project—

7 (1) to be carried out by the Secretary, in part-  
8 nership with the Harris County Flood Control Dis-  
9 trict, as part of the project in Buffalo Bayou and its  
10 tributaries, Texas, authorized by the Act of June 20,  
11 1938 (chapter 535, 52 Stat. 804);

12 (2) designed considering any prior studies and  
13 information provided to the Corps of Engineers by  
14 the Harris County Food Control District;

15 (3) which shall include an analysis of a sub-  
16 terranean tunnel conveyance system; and

17 (4) that, carried out pursuant to a comprehen-  
18 sive benefits plan developed by the Secretary and de-  
19 termined acceptable by the non-Federal interest—

20 (A) is capable of conveying, at an appro-  
21 priate rate, the volume of water in the Buffalo  
22 Bayou and Tributaries Study area, based on an  
23 analysis that includes conveyance during a  
24 storm event equivalent to Hurricane Harvey;

1 (B) is designed to avoid, or minimize to  
2 the extent practicable, any adverse effects on  
3 the environment and community; and

4 (C) is designed to promote infrastructure  
5 resiliency, including infrastructure necessary for  
6 reservoir operations.

7 (d) DEADLINE.—The Secretary shall prioritize Fed-  
8 eral funding for the completion of the Buffalo Bayou and  
9 Tributaries Resiliency Study pursuant to subsection (a)  
10 in the first submission after the date of enactment of this  
11 Act of each of the following:

12 (1) A budget submission.

13 (2) A submission of a work plan to Congress  
14 pursuant to the joint explanatory statement for an  
15 annual appropriations Act or as part of the submis-  
16 sion of a spend plan to Congress for a supplemental  
17 appropriations Act under which the Corps of Engi-  
18 neers receives funding.

19 (e) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years  
20 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall  
21 submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-  
22 structure of the House of Representatives and the Com-  
23 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate  
24 the report of the Chief of Engineers for the study de-

1 scribed in subsection (a), regardless of design maturity  
 2 status of the project covered by the report.

3 (f) COMPREHENSIVE BENEFITS PLAN DEFINED.—In  
 4 this section, the term “comprehensive benefits plan”  
 5 means a comprehensive benefits plan developed pursuant  
 6 to the policies described in the memorandum relating to  
 7 “Policy Directive – Comprehensive Documentation of  
 8 Benefits in Decision Document”, dated January 5, 2021,  
 9 and signed by the Assistant Secretary for Civil Works.

10 **SEC. 338. CHAMBERS, GALVESTON, AND HARRIS COUNTIES,**  
 11 **TEXAS, EXPEDITED REAL ESTATE TRANS-**  
 12 **FERS.**

13 Section 1327(a) of the Water Resources Development  
 14 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3144) is amended—

15 (1) in paragraph (1), by inserting “(including  
 16 the portions of Spilman Island and Pelican Island  
 17 owned by, or subject to an easement held by, the  
 18 United States), to determine whether any such land  
 19 or easements are no longer required for project pur-  
 20 poses” before “; and”; and

21 (2) in paragraph (2), by inserting “under such  
 22 paragraph” before “are no longer”.

23 **SEC. 339. COASTAL VIRGINIA.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out an analysis of a  
 25 measure benefitting Federal land under the administrative

1 jurisdiction of the head of another Federal agency as part  
2 of the feasibility study for flood risk management, eco-  
3 system restoration, and navigation, Coastal Virginia, au-  
4 thorized by section 1201(9) of the Water Resources Devel-  
5 opment Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3802), the Secretary may  
6 use, for any portion of the cost of such analysis, funds—

7           (1) made available to the Secretary after the  
8           date of enactment of this Act for water resources de-  
9           velopment investigations; or

10           (2) contributed by such agency head (subject to  
11           the availability of future appropriations).

12           (b) FEDERAL EXPENSE REQUIREMENT.—The Sec-  
13 retary shall carry out each analysis described in subsection  
14 (a) at Federal expense, except that, with respect to an  
15 analysis described in subsection (a) to the extent it relates  
16 to formulation of measures to reduce risk to a military  
17 installation, upon request of the non-Federal interest for  
18 the project described in subsection (a), the Secretary may  
19 use funds contributed by the non-Federal interest pursu-  
20 ant to the terms and conditions of the agreement between  
21 the Secretary and the non-Federal interest for the project  
22 that relate to sharing the cost of the study.

23           (c) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this section af-  
24 fects any cost-sharing requirement applicable to the head  
25 of a Federal agency, other than the Secretary, for the con-

1 struction of an authorized water resources development  
2 project, or a separable element of such project, resulting  
3 from the study described in subsection (a).

4 **SEC. 340. NORFOLK COASTAL STORM RISK MANAGEMENT,**  
5 **VIRGINIA.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out an analysis of a  
7 measure benefitting Federal land under the administrative  
8 jurisdiction of the head of another Federal agency as part  
9 of the feasibility study for the modification of the project  
10 for hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, Norfolk  
11 Coastal Storm Risk Management, Virginia, authorized by  
12 section 401(3) of the Water Resources Development Act  
13 of 2020 (134 Stat. 2738), the Secretary may use, for any  
14 portion of the cost of such analysis, funds—

15 (1) made available to the Secretary after the  
16 date of enactment of this Act for water resources de-  
17 velopment investigations; or

18 (2) contributed by such agency head (subject to  
19 the availability of future appropriations).

20 (b) FEDERAL EXPENSE REQUIREMENT.—The Sec-  
21 retary shall carry out each analysis described in subsection  
22 (a) at Federal expense, except that, with respect to an  
23 analysis described in subsection (a) to the extent it relates  
24 to formulation of measures to reduce risk to a military  
25 installation, upon request of the non-Federal interest for

1 the project described in subsection (a), the Secretary may  
2 use funds contributed by the non-Federal interest pursu-  
3 ant to the terms and conditions of the agreement between  
4 the Secretary and the non-Federal interest for the project  
5 that relate to sharing the cost of the study.

6 (c) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this section af-  
7 fects any cost-sharing requirement applicable to the head  
8 of a Federal agency, other than the Secretary, for the con-  
9 struction of an authorized water resources development  
10 project, or a separable element of such project, resulting  
11 from the study described in subsection (a).

12 **SEC. 341. PUGET SOUND AND ADJACENT WATERS RES-**  
13 **TORATION, WASHINGTON.**

14 Section 544(f) of the Water Resources Development  
15 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2675; 132 Stat. 3826) is amended  
16 to read as follows:

17 “(f) LIMITATION.—The total Federal amount ex-  
18 pended for a critical restoration project under this section  
19 (including a critical restoration project for which an agree-  
20 ment was entered into pursuant to this section before the  
21 date of enactment of the Water Resources Development  
22 Act of 2026 and construction is not complete as of such  
23 date of enactment) shall not be more than \$20,000,000.”.

1 **SEC. 342. LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER.**

2       The total cost of constructing dredged material sta-  
3 bilization and retaining structures related to maintenance  
4 dredging for the project for navigation, Lower Willamette  
5 and Columbia Rivers, from Portland, Oregon, to the sea,  
6 authorized by the first section of the Act of June 18, 1878  
7 (chapter 264, 20 Stat. 157) shall be considered eligible  
8 operation and maintenance costs assigned to commercial  
9 navigation for the purpose of section 210(a)(2) of the  
10 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
11 2238(a)(2)).

12 **SEC. 343. LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN ECOSYSTEM RES-**  
13 **TORATION ASSESSMENT.**

14       The Secretary shall conduct an assessment of the  
15 lower Columbia River Basin to identify opportunities to  
16 carry out cost-effective projects and measures to support  
17 salmon and steelhead restoration by—

- 18           (1) restoring, improving, and reconnecting  
19       aquatic and riparian habitat; and  
20           (2) providing cold water refugia for native  
21       anadromous fish species.

22 **SEC. 344. PUGET SOUND NEARSHORE ECOSYSTEM RES-**  
23 **TORATION, WASHINGTON.**

24       In carrying out the project for ecosystem restoration,  
25 Puget Sound, Washington, authorized by section 1401(4)  
26 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130

1 Stat. 1713; 136 Stat. 3806), the Secretary shall consider  
2 the removal, relocation, and replacement of the following  
3 sites to be a project feature the costs of which are shared  
4 as construction:

5 (1) Highway 101 causeway and bridge over the  
6 Lilliwaup Estuary of the Hood Canal site.

7 (2) NE North Shore Road roadway and bridge  
8 over the Tahuya Estuary of the Hood Canal site.

9 (3) Highway 101 causeway and bridge over the  
10 Snow Creek and Salmon Creek (at the head of Dis-  
11 covery Bay) site.

12 (4) County and local roads and bridges over the  
13 Nooksack and Lummi Rivers and Tennant Creek at  
14 the Nooksack Estuary of the Northern Puget Sound  
15 site.

16 **SEC. 345. WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA, WASH-**  
17 **INGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, MARY-**  
18 **LAND, AND VIRGINIA.**

19 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the feasibility  
20 study for the project for water supply, Washington, Dis-  
21 trict of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia, authorized by  
22 section 8201(a)(14) of the Water Resources Development  
23 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3745; 138 Stat. 3160), the Sec-  
24 retary shall carry out a second phase of the study focused  
25 on the identification of a secondary water source and addi-

1 tional water storage capability or other long-term and  
2 large-scale backup water supply solutions necessary to  
3 meaningfully reduce the structural water supply risk of  
4 the region.

5 (b) TREATMENT OF STUDY.—In carrying out the sec-  
6 ond phase of the study under this section, the Secretary—

7 (1) shall treat such study as a continuation of  
8 the first phase of the study carried out under section  
9 8201(a)(14) of the Water Resources Development  
10 Act of 2022; and

11 (2) shall continue such study without a new in-  
12 vestment decision.

13 **SEC. 346. FEDERAL TRIANGLE AREA, WASHINGTON, DIS-**  
14 **TRICT OF COLUMBIA.**

15 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out an analysis of a  
16 measure benefitting Federal land under the administrative  
17 jurisdiction of the head of another Federal agency as part  
18 of the feasibility study for the project for flood risk man-  
19 agement, Federal Triangle Area, Washington, District of  
20 Columbia, authorized by section 8201(a)(12) of the Water  
21 Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3745), the  
22 Secretary may use, for any portion of the cost of such  
23 analysis, funds—

24 (1) made available to the Secretary for water  
25 resources development investigations; or

1 (2) contributed by such agency head.

2 (b) FEDERAL EXPENSE REQUIREMENT.—The Sec-  
3 retary shall carry out each analysis described in subsection  
4 (a) at Federal expense.

5 (c) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this section af-  
6 fects any cost-sharing requirement applicable to the head  
7 of a Federal agency, other than the Secretary, for the con-  
8 struction of an authorized water resources development  
9 project, or a separable element of such project, resulting  
10 from the study described in subsection (a).

## 11 **TITLE IV—WATER RESOURCES** 12 **INFRASTRUCTURE**

### 13 **SEC. 401. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.**

14 The following projects for water resources develop-  
15 ment and conservation and other purposes, as identified  
16 in the reports titled “Report to Congress on Future Water  
17 Resources Development” submitted to Congress pursuant  
18 to section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Devel-  
19 opment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise re-  
20 viewed by Congress, are authorized to be carried out by  
21 the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plans,  
22 and subject to the conditions, described in the respective  
23 reports or decision documents designated in this section:

24 (1) NAVIGATION.—

| <b>A.<br/>State</b> | <b>B.<br/>Name</b>                                               | <b>C.<br/>Date of<br/>Report of<br/>Chief of<br/>Engineers</b> | <b>D.<br/>Estimated<br/>Costs</b>                                            |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. MS               | Gulfport Harbor,<br>Gulfport, Deep<br>Draft Naviga-<br>tion      | June 23,<br>2026                                               | Federal: \$411,114,000<br>Non-Federal: \$137,102,000<br>Total: \$548,216,000 |
| 2. WA &<br>OR       | Columbia River<br>Turning Basins<br>Navigation Im-<br>provements | September<br>26, 2025                                          | Federal: \$16,408,000<br>Non-Federal: \$5,489,000<br>Total: \$21,897,000     |

1 (2) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT.—

| <b>A.<br/>State</b> | <b>B.<br/>Name</b>                                                                                              | <b>C.<br/>Date of<br/>Report of<br/>Chief of<br/>Engineers</b> | <b>D.<br/>Estimated<br/>Costs</b>                                           |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. KY               | Kentucky River,<br>Beattyville<br>Flood Risk<br>Management<br>Project, Lee<br>County                            | March 24,<br>2026                                              | Federal: \$7,979,000<br>Non-Federal: \$4,562,000<br>Total: \$12,541,000     |
| 2. NY,<br>NJ        | New York–New<br>Jersey Harbor<br>and Tributaries<br>Study, East<br>Riser, New Jer-<br>sey Actionable<br>Element | July 6, 2026                                                   | Federal: \$180,916,000<br>Non-Federal: \$97,417,000<br>Total: \$278,333,000 |

2 (3) ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—

| <b>A.<br/>State</b> | <b>B.<br/>Name</b>                                                                       | <b>C.<br/>Date of<br/>Report of<br/>Chief of<br/>Engineers</b> | <b>D.<br/>Estimated<br/>Costs</b>                                          |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. MO               | Lower Osage River Basin, Miller, Osage, and Cole Counties, Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration | July 6, 2026                                                   | Federal: \$95,334,000<br>Non-Federal: \$51,333,000<br>Total: \$146,667,000 |
| 2. WY               | Little Goose Creek, Sheridan                                                             | June 4, 2026                                                   | Federal: \$45,333,000<br>Non-Federal: \$35,601,000<br>Total: \$80,934,000  |

1 (4) HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK RE-  
2 Duction.—

| <b>A.<br/>State</b> | <b>B.<br/>Name</b>                                                                   | <b>C.<br/>Date of<br/>Report of<br/>Chief of<br/>Engineers</b> | <b>D.<br/>Estimated<br/>Costs</b>                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. NC               | Surf City, Onslow and Pender Counties, North Carolina, Coastal Storm Risk Management | July 15, 2025                                                  | Initial Federal: \$121,750,000<br>Initial Non-Federal: \$76,863,000<br>Total: \$198,613,000<br>Renourishment Federal: \$171,007,000<br>Renourishment Non-Federal: \$191,297,000<br>Renourishment Total: \$362,304,000 |

3 (5) MODIFICATIONS AND OTHER PROJECTS.—

| <b>A.<br/>State</b> | <b>B.<br/>Name</b>     | <b>C.<br/>Date of<br/>Decision<br/>Document</b> | <b>D.<br/>Estimated<br/>Costs</b> |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. AZ               | Rio de Flag, Flagstaff | June 12, 2026                                   | Total: \$244,633,000              |

| <b>A.<br/>State</b> | <b>B.<br/>Name</b>                                                                     | <b>C.<br/>Date of<br/>Decision<br/>Document</b> | <b>D.<br/>Estimated<br/>Costs</b>                                                   |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2. CA               | Port of Long Beach Deep Draft Navigation project, Los Angeles County                   | June 11, 2026                                   | Total: \$255,811,000                                                                |
| 3. FL               | Central and Southern Florida, Canal 111 (C-111) South Dade Project                     | October 14, 2025                                | Federal: \$477,280,500<br>Non-Federal: \$477,280,500<br>Total: \$954,561,000        |
| 4. FL               | Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central Everglades Planning Project         | June 16, 2026                                   | Federal: \$6,160,809,000<br>Non-Federal: \$6,160,809,000<br>Total: \$12,321,618,000 |
| 5. KY               | Kentucky Lock Addition, Lower Cumberland and Tennessee Rivers                          | June 11, 2026                                   | Federal: \$2,056,161,750<br>Non-Federal: \$685,387,250<br>Total: \$2,741,549,000    |
| 6. MS               | Memphis Metropolitan Stormwater – North DeSoto County Feasibility Study, DeSoto County | March 10, 2026                                  | Federal: \$47,341,000<br>Non-Federal: \$25,491,000<br>Total: \$72,832,000           |
| 7. TN               | Chickamauga Lock Replacement Project, Chickamauga Lake, Hamilton County                | June 11, 2026                                   | Federal: \$1,175,090,250<br>Non-Federal: \$391,696,750<br>Total: \$1,566,787,000    |

1 **SEC. 402. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF PROJECTS AND AC-**  
2 **TIVITIES.**

3 (a) CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS.—The  
4 Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expe-  
5 dite completion of the following projects:

6 (1) Projects for emergency streambank erosion  
7 and shoreline protection under section 14 of the  
8 Flood Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r) for the  
9 following areas:

10 (A) County Creek, Bartlett, Illinois.

11 (B) Ohio River, Brandenburg, Kentucky.

12 (C) Falmouth, Massachusetts, in the vicin-  
13 ity of Menauhant Road.

14 (D) Muskegon Heights, Michigan.

15 (E) Old Mission Peninsula, Michigan.

16 (F) Salt Brook, Summit, New Jersey.

17 (G) Euclid Creek, Euclid, Ohio.

18 (H) Little Miami River, Milford, Ohio.

19 (I) Mountain Creek, Macungie, Pennsyl-  
20 vania.

21 (2) Projects for beach erosion and hurricane  
22 and storm damage reduction under section 3 of the  
23 Act of August 13, 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426g) for the  
24 following areas:

25 (A) Micro Beach and Makaka Beach,  
26 Garapan, Saipan, Northern Mariana Islands.

1 (B) Bolongo Bay, St. Thomas, United  
2 States Virgin Islands.

3 (3) Projects for flood control under section 205  
4 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s)  
5 for the following areas:

6 (A) Ak-Chin Levee, Pinal County, Arizona.

7 (B) Lower Santa Cruz River, Arizona.

8 (C) McCormick Wash, Globe, Arizona.

9 (D) Rose and Palm Garden Washes, Doug-  
10 las, Arizona.

11 (E) Palermo, Butte County, California.

12 (F) Beseck Lake, Connecticut.

13 (G) Woodbridge, Connecticut.

14 (H) Little Wekiva River, Florida.

15 (I) Peachtree and Nancy Creek water-  
16 sheds, Atlanta, Georgia.

17 (J) Golconda, Illinois.

18 (K) Traverse City, Michigan.

19 (L) Marshall County, Minnesota.

20 (M) Peckman River, Verona, New Jersey.

21 (N) Third River, Clifton, New Jersey.

22 (O) Nanny Hagen Brook, Pleasantville,  
23 New York.

24 (P) McKenzie River, Springfield, Oregon.

1 (Q) 42nd Street Levee, Springfield, Or-  
2 egon.

3 (R) Medina Colonia, Zapata County,  
4 Texas.

5 (4) Projects for navigation under section 107 of  
6 the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577)  
7 for the following areas:

8 (A) Kodiak Harbor, Kodiak, Alaska.

9 (B) Laupahoehoe Harbor, Hawaii.

10 (C) Black River and Lake Huron, Alcona  
11 Township, Michigan.

12 (5) Project for beneficial uses of dredged mate-  
13 rial, New Jersey Meadowlands (also known as Hack-  
14 ensack Meadowlands), New Jersey, under section  
15 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
16 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326).

17 (6) Projects for aquatic ecosystem restoration  
18 under section 206 of the Water Resources Develop-  
19 ment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330) for the following  
20 areas:

21 (A) El Corazón de los Tres Ríos del Norte,  
22 Pima County, Arizona.

23 (B) North Haven, Connecticut.

24 (C) Savannah River below Augusta, Geor-  
25 gia.

1 (D) Waimea 400 Wetlands, Kaua‘i, Ha-  
2 waii.

3 (E) Marshall County, Minnesota.

4 (F) Polk Swamp, Dorchester County,  
5 South Carolina.

6 (G) Ficklen Island Dam, Fredericksburg,  
7 Virginia.

8 (7) Projects to modify projects for improvement  
9 of the environment or drought resiliency under sec-  
10 tion 1135 of the Water Resources Development Act  
11 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a) for the following areas:

12 (A) Wayne County, Iowa.

13 (B) Wild Rice River, Minnesota.

14 (C) Lower Pearl River, Mississippi.

15 (D) Rio Chama and Rio Grande between  
16 the Abiquiu and Cochiti Reservoirs, New Mex-  
17 ico.

18 (8) Projects to control, retain, and reuse  
19 stormwater associated with flood control efforts  
20 under section 1108 of the Water Resources Develop-  
21 ment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3006) for the following  
22 areas:

23 (A) Jonesboro, Arkansas.

24 (B) Klondike Canyon, Rancho Palos  
25 Verdes, California.

1 (C) City of Lompoc, California.

2 (D) Sutter County, California, including  
3 Yuba City and Robbins.

4 (E) Lake Avondale, Avondale Estates,  
5 Georgia.

6 (F) Falmouth, including Woods Hole, Mas-  
7 sachusetts.

8 (G) Waveland, Mississippi.

9 (9) Project for removal of obstructions and  
10 clearing channels for flood control, City of Chico,  
11 California, under section 2 of the Act of August 28,  
12 1937 (33 U.S.C. 701g).

13 (b) OTHER PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES.—The Sec-  
14 retary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite  
15 completion of the following:

16 (1) Project for flood risk management, Little  
17 Colorado River, Navajo County, Arizona, authorized  
18 by section 401(2) of the Water Resources Develop-  
19 ment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2735).

20 (2) Project for flood damage reduction, Rio de  
21 Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona, authorized by section  
22 101(b)(3) of the Water Resources Development Act  
23 of 2000 (114 Stat. 2576; 121 Stat. 1107; 130 Stat.  
24 1716; 136 Stat. 3833; 138 Stat. 3067) (as modified  
25 by this Act).

1           (3) Project for ecosystem restoration, Tres  
2       Rios, Arizona, authorized by section 101(b)(4) of the  
3       Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114  
4       Stat. 2577).

5           (4) Project for beach erosion, Surfside Sunset  
6       and Newport Beach, Orange County, California, au-  
7       thorized by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act  
8       of 1962 (76 Stat. 1177).

9           (5) Project for flood risk management, West-  
10      minster, East Garden Grove, California Flood Risk  
11      Management, authorized by section 401(2) of the  
12      Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134  
13      Stat. 2735).

14          (6) Maintenance dredging at the Greenwich  
15      Harbor, Connecticut.

16          (7) Maintenance dredging at the Southport  
17      Harbor, Connecticut.

18          (8) Project for ecosystem restoration, water  
19      supply, flood control, and protection of water qual-  
20      ity, Central and Southern Florida, Indian River La-  
21      goon, Florida, authorized by section 1001(14) of the  
22      Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121  
23      Stat. 1051).

24          (9) Comprehensive plan for the purpose of re-  
25      storing, preserving, and protecting the Northern Es-

1       tuaries Ecosystem, Florida, authorized by section  
2       8215 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
3       2022 (136 Stat. 3760; 138 Stat. 3138).

4           (10) Operations and maintenance activities nec-  
5       essary as of the date of enactment of this Act for  
6       the project for navigation, Chicago Harbor Lock,  
7       Chicago, Illinois, authorized pursuant to the first  
8       section of the Act of July 11, 1870 (chapter 240, 16  
9       Stat. 226; 21 Stat. 182; 37 Stat. 217; 40 Stat.  
10      1283; 76 Stat. 1176).

11          (11) Project for navigation, Port of Iberia, Lou-  
12       isiana, authorized by section 1001(25) of the Water  
13       Resources Control Act of 2007 (121 Stat 1053; 128  
14       Stat 1351).

15          (12) Project for ecosystem restoration, Naval  
16       Weapons Station Earle Oyster Reef Restoration,  
17       Monmouth County, New Jersey, pursuant to the  
18       Hudson-Raritan Estuary Ecosystem Restoration  
19       project authorized by section 401(5) of the Water  
20       Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.  
21       2740).

22          (13) Project for navigation, Times Beach dike  
23       wall repair, Buffalo Harbor, New York, authorized  
24       by the Act of May 20, 1826 (chapter 78, 4 Stat.  
25       175).

1           (14) Maintenance dredging of the project for  
2           navigation, Tillamook Bay, Oregon, authorized by  
3           the first section of the Act of July 27, 1912 (chapter  
4           254, 37 Stat. 220).

5           (15) Project for periodic beach nourishment,  
6           Presque Isle Peninsula, Erie, Pennsylvania, author-  
7           ized by section 101 of the Water Resources Develop-  
8           ment Act of 1976 (90 Stat. 2918).

9           (16) Project for ecosystem restoration, Lower  
10          Blackstone River, Rhode Island, authorized pursuant  
11          to section 206 of the Water Resources Development  
12          Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330; 136 Stat. 3804).

13          (17) Maintenance dredging at Two Rivers Har-  
14          bor, Two Rivers, Wisconsin, authorized pursuant to  
15          the Act of March 3, 1871 (chapter 118, 16 Stat.  
16          538; 34 Stat. 1101; 49 Stat. 1035; 72 Stat. 298).

17          (c) TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—The Sec-  
18          retary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite  
19          completion of the Pima-Maricopa Irrigation Project, asso-  
20          ciated with the Gila River Indian Community, Arizona, au-  
21          thorized pursuant to section 203 of the Water Resources  
22          Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).

1                   **TITLE V—DAM SAFETY**

2   **SEC. 501. NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM AMENDMENTS.**

3           The National Dam Safety Program Act (33 U.S.C.  
4 467 et seq.) is amended—

5               (1) in section 8(e)(4), by striking “2” and in-  
6               serting “7”;

7               (2) in section 8A(j)(4), by striking “2026” and  
8               inserting “2031”; and

9               (3) in section 14(a)(2)(A)(ii)—

10                   (A) in subclause (I), by striking “and low-  
11                   head dams”; and

12                   (B) in subclause (II), by striking “and low-  
13                   head dams”.

14   **SEC. 502. STUDY ON HIGH HAZARD POTENTIAL DAM**  
15                   **PRIORITIZATIONS.**

16           (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the  
17 date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the  
18 Federal Emergency Management Agency shall complete a  
19 study to identify the issues and concerns that prevent  
20 States from prioritizing projects that will have the greatest  
21 community benefit under section 8A of the National Dam  
22 Safety Program Act (33 U.S.C. 467f–2) and produce rec-  
23 ommendations on how to address such issues and con-  
24 cerns.

1       (b) **TIMELINE.**—The Administrator shall begin the  
2 study required under subsection (a) on the date of enact-  
3 ment of this Act and shall submit to Congress a status  
4 report on such study not later than 1 year after such date  
5 of enactment.

Passed the House of Representatives September 16,  
2026.

Attest:

*Clerk.*

119TH CONGRESS  
2D SESSION

**H. R. 9497**

---

---

**AN ACT**

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.