IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JASPER COUNTY, MISSOURI AT JOPLIN GREGORY L. DAGNAN, Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Do-Plaintiff. Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Vs. Case No. 24AO-CC00165 al Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not an CITY OF CARTHAGE, FILED an Official Court Document Not an Official Kimberly Clinton Interim Clerk Feb 26 2026 Jasper County Circuit Court Joplin Missouri T Docu and ALAN SNOW, cial Court Document an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not: and et an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Documen CARTHAGE CITIZENS UNITED, Not an Official Court Document Defendants.

PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

This matter comes on from advisement, the matter having been previously argued to the Court and taken under advisement on January 24, 2025. The Plaintiff appeared in person and with his attorney Aaron Hadlow and the Defendants City of Carthage, Tiffany Cossey and Alan Snow appeared by attorney Justin Gist. Defendant Carthage Citizens United appeared by attorney William Lasley. Court now takes matter from advisement and enters Summary Judgment in favor of the Defendant City of Carthage, as it relates to punitive damage claim contained in Count I and to Plaintiff's claims in Count II of Plaintiff's Petition.

Count I of Plaintiff's Petition seeks to recover damages against the Defendant City of Carthage for violation of Section 105.055 RSMo. Plaintiff asserts a claim for punitive damages. It is the general rule that in the absence of a statute specifically authorizing punitive damages that they are not recoverable against a municipal corporation. *Chappell v. Springfield*, 423 S.W.2d 810 (Mo. banc 1968). Summary Judgment granted in favor of Defendant City of Carthage as to the claim for Punitive damages contained in Count I of Plaintiff's Petition.

Count II of Plaintiff's Petition seeks to recover damages from the Defendant City of Carthage for the tort of defamation. Defendant City of Carthage moves for Summary Judgment

based on sovereign immunity. Defendant City of Carthage maintained an insurance policy which included a provision stating said policy "is not intended to, nor does it waive, nor shall it be construed as waiving in any way whatsoever, any sovereign immunity." (Summary Judgment Ex. 2).

t Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Doc

A public entity does not waive its sovereign immunity by maintaining an insurance policy where that policy includes a provision stating that policy is not meant to constitute a waiver of sovereign immunity. *Doe v. Garagnai*, 614 S.W.3d 556, (Mo. App. S.D. 2020).

Summary Judgment granted in favor of Defendant City of Carthage as to Count II of Plaintiff's Petition.

SO ORDERED this the 25th day of February, 2025.

1118

David A. Cole, Circuit Judge

rial Court Document - No an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not :

et an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Documen

Dent - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court

ut Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Seart Document Not an Offic

ficial Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not

lot an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Docume

ment Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Cour

urt Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Offi-

Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document No.

Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Docu