March 4, 2021

Louisiana Supreme Court 400 Royal Street #4200 New Orleans, LA. 70130

Re: complaint of malfeasance and cover up on the part of The Judiciary Commission

Dear Chief Justice and Justices:

Senior Judiciary Commission attorney, Michael D. Bewer subpoenaed me to testify under oath in his investigation of a complaint filed by law clerk, Allyson Campbell, alleging judicial misconduct in Fourth Judicial District Court. I did so on February 24, 2021. The record shows neither the complaint, nor complainant was properly screened, prior to the Commission, Mr. Bewer (and others) deciding to investigate me and my wife for bribing a juvenile court judge. Ms. Campbell claimed our campaign contribution made during a contested election was to "bribe" the judge (who we have supported in every election she has ever had) in return for her waiving formal requirement of a hearing under CE. 519, and voluntarily agreeing to appear <u>pursuant to subpoena</u> and testify under oath at a hearing to recuse Fourth District judges for covering up case fixing, malfeasance and misprision involving law clerk Allyson Campbell. While Ms. Campbell is not a lawyer and is beyond the reach of this court, my complaint is against the Judiciary Commission as stated below.

During my recorded statement, I produced the Commission's own document proving willful violation of constitutionally protected rights, cover up, lack of candor, zero transparency and outright deceit in handling my complaint against Fourth District Judge Alvin Sharp. I respectfully requested Mr. Bewer to accept my return of the Commission's errant document mailed to me by mistake, and report the cover up, deceit and lack of transparency proved by the Commission's document. Mr. Bewer candidly advised he had no intention to do so. Therefore, I am compelled to report misconduct, malfeasance and cover up involving Fourth District Judges, Allyson Campbell and, now, the Judiciary Commission. In reporting misconduct of elected judges, I exercise freedom of speech and deny "confidentiality" regarding Judiciary Commission lack of transparency and cover up.

To begin, I reported Judge Alvin Sharp to the Judiciary Commission. The Commission decided that Judge Alvin Sharp "...violated my constitutional right of access to court records...", when he secretly filed sealed documents in my suit record, charged cost to me, and refused to show me the content, even when my opponent joined my request to unseal the documents. Nevertheless, the Judiciary Commission "whitewashed" my complaint. Why? What did the Commission know?

The Judiciary Commission knew the actions of Judge Alvin Sharp denying me access to court records (which he secretly filed in my lawsuit sua sponte) were contrary to clear and determined law about which there is no confusion or question. The Commission knew Judge Sharp's legal "error" denied me the most basic legal right to access to court records.

At the time Mr. Bewer was taking sworn statements from me, my wife and my lawyer, Mr. Bewer mentioned he had not heard of the lawsuit which Allyson Campbell filed against my lawyer, let alone the 70 newspaper articles Ms. Campbell's suit mentions regarding criminal acts and cover up involving Ms. Campbell and Fourth District judges. Ms. Campbell's petition was sent directly to the Judiciary Commission with a cover sheet since it involved Fourth District judges, allegations of criminal acts and cover up.

Mr. Bewer further advised he had never heard of the lawsuit filed by my attorney against law clerk Allyson Campbell and four Fourth District Judges, including Judge Carl V. Sharp, brother of Judge Alvin Sharp subject of my motion to recuse. Mr. Bewer pretended not to know the judge who my wife and I allegedly bribed is a key witness in pending litigation against Ms. Campbell and Fourth District judges.

The Commission knew Judge Alvin Sharp had absolutely no authorization "...to seal the document sua sponta (which he secretly filed in my lawsuit at my expense) without giving the parties any notice or opportunity to be heard prior to the sealing..."

The Commission knew or should have known Judge Alvin Sharp's motive was to harm me due to my attorney suing Judge Alvin Sharp's brother, now retired Judge Carl V. Sharp, three other judges supervising law clerk Allyson Campbell and Allyson Campbell in the above mentioned lawsuit.

The Commission knew, or should have known, Judge Alvin Sharp intended harm when he "...unnecessarily caused confusion and "...added time and expense in seeking to unseal ..." a single page document which turned out to be a duplicate proposed order submitted by my attorney. In sum, Judge Sharp wasted two years of time and thousands of dollars in unnecessary attorney fees and court costs, not to mention court resources.

The Commission knew "...a legal error could constitute an ethical violation "....if a judge's ruling or action is made contrary to clear and determined law about which there is no confusion or question as to its interpretation..." And the Commission knew there is no confusion or question that Judge Alvin Sharp intended to deny my most basic legal right to access court documents and cause unnecessary delay and added expense, all in violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct which the Judiciary Commission exists to enforce.

The Commission knew egregious legal error (involving the denial of basic legal rights) made in bad faith could constitute ethical violation. The Commission knew

Judge Alvin Sharp's error was egregious. **The Commission knew** Judge Alvin Sharp's error was not inadvertent and was committed in bad faith to retaliate for exposing judicial misconduct in the Fourth District Court, where, according to Chief Judge Robert Johnson, "...if you sue one judge, you sue all..."

The Commission knew it had to "whitewash" my complaint, or else expose a pattern or practice of misconduct in Fourth District Court involving case fixing, malfeasance, misprision, and cover up.

Only by inadvertent error, did the Commission expose its own lack of transparency, together with means and mode of covering up judicial misconduct. More particularly, with its letter to me stating the Commission found no unethical conduct regarding my complaint against Judge Alvin Sharp, the Commission inadvertently included the second page of its letter to Judge Alvin Sharp which proves deceit on the part of the Commission. See attached.

While the Commission falsely advised me that it "...found no violation of any ethics rule..." on the part of Judge Alvin Sharp, the Commission specifically advised Judge Alvin Sharp "...the Commission did not regard anything about the circumstances before you (Judge Alvin Sharp) of overcoming the constitutional right of access to court records...a most basic legal right..."

In sum, the Commission "...carefully looked at ...the ethics rules that apply, including the Code of Judicial Conduct and the Louisiana Constitution..." All the while, the Commission knew Judge Alvin Sharp violated my "...basic constitutional right of access to court records... "; the Commission knew Judge Alvin Sharp "...unnecessarily caused confusion ..." and the Commission knew or should have known exactly why Judge Sharp intended to harm me, when he "...added time and expense in seeking to unseal the document..." The Commission knew Judge Alvin Sharp had no defense.

Only by inadvertent error, if not, divine intervention, does the public now know the means by which the Judiciary Commission "handles" and covers up reported violation of judicial ethics.

While, I am most willing to appear and testify under oath as to details of my complaint against the Judiciary Commission, I respectfully deny and refute any "confidentiality" of cover up on the part of elected judges and public employees, including Judge Alvin Sharp, Allyson Campbell and Mr. Bewer, alike.

Sincerely

Larry G. Kulp

2613 Horseshoe Lake Rd.

Monroe, LA. 71203