# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
v.	)	Criminal Action No. 25- 02 - UNA
MICHAEL REDMON,	)	FILED
Defendant.	)	JAN 14 2025

## INFORMATION

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

The United States Attorney for the District of Delaware charges that:

# COUNT ONE (Wire Fraud)

1. Michael Redmon was the Chief of the Bethany Beach Police Department. His job was to protect the Town of Bethany Beach from crime. Instead, over the course of several years, Redmon stole more than \$80,000 from the Town by claiming to work overtime that he did not, in fact, work.

### Overtime Grant Funding

- 2. Between at least 2019 and 2024, the Town received public-safety-related grant funding from at least three sources: the Delaware Criminal Justice Council, the Delaware Fund to Combat Violent Crimes, and the Delaware Office of Highway Safety.
- 3. The grant funds administered by the Delaware Criminal Justice Council were federal funds—specifically, funds awarded through the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program.

4. The Town used at least some of the funds from those three sources to pay for police overtime.

#### Wire-Fraud Scheme

- 5. Between December 2019 and May 2023, Redmon submitted claims for 237 overtime shifts, totaling more than 1,000 hours and more than \$110,000.
- 6. Redmon did not, in fact, work at least 174 of those shifts, totaling at least 760 hours and at least \$81,980.
- 7. In carrying out the scheme, Redmon completed overtime slips on which he made materially false and fraudulent representations—namely, representations that he had worked hours that he did not, in fact, work.
- 8. Redmon's fraudulent overtime slips were transmitted by wire communication in interstate commerce. And the payments caused by his fraudulent overtime slips were also transmitted by wire communication in interstate commerce.

### Charging Paragraph

9. From in or about December 2019 to in or about May 2023, defendant Michael Redmon knowingly devised a scheme for obtaining money by means of materially false and fraudulent representations and, for the purpose of executing that scheme, transmitted writings and caused writings to be transmitted by means of wire communication in interstate commerce.

#### NOTICE OF FORFEITURE

Upon conviction of the offense in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343 set forth in Count One of this Information, Defendant Michael Redmonshall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds traceable to the offense, including but not limited to a forfeiture money judgment of \$81,980.

If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendants:

- a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty,

the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), as incorporated by 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c).

DAVID C. WEISS United States Attorney

By:

BENJAMIN L. WALLACE

Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: January 13, 2025