

1 Robert Chalfant (State Bar No. 203051)
2 LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT CHALFANT
3 5701 Lonetree Blvd., Suite 312
4 Rocklin, California 95765
5 Telephone: (916) 647-7728
6 Facsimile: (916) 930-6093
7 Email: robert@rchalfant.com

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANA MARIE JAMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF OROVILLE, OFFICER
ROBERT SASEK, SERGEANT ALI
KHAN, and DOES 1-10.

Defendants.

Case No.

**COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF
CIVIL AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

I. INTRODUCTION

Law enforcement officers have a duty to ensure the safety of the citizens they are sworn to protect. This case involves a complete failure of that duty by OFFICER ROBERT SASEK, and others employed by the Oroville Police Department.

II. JURISDICTION & VENUE

1. This Court has original jurisdiction of the federal claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (in that they arise under the United States Constitution) and § 1343(a)(3) (in that the action is brought to address deprivations, under color of authority, of rights, privileges, and immunities secured by the United States Constitution). This Court has supplemental jurisdiction of the state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1337.

2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b) because Defendants are located in the Eastern District of California and because the acts and/or omissions stated herein occurred in the Eastern District of California.

3. Intra-district venue is proper in the Sacramento Division of the Eastern District of California pursuant to E.D. Cal. L.R. 120(d) because the claims asserted herein arise from acts and/or omissions which occurred in the County of Butte.

III. EXHAUSTION

4. DANA MARIE JAMES submitted a timely Government Claim to the CITY OF OROVILLE on October 17, 2022. The CITY OF OROVILLE rejected the claim in writing on November 1, 2022.

IV. PARTIES

5. Plaintiff DANA MARIE JAMES is a resident of the County of Butte, California. Plaintiff brings this action in her individual capacity on behalf of herself.

6. Defendant CITY OF OROVILLE is a “public entity” within the definition of Cal. Gov. Code § 811.2.

7. Defendant OFFICER ROBERT SASEK is, and at all times material herein was, a law enforcement officer employed by Defendant CITY OF OROVILLE and the Oroville Police Department, acting within the course and scope of his employment. OFFICER ROBERT SASEK is sued in his individual capacity.

8. SERGEANT ALI KHAN is, and at all times material herein was, a law enforcement officer employed by Defendant CITY OF OROVILLE and the Oroville Police Department, acting within the course and scope of his employment. SERGEANT ALI KHAN is sued in his individual capacity.

9. Defendant DOES 1 to 10 are and/or were agents or employees of Defendant CITY OF OROVILLE and/or the Oroville Police Department, acting within the scope of that employment and under color of state law. Defendant DOES 1 to 10 true and correct names and identities are not currently known. Defendant DOES 1 to 10 true and correct names and identities will be substituted when ascertained.

V. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

10. At all times relevant herein, all wrongful and unlawful acts described herein were performed under color of state law and/or in concert with or on behalf of those acting under the

1 color of state law.

2 11. On September 1, 2022, at approximately 2:00 p.m., DANA MARIE JAMES (“Ms.
3 James” or “Plaintiff”) was arrested for trespassing (Penal Code Section 602), in Chico, California,
4 and transported to the Butte County Jail (“Jail”) in Oroville, California. Ms. James had been
5 swimming in a local homeowner’s pool during hundred-degree weather.

6 12. The arresting officer from the Butte County Sheriff’s Department (“Sheriff’s
7 Department”) observed that Ms. James was incoherent, had an altered mental status and was
8 possibly under the influence of a controlled substance.

9 13. The arresting officer from the Sheriff’s Department did not retrieve Ms. James’
10 shoes during the arrest and she was transported to the Butte County Jail without any footwear.

11 14. Ms. James underwent the booking process at the Jail and was seen by an intake
12 nurse at approximately 2:50 p.m. After completing the booking process, Ms. James was cited and
13 released.

14 15. Ms. James displayed obvious signs and symptoms of mental and physical
15 impairment at the time of her release from the Jail and this is recorded on Jail video.

16 16. Ms. James was not given a bus pass so that she could return to her home in Chico,
17 California or provided shoes. Ms. James was simply thrown out onto the streets of the City of
18 Oroville.

19 17. Ms. James was arrested a second time on September 1, 2022. This arrest occurred
20 at the Oroville Home Depot at approximately 9:00 p.m. and was by OFFICER ROBERT SASEK
21 (“OFFICER SASEK”), of the Oroville Police Department (“OPD”).

22 18. During her arrest at the Home Depot, Ms. James was incoherent and unable to care
23 for herself. Ms. James was acting irrationally, had significant mental impairment including
24 illogical and disorganized thoughts, and OFFICER SASEK believed that she was under the
25 influence of a controlled substance.

26 19. OFFICER SASEK was also informed that Ms. James had previously been arrested
27 that day in the same condition.

28 20. Because OFFICER SASEK believed that Ms. James was incapable of taking care

1 of herself and additional calls for law enforcement assistance would continue if Ms. James
2 remained at Home Depot, OFFICER SASEK arrested Ms. James.

3 21. OFFICER SASEK transported Ms. James back to the Jail for booking and she was
4 seen at approximately 10:03 p.m. by Manuel Salinas, RN. Nurse Salinas refused to admit Ms.
5 James into the Jail until she was “medically cleared” by medical providers at Oroville Hospital.

6 22. Nurse Salinas’ refusal to allow Ms. James to be booked was based upon Ms. James
7 being incoherent, irrational, unable to respond to basic questions, having obvious neurologic signs
8 and symptoms of having an altered mental status, as well as having a highly elevated blood
9 pressure indicative of a chronic medical condition.

10 23. Nurse Salinas also suspected that Ms. James was possibly under the influence of a
11 controlled substance.

12 24. OFFICER SASEK was present during the intake medical screening by Nurse
13 Salinas and was informed of Nurse Salinas’ observations and reasons for the Jail’s refusal to
14 admit Ms. James into custody.

15 25. OFFICER SASEK was also informed that Ms. James required urgent medical
16 evaluation and treatment based upon her poor physical condition and altered mental status.

17 26. The Butte County Sheriff’s Office, which runs and oversees the Butte County Jail,
18 requires medical staff at the Jail to determine if arrestees have injuries or impairments at intake
19 and booking.

20 27. If medical staff determines that immediate medical evaluation and treatment is
21 needed, then the arrestee is not admitted into the Jail, but instead returned to the arresting officer
22 pending receipt of a medical clearance certificate from off-site medical providers at Oroville
23 Hospital.

24 28. Ms. James was placed back into OFFICER SASEK’s patrol vehicle so that he
25 could transport her to Oroville Hospital for the required “medical clearance.”

26 29. Video from the Jail intake area shows OFFICER SASEK and Ms. James leaving
27 the Jail in his vehicle.

28 30. OFFICER SASEK drove Ms. James to Oroville Hospital for “medical clearance”

1 as required by the Jail's booking staff.

2 31. OFFICER SASEK had Ms. James remain in his vehicle while he exited the vehicle
3 and entered Oroville Hospital.

4 32. OFFICER SASEK did not take Ms. James into the hospital to be seen by a medical
5 provider as required for her to be booked into the Jail's custody.

6 33. Oroville Hospital has no record of admitting Ms. James for medical evaluation on
7 September 1, 2022.

8 34. Instead, OFFICER SASEK cited and released Ms. James in the parking lot at
9 Oroville Hospital even though he knew and was aware that her release was prohibited by Penal
10 Code Section 853.6(i) and Oroville Police Department Policy ("Policy").

11 35. Policy 420.4.2(a), provides that reasons for non-release include: "The person
12 arrested is so intoxicated that he/she could be a danger to him/herself or to others."

13 36. Policy 420.4.2(b) provides that "The person arrested requires medical examination
14 or medical care or is otherwise unable to care for his/her own safety." (Policy 420.4.2(b)).

15 37. OFFICER SASEK was also required, pursuant to Oroville Police Department
16 Policy, to obtain authorization from his Shift Supervisor to cite and release Ms. James in light of
17 the reasons for non-release described above but failed to do so. See Policy 420.4.2.

18 38. OFFICER SASEK knew that Ms. James required urgent medical evaluation and
19 treatment, was possibly under the influence, and was unable to care for herself when he
20 abandoned her in the Oroville Hospital parking lot.

21 39. Shortly after leaving Ms. James in the parking lot, a security guard at Oroville
22 Hospital called OFFICER SASEK on his personal cell phone and requested that OFFICER
23 SASEK immediately return.

24 40. The security guard informed OFFICER SASEK that Ms. James had been walking
25 around the exterior of the hospital trying to open locked doors.

26 41. The security guard further informed OFFICER SASEK that Ms. James was "out of
27 control" and had "barricaded" herself in a hospital bathroom.

28 42. The security guard was able to get Ms. James out of the bathroom and escorted her

1 back to the parking lot at Oroville Hospital.

2 43. OFFICER SASEK returned within a few minutes. SERGEANT ALI KHAN, also
3 employed by the Oroville Police Department, arrived on scene and the two officers had a
4 discussion.

5 44. OFFICER SASEK placed Ms. James back into his patrol vehicle and drove away
6 exiting the parking lot.

7 45. OFFICER SASEK then drove with Ms. James to a local gas station in Oroville.
8 SERGEANT ALI KHAN arrived on scene at the gas station, as did another Officer from Oroville
9 Police Department (“DOE 1”), and the three officers discussed a plan of action.

10 46. OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN and DOE 1 were aware and had
11 discussed that Ms. James was under the influence of a narcotic or alcohol, was unable to care for
12 herself and urgently needed to be seen by a medical provider due to the possible use of controlled
13 substances, an altered mental status, poor physical condition and an extremely elevated heart rate.

14 47. All three officers knew and discussed that Ms. James had been rejected by the Jail
15 at booking because she had an urgent medical condition requiring evaluation and treatment and
16 needed to be “medically cleared” prior to being accepted into custody at the Jail.

17 48. DOE 1 suggested that OFFICER SASEK take her out to a remote area on Neal
18 Road at the Waste Facility and abandon Ms. James at the dump.

19 49. SERGEANT ALI KHAN agreed with the plan and did not object or instruct his
20 subordinates to cease their unlawful and improper conduct even though he knew that Plaintiff’s
21 rights were being violated and had the opportunity to intervene. SERGEANT ALI KHAN had an
22 affirmative duty to stop the unlawful conduct of his subordinates but failed to do so.

23 50. OFFICER SASEK then drove Ms. James north on Highway for 15.5 miles to the
24 area around Neal Road Recycling and Waste Facility (“Waste Facility”).

25 51. While being transported towards Chico, Ms. James asked, “Where are we going?”
26 and OFFICER SASEK responded, “Don’t worry about it” and told her to “just shut up.”

27 52. OFFICER SASEK parked his vehicle somewhere near the Waste Facility at
28 approximately 12:00 p.m. and directed Ms. James to exit the vehicle. It was completely dark

1 outside and Ms. James asked, "Where are we?" OFFICER SASEK responded, "You will figure it
2 out, it's not my problem."

3 53. Ms. James pleaded with OFFICER SASEK to "take me home," prior to OFFICER
4 SASEK getting back into his patrol vehicle and flooring the gas pedal, which threw dirt and
5 gravel directly at Ms. James.

6 54. Ms. James was now all alone on Neal Road. It was dark out and there were no
7 streetlights. Ms. James had no phone, no water, no shoes, no flashlight and no idea where she
8 was. OFFICER SASEK just drove away discarding her on Neal Road outside of the dump at
9 approximately midnight.

10 55. OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN and DOE 1 treated Ms. James as
11 though she was garbage. Their heartless decision to abandon her at the dump would warrant
12 criminal charges if they had abandoned a dog or cat. (See Penal Code Section 597s).

13 56. With no alternatives, Ms. James began walking on the shoulder of the road in the
14 direction that OFFICER SASEK had used to arrive at this location.

15 57. As Ms. James walked up the road near what is now known to be Franklin
16 Construction, she was struck on her right side by a passing vehicle and was sent flying down an
17 embankment into several large boulders where she remained in and out of consciousness and
18 severely injured for approximately 10 hours.

19 58. The vehicle that struck Ms. James did not stop to render assistance and instead fled
20 from the scene of the accident.

21 59. Ms. James sustained catastrophic physical and internal injuries after being hit by
22 the vehicle. Her clothes were completely shredded, and she had bruises all over her body. Ms.
23 James needed emergency medical care but was unable to move.

24 60. At approximately 9:00 a.m. on September 2 (the next day), Ms. James summoned
25 the strength to crawl up the embankment where she had remained in and out of consciousness all
26 night and was seen by workers employed at the Franklin Construction yard. They immediately
27 recognized the seriousness of her injuries, provided water and assistance and called 911.

28 61. Butte County Sheriff's Department officers arrived and insulted Ms. James by

1 asking if her boyfriend had beaten her up. The Butte County Sheriff's Department failed to
2 conduct any inquiry into how Ms. James had arrived at that location or who was responsible for
3 hitting her with their vehicle.

4 62. Ms. James was transported by ambulance to Enloe Medical Center in Chico,
5 California.

6 63. Ms. James was in the ICU Unit at Enloe for approximately seven (7) days after
7 being hit by the vehicle. Ms. James remained hospitalized for approximately 35 days after being
8 dumped by OFFICER SASEK on Neal Road and struck by a vehicle.

9 64. Based on the severe internal injuries that Ms. James sustained, medical providers
10 were forced to remove 30 to 40 percent of her colon, and approximately two feet of her small
11 intestine.

12 65. Ms. James has also been informed that due to the internal injuries and removal of a
13 portion of her small intestine and a portion of her colon, she will likely be required to wear a
14 colostomy bag for life. Ms. James is currently only 52 years old.

15 66. Ms. James has had multiple surgeries, and due to complications from the surgeries,
16 developed sepsis. The infection was so severe that the skin on her right foot began deteriorating
17 and her toes turned black. Doctors at Enloe Hospital have informed her that she will likely require
18 amputation of the toes on her right foot.

19 67. Ms. James was on dialysis twenty-four hours a day while at Enloe as doctors
20 fought to treat the infection.

21 68. OFFICER SASEK was on duty and in a marked patrol vehicle at the time of this
22 incident. It is believed he was wearing a body worn camera while transporting Ms. James, and
23 that the vehicle may also have been equipped with an in-car-camera system that recorded audio.

24 69. Additionally, the patrol vehicle was likely equipped with GPS/AVL tracking
25 capabilities which would show the officer's locations, and confirm that he drove to Neal Road, as
26 well as an in-car camera system, RMS device and personal cell phone that would also show his
27 locations during the relevant time periods.

28 70. After abandoning Ms. James on Neal Road at approximately midnight, OFFICER

1 SASEK returned to his office the following day and completed his arrest report for his arrest of
2 Ms. James at the Oroville Home Depot and submitted it to the Butte County District Attorney for
3 prosecution.

4 71. OFFICER SASEK did not inform the District Attorney that he had returned to the
5 hospital and placed Ms. James back into his patrol vehicle or that he had dumped and abandoned
6 Ms. James on Neal Road.

7 72. Based on the circumstances described above, Ms. James has experienced and will
8 continue to experience pain and suffering, and mental and emotional distress for the rest of her
9 life. Ms. James has incurred past medical expenses and costs and will be incurring future medical
10 expenses as she will require care and treatment for the remainder of her life.

11 **VI. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

12 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

13 **“State Created Danger”**

14 **(42 U.S.C. Section 1983)**

15 *Against Defendants SASEK, KHAN and DOES 1-10*

16 73. Plaintiff DANA MARIE JAMES re-alleges and incorporates by reference
17 paragraphs 1 through 72, as though fully set forth herein.

18 74. The actions of defendants OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN and
19 DOES 1-10 alleged herein, including but not limited to devising and executing a plan to discard
20 and abandon Ms. James, who was under their control and in their custody, and who required
21 urgent medical evaluation and treatment, placed Ms. James in a worse position than she was in
22 prior to their involvement.

23 75. The affirmative acts of OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN and DOES
24 1-10, of dumping and leaving Ms. James on the side of the road in the dark, and the possibility
25 that she would be struck by a passing vehicle traveling at high speed, was a foreseeable and direct
26 harm of their actions.

27 76. Defendants willfully disregarded the known and obvious consequence of their
28 actions and were deliberately indifferent to the safety of Ms. James. OFFICER SASEK,

1 SERGEANT ALI KHAN and DOES 1 to 10 created a danger that would not have existed absent
2 such conduct. This affirmative conduct led to the deprivation of Ms. James constitutional rights
3 under the Fourteenth Amendment.

4 78. As a direct and proximate result of said acts and/or omissions by defendants, Ms.
5 James suffered injuries and damages as alleged herein and to which Ms. James is entitled to
6 recover damages for past and future medical care, past and future pain and suffering, past and
7 future mental and emotional distress, costs and attorneys' fees.

8 79. The aforementioned acts and/or omissions of said defendants were willful, wanton,
9 malicious and done with conscious or reckless disregard for the rights and safety of Ms. James,
10 thereby entitling plaintiff to an award of exemplary and punitive damages according to proof
11 against OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN and DOES 1-10.

12 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

13 **“Deliberate Indifference to Health/Safety”**

14 **(42 U.S.C. Section 1983)**

15 *Against Defendants SASEK, KHAN and DOES 1 to 10*

16 80. Plaintiff DANA MARIE JAMES re-alleges and incorporates by reference
17 paragraphs 1 through 79, as though fully set forth herein.

18 81. The actions of Defendant OFFICER SASEK and DOES 1 to 10, to cite and release
19 Ms. James on the hospital parking lot while knowing that the Butte County Jail had required that
20 Ms. James be “medically cleared” was an intentional decision by OFFICER SASEK to deprive
21 Ms. James of urgently needed medical care, evaluation and treatment.

22 83. The actions of Defendant OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN and DOES
23 1 to 10, to drive Ms. James approximately 15 miles outside of the City of Oroville’s city limits
24 and abandon her on the road near the Waste Facility at approximately midnight, with no shoes,
25 flashlight, water, or phone and deprive her of urgently needed medical evaluation and treatment
26 put Ms. James at risk of suffering serious harm.

27 84. OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN and DOES 1 to 10 did not take
28 reasonable available measures to abate or reduce the risk of serious harm, even though any

1 reasonable officer would have known that Ms. James required urgent medical care and treatment,
2 was unable to care for herself in downtown Oroville and clearly had no ability to care for herself
3 on a dark rural road in Butte County.

4 85. OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN and DOES 1 to 10 could have just
5 taken Ms. James into Oroville Hospital for evaluation and treatment, as required by the Jail, and
6 their failure to do so caused Plaintiff's injuries and violated her rights under the Fourteenth
7 Amendment.

8 86. As a direct and proximate result of said acts and/or omissions by defendants, Ms.
9 James suffered injuries and damages as alleged herein and to which Ms. James is entitled to
10 recover damages for past and future medical care, past and future pain and suffering, past and
11 future mental and emotional distress, costs and attorneys' fees.

12 87. The aforementioned acts and/or omissions of said defendants were willful, wanton,
13 malicious and done with conscious or reckless disregard for the rights and safety of Ms. James,
14 thereby entitling plaintiff to an award of exemplary and punitive damages according to proof
15 against OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN and DOES 1-10.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

“Failure to Train”

(42 U.S.C. Section 1983)

Against CITY OF OROVILLE

20 88. Plaintiff DANA MARIE JAMES re-alleges and incorporates by reference
21 paragraphs 1 through 87, as though fully set forth herein.

22 89. The acts and omissions of law enforcement officers employed by the CITY OF
23 OROVILLE deprived Plaintiff of her rights under the Fourteenth Amendment as explained above.

24 90. The training policies of Defendant CITY OF OROVILLE were not adequate to
25 prevent known or obvious consequences of its failure to train its employees. Specifically, the
26 CITY OF OROVILLE did not train its officers, including OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT
27 KHAN or DOES 1 to 10 on:

28 (a) Obtaining “Medical Clearance” for arrested individuals needing urgent evaluation

1 after the Jail refuses to allow individuals to be booked into custody;

2 (b) Determining whether to cite and release an individual under Policy 420.4.2;

3 (c) How to evaluate and address an arrestee's "reasons for non-release" as set forth in
4 Policy 420.4.2, subsections (a) and (b);

5 (d) The requirement of obtaining a Shift Supervisor's authorization to cite and release
6 an individual when "reasons for non-release" exist; and,

7 (e) That its' officers should never cite and release an individual that requires "Medical
8 Clearance" from the Jail, is under the influence and that is unable to care for themselves outside
9 of City limits on a dark road at approximately midnight.

10 91. Defendant CITY OF OROVILLE was deliberately indifferent to the known or
11 obvious consequences as the constitutional violations here were a highly predictable consequence
12 of its failure to train. The deficient training program here is closely related to the alleged
13 constitutional violation such that the violation would have been avoided under a program that was
14 not deficient.

15 92. The failure of Defendant CITY OF OROVILLE to provide adequate training
16 caused the deprivation of the Plaintiff's rights by OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN
17 and DOES 1 to 10.

18 93. As a direct and proximate result of said acts and/or omissions by defendants, Ms.
19 James suffered injuries and damages as alleged herein and to which Ms. James is entitled to
20 recover damages for past and future medical care, past and future pain and suffering, past and
21 future mental and emotional distress, costs and attorneys' fees.

22 **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

23 **"Supervisory Liability"**

24 **(42 U.S.C. Section 1983)**

25 *Against Sergeant Ali Khan and DOES 1 to 10*

26 94. Plaintiff DANA MARIE JAMES re-alleges and incorporates by reference
27 paragraphs 1 through 93, as though fully set forth herein.

28 95. Sergeant ALI KHAN acted under color of law at all times mentioned herein and

was a supervisor of Defendant OFFICER ROBERT SASEK.

96. Sergeant ALI KHAN directed his subordinates, OFFICER ROBERT SASEK and DOES 1 to 10, to deprive Plaintiff of her particular rights under the U.S. Constitution, and knowingly refused to terminate a series of acts by his subordinates that he knew or reasonably should have known would cause the deprivation of plaintiff's rights.

97. Sergeant ALI KHAN failed to act to prevent OFFICER ROBERT SASEK from engaging in such conduct.

98. As a direct and proximate result of said acts and/or omissions by defendants, Ms. James suffered injuries and damages as alleged herein and to which Ms. James is entitled to recover damages for past and future medical care, past and future pain and suffering, past and future mental and emotional distress, costs and attorneys' fees.

99. The aforementioned acts and/or omissions of said SERGEANT ALI KHAN were willful, wanton, malicious and done with conscious or reckless disregard for the rights and safety of plaintiff, thereby entitling plaintiff to an award of exemplary and punitive damages according to proof against defendant KHAN and DOES 1 to 10.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

“Bane Act”

(California Civil Code Section 52.1 et. seq.)

Against Defendants SASEK, KHAN, DOES 1 to 10 and CITY OF OROVILLE

100. Plaintiff DANA MARIE JAMES re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 99, as though fully set forth herein.

101. The actions of Defendants OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT KHAN, CITY OF OROVILLE and DOES 1 to 10, as alleged herein, including but not limited to the Officer's affirmative act of dumping Ms. James on a rural road at approximately midnight while needing medical evaluation and treatment, denying her medical care and being deliberately indifferent to her health and safety and failing to properly train its officers to prevent these constitutional violations, were unreasonable and unlawful violations of the U.S. Constitution as well as state law. Defendants' conduct is therefore actionable under California Civil Code Section 52.1 et seq.,

1 the “Bane Act.”

2 102. As a direct and proximate result of said acts and/or omissions by Defendants,
3 Plaintiff DANA MARIE JAMES seeks to recover special and general damages. Plaintiff is also
4 entitled to recover any and all statutory penalties available as well as attorneys’ fees and costs.

5 103. Defendant CITY OF OROVILLE is liable for the wrongful acts of Defendants
6 OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT KHAN and DOES 1 to 10 pursuant to California Government
7 Code Section 815.2(a), which provides that a public entity is liable for injuries caused by its
8 employees within the scope of employment if the employee’s acts would subject them to liability.

9 104. The aforementioned acts and/or omissions of said individual Defendants were
10 willful, wanton, malicious and done with conscious or reckless disregard for the rights and safety
11 of plaintiff, thereby entitling plaintiff to an award of exemplary and punitive damages according
12 to proof against defendants SASEK, KHAN and DOES 1 to 10.

13 **SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

14 **Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress**

15 **(California State Common Law)**

16 *Against Defendants SASEK, KHAN, DOES 1 to 10 and CITY OF OROVILLE*

17 105. Plaintiff DANA MARIE JAMES re-alleges and incorporates by reference
18 paragraphs 1 through 104, as though fully set forth herein.

19 106. Defendants OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN and DOES 1 to 10,
20 acting or purporting to act in the performance of their official duties as law enforcement officers
21 knowing their conduct was unlawful. As a result of the above outrageous conduct, Ms. James
22 suffered severe and extreme mental and emotional distress.

23 107. Defendant CITY OF OROVILLE is indirectly and vicariously liable, through the
24 principles of *respondeat superior*, for injuries proximately caused by acts or omissions of its
25 employees acting within the scope of their employment.

26 108. Defendants OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN and DOES 1 to 10’s acts
27 and/or omissions constituted oppression, fraud and/or malice thereby entitling Plaintiff to an
28 award of exemplary and punitive damages against Defendants according to proof.

1 109. As a direct and proximate result of said tortious acts and/or omissions by
2 Defendants, plaintiff suffered the injuries alleged herein, entitling her to special and general
3 damages for past and future medical care and treatment and for mental and emotional distress.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

“Negligence”

(California State Common Law)

Against Defendants SASEK, KHAN, DOES 1 to 10 and CITY OF OROVILLE

8 110. Plaintiff DANA MARIE JAMES re-alleges and incorporates by reference
9 paragraphs 1 through 109, as though fully set forth herein.

10 111. Defendants OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN and DOES 1 to 10 have
11 a duty as arresting officers with a special relationship to arrestees to provide for the health, safety
12 and welfare of arrested persons under their control and authority and failed to provide for the
13 health, safety and well-being of Ms. James.

14 112. Defendant CITY OF OROVILLE had a duty to properly train its officers in how to
15 book individuals in its care and custody into jail and to properly follow its own requirements for
16 determining when to cite and release individuals in its custody, to obtain “medical clearance”
17 when required by the Butte County Jail, and to prohibit the dumping of individuals that require
18 medical evaluation and treatment and who are unable to care for themselves on rural roads
19 outside of City limits. Said duties are defined by California State Law, law enforcement standards
20 and CITY OF OROVILLE Police Department policies.

21 113. Defendants breached their respective duties, and this breach directly and
22 proximately caused injuries and damages to Plaintiff as alleged herein.

23 114. As a direct and proximate result of said acts and/or omissions by Defendants
24 OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN, DOES 1 to 10, and the CITY OF OROVILLE, Ms.
25 James suffered injuries and damages as alleged herein and to which Ms. James is entitled to
26 recover damages for past and future medical care, past and future pain and suffering, and past and
27 future mental and emotional distress.

115. Defendant CITY OF OROVILLE is liable for the wrongful acts of Defendants

1 OFFICER SASEK, SERGEANT ALI KHAN, and DOES 1 to 10 pursuant to California
2 Government Code Section 815.2(a), which provides that a public entity is liable for the injuries
3 caused by its employees within the scope of employment if the employee's acts would subject
4 them to liability.

5 **VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

6 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- 7 1. For compensatory, general and special damages against each Defendant, jointly
and severally, in the amount proven at trial;
- 9 2. For punitive and exemplary damages against each individually named
10 Defendant(s) in an amount appropriate to punish Defendant(s) and deter others from engaging in
11 similar misconduct;
- 12 3. For an award of statutory penalties, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code Section 52.1 and
13 other statutes as may be applicable;
- 14 4. For costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. section
15 1988, the Bane Act and as otherwise authorized by statute or law;
- 16 5. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

17 DATED: February 6, 2023

18 LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT CHALFANT

19 /s/ Robert Chalfant
20 ROBERT CHALFANT
21 Attorney for Plaintiff DANA MARIE JAMES

22 **JURY DEMAND**

23 Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury.

24 DATED: February 6, 2023

25 LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT CHALFANT

26 /s/ Robert Chalfant
27 ROBERT CHALFANT
28 Attorney for Plaintiff DANA MARIE JAMES

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Case 2:23-cv-00215-MCE-DMC Document 1-1 Filed 02/06/23 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

DANA MARIE JAMES

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff County of Butte
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Robert Chalfant, Law Office of Robert Chalfant, 5701
Lonetree Blvd., #312, Rocklin, CA 95765

DEFENDANTS

City of Oroville, Officer Robert Sasek, Sergeant Ali Khan,
DOES 1 to 10County of Residence of First Listed Defendant County of Butte
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF
THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

<input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF	PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6 <input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158
<input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157
<input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS
<input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument	<input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	<input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment
<input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	<input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent	<input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust
<input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY	<input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	<input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking
<input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	<input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle	<input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud	<input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark	<input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce
<input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	<input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle	<input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending	<input type="checkbox"/> 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016	<input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation
<input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits	<input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury	<input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage		<input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
<input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability		<input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692)
<input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability				<input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act
<input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise				<input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	SOCIAL SECURITY	Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	<input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff)	<input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
<input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure	<input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting	<input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee	<input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923)	<input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions
<input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	<input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	<input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	<input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts
<input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land	<input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/ Accommodations	<input type="checkbox"/> 530 General	<input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI	<input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters
<input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty	<input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))	<input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act
<input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	Other:	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	<input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
	<input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	<input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party	<input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration
		<input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights	26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
		<input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition		<input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
		<input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Original Proceeding	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed from State Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Reinstated or Reopened	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File
---	---	--	---	--	--	---

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
42 U.S.C. Section 1983

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Brief description of cause:
14th Amendment Violations: "State Created Danger"; Deliberate indifference to Health and Safety

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

 CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION
UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

February 6, 2023

/s/ Robert Chalfant

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE