October 9, 2025

30 California District Attorneys' Opposition to Proposition 50

As elected district attorneys, we are committed to upholding public trust through transparency, fairness, and the rule of law. We strongly oppose Proposition 50, which seeks to dismantle the voter-approved California Citizens Redistricting Commission and reinstate partisan gerrymandering—a flawed process rejected by Californians through Proposition 11 (2008) and Proposition 20 (2010).

Proposition 50 undermines democracy by prioritizing politicians' power instead of preserving voter-approved reforms that improve community representation. The independent commission has fairly redrawn electoral districts after the last two censuses. However, Proposition 50 would allow the State Legislature to secretly craft new congressional maps before the next census—dividing communities and silencing voters. This erodes trust in government and ignores the clear mandate for independent redistricting.

Moreover, Proposition 50's special election carries a staggering \$300-million price tag—funds that could support voter-approved Proposition 36's drug treatment programs,

which received nearly 70% support from Californians to address crime and addiction. While the Legislature claimed insufficient funds for these critical programs, it readily allocated millions for this unnecessary election, highlighting misplaced priorities.

Partisan gerrymandering is fundamentally wrong, regardless of who perpetrates it or where it occurs. Two wrongs do not make a right. In Proposition 50, the Governor of California has proposed a "fight fire with fire" approach, dismantling a twice voter-approved bipartisan redistricting commission. In an era marked by eroding ethics and integrity—where political assassination is excused and violent rhetoric against opponents and their families is dismissed as a mere "mistake"—we must firmly say no. Such actions undermine democracy and fairness, and we must uphold principled redistricting processes that prioritize the will of the people over partisan gain.

Proposition 50 threatens fair representation and wastes resources on an effort to undo voter-approved reforms and change California's congressional delegation solely to benefit one political party rather than uphold the will of the people. As district attorneys dedicated to institutional integrity, we urge Californians to reject Proposition 50 and protect our democratic process.

Sincerely,

Robert Priscaro

District Attorney, Alpine County

Todd Riebe

District Attorney, Amador County

Michael L. Ramsey

District Attorney, Butte County

Matthew R. Beauchamp

District Attorney, Colusa County

Vern Pierson

District Attorney, El Dorado County

Lisa Smittcamp

District Attorney, Fresno County

Dwayne R. Stewart

District Attorney, Glenn County

Cynthia Zimmer

District Attorney, Kern County

Sarah Hacker

District Attorney, Kings County

Melyssah Rios

District Attorney, Lassen County

Nathan Hochman

District Attorney, Los Angeles County District Attorney, Sutter County

Sally Moreno

District Attorney, Madera County

Nina Salarno

District Attorney, Modoc County

Jeannine Pacioni

District Attorney, Monterey County

Allison Haley

District Attorney, Napa County

Morgan Gire

District Attorney, Placer County

Michael A. Hestrin

District Attorney, Riverside County

Joel Buckingham

District Attorney, San Benito County

Jason Anderson

District Attorney, San Bernardino County

Summer Stephan

District Attorney, San Diego County

Dan Dow, District Attorney

San Luis Obispo County

Stephanie Bridgett

District Attorney, Shasta County

Sandy Groven

District Attorney, Sierra County

Kirk Andrus

District Attorney, Siskiyou County

Krishna Abrams

District Attorney, Solano County

Jennifer Dupre

Matt Rogers

District Attorney, Tehama County

Tim Ward

District Attorney, Tulare County

Jeff Reisig

District Attorney, Yolo County

Clint Curry

District Attorney, Yuba County