IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT FAIRBANKS

| In the Matter of the Application For the Post Conviction Relief of: |) | |
|---|-------------|-------------------------|
| MARVIN ROBERTS, Petitioner |))) | Case No. 4FA-13-2667 CI |
| In the Matter of the Application For the Post Conviction Relief of: |) | |
| GEORGE FRESE, Petitioner |)) | Case No. 4FA-13-2668 CI |
| In the Matter of the Application For the Post Conviction Relief of: |) | |
| KEVIN PEASE, Petitioner |)) | Case No. 4FA-13-2669 CI |
| In the Matter of the Application For the Post Conviction Relief of: |) | |
| EUGENE VENT, Petitioner |))) | Case No. 4FA-03-976 CI |
| | / | |

ORDER VACATING DECEMBER 11, 2015 HEARING AND HOLDING PROPOSED SETTLEMENT IN ABEYANCE

The court issued an order on December 10, 2015 advising the parties that the above-referenced hearing would be cancelled if the parties did not file citations to authority along with their settlement documents. The parties have filed their settlement documents citing only Criminal Rule 43(a).

IMO: Roberts, Frese, Pease & Vent

Case No. 4FA-13-2667 CI

Case No. 4FA-13-2668 CI

Case No. 4FA-13-2669 CI

Case No. 4FA-03-976 CI

Order Vacating December 11, 2015 Hearing & Holding Proposed Settlement in Abeyance

Page 1 of 4

Under the parties' settlement agreement, the petitioners are withdrawing their claims of

innocence, the State persists in its assertion that the petitioners are actually guilty beyond a

reasonable doubt of the murder of John Hartman and the assault of Franklin Dayton (and other

charges), and the parties agree to the immediate release of the petitioners (subject to the right of

the State to prosecute them for the same crimes if the State discovers new evidence in the future),

while the petitioners waive all claims for monetary compensation against various governmental

units.

Trial judges have no desire to stand in the way of lawful settlements. However, the

undersigned is unaware of the legal authority that would permit the immediate release of

petitioners who have withdrawn their claims of innocence while the State continues to assert the

validity of their convictions.

The State is free to grant elemency or pardons to the petitioners. In the absence of those

options, trial courts are authorized to enter an appropriate order (including vacation of

convictions and immediate release) under Criminal Rule 35.1(g) only if the judge finds in favor

of the applicants on the substance of their claims. Such a finding might be appropriate if it is

based on the stipulation of the parties that the petitioners have met their burden under AS

12.72.020, or that the State is no longer contesting the validity of the petitioners' claims. But, in

the absence of such a stipulation—or a substantive finding to that effect after a full evaluation of

the evidence and law—the undersigned is unaware of the legal authority the parties are relying

IMO: Roberts, Frese, Pease & Vent

Case No. 4FA-13-2667 CI

Case No. 4FA-13-2668 CI

Case No. 4FA-13-2669 CI

Case No. 4FA-03-976 CI

Order Vacating December 11, 2015 Hearing

& Holding Proposed Settlement in Abeyance

Page 2 of 4

upon to vacate convictions where the State continues to insist that the convictions were properly entered.

Criminal Rule 43(a) applies after conviction to authorize the State to choose the offense

for which a judgment of conviction and sentence will enter on counts merged under the double

jeopardy clause. 1 The court, however, is specifically concerned that, once the Attorney General

exercises his or her broad discretion to pursue settlements in civil actions², he or she cannot,

thereafter, vacate a criminal conviction on the basis of inherent authority where the convictions

have been affirmed on merit appeal and the legislature has enacted statutes expressly governing

under what circumstances affirmed convictions may be set aside.³

The parties are ordered to file a joint brief within ten days of the date this order is

distributed by the clerk of court signed by all counsel who signed the settlements setting forth the

legal authority they assert supports the immediate release of the petitioners who have withdrawn

their claims of innocence while the State continues to assert their guilt. The briefing is limited to

fifteen pages and must, at a minimum, address the cases cited herein. In the alternative, the

parties are free to modify their settlement in a manner that permits the court to take immediate

action under Criminal Rule 35.1(g).

The court is not disapproving the proposed settlement; it is, however, holding it in

abeyance until the question of the court's authority to approve the settlement in its current form

Hanson v. State, 2010 WL 4138405 at *4 & n. 22 (Alaska App. 2010) (mem.).

See e.g. Hartley v. State, 653 P.2d 1052, 1056 (Alaska App. 1982).

IMO: Roberts, Frese, Pease & Vent

Case No. 4FA-13-2667 CI

Case No. 4FA-13-2668 CI

Case No. 4FA-13-2669 CI

Case No. 4FA-03-976 CI

Order Vacating December 11, 2015 Hearing

& Holding Proposed Settlement in Abeyance

Page 3 of 4

State v. First National Bank of Anchorage, 660 P.2d 406, 420-21 (Alaska 1982).

is resolved, or the settlement is revised in a fashion that permits the court to act under Criminal Rule 35.1(g).

Dated this 10th day of December, 2015, at Fairbanks, Alaska.

Paul R. Lyle

Superior Court Judge

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT FAIRBANKS

| In the Matter of the Application For the Post Conviction Relief of: |) |
|---|------------------------------------|
| MARVIN ROBERTS, Petitioner. |)) Case No. 4FA-13-2667CI |
| In the Matter of the Application For the Post Conviction Relief of: |))) |
| GEORGE FRESE, Petitioner. |)) Case No. 4FA-13-2668CI |
| In the Matter of the Application For the Post Conviction Relief of: |)) |
| KEVIN PEASE, Petitioner. |)) Case No. 4FA-13-2669CI |
| In the Matter of the Application For the Post Conviction Relief of: |)) |
| EUGENE VENT, Petitioner. |)) _) Case No. 4FA-03-976CI |
| | |

Clerk's Certificate of Service

I certify that on 12/10/2015 copy of the foregoing was distributed via email to:

Office of Public Advocacy Appeals & Statewide Defense Attn: Whitney Glover Representing: Vent 1016 W 6th Ave Suite 100 Anchorage, AK 99501

Office of Special Prosecutions and Appeals

Attn: Adrianne Bachman Representing: State of Alaska 1031 W 4th Ave Suite 200 Anchorage, AK 99501

Office of the Attorney General

Attn: Leonard Linton Jr.
Representing: State of Alaska
310 K Street Suite 403
Anchorage, AK 99501

William Oberly (C/O Alaska Innocence Project)

Representing: Vent PO Box 201656 Anchorage, AK 99520 Dorsey & Whitney Law Office

Attn: Robert Bundy Representing: Frese 1031 W 4th Ave Suite 600 Anchorage, AK 99501

Office of the Attorney General

Attn: Ali Rahoi Representing: State of Alaska 310 K Street Suite 601 Anchorage, AK 99501

Office of Public Advocacy

Attn: Richard Allen Representing: Vent 900 W 5th Ave Suite 525 Anchorage, AK 99501

Clerk: Hygins